University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez Campus
Department of Physics

Is Artificial Intelligence Real Intelligence?

Javier A. García Sepúlveda
February 22, 2024

Introduction

As Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems evolve and show increasingly sophisticated capabilities, the question arises: does their functionality align with the complexity and adaptability of human intelligence? can we say that AI is real intelligence? To grasp the depth of this question, one can draw parallels with the analogy of the heart as suggested on the assignment page. Much like an artificial heart that mimics the functions of a natural heart but is man-made, can artificial intelligence play the role of natural intelligence? To explore this possibility in this essay, I will try to define what intelligence is, consider the similarities and distinctions between AI and human cognitive processes, and explore the arguments for and against AI being real intelligence. With this information, I will make some inferences and state my personal position on the matter.

Definition of Intelligence

Addressing the question of what "intelligence" is poses a challenge due to the absence of a universally accepted definition. To navigate this inquiry effectively, it becomes crucial to formulate or at least conceptualize a comprehensive understanding of intelligence.

It appears that different academic disciplines offer distinct definitions, making the quest for a universal definition elusive. Nonetheless, amidst this diversity, commonalities can be discerned across numerous definitions. In a paper by Legg and Hutter [1], an examination of 70 definitions yielded identified characteristics shared by these definitions. These characteristics are:

- Is a property that an individual agent has as it interacts with its environment, problem, or situation.
- Is related to the agent’s ability to succeed or profit with respect to some goal or objective.
- Depends on how able the agent is to adapt to different objectives and environments.

Although these characteristics may initially seem ambiguous, they possess a level of equivalency with more specialized definitions within distinct academic realms. Consequently, it is proposed that leveraging these shared characteristics could serve as a valuable foundation for determining whether artificial intelligence qualifies as genuine intelligence.

Similarities and Distinctions Between the Human Brain and Computers

Computers have long been employed as an analogy for the intricate workings of the human brain. Currently, Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) serve as a pivotal framework for modeling and studying natural neural networks. This analogy has proven beneficial in cognitive science, highlighting significant similarities between ANNs and biological neural networks (BNNs). Both types of networks comprise interconnected processing units called neurons, showcasing parallel and distributed processing capabilities[2]. Furthermore, they share the remarkable ability for self-learning and adaptation, achieved through continuous modifications of neuronal connections and synapses, akin to the brain's plasticity[2].

However, despite these parallels, there exist noteworthy distinctions between the two systems. Primarily, the human brain operates as an analog device, employing continuous signals and intricate neuron interactions for information processing. In contrast, ANNs emulate this analog behavior through digital algorithms. The analog nature of the brain allows for more complex calculations, surpassing traditional digital computing paradigms[3].

Another key difference lies in the memory architecture. Biological neural networks possess distributed memory within neural interconnections, while ANNs rely on centralized working memory separated from processors. Moreover, natural neural networks process data in a parallel and distributed manner with an emphasis on self-learning, whereas artificial networks predominantly engage in sequential and centralized processing[2].

Natural neural networks thrive in poorly defined and unconstrained environments, showcasing robustness and resilience. Conversely, ANNs function within well-defined operating environments and constraints, rendering them more susceptible to disruptions[2]. This distinction underscores the need to recognize the limitations of AI, particularly when applied in dynamic and unpredictable scenarios.

Arguments for AI as Real Intelligence

Despite the distinct functioning of BNNs and ANNs, the capabilities demonstrated by AI may suggest a form of genuine intelligence. ANNs, drawing inspiration from the brain's dynamic adaptability, exhibit a remarkable capacity for behavior that can be deemed intelligent. The high adaptability of ANNs is evident as they emulate the brain's ability to alter their behavior based on environmental cues and evolving circumstances[4].

The learning prowess of ANNs further contributes to their intelligent behavior. Through the continuous adjustment of network parameters based on available information, ANNs engage in a sophisticated learning process. This adaptive learning allows ANNs to construct intricate models capable of tackling complex cognitive problems, showcasing their potential for genuine intelligence [4].

An essential feature supporting the claim of AI intelligence is the distributed representation of knowledge within ANNs. Information is stored across interconnected nodes in the network, enabling ANNs to capture and understand complex relationships and dependencies inherent in cognitive tasks [4]. This distributed nature facilitates a nuanced and comprehensive approach to problem-solving, akin to the distributed memory within biological neural networks.

The proficiency of ANNs in pattern recognition tasks is another testament to their intelligence. By odelling cognitive processes that involve the identification and categorization of patterns in data, ANNs demonstrate a level of cognitive capability. Training ANNs on datasets allows them to learn and distinguish various types of information, a fundamental aspect of intelligent behavior [4].

The architectural design of ANNs further reflects their potential for intelligence. With multiple layers of interconnected nodes, ANNs exhibit a hierarchical structure that mirrors the organization of cognitive processes in the brain. This hierarchical processing enables the construction of higher-level concepts built upon simpler representations, aligning with the cognitive hierarchy observed in biological neural networks[4].

Additionally, the ability of ANNs to generalize from training data and adapt to new information contributes to their intelligent behavior. This capacity for generalization allows ANNs to apply learned knowledge to previously unseen data, showcasing their versatility in odelling a wide array of cognitive processes [4].

Arguments Against AI as Real Intelligence

While AI demonstrates certain facets of intelligent behavior, the journey toward achieving Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) faces formidable challenges. The predominant algorithmic framework in AI research is deemed inadequate for reaching AGI. The inherent limitations of this framework impede the development of AI systems capable of manifesting genuine general intelligence [5].

Although specialized AI systems have excelled in narrow domains, the true hurdle lies in amalgamating a diverse array of capabilities necessary for authentic machine intelligence. Many AI systems are tailored to specific targets and lack the capacity to generalize across varied tasks and domains, a crucial requirement for AGI [5]. Even though Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) can generalize to some extent from training data, achieving the level of sophistication seen in natural intelligence, which encompasses reasoning, problem-solving, learning, inference-making, common sense, and autonomous goal adjustment, remains a significant challenge for AGI development [5].

One critical deficiency lies in the inability of AI agents, grounded in algorithmic computation, to fully exploit affordances. The intricate relationship between affordances and algorithms suggests that current AI systems may not harness the full potential of affordances. Achieving AGI in computational systems poses a significant challenge, as true agency, entailing the identification and exploitation of affordances, is predominantly observed in biological agents rather than algorithmic AI systems [5].

Furthermore, the semantic nature of ambiguity presents a daunting obstacle for algorithmic AI systems. These systems struggle to address ambiguity as they grapple with employing situational knowledge and reasoning metaphorically. Ambiguity, integral to human cognitive activities, plays a pivotal role in fostering creative problem-solving and innovation. The tension arising from unresolved states of knowing, such as uncertainty or paradox, is considered a crucial ingredient for human creativity and invention [5].

Discussion and Concluding Remarks

Upon examining the disparities between Artificial Intelligence (AI) and human cognitive processes, coupled with compelling arguments against AI's classification as genuine intelligence, a nuanced perspective emerges. It appears increasingly implausible for AI to attain a parallel echelon of intelligence as humans, particularly with the current algorithmic framework dominating AI research. The quest for Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) encounters seemingly insurmountable challenges within this algorithmic paradigm, posing formidable hurdles to its realization in the foreseeable future.

However, it is essential to acknowledge the tangible manifestation of real intelligence within contemporary AI systems. Evaluating the characteristics of intelligence, as defined in the preceding sections, alongside the arguments supporting AI as possessing genuine intelligence, reveals that modern AI exhibits commendable capabilities. While AGI may remain elusive, contemporary AI excels in specific tasks, showcasing adept problem-solving skills and goal achievement within their designated environments.

It is paramount to refrain from hastily categorizing intelligence based solely on the structural nuances or the artificial or natural origins of a system. As Sokolowski aptly illustrates through his analogy [6], airplanes are man-made creations that do not mimic the flight of birds, but they are not simulating flight. Airplanes genuinely fly. In this vein, the dissimilarities in functioning between Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) and Biological Neural Networks (BNNs) do not negate the potential for genuine intelligence within ANNs. Thus, a more nuanced approach is imperative, recognizing that intelligence can manifest diversely, whether in natural or artificial constructs.

References

[1] S. Legg and M. Hutter, "A Collection of Definitions of Intelligence," Jun. 2007, [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.3639

[2] D. L. Lacrama, L. I. Viscu, and C. V. A. Drugarin, "Artificial vs. natural neural networks," in *2016 13th Symposium on Neural Networks and Applications (NEUREL)*, IEEE, Nov. 2016, pp. 1–1. doi: 10.1109/NEUREL.2016.7800093.

[3] A. Danchin and A. A. Fenton, "From Analog to Digital Computing: Is Homo sapiens' Brain on Its Way to Become a Turing Machine?," *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, vol. 10. Frontiers Media S.A., May 04, 2022. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2022.796413.

[4] M. van Gerven, "Computational foundations of natural intelligence," *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, vol. 11. Frontiers Media S.A., Dec. 07, 2017. doi: 10.3389/fncom.2017.00112.

[5] A. Roli, J. Jaeger, and S. A. Kauffman, "How Organisms Come to Know the World: Fundamental Limits on Artificial General Intelligence," *Front Ecol Evol*, vol. 9, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fevo.2021.806283.

[6] R. Sokolowski, "Natural and Artificial Intelligence," Winter, 1988.