

MACHINE LEARNING FOR DATA STREAMS

DECISION TREES

Note: A number between parenthesis corresponds to a certain survey:

1. Mining High-Speed Data Streams (2000)_VFDT_NOT READ YET: **No aparece en el survey (6)**

- (1) Pages 3,4

- This method essentially subsamples the data in order to achieve scalability in the construction of the decision tree. The idea is to show that the **entire decision tree constructed would be the same as the one built on sub-sampled data with high probability**.
- The idea is to determine a random sample of sufficient size so that the tree constructed on the sample is the same as that constructed on the entire data set. The **Hoeffding bound** is used to show that the decision tree on the sub-sampled tree would make the same split as on the full stream with high probability. This approach can be used with a variety of criteria such as the gini-index, or information gain.
- The number of examples required to produce the same split as the original data (with high probability) is determined. The Hoeffding bound is used to determine the number of relevant examples, so that this probabilistic guarantee may be achieved. If all splits in the decision tree are the same, then the same decision tree will be created.
- The Hoeffding tree can also be **applied to data streams**, by building the tree incrementally, as more examples stream in, from the higher levels to the lower levels. At any given node, one needs to wait until **enough tuples are available in order to make decisions about lower levels**. The memory requirements are modest, because only the counts of the different discrete values of the attributes (over different classes) need to be maintained in order to make Split decisions.
- The **VFDT algorithm** is also based on the Hoeffding tree algorithm, though it makes a number of modifications. Specifically, it is **more aggressive about making choices in the tie breaking** of different attributes for splits. It also allows the deactivation of less promising leaf nodes. It is generally more memory efficient, because of these optimizations.
- **The original VFDT method is not designed for cases where the stream is evolving over time.**

- <http://www.otnira.com/2013/03/28/hoeffding-tree-for-streaming-classification/>
 - Hoeffding bound gives certain level of confidence on the best attribute to split the tree, hence we can build the model based on certain number of instances that we have seen.
 - Hoeffding-tree, which is a new decision-tree learning method for streaming that solves these following challenges:
 - Uncertainty in learning time. Learning in Hoeffding tree is **constant time per example** (instance) and this means Hoeffding tree is suitable for mining data streaming.
 - The resulting trees are nearly identical with trees built by conventional batch learner, given enough example to train the and build the Hoeffding trees
 - To achieve the streaming classification characteristics, the authors introduce Hoeffding bound to decide **how many examples of instances needed to achieve certain level of confidence** (i.e. the chosen instance attribute using the bound is the close to the attribute chosen when infinite examples are presented into the classifier).
 - What makes Hoeffding bound attractive is its ability to give the same results **regardless the probability distribution generating the observations**. However, the number of observations needed to reach certain values of δ and ϵ are different across probability distributions.
 - With probability $1-\delta$, one attribute is superior compared to others when observed difference of information gain is greater than ϵ .
 - The authors implemented the Hoeffding tree algorithm into Very Fast Decision Tree learner (VFDT) which includes some enhancements for practical use, such as node-limiting strategy, introduction of a tie breaking parameter, grace period of bound calculation, poor attributes removal, fast initialization by using conventional RAM-based learner and ability to rescan previously-seen examples when data rate is slow.

2. Mining Time-Changing Data Streams (2001)_CVFDT_NOT READ YET: No aparece en los surveys (5), (6) y (7)

- (1) Pages 4,5
 - The original VFDT method is not designed for cases where the stream is evolving over time. The work in [47] extends this method to the case of concept-drifting data streams. This method is referred to as **CVFDT**. CVFDT incorporates two main ideas in order to address the additional challenges of drift:
 - A sliding window of training items is used to limit the impact of historical behavior.
 - Alternate subtrees at each internal node i are constructed.

- Because of the sliding window approach, the main issue here is the update of the attribute frequency statistics at the nodes, as the sliding window moves forward. For the incoming items, their statistics are added to the attribute frequencies in the current window, and the statistics of the expiring items at the other end of the window are decreased. Therefore, **when these statistics are updated, some nodes may no longer meet the Hoeffding bound, and somehow need to be replaced.**

RESUMEN PAPER “Learning Decision Trees from Data Streams with Concept Drift (2016)”: CVFDT is able to adapt to concept-drift in streams, first growing alternate subtrees at each node of the decision tree, and then replacing the current subtree with the alternate whenever the latter becomes more accurate. This is achieved by maintaining sufficient statistics on a time-window moving over the data stream.

3. Efficient Decision Tree Construction on Streaming Data (2003)_NOT READ YET: **No aparece en los surveys (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) y (7)**

○ (1) Page 4

- One of the major challenges of the VFDT family of methods is that it is naturally suited to categorical data. This is a natural derivative of the fact that decision tree splits implicitly assume categorical data. Furthermore, discretization of numerical to categorical data is often done offline in order to ensure good distribution of records into the discretized intervals. Of course, it is always possible to test all possible split points, while dealing with numerical data, but the number of possible split points may be very large, when the data is numerical. The bounds used for ensuring that the split is the same for the sampled and the original data may also not apply in this case. The technique in [48] uses **numerical interval pruning in order to reduce the number of possible split points**, and thereby make the approach more effective.
- Furthermore, the work uses the properties of the gain function on the entropy in order to achieve the **same bound as the VFDT method** with the use of a smaller number of samples.

4. Accurate Decision Trees for Mining High-speed Data Streams (2003)_VDTc_NOT READ YET

RESUMEN DEL PAPER “Learning Decision Trees from Data Streams with Concept Drift (2016)”: Another extension of VFDT is VDTc, which is able to deal with numerical attributes, i.e. not only categorical ones [12]. The algorithm in each leaf stores counters for numerical values. Additionally, to improve performance authors proposed to add a local model in the leaves (i.e. a naïve Bayes).

5. Adaptive Learning from Evolving Data Streams (2009)_HWT_HAT_NOT READ YET.

RESUMEN Y COMPARACIÓN DEL PAPER “Learning Decision Trees from Data Streams with Concept Drift (2016)”: Since development of the Hoeffding bounds, a number of modifications have been proposed. Bifet and Gavalda proposed the Hoeffding Window Tree (HWT) and the Hoeffding Adaptive Tree (HAT). HWT differs from CVFDT since it creates subtrees without waiting for a fixed number of instances (faster reaction for drift) and updates a subtree as soon as there is a benefit from building the new one., instead of using fixed-size sliding window to detect changes, HAT employs an adaptive window at each internal node.

6. Fast Perceptron Decision Tree Learning from Evolving Data Streams (2010)_NOT READ YET: No aparece en los surveys (2), (4), (5), (6) y (7)

- (1) Page 5
 - Bifet et al [19] proposed a method, that shares similarities with the work in [40]. However, the work in [19] replaces the naive Bayes with perceptron classifiers. The idea is to gain greater efficiency, while maintaining competitive accuracy.

7. Decision Trees for Mining Data Streams Based on the McDiarmid's Bound (2013)_NOT READ YET:

RESUMEN DEL PAPER “Learning Decision Trees from Data Streams with Concept Drift (2016)”: There are also other bounds – like the McDiarmid. In [21] authors proved that the Hoeffding’s inequality is not suitable for solving the underlying problem.

8. Learning Decision Trees from Data Streams with Concept Drift (2016): No aparece en los surveys (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) y (7)

- The proposed algorithm, named **Concept-adapting Evolutionary Algorithm For Decision Tree** does not require any knowledge of the environment such as numbers and rates of drifts. The novelty of the approach is **combining tree learner and evolutionary algorithm**, where the decision tree is learned incrementally and all information is stored in an internal structure of the trees’ population. The proposed algorithm is experimentally compared with state-of-the-art stream methods on several real live and synthetic datasets.