

Term	Spring, 2025 (202510)	Enrollment	19	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	19	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	18	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	95%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings						This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.18	3.18	2.59	-	0%	12%	18%	12%	59%		
					0	2	3	2	10		17
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.47	3.47	2.93	-	0%	0%	7%	40%	53%		
					0	0	1	6	8		15
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.31	3.31	2.71	-	0%	0%	25%	19%	56%		
					0	0	4	3	9		16
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.38	3.38	2.95	-	0%	0%	13%	38%	50%		
					0	0	2	6	8		16
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.38	3.38	3.02	-	0%	0%	13%	38%	50%		
					0	0	2	6	8		16
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.44	3.44	3.07	-	0%	0%	6%	44%	50%		
					0	0	1	7	8		16

**Comment Suggestion**

I enjoyed recitation and how it helped me solidify the content of the course! It is a great resource!

Great TA!

Javier was really good at explaining the lecture material and I understood almost everything he taught. The way he approached the practice problems was very helpful and informative.

He was good

Did a good job explaining the mathematical part of the subject.

Term	Fall, 2024 (202430)	Enrollment	17	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	17	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	14	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	82%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings					This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.69	3.69	2.60	-	0%	0%	8%	15%	77%	13
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.78	3.78	2.80	-	0%	0%	11%	0%	89%	9
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.78	3.78	2.59	-	0%	0%	11%	0%	89%	9
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.67	3.67	2.98	-	0%	0%	11%	11%	78%	9
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.78	3.78	2.88	-	0%	0%	11%	0%	89%	9
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.78	3.78	2.99	-	0%	0%	11%	0%	89%	9

**Comment Suggestion**

N/A

Javier was an amazing TA and was extremely passionate about the material. He genuinely wanted to help us learn and did an amazing job preparing us for the weekly recitation quizzes.

Javier was a great TA and made sure to explain concepts as easy as possible. He helped me a lot during office hours and recitations.

Javier is an amazing TA! He communicated concepts very well and was always very willing to answer questions. He solidified my understanding of the content very much. Grateful for him!

The class was very enjoyable and the TA taught us very well.

Term	Summer, 2024 (202420)	Enrollment	27	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	LEC	Eligible	27	Division	College of Liberal and Professional Studies Undergraduate Programs
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	16	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	59%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings						This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
<b>1 Overall quality of the instructor.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.53	3.23	3.23	-	0%	7%	0%	27%	67%		15
					0	1	0	4	10		
<b>2 Overall quality of the course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.50	3.50	3.50	-	0%	7%	0%	29%	64%		14
					0	1	0	4	9		
<b>3 Overall quality of the TA(s) if applicable.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent, N/A,</i>	3.00	3.00	3.00	-	14%	14%	0%	0%	71%		7
					1	1	0	0	5		
<b>4 The instructor clearly communicated the subject matter.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.71	3.32	3.32	-	0%	0%	7%	14%	79%		14
					0	0	1	2	11		
<b>5 The instructor effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.50	3.25	3.25	-	0%	7%	7%	14%	71%		14
					0	1	1	2	10		
<b>6 The instructor was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.71	3.36	3.36	-	0%	0%	7%	14%	79%		14
					0	0	1	2	11		
<b>7 As a result of taking this course, I have a better understanding of factual knowledge, principles and/or theories in this area.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.73	3.73	3.73	-	0%	0%	0%	27%	73%		15
					0	0	0	4	11		
<b>8 This course helped me to improve my ability to analyze, solve problems and/or think critically.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.73	3.73	3.73	-	0%	0%	0%	27%	73%		15
					0	0	0	4	11		
<b>9 This course helped me to understand how this field asks and answers questions.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.60	3.60	3.60	-	0%	0%	7%	27%	67%		15
					0	0	1	4	10		

					This Instructor Only					Responses
Average Ratings					Worst Rating...Best Rating					
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
<b>10 This course challenged me to consider new ideas, concepts, or ways of thinking.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.67	3.67	3.67	-	0% 0	0% 0	7% 1	20% 3	73% 11	15
<b>11 As a result of taking this course, I am more excited by this field of study.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.40	3.40	3.40	-	0% 0	7% 1	13% 2	13% 2	67% 10	15
<b>12 Please rate the difficulty of the course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Easy 0, 1, 2, 3, Difficult 4</i>	2.33	2.33	2.33	-	0% 0	27% 4	20% 3	47% 7	7% 1	15
<b>13 Please rate the amount of work required for this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Very Little 0, 1, 2, 3, Very Much 4</i>	2.40	2.40	2.40	-	0% 0	20% 3	27% 4	47% 7	7% 1	15
<b>14 To your knowledge, has there been cheating in this course?</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 1: Y, N</i>	0.93	-	-	-	7% 1	93% 14	- -	- -	- -	15

**Cheating Comment**

I don't even see how one could even try to cheat with this material.

**Comment Suggestion**

Javier is an amazing professor, one of the best I've had at Penn. He effectively teaches all of the concepts and answers all questions without judgment. He was always available outside class time and was very responsive to emails and the Canvas discussion board. His teaching method was very straightforward, and I always had a good idea of the concepts I needed to know before each exam. Though the course was difficult (the problem sets and homework were challenging), expectations were always clear which I appreciate a lot. The lectures were effective at explaining concepts. This course was a great experience and encouraged me to continue studying economics!

Great course, Javier and Zhenxiao were great instructors!

Very accelerated course so you need to make sure to stay on top of every single class but I can ensure you that Javier Tasso will make the learning run as smoothly as possible and will get all of your questions clearly answered no matter what.

Term	Spring, 2024 (202410)	Enrollment	20	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	20	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	19	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	95%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings						This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.06	3.06	2.36	-	0%	0%	28%	39%	33%		18
					0	0	5	7	6		
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.36	3.36	2.74	-	0%	0%	0%	64%	36%		14
					0	0	0	9	5		
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.00	3.00	2.33	-	0%	7%	21%	36%	36%		14
					0	1	3	5	5		
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.21	3.21	2.73	-	0%	0%	7%	64%	29%		14
					0	0	1	9	4		
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.36	3.36	2.76	-	0%	0%	0%	64%	36%		14
					0	0	0	9	5		
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.29	3.29	2.80	-	0%	0%	7%	57%	36%		14
					0	0	1	8	5		



**Comment Suggestion**

Great TA, super involved and committed to helping us learn the material.

Everything was great.

Javier was a great TA this semester. He constantly made an effort to ensure we thoroughly understood the material and constantly did a great job in answering any questions we had about the content during recitation hours.

Term	Fall, 2023 (202330)	Enrollment	22	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	22	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	20	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	91%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings					This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.37	3.37	2.74	-	0%	0%	16%	32%	53%	19
					0	0	3	6	10	
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.36	3.36	3.09	-	0%	0%	9%	45%	45%	11
					0	0	1	5	5	
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.36	3.36	2.79	-	0%	0%	9%	45%	45%	11
					0	0	1	5	5	
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.55	3.55	2.92	-	0%	0%	9%	27%	64%	11
					0	0	1	3	7	
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.55	3.55	3.19	-	0%	0%	0%	45%	55%	11
					0	0	0	5	6	
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.45	3.45	3.13	-	0%	0%	9%	36%	55%	11
					0	0	1	4	6	

**Comment Suggestion**

Javier was accessible and great at answering questions, however, I'd like to see more real-world applications in recitation.

I cannot say enough nice things about Javier. His ability to synthesize material during recitation was amazing. During weeks I felt lost during lecture, I could count on Javier's recitation to bring me back in the loop.

Term	Spring, 2023 (202310)	Enrollment	21	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	21	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	16	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	76%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings						This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	2.54	2.54	2.72	-	0%	8%	54%	15%	23%		13
					0	1	7	2	3		
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.89	2.89	3.01	-	0%	0%	33%	44%	22%		9
					0	0	3	4	2		
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.22	2.22	2.69	-	0%	0%	89%	0%	11%		9
					0	0	8	0	1		
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.67	2.67	2.99	-	0%	0%	44%	44%	11%		9
					0	0	4	4	1		
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.78	2.78	3.08	-	0%	11%	22%	44%	22%		9
					0	1	2	4	2		
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.67	2.67	3.05	-	0%	0%	56%	22%	22%		9
					0	0	5	2	2		

**Comment Suggestion**

The structure of the recitation worked well for the class. In the class, Luca would teach us the facts, structures, and equations, and in recitation, we'd implement them. Because they were fairly simple, a few exercises in recitation were all that was needed to really learn it. Javier wasn't as responsive to emails as other TAs, so I usually emailed others when I had questions. To clarify, it's not that Javier was unresponsive or took a long time, it's just that there were a couple TAs that always responded super fast. The only other negative thing I have about Javier is he'd write the formulas on one tab on the board, and he'd try to keep them up there, but they'd always find a way to go away. Similarly, whenever he'd go to excel, the problem would just go away. It probably would've been easier if he split screened. But he still taught well and I wouldn't complain about having him as my TA.

Sometimes the connection between the lecture material and the numerical-based recitation problems was not made explicitly clear and was thus occasionally confusing.

Good TA. Could have had better notes or used the chalkboard more effectively, as it was sometimes very disorganized

Term	Spring, 2023 (202310)	Enrollment	19	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	19	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	18	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	95%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings						This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	2.67	2.67	2.72	-	6%	17%	11%	39%	28%		18
					1	3	2	7	5		
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.83	2.83	3.01	-	0%	8%	8%	75%	8%		12
					0	1	1	9	1		
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.67	2.67	2.69	-	0%	8%	25%	58%	8%		12
					0	1	3	7	1		
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.83	2.83	2.99	-	0%	0%	25%	67%	8%		12
					0	0	3	8	1		
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.83	2.83	3.08	-	0%	8%	8%	75%	8%		12
					0	1	1	9	1		
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.08	3.08	3.05	-	0%	0%	8%	75%	17%		12
					0	0	1	9	2		

**Comment Suggestion**

Javier was an incredible TA. I learned so much from him. My best recitation experience so far at Penn!

The recitations were crucial in learning and reviewing the material. Mr. Tasso did a great job with the concepts.

Term	Spring, 2023 (202310)	Enrollment	21	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	21	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	19	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	90%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings						This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	2.69	2.69	2.72	-	6%	6%	19%	50%	19%		16
					1	1	3	8	3		
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.00	3.00	3.01	-	0%	7%	7%	64%	21%		14
					0	1	1	9	3		
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.71	2.71	2.69	-	7%	0%	21%	57%	14%		14
					1	0	3	8	2		
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.79	2.79	2.99	-	0%	7%	36%	29%	29%		14
					0	1	5	4	4		
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.07	3.07	3.08	-	0%	0%	29%	36%	36%		14
					0	0	4	5	5		
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.93	2.93	3.05	-	0%	7%	21%	43%	29%		14
					0	1	3	6	4		



**Comment Suggestion**

I think the recitation were the only times that we went over problems that connected to the course content. Sometimes they were also a little rushed-through and hard to follow when steps were skipped. Otherwise, they were very helpful.

Term	Fall, 2022 (202230)	Enrollment	12	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	12	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	12	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	100%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings						This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	2.75	2.75	2.64	-	0%	8%	17%	67%	8%		12
					0	1	2	8	1		
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.90	2.90	2.88	-	0%	0%	10%	90%	0%		10
					0	0	1	9	0		
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.50	2.50	2.50	-	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%		10
					0	0	5	5	0		
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.00	3.00	2.83	-	0%	0%	20%	60%	20%		10
					0	0	2	6	2		
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.30	3.30	3.02	-	0%	0%	0%	70%	30%		10
					0	0	0	7	3		
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.90	2.90	2.96	-	0%	10%	20%	40%	30%		10
					0	1	2	4	3		

**Comment Suggestion**

The TA did a very good job explaining lecture material by answering questions and working through problem sets and example questions with the class.

Javier always explained how to do the problems really well and usually in a different way than the text book or Professor Bossi which effectively helped me learn.

reinforced lecture concepts effectively

Term	Fall, 2022 (202230)	Enrollment	22	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	22	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	19	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	86%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings						This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.21	3.21	2.64	-	0%	0%	26%	26%	47%		19
					0	0	5	5	9		
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.29	3.29	2.88	-	0%	0%	12%	47%	41%		17
					0	0	2	8	7		
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.71	2.71	2.50	-	0%	18%	18%	41%	24%		17
					0	3	3	7	4		
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.06	3.06	2.83	-	0%	0%	12%	71%	18%		17
					0	0	2	12	3		
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.29	3.29	3.02	-	0%	0%	18%	35%	47%		17
					0	0	3	6	8		
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.24	3.24	2.96	-	0%	6%	12%	35%	47%		17
					0	1	2	6	8		

**Comment Suggestion**

Pretty helpful, we were able to go through some of the mathematical problems that we were not able to do in class.

The recitation properly integrated the topics we learned in class. I liked that we did various exercises and the quizzes helped to evaluate our understanding.

I wish that we didn't have to rush through recitation material. I feel like that would have made me understand the material more fully  
better than the lecture

Really good at explaining the concepts and their applications.

Term	Spring, 2022 (2022A)	Enrollment	22	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	22	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	21	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	95%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings					This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	2.67	2.67	2.43	-	0%	14%	38%	14%	33%	21
					0	3	8	3	7	
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.25	3.25	2.66	-	0%	0%	13%	50%	38%	16
					0	0	2	8	6	
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.69	2.69	2.31	-	0%	19%	25%	25%	31%	16
					0	3	4	4	5	
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.94	2.94	2.68	-	0%	6%	25%	38%	31%	16
					0	1	4	6	5	
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.19	3.19	2.60	-	0%	0%	25%	31%	44%	16
					0	0	4	5	7	
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.25	3.25	2.50	-	0%	0%	19%	38%	44%	16
					0	0	3	6	7	

**Comment Suggestion**

very good

I definitely learned more from recitations than lectures.

Really nice and understanding to all students! Did a good job explaining the questions each week.

I think it would ve been more effective for TAs to let us work on problems in groups rather than just doing them on the board

Term	Spring, 2022 (2022A)	Enrollment	22	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	22	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	20	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	91%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings					This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	2.37	2.37	2.43	-	5% 1	5% 1	53% 10	21% 4	16% 3	19
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.00	3.00	2.66	-	0% 0	0% 0	19% 3	63% 10	19% 3	16
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.50	2.50	2.31	-	0% 0	13% 2	38% 6	38% 6	13% 2	16
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.63	2.63	2.68	-	0% 0	13% 2	25% 4	50% 8	13% 2	16
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.94	2.94	2.60	-	0% 0	0% 0	25% 4	56% 9	19% 3	16
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.88	2.88	2.50	-	0% 0	0% 0	25% 4	63% 10	13% 2	16



**Comment Suggestion**

Javier did a good job as TA considering the material he was covering. I would say that sometimes the examples that were covered felt a little disconnected to the material that was being taught and that sometimes it would get a little more complicated than necessary. Other than that I enjoyed being in his recitation and he did a more than satisfactory job.

Since the lecture and recitation were always a week a head of the when the assignment needed to be submitted, it didn't really help with the weekly assignments. I just went because I had to.

Javier Tasso really assisted in my comprehension of the materials and concepts of this course!

Term	Fall, 2021 (2021C)	Enrollment	27	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	27	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	24	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	89%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings					This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	2.92	2.92	2.65	-	0%	8%	25%	33%	33%	24
					0	2	6	8	8	
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.05	3.05	2.79	-	0%	0%	24%	48%	29%	21
					0	0	5	10	6	
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.95	2.95	2.68	-	0%	5%	21%	47%	26%	19
					0	1	4	9	5	
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.10	3.10	2.78	-	0%	0%	20%	50%	30%	20
					0	0	4	10	6	
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.25	3.25	2.88	-	0%	0%	5%	65%	30%	20
					0	0	1	13	6	
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.10	3.10	3.00	-	0%	5%	15%	45%	35%	20
					0	1	3	9	7	

**Comment Suggestion**

I really liked Javier! Sometimes he was hard to understand which I feel bad about because I know he was trying his best!!

Excellent section to solve and understand economic problems in depth!

TA had very strong grasp on macro; went through each problem thoroughly but sometimes failed to explain thought process to students

Term	Fall, 2021 (2021C)	Enrollment	19	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	19	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	15	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	79%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings					This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.07	3.07	2.65	-	0% 0	0% 0	36% 5	21% 3	43% 6	14
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.08	3.08	2.79	-	0% 0	0% 0	33% 4	25% 3	42% 5	12
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.92	2.92	2.68	-	0% 0	0% 0	42% 5	25% 3	33% 4	12
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.08	3.08	2.78	-	0% 0	0% 0	33% 4	25% 3	42% 5	12
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.08	3.08	2.88	-	0% 0	0% 0	33% 4	25% 3	42% 5	12
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.25	3.25	3.00	-	0% 0	0% 0	25% 3	25% 3	50% 6	12

**Comment Suggestion**

I learned a lot from Javier. He made me understand Econ better than the professor did.

I really liked my TA.

Javier was so clear at teaching everything and was also incredibly supportive when students had things come up or needed extra help!

Javier Tasso was an excellent TA who has done a great job of helping students understand the numerous concepts we have learned this semester.

Great TA!

Term	Fall, 2021 (2021C)	Enrollment	26	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	REC	Eligible	26	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	22	Department	Economics
		Response Rate	85%	Subject	Economics

Average Ratings					This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
Question and Scale	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
<b>1 Overall quality of the TA.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	2.24	2.24	2.65	-	5% 1	24% 5	33% 7	19% 4	19% 4	21
<b>2 The TA communicated effectively.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.33	2.33	2.79	-	6% 1	17% 3	39% 7	17% 3	22% 4	18
<b>3 The TA effectively stimulated my interest.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.50	2.50	2.68	-	6% 1	6% 1	44% 8	22% 4	22% 4	18
<b>4 The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.67	2.67	2.78	-	0% 0	6% 1	39% 7	39% 7	17% 3	18
<b>5 The TA helped me to learn in this course.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	2.72	2.72	2.88	-	0% 0	11% 2	28% 5	39% 7	22% 4	18
<b>6 This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material.</b> <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.06	3.06	3.00	-	0% 0	0% 0	22% 4	50% 9	28% 5	18

**Comment Suggestion**

The TA did a decent job, sometimes his teaching was hard to follow

Recitation is extremely helpful. At some times it was hard to understand the TA but not too difficult that it made an issue. Class was short and did its job.

I did not attend most recitations because I felt lectures were enough (Saka is very good + I took econ in high school). My judgement about my TA is very limited