Induction-induction Part 1

Thorsten Altenkirch¹ Ambrus Kaposi² András Kovács² Jakob von Raumer¹

University of Nottingham, United Kingdom

Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

July 1, 2018

Indexed Inductive Types

- Provers like Lean & Coq are built on indexed inductive Types (e.g. Vec)
- ► Easy to reduce *mutual inductive Types* to this class of Types:

mutual inductive even, odd

```
with even : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbf{Prop} | even_zero : even 0
```

 \mid even_odd : Π n, odd n ightarrow even (n + 1)

```
with odd : \mathbb{N} \to \textbf{Prop}
```

 \mid odd_even : Π n, even n ightarrow odd (n + 1)

Indexed Inductive Types

- Provers like Lean & Coq are built on indexed inductive Types (e. g. Vec)
- ► Easy to reduce *mutual inductive Types* to this class of Types:

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{inductive} \ \mathsf{par} : \mathsf{bool} \to \mathbb{N} \to \textbf{Prop} \\ | \ \mathsf{even\_zero} : \mathsf{par} \ \mathsf{ff} \ \mathsf{0} \\ | \ \mathsf{even\_odd} : \Pi \ \mathsf{n}, \ \mathsf{par} \ \mathsf{tt} \ \mathsf{n} \to \mathsf{par} \ \mathsf{ff} \ (\mathsf{n}+1) \\ | \ \mathsf{odd\_even} : \Pi \ \mathsf{n}, \ \mathsf{par} \ \mathsf{ff} \ \mathsf{n} \to \mathsf{par} \ \mathsf{tt} \ (\mathsf{n}+1) \\ \\ \textbf{def} \ \mathsf{even} := \mathsf{par} \ \mathsf{ff} \\ \\ \textbf{def} \ \mathsf{odd} \ := \mathsf{par} \ \mathsf{tt} \\ \end{array}
```

(due to Fredrik Nordvall Forsberg)

Given a type $A:\mathcal{U}$ and a relation $<:A\to A\to \mathcal{U}$ on it, the *free* dense completion is a new set A' together with a relation <' on A that contains < which is dense:

For each x, y : A' with x <' y, there is a point mid : A' such that $x <' \min(x, y) <' y$.

```
parameters (A : Type) (lt : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow Type)

inductive A', lt'

with A' : Type

\mid \iota_{A} : A \rightarrow A' \mid

\mid \text{mid} : \Pi (x y : A') (p : \text{lt'} \times y), A'

with lt' : A' \rightarrow A' \rightarrow Type

\mid \iota_{\text{lt}} : \Pi (x y : A), \text{lt} \times y \rightarrow \text{lt'} (\iota_{A} \times) (\iota_{A} y)

\mid \text{mid}_{I} : \Pi (x y : A') (p : \text{lt'} \times y), \text{lt'} \times (\text{mid} \times y p)

\mid \text{mid}_{r} : \Pi (x y : A') (p : \text{lt'} \times y), \text{lt'} (\text{mid} \times y p) y
```

Consider the *category of algebras* for the type:

```
structure Alg :=
   (A' : Type u)
   (It' : A' \rightarrow A' \rightarrow Type u)
   (\iota_{\mathsf{A}} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}')
   (mid : \Pi \times V, lt' \times V \rightarrow A')
   (\iota_{\mathsf{lt}} : \Pi \mathsf{a} \mathsf{b}, \mathsf{lt} \mathsf{a} \mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{lt}' (\iota_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{a}) (\iota_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{b}))
   (mid_1 : \Pi \times y p, It' \times (mid \times y p))
   (mid_r : \Pi \times v p, lt' (mid \times v p) v)
structure Alg_m (M N : Alg) :=
   (A':M.A'\rightarrow N.A')
   (lt': \Pi \times y, M.lt' \times y \rightarrow N.lt' (A' \times) (A' y))
   (\iota_{\mathsf{A}}:\Pi \mathsf{a},\mathsf{A}'(\mathsf{M}.\iota_{\mathsf{A}}\mathsf{a})=\mathsf{N}.\iota_{\mathsf{A}}\mathsf{a})
```

We want to construct an initial object in this category to get the correct elimination principle!

We will construct this algebra and prove its initiality in eight steps:

- 1. Erase the typing relation
- 2. Construct the initial pre-algebra
- 3. Construct a welltypedness predicate
- 4. Define the inital algebra
- 5. Relate objects of the pre-algebra to arbitrary algebras
- 6. Show right-uniqueness of the relation
- 7. Show left-totality of the relation
- 8. Extract the eliminators from the relation

Step 1: Erasure of the typing relation:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{structure} \ \mathsf{Pre} := \\ (\mathsf{A}' : \mathsf{Type} \ \mathsf{u}) \\ (\mathsf{lt}' : \mathsf{Type} \ \mathsf{u}) \\ (\iota_\mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}') \\ (\mathsf{mid} : \Pi \ (\mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{y} : \mathsf{A}') \ (\mathsf{p} : \mathsf{lt}'), \ \mathsf{A}') \\ (\iota_\mathsf{lt} : \Pi \ (\mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{b} : \mathsf{A}) \ (\mathsf{p} : \mathsf{lt} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{b}), \ \mathsf{lt}') \\ (\mathsf{mid}_{\mathit{l}} : \Pi \ (\mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{y} : \mathsf{A}') \ (\mathsf{p} : \mathsf{lt}'), \ \mathsf{lt}') \\ (\mathsf{mid}_{\mathit{l}} : \Pi \ (\mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{y} : \mathsf{A}') \ (\mathsf{p} : \mathsf{lt}'), \ \mathsf{lt}') \\ \end{array}
```

These *pre-algebras* form a category as well.

Step 2: The initial object of these is just a mutual inductive definition!

```
mutual inductive A', lt'

with A': Type u

\mid \iota_{A}: A \rightarrow A' \mid \text{mid}: \Pi (x y : A') (p : lt'), A'

with lt': Type u

\mid \iota_{lt}: \Pi (a b : A) (p : lt a b), lt' \mid \text{mid}_{I}: \Pi (x y : A') (p : lt'), lt'
\mid \text{mid}_{I}: \Pi (x y : A') (p : lt'), lt'
```

But the typing information of It' is missing.

```
Step 3: Adding a welltypedness predicate:
mutual inductive WA', WI+'
with w_{A'}: A' \to Prop
\mid \iota_{\Delta} : \Pi \text{ a. } w_{\Delta}, (A'.\iota_{\Delta} \text{ a})
| \text{ mid} : \Pi \{x y p\}, w_{A'} x \rightarrow w_{A'} y \rightarrow w_{H'} x y p \rightarrow w_{A'} (A'. \text{mid} x y p) \}
with w_{lt'}: A' \to A' \to lt' \to Prop
\mid \iota_{\mathsf{l} \mathsf{t}} : \Pi \mathsf{a} \mathsf{b} \mathsf{p}, \mathsf{w}_{\mathsf{l} \mathsf{t}'} (\mathsf{A}' . \iota_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{a}) (\mathsf{A}' . \iota_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{b}) (\mathsf{l} \mathsf{t}' . \iota_{\mathsf{l} \mathsf{t}} \mathsf{a} \mathsf{b} \mathsf{p})
| \mathsf{mid}_I : \Pi \{ \mathsf{x} \mathsf{y} \mathsf{p} \}, \mathsf{w}_{\mathsf{A}'} \mathsf{x} \to \mathsf{w}_{\mathsf{A}'} \mathsf{y} \to \mathsf{w}_{\mathsf{lt}'} \mathsf{x} \mathsf{y} \mathsf{p} \}
       \rightarrow w_{lt'} \times (A'.mid \times y p) (lt'.mid_l \times y p)
```

Step 4: Define the initial object of the typed algebras as a subtype of the initial untyped algebra:

```
 \begin{split} & \textbf{def S}: \ \textbf{Alg} := \\ & \{ \ A' := \Sigma' \ (\textbf{x}: A'), \ \textbf{w}_{A'} \ \textbf{x} \ , \\ & \ \textbf{lt}' := \lambda \ \textbf{x} \ \textbf{y}, \ \Sigma' \ \textbf{p}, \ \textbf{w}_{\textbf{lt}'} \ \textbf{x}.1 \ \textbf{y}.1 \ \textbf{p}, \\ & \iota_{A} := \lambda \ \textbf{x}, \ \langle A'.\iota_{A} \ \textbf{x}, \ \textbf{w}_{A'}.\iota_{A} \ \textbf{x} \rangle, \\ & \ \textbf{mid} := \lambda \ \textbf{a} \ \textbf{b} \ \textbf{p}, \ \langle A'. \textbf{mid} \ \textbf{a}.1 \ \textbf{b}.1 \ \textbf{p}.1, \ \textbf{w}_{A'}. \textbf{mid} \ \textbf{a}.2 \ \textbf{b}.2 \ \textbf{p}.2 \rangle, \\ & \dots \ \} \end{aligned}
```

Are we done yet? Have to define the eliminator!

Step 5: For any algebra M: Alg, inductively define a relation that relates objects of the untyped S': Alg to those of M:

mutual inductive r_{A'}, r_{lt'}

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{with} \  \, \textbf{r}_{A'} : \textbf{A}' \rightarrow \textbf{M}.\textbf{A}' \rightarrow \textbf{Prop} \\ | \  \, \iota_{\textbf{A}} : \Pi \  \, \textbf{x}, \  \, \textbf{r}_{\textbf{A}'} \  \, \big( \textbf{A}'.\iota_{\textbf{A}} \  \, \textbf{x} \big) \  \, \big( \textbf{M}.\iota_{\textbf{A}} \  \, \textbf{x} \big) \\ | \  \, \textbf{mid} : \Pi \  \, \textbf{x} \  \, \textbf{y} \  \, \boldsymbol{\rho} \  \, \boldsymbol{\beta} \  \, \boldsymbol{\pi} \  \, \big( \textbf{xr} : \textbf{r}_{\textbf{A}'} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha} \big) \  \, \big( \textbf{yr} : \textbf{r}_{\textbf{A}'} \  \, \textbf{y} \  \, \boldsymbol{\beta} \big) \  \, \big( \textbf{pr} : \textbf{r}_{\textbf{It}'} \  \, \textbf{p} \  \, \boldsymbol{\pi} \big), \\ | \  \, \textbf{r}_{\textbf{A}'} \  \, \big( \textbf{A}'.\textbf{mid} \times \textbf{y} \  \, \textbf{p} \big) \  \, \big( \textbf{M}.\textbf{mid} \  \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \  \, \boldsymbol{\beta} \  \, \boldsymbol{\pi} \big) \\ | \  \, \textbf{with} \  \, \textbf{r}_{\textbf{It}'} : \Pi \  \, \big( \textbf{x} : \textbf{It}' \big) \  \, \big\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \  \, \boldsymbol{\beta} : \textbf{M}.\textbf{A}' \big\} \  \, \big( \boldsymbol{\pi} : \textbf{M}.\textbf{It}' \  \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \  \, \boldsymbol{\beta} \big), \  \, \textbf{Prop} \\ | \  \, \iota_{\textbf{It}} : \Pi \  \, \textbf{a} \  \, \textbf{b} \  \, \textbf{p}, \  \, \textbf{r}_{\textbf{It}'} \  \, \big( \textbf{It}'.\iota_{\textbf{It}} \  \, \textbf{a} \  \, \textbf{b} \  \, \textbf{p} \big) \  \, \big( \textbf{M}.\iota_{\textbf{It}} \  \, \textbf{a} \  \, \textbf{b} \  \, \textbf{p} \big) \\ ... \end{aligned}
```

Step 6: Show, by induction on the untyped object, that the relation is right unique:

mutual def r_uniqueA', r_uniqueIt'

with $r_{\text{unique}}A' : \Pi \{x : A'\} \{\alpha \alpha' : M.A'\}$

```
 \begin{split} & (\mathsf{r}\alpha:\mathsf{r}_\mathsf{A'}\times\alpha)\;(\mathsf{r}\alpha':\mathsf{r}_\mathsf{A'}\times\alpha'),\;\alpha=\alpha'\\ & \cdots\\ & \text{with }\mathsf{r}\_\mathsf{unique}_\mathsf{lt'}:\Pi\;\{\mathsf{p}:\mathsf{lt'}\}\;...\;\{\pi:\mathsf{M}.\mathsf{lt'}\;\alpha\;\beta\}\;\{\pi':\mathsf{M}.\mathsf{lt'}\;\alpha'\;\beta'\}\\ & (\mathsf{r}\pi:\mathsf{r}_\mathsf{lt'}\;\mathsf{p}\;\pi)\;(\mathsf{r}\pi':\mathsf{r}_\mathsf{lt'}\;\mathsf{p}\;\pi'),\;\pi=\pi'\\ & \cdots \end{split}
```

Step 7: Show that the relation is left total on welltyped objects and thus a function:

```
\label{eq:mutual def} \begin{split} & \text{mutual def } r\_\text{ex}_{A'}, \ r\_\text{ex}_{\mathsf{lt'}} \\ & \text{with } r\_\text{ex}_{A'} : \Pi \ (\texttt{x} : \texttt{A'}), \ \texttt{w}_{A'} \ \texttt{x} \\ & \to \Sigma' \ \alpha, \ \mathsf{r}_{A'} \times \alpha \\ & \cdots \\ & \text{with } r\_\text{ex}_{\mathsf{lt'}} : \Pi \ (\texttt{x} \ \texttt{y} : \texttt{A'}) \ (\texttt{p} : \mathsf{lt'}), \ \texttt{w}_{A'} \ \texttt{x} \to \texttt{w}_{A'} \ \texttt{y} \to \texttt{w}_{\mathsf{lt'}} \times \texttt{y} \ \texttt{p} \\ & \to \Sigma' \ \alpha \ \beta \ (\pi : \mathsf{M}.\mathsf{lt'} \ \alpha \ \beta), \ \mathsf{r}_{A'} \times \alpha \wedge \mathsf{r}_{\mathsf{A'}} \ \texttt{y} \ \beta \wedge \mathsf{r}_{\mathsf{lt'}} \ \texttt{p} \ \pi \\ & \cdots \\ \end{split}
```

```
Step 8: From this, extract this actual eliminator, e.g.:
parameter (M : Alg)
def A'.elim : A' \rightarrow M.A'
|\langle x, xw \rangle := (r_ex_A, xxw).1
def lt'.elim : \Pi {x y}, lt' x y \rightarrow M.lt' (A'.elim x) (A'.elim y) :=
theorem A'.mid.elim (x y : A') (p : lt' \times y) :
 A'.elim (S.mid \times y p) = M.mid (A'.elim \times) (A'.elim y) (It'.elim p) :=
```

Inductive-Inductive Types

Define inductively:

- ▶ A number of sorts A, B, ... where later sorts can depend on positively on earlier sorts (e. g. $A : \mathcal{U}, B : A \to \mathcal{U}$),
- inductively, by giving constructors, such that constructors can positively refer to constructors of any sort.

Other important examples

Syntax of a type theory (T.A., Ambrus Kaposi):

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{Con}: \mathcal{U}, \\ &\mathsf{Ty}: \mathsf{Con} \to \mathcal{U} \\ &\mathsf{Tm}: \prod_{\Gamma: \mathsf{Con}} \mathsf{Ty}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{U} \end{aligned}$$

Cauchy real numbers (cf. HoTT book):

$$\mathbb{R}: \mathcal{U},$$
 $-\sim_{-}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{U}$

Specifying IITs

- What is a correct specification of an inductive-inductive Type?
- Go with the approach seen in the talk "Constructing inductive-inductive types using a domain-specific type theory" by András Kovács
- Define type erasure and welltypeness predicates as transformations of contexts

Lean

- ► The theorem prover Lean features a meta language used to define tactics and other user defined commands.
- We started to implement the approach.
- ▶ Done so far: Automatic generation of the initial object itself and of the relation needed to construct the eliminator.
- ► The kernel API for inductive types is likely to improve in future versions of the prover.
- ► Goal: Full automation of the construction, outside of the kernel, but mostly hidden from the user.