

Bootstrap for Functional Regression

Javier Zapata

Final Project - PSTAT 227
Prof. Alex Petersen

June 4, 2019

- In this presentation we will discuss the proofs in:
Ferraty et al (2010) - On the Validity of the Bootstrap in Non-Parametric Functional Regression
- On a non-parametric regression model $Y = r(\mathcal{X}) + \epsilon$, we use bootstrap to build a pointwise confidence intervals for $r(\cdot)$.
- The paper gives three main results:
 - 1 A naive and wild bootstrap algorithms for a pointwise CI of $r(\cdot)$.
 - 2 Bootstrap consistency for both cases (**Theorem 1**)
 - 3 Functional spaces satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1 (**Proposition 1**)

Functional Regression (Ferraty et. al. 2007)

- Let \mathcal{E} functional space endowed with semi-metric d . General setting including space of continuous functions, L^p , Sobolev and Besov spaces.
- Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(\mathcal{X}_1, Y_1), \dots, (\mathcal{X}_n, Y_n)\}$ iid data, where \mathcal{X}_i are a sample of curves for which corresponding responses Y_i have been observed.
- We solve a **non-parametric regression model**:

$$Y = r(\mathcal{X}) + \epsilon$$

for $r(\cdot)$ smooth and $\epsilon|\mathcal{X} \sim (0, \sigma_\epsilon^2(\chi))$

- The estimator of the regression operator r at $\chi \in \mathcal{E}$ is given by:

$$\hat{r}_h(\chi) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i K(h^{-1}d(\mathcal{X}_i, \chi))}{\sum_{i=1}^n K(h^{-1}d(\mathcal{X}_i, \chi))}$$

for some $K(\cdot)$ smooth and bandwidth h s.t. $h \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

Bootstrap Algorithm

Naive bootstrap: Assume homoscedastic model $\sigma_\epsilon^2(\mathcal{X}) \equiv \sigma_\epsilon^2$.

- 1 Let $\hat{\epsilon}_{i,b} = Y_i - \hat{r}_b(\chi_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ where b is a second smoothing parameter.
- 2 Draw n iid r.v.s $\varepsilon_1^{\text{boot}}, \dots, \varepsilon_n^{\text{boot}}$ from the empirical cumulative distribution of $(\hat{\epsilon}_{1,b} - \bar{\hat{\epsilon}}_b, \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_{n,b} - \bar{\hat{\epsilon}}_b)$, where $\bar{\hat{\epsilon}}_b = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_{i,b}$
- 3 Define $Y_i^{\text{boot}} = \hat{r}_b(\chi_i) + \varepsilon_i^{\text{boot}}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and let $\mathcal{S}^{\text{boot}} = (\mathcal{X}_i, Y_i^{\text{boot}})_{i=1}^n$
- 4 Define $\hat{r}_{hb}^{\text{boot}}(\chi) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^{\text{boot}} K(h^{-1}d(\mathcal{X}_i, \chi))}{\sum_{i=1}^n K(h^{-1}d(\mathcal{X}_i, \chi))}$

Wild bootstrap: Only step 2 changes

- 2 $\varepsilon_i^{\text{boot}} = \hat{\epsilon}_{i,b} V_i$ where V_1, \dots, V_n are $\stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, 1)$ r.v.s that are independent of the data $(\mathcal{X}_i, Y_i)_{i=1}^n$.

Bootstrap Confidence Intervals

For a fixed sample \mathcal{S} and confidence level α :

- 1 Get bootstrapped estimators $\hat{r}_{hb}^{\text{boot } 1}(\chi), \hat{r}_{hb}^{\text{boot } 2}(\chi), \dots$
- 2 Compute bootstrapped errors
 $\hat{r}_{hb}^{\text{boot } 1}(\chi) - \hat{r}_b(\chi), \hat{r}_{hb}^{\text{boot } 2}(\chi) - \hat{r}_b(\chi), \dots$
- 3 Obtain the α -quantile t_α^* of the bootstrapped errors, as an estimator of $t_\alpha(\chi)$ the α -quantile of the distribution of the true error (i.e. $P(\hat{r}_h(\chi) - r(\chi) < t_\alpha(\chi)) = \alpha$)
- 4 Compute $(1 - 2\alpha)$ -confidence interval from the distribution of the bootstrapped errors:

$$[\hat{r}_h(\chi) + t_\alpha^*(\chi), \hat{r}_h(\chi) + t_{1-\alpha}^*(\chi)]$$

Key Elements

- ① **Small ball probabilities:** Classic assumptions in multivariate nonparametric setting assume that the density of the multivariate predictor is strictly positive. The functional notion of it is:

For a given function χ : $\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad P(\mathcal{X} \in B(\chi, \epsilon)) > 0$

One way to tackle this is to change the notion of closeness from a metric to a **semi-metric** d satisfying:

- $\forall \chi \in \mathcal{E}, d(\chi, \chi) = 0$
- $\forall (\chi, f, g) \in \mathcal{E}, d(\chi, f) \leq d(\chi, g) + d(g, f)$

- ② **Oversmoothing:** Bootstrap bandwidth b has to be "asymptotically greater" than h .

Notation

- Terms related to the small ball probability:

$$B(\chi, t) = \{\chi_1 \in \mathcal{E} : d(\chi_1, \chi) \leq t\} \quad (\text{small ball})$$

$$F_\chi(t) = P(d(\chi, \chi) \leq t) = P(\chi \in B(\chi, t)) \quad (\text{small ball probability})$$

$$\varphi_\chi(s) = E[\{r(\chi) - r(\chi)\} | d(\chi, \chi) = s]$$

$$\tau_{h\chi}(s) = F_\chi(hs)/F_\chi(h) = P(d(\chi, \chi) \leq hs | d(\chi, \chi) \leq h) \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq 1$$

$$\tau_{0\chi}(s) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \tau_{h\chi}(s)$$

- Terms used for asymptotic convergence:

$$M_{0\chi} = K(1) - \int_0^1 (sK(s))' \tau_{0\chi}(s) ds$$

$$M_{1\chi} = K(1) - \int_0^1 K'(s) \tau_{0\chi}(s) ds$$

$$M_{2\chi} = K^2(1) - \int_0^1 (K^2)'(s) \tau_{0\chi}(s) ds$$

- Other terms

$$\hat{g}_{hb}^{\text{boot}}(\chi) = (nF_\chi(h))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^{\text{boot}} K(h^{-1}d(\chi_i, \chi))$$

$$\hat{f}_h(\chi) = (nF_\chi(h))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n K(h^{-1}d(\chi_i, \chi))$$

$$\hat{r}_{hb}^{\text{boot}}(\chi) = \hat{g}_{hb}^{\text{boot}}(\chi) / \hat{f}_h(\chi)$$

Assumptions

Regularity conditions: They relate to the smoothness and finiteness of $r, \sigma_{\mathcal{E}}^2, \varphi_{\chi}, F_{\chi}$ and $\tau_{0_{\chi}}$.

- (C1) Functions $r(\cdot), \sigma_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\cdot)$ and $E(|Y||\chi = \cdot)$ are cntns in a neighborhood of χ :

$$\sup_{d(\chi_1, \chi) < \varepsilon} E(|Y|^m | \chi = \chi_1) < \infty \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } m \geq 1$$

- (C2) For all (χ_1, s) in a neighborhood of $(\chi, 0)$:
 $\varphi_{\chi_1}(0) = 0, \varphi'_{\chi_1}(s)$ exists, $\varphi'_{\chi_1}(0) \neq 0$, and
 $\varphi'_{\chi_1}(s)$ is uniformly Lipschitz continuous of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$
in (χ_1, s)
- (C3) For all $\chi_1 \in \mathcal{E}, F_{\chi_1}(0) = 0$ and $F_{\chi_1}(t)/F_{\chi}(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous of order α in χ_1 , uniformly in t in a neighborhood of 0.
- (C4) For all $\chi_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ and all $0 \leq s \leq 1$ $\tau_{0_{\chi_1}}(s)$ exists and:

$$\sup_{\chi_1 \in \mathcal{E}, 0 \leq s \leq 1} |\tau_{h_{\chi_1}}(s) - \tau_{0_{\chi_1}}(s)| = o(1)$$

Assumptions

Estimator's conditions: Conditions on the kernel K as well as on the asymp. relationship between h and b . In short, b has to be asymp. larger than h (oversmoothing)

- (C5) K is supported in $[0, 1]$, K has a continuous derivative on $[0, 1)$, $K'(s) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq s < 1$ and $K(1) > 0$.
- (C6) $h, b \rightarrow 0, h/b \rightarrow 0, nF_{\chi}(h) \rightarrow \infty, h(nF_{\chi}(h))^{1/2} = O(1), b^{1+\alpha}(nF_{\chi}(h))^{1/2} = o(1), bh^{\alpha-1} = O(1)$
 $\frac{F_{\chi}(b+h)}{F_{\chi}(b)} \rightarrow 1$ and $\frac{F_{\chi}(h)}{F_{\chi}(b)} \log n = o(1)$

Asymptotics of \hat{r} (Ferraty et al 2007)

Assume (C1)-(C6) hold and let $\hat{F}(h) = \frac{\#\{i: d(\mathcal{X}_i, \chi) \leq h\}}{n}$. Then:

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{r}_h(\chi)) - r_h(\chi) = \underbrace{\varphi'(0) \frac{M_{0\chi}}{M_{1\chi}} h}_{B_n} + O((nF_\chi(h))^{-1}) + o(h)$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{r}_h(\chi)) = \frac{1}{nF_\chi(h)} \frac{M_{2\chi}}{M_{1\chi}^2} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 + o\left(\frac{1}{nF_\chi(h)}\right)$$

From (C6): $h(nF_\chi(h))^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$ allows to cancel the bias B_n hence:

$$(n\hat{F}_\chi(h))^{1/2} (\hat{r}_h(\chi) - r_h(\chi)) \frac{M_{1\chi}}{\sqrt{M_{2\chi} \sigma_\varepsilon^2}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(\hat{r}_h(\chi)) - r_h(\chi)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{r}_h(\chi))}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Assumptions

Technical condition: Used to create an upper bound in the proof of Lemma 6.

- (C7) For each n , $\exists r_n \geq 1, \ell_n > 0$ and curves $t_{1n}, \dots, t_{r_n n}$ s.t.

$$B(\chi, h) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{r_n} B(t_{kn}, \ell_n)$$

$$\text{with } r_n = O(n^{b/h}) \text{ and } \ell_n = o(b(nF_\chi(h))^{-1/2})$$

In the proof of Lemma 6, let $\hat{g}_b(\chi) = (nF_\chi(b))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i K(b^{-1}d(\chi_i, \chi))$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{d(\chi_1, \chi) \leq h} |\hat{g}_b(\chi_1) - \hat{g}_b(\chi) - E[\hat{g}_b(\chi_1)] + E[\hat{g}_b(\chi)]| \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq r_n} |\hat{g}_b(t_{kn}) - \hat{g}_b(\chi) - E[\hat{g}_b(t_{kn})] + E[\hat{g}_b(\chi)]| \\ & + \max_{1 \leq k \leq r_n} \sup_{\chi_1 \in B(t_{kn}, \ell_n)} |\hat{g}_b(t_{kn}) - \hat{g}_b(\chi_1) - E[\hat{g}_b(t_{kn})] + E[\hat{g}_b(\chi_1)]| \\ & = \dots = o((nF_\chi(h))^{-1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

Main Result

Theorem 1

Assume (C1)-(C7). Then, for the wild bootstrap procedure we have:

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P^{\mathcal{S}} \left(\sqrt{nF_{\chi}(h)} \left\{ \hat{r}_{hb}^{\text{boot}}(\chi) - \hat{r}_b(\chi) \right\} \leq y \right) - P \left(\sqrt{nF_{\chi}(h)} \left\{ \hat{r}_h(\chi) - r(\chi) \right\} \leq y \right) \right| \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$$

where $P^{\mathcal{S}}$ denote probability, conditionally on the sample \mathcal{S} (i.e. $\chi_i, Y_i, i = 1, \dots, n$). In addition, if $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2(\chi) = \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$, then the same result holds for the naive bootstrap.

- The most important element in this proof is Lemma 2. Other lemmas show asymptotic convergence to constant terms or small probability upper bounds.

Lemma 2

Assume (C1)-(C6) hold. Then:

$$\frac{\hat{r}_h(\chi) - E[\hat{r}_h(\chi)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[\hat{r}_h(\chi)]}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\hat{r}_{hb}^{\text{boot}}(\chi) - E^{\mathcal{S}}[\hat{r}_{hb}^{\text{boot}}(\chi)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}^{\mathcal{S}}[\hat{r}_{hb}^{\text{boot}}(\chi)]}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

a.s., conditionally on the sample \mathcal{S} .

Which spaces satisfy (C7)?

Two spaces (\mathcal{E}, d) for which condition (C7) holds.

Proposition 1

1. Suppose that \mathcal{E} is a separable Hilbert space, with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and with orthonormal basis $\{e_j : j = 1, \dots, \infty\}$ and let $k > 0$ be a fixed integer. Let d_k be the semi-metric defined by:

$$d_k(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^k \langle \chi_1 - \chi_2, e_j \rangle^2}$$

for any $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathcal{E}$. Then, the space (\mathcal{E}, d_k) satisfies (C7) provided that $n^{b/h} b^k (\log n)^{-k} \rightarrow \infty$.

Which spaces satisfy (C7)?

Two spaces (\mathcal{E}, d) for which condition (C7) holds.

Proposition 1 (...continued...)

2. Suppose that \mathcal{E} is the space of all cntns functions $\chi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|\chi\|_\gamma \leq M$, where $-\infty < a < b < \infty, 0 < \gamma < \infty$

$$\|\chi\|_\gamma = \max_{k \leq \underline{\gamma}} \sup_t |\chi^{(k)}(t)| + \sup_{t_1, t_2} \frac{|\chi^{(\gamma)}(t_1) - \chi^{(\gamma)}(t_2)|}{\|t_1 - t_2\|_2^{\gamma - \underline{\gamma}}}$$

$\chi^{(k)}$ denotes the k th derivative of the function χ , $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the Euclidean norm and $\underline{\gamma} = \sup\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k < \gamma\}$. Then the space (\mathcal{E}, d_{L^p}) satisfies (C7) provided that $hb^{-(1+1/\gamma)}(\log n)^{-1+1/\gamma} = o(1)$ where d_{L^p} is the L^p -distance in \mathcal{E} and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

Proof of Proposition 1 uses Lemma 1 that involves covering number of balls as seen in (C7)

The End

References

- Ferraty et al (2007) - Nonparametric Regression of Functional Data, Inference and Practical Aspects
- Ferraty et al (2010)- On the Validity of the Bootstrap in Non-Parametric Functional Regression
- Ferraty, Vieu (2006) - Nonparametric Functional Data Analysis