

Undergraduate Programmes - Individual Post-Module Assessment Report**Module code, initials and date:** WM267, Cyber Risks in Organisations**Name of marker:** Dr. Gregory Epiphaniou

Awarded Mark (please note that this does not include late penalties, which will be applied to the mark in Tabula)	
	62

NOTE: The mark recorded is subject to review by the Board of Examiners.

Please note: while each PMA is marked against assessment criteria that are specifically designed to evaluate that assignment, the descriptors listed on the following webpage will help you to interpret the mark awarded to your work:

<https://warwick.ac.uk/services/aro/dar/quality/categories/examinations/markings/ug2017/>

Module Learning Outcomes

The tutor has indicated below if you have achieved the module learning outcomes. They can be "Met", "Partially Met" and "Not Met".

Learning Outcome	Status
Demonstrate the ability to design, implement and test information security processes in Information security management systems to increase their resilience and conformance with legal and regulatory functions	Met
Provide a systematic understanding of knowledge and awareness of information and systems and network security management processes	Not met
Assess, analyse and synthesise tools, techniques and approaches to quantify threat landscapes and provide mitigation plans in a variety of organisational contexts.	Not met
Systematically apply knowledge on how to design and implement information security policy programs fully aligned to legal and regulatory compliance frameworks	Met

Please note: some module learning outcomes may be addressed by other assessments or in-module work.

1

Individual Comments

The tutor has provided specific comments on your work below and they may have provided an

annotated script.

Strengths & Areas for Improvement
A satisfactory narrative with some understanding of the underpinning processes and methods analysed in both ISMS design and implementation. The nature and the structure of the answers are satisfactory although some omissions are presented. There is a good mixture of material in the narrative and associated Tables and satisfactory discussion with some flaws. Some more sources are needed in certain domains and links to be made in the existing NBB case. A fair approach in general with some evidence of understanding and critical thinking as part of the mitigation put forward and the WBS structure in terms of tasks identified.
How to improve
<p>Expand on the ISMS authorisation as part of the establishment phase in your narrative.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Further updates on the metrics with regards to the implementation stage are needed.• User aspects (e.g. training) could have been expanded in the implementation stage as part of the roadmap.• Enhance the business vocabulary used and emphasise more on the key recommendations made• Present the problem at hand in a better way and link it to the NBB (some references exist but rather limited) as part of the exec summary better link PDCA in the NBB case
Document structure, grammar, referencing
satisfactory structure and some evidence of good sources
Anything else