

Undergraduate Programmes - Individual Post-Module Assessment Report

Module code, initials and date: WM267, Cyber Risks in Organisations

Name of marker: Dr. Greg Epiphaniou

Awarded Mark (please note that this does not include late penalties, which will be applied to the mark in Tabula)	
	74

NOTE: The mark recorded is subject to review by the Board of Examiners.

Please note: while each PMA is marked against assessment criteria that are specifically designed to evaluate that assignment, the descriptors listed on the following webpage will help you to interpret the mark awarded to your work:

<https://warwick.ac.uk/services/aro/dar/quality/categories/examinations/markings/ug2017/>

Module Learning Outcomes

The tutor has indicated below if you have achieved the module learning outcomes. They can be "Met", "Partially Met" and "Not Met".

Learning Outcome	Status
Demonstrate the ability to design, implement and test information security processes in Information security management systems to increase their resilience and conformance with legal and regulatory functions	Not Met
Provide a systematic understanding of knowledge and awareness of information and systems and network security management processes	Met
Assess, analyse and synthesise tools, techniques and approaches to quantify threat landscapes and provide mitigation plans in a variety of organisational contexts	Met
Systematically apply knowledge on how to design and implement information security policy programs fully aligned to legal and regulatory compliance frameworks	Not Met

Please note: some module learning outcomes may be addressed by other assessments or in-module work.

1

Individual Comments

The tutor has provided specific comments on your work below and they may have provided an

annotated script.

Strengths & Areas for Improvement
Good discussion backed by supporting arguments and/or references, evidence of critical thinking. Good analysis of the DFDs, but should have provided a more detailed justification for the trust boundaries identified. Very good analysis of the threat model and mitigation (including a mitigation plan), though too much of the discussion is too generic.
How to improve
Could be more focused on addressing the issues on the specific system in greater depth and limit the general discussions in your work.
Document structure, grammar, referencing
Overall good structure and presentation. Good use of diagrams and tables, and references.
Anything else