## Computational Methods of Optimization First Midterm(23rd Sep, 2015)

Answer all questions
Answer all questions Time: 90 minutes

- 1. Let  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^4 + x_2^4 4x_1x_2$ . where  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - (a) Is f coercive? Give reasons

5 marks

(b) Find global minimum of f?

5 marks

2. Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex set. Consider the following problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in C} f(\mathbf{x})$$

where f is not neccessarily  $C^1$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}_1 \in C$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2 \in C$  arbe two distinct local minima of a convex function f. It is also given that  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  are interior points. Prove or Disprove: Global minimum of the function f is attained at  $\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2)$ .

- 3. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  function. Let  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k \mathbf{u}^{(k)}$  be a sequence of points where  $\mathbf{u}^{(k)}$  is a descent direction and  $\alpha_k$  is the step-size. Show that for steepest descent direction with exact line search,  $\mathbf{u}^{(k+1)\top}\mathbf{u}^{(k)} = 0$  holds
- 4. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  function with the following property.

For all 
$$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \leq \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$$

where L>0. Consider the following iterative algorithm for minimizing  $f(\mathbf{x})$ . Starting from  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , for  $k \geq 0$ 

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = min_{\mathbf{y}}g(\mathbf{y}) \ g(\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})^{\top}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}) + \frac{L}{2}\|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$$

(a) Express the iterates,  $x^{(k+1)}$ , in the form

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}^{(k)}$$

5 marks

(b) Show that there exists some  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$  such that

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})\| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{N}}$$

holds where C is a constant depending on the starting point. marks

5. Consider the following function

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -1\\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + 10, \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$$

(a) Find  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , the global minimum of f.

5 marks

(b) Consider applying steepest descent procedure with exact line search on this problem. Starting from  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = [0\ 0]^{\mathsf{T}}$  compute N, the number of steepest descent iterations, to satisfy

$$f(\mathbf{x}^{(N)}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \le 10^{-3}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^{(N)}$  is the Nth iterate.

5 marks

6. Suppose you are testing an implementation of the Rank 1 update Quasi Newton Algorithm to check if it has any bugs. Let  $G^{(k)}$  be the kth matrix iterate. It was found that after 5 iterations  $G^{(1)}$ ,  $G^{(3)}$  had all eigenvalues positive but  $G^{(2)}$ ,  $G^{(4)}$  had some negative eigenvalues. Could we infer from these observations that the implementation was buggy. Give reasons 5 marks

$$\frac{1}{2} - 1$$

$$\frac{1}{2} + 10$$

$$\frac{1}{2} + 10$$

$$\frac{1}{2} + 10$$

## Computational Methods of Optimization Second First Midterm(4th Nov, 2015)

Answer all questions
Answer all questions Time: 90 minutes

1. Let  $Q \succ 0$  be a symmetric matrix. Consider minimizing

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}Q\mathbf{x} - h^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}$$

using Quasi-newton procedure by imposing the condition  $G^{(k+1)}\gamma^{(k)} = \delta^{(k)}$  where  $G^{(k)}$ s are chosen from Broyden family, and  $\delta^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}, \gamma^{(k)} = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}), \ \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$  is the output of the kth iteration. Show that  $\delta^{(k)\top}Q\delta^{(i)} = 0, i \leq k$ .

- 2. Let A be a  $n \times n$  square symmetric matrix. It is further given that  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{j} u_i u_i^\top + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-j} u_{j+l} u_{j+l}^\top$  where  $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|u_i\| = 1, u_i^\top u_j = 0, i \neq j$ . Consider computing  $A\mathbf{x} = b$  where b is a vector.
  - (a) Describe a conjugate gradient algorithm for solving this problem. 5 marks
  - (b) How many iterations will your algorithm take. Justify your answer  $5~\mathrm{marks}$
- 3. Let  $A \succ 0$  be a  $n \times n$  symmetric real valued matrix. For a given  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider the following optimization problem

$$min_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}$$
 subject to  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}A\mathbf{x} \leq 1$ 

- (a) Find the Lagrange dual of the above problem
  (b) State and solve the dual optimization problem.
  (c) Show that strong duality holds.
  (d) Prove the inequality (y<sup>⊤</sup>x)² ≤ (x<sup>⊤</sup>Ax) (y<sup>⊤</sup>A⁻¹y)
  3 marks
- 4. Let X be a Random variable with mean m and taking values over positive integers between 1 and n. Let  $P(X = i) = p_i$  be the probability of the event X = i. We wish to choose  $p = [p_1, \ldots, p_n]^{\mathsf{T}}$  to maximize the entropy. The entropy maximization problem can be formulated as follows

$$min_p \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log p_i \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^n ip_i = m, p_i \ge 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

Compute p.

10 marks

7x (xTAX) = 2xTA.

5. Let  $f(\mathbf{x})$  be defined in Q. 1. Consider the problem

$$min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})$$
 subject to  $\mathbf{a}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \geq b_i$   $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, b_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ 

It is given that  $\mathbf{x}^0$  is a feasible point

- (a) Let  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})$ , subject to  $\mathbf{a}_i^{\top}(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^0) = 0$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . For any  $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}^0 + \alpha(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}^0)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , show that  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^0)^{\top}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \mathbf{x}^0) < 0$  whenever  $\mathbf{x}^0 \neq \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ .
- (b) Suppose  $\nabla f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j \mathbf{a}_j$  k < m and  $\mu_k < 0$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  be defined as follows

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathrm{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}), \ \text{ subject to } \mathbf{a}_i^\top (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}) = 0 \ i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$$

Show that  $\mathbf{a}_k^{\mathsf{T}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \geq b_k$  and  $f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) < f(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ . 5 marks

0=14+1(6m+)

## Computational Methods of Optimization Final Exam(1st Dec, 2015)

Answer all questions Time: 180 minutes

1. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{\top} Q \mathbf{x} + b^{\top} \mathbf{x} + c$$

 $Q \succ 0$ , real valued symmetric matrix with minimum and maximum eigenvalue b and B respectively

- (a) Let  $\mathbf{e}_i$  denote the *i*th column of  $n \times n$  Identity matrix. Doing coordinate descent along the *i*th coordinate would mean doing gradient descent with descent direction,  $\mathbf{d} = s\mathbf{e}_i$  where s is an appropriately chosen scalar. At any point  $\mathbf{x}$ , compute  $\mathbf{d}$ , i.e., compute  $\mathbf{s}$ , if we do coordinate-descent along *i*th coordinate?
- (b) Consider the following descent algorithm. At the start of the kth iteration, at the point  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ , do coordinate descent by the choosing the coordinate according to the rule,  $i^* = argmax_i |(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}))_i|$ . Let  $\mathbf{d}^{(k)}$  be the descent direction. Let  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha^{(k)} \mathbf{d}^{(k)}$  where  $\alpha^{(k)}$  is chosen by exact line search. Show that 5 mark

 $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)\top}\mathbf{d}^{(k)} \ge \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{d}^{(k)}\|^2 \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})\|^2$ 

- (c) Derive the rate of convergence for this algorithm. Compare it with Steepest Descent procedure 4 mark
- 2. Consider the following problem

$$min_{\mathbf{x} < \mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{x}^\top A \mathbf{x} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \le 1$$

where A is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix

- (a) Deduce the dual optimization problem for this formulation 4 marks
- (b) Show that strong duality holds and solve the problem 4 marks
- (c) From your answer derive  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  so that

$$\mu_1 \ge \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\top} A \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}} \ge \mu_2$$

2 marks

3. Consider the following

 $min_x f(\mathbf{x})$  subject to  $\|\mathbf{x}\| < 1$ 

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \frac{18}{5}x_1 + \frac{16}{5}x_2$$

(a) Find a KKT point, x<sup>0</sup>.

4 marks

(b) State the Dual problem.

4 marks

(c) The point  $\mathbf{x}^0$  is the global minimum of the original problem. Prove or disprove

4. Let c be a positive constant. Consider the problem

$$min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3} 2e^{x_1} + ||\mathbf{x}||^2 - 2x_1x_2 - 2(x_2 - x_1)$$

subject to  $x_1x_2x_3 + x_2x_3 \le 2$ ,  $x_1 + x_3 \ge c$ ,  $x_1 \ge -1$ ,  $x_2 \ge 0$ ,  $x_3 \ge 0$ 

We examine  $\mathbf{x}^0 = [0, 1, 1]^{\mathsf{T}}$  as a potential candidate solution.

- (a) Can you choose c so that  $x^0$  satisfies the first order necessary conditions. marks
- (b) Is there a choice of c such that  $x^0$  is also a global minimum. Justify
- 5. Let  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-negative. Consider the following optimization prob-

$$min_{\mathbf{x} \geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{x_i}$$
 subject to  $\mathbf{a}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \leq b$ 

(a) Find the global optimal point. Justify your answer

7 marks

(b) Using your answer prove that

3 marks

$$\|\mathbf{z}\|^2 \le min_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{z_i^2}{x_i}$$
 subject to  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1, \mathbf{x} \ge 0$ 

- 6. Let  $C = \{x | x \in \mathbb{R}^d, a_i \leq x_1 \leq b_i, i = 1, \ldots, d\}$ . Compute  $P_C(z)$ for  $z \notin C$ .
- 7. Consider minimizing

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1 x_2$$
 subject to  $x_1 + x_2 = 1$ 

by penalty function method.

- (a) For any penalty parameter c compute the eigenvalues of the Hessian? 3 marks
- (b) Comment on the convergence of the method
- (c) Consider the augmented Lagrangian method. Recall the function  $L_c(\mathbf{x}, \mu)$ . Compute  $\phi(\mu) = min_{\mathbf{x}}L_c(\mathbf{x}, \mu)$ . What is the Hessian of  $\phi$ . Can you contrast the convergence of Augmented Lagrangian and the Penalty function method. 5 marks