

# Regression Analysis and Forecasting · Chapter 3

## I. Introduction

Simple linear regression:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + E$

Multiple linear regression model:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + E.$

Other examples:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2 + E$

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \sin \frac{2\pi}{d} t + \beta_2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{d} t + E_t.$$

Cross-section data:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik} + E_i,$   
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

Time-series data:  $y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{t1} + \beta_2 x_{t2} + \dots + \beta_k x_{tk} + E_t,$   
 $t = 1, 2, \dots, T.$

## II. Least-Squares Estimation

Let  $E_i \sim D(0, \sigma^2)$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $n > k$

Least squares  $f^n$ :  $L = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i^2$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_{i1} - \beta_2 x_{i2} - \dots - \beta_k x_{ik})^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j x_{ij})^2$$

For a minima of  $\{\hat{\beta}_j\}_{j=0,1,\dots,k}$ , FOCs are:

$$i) \left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_0} \right|_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\beta}_j x_{ij}) = 0$$

$$ii) \left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_j} \right|_{\beta_0, \beta_{p+j}} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\beta}_j x_{ij}) x_{ij} = 0; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Least Square Normal Equation

Simplifying i) and ii), we have:

$$n\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1} + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i2} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1} + \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1}^2 + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i2} \cdot x_{i1} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik} x_{i1} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_{i1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik} + \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1} x_{ik} + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i2} x_{ik} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_{ik}$$

Matrix form:

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon, \text{ where}$$

$y = (n \times 1)$  vector of observations

$X = (n \times p)$  matrix of regressors (Model Matrix)

$\beta = (p \times 1)$  vector of regression coefficients

$\varepsilon = (n \times 1)$  vector of random errors

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 = \varepsilon' \varepsilon = (y - X\beta)' (y - X\beta)$$

$$= y'y - \beta' X'y - y' X\beta + \beta' X' X \beta$$

$$= y'y - 2\beta' X'y + \beta' X' X \beta.$$

WHY?  $\beta' X'y_{(1 \times 1)} = y' X \beta_{(1 \times 1)}$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} \bigg|_{\hat{\beta}} = -2X'y + 2X'X\hat{\beta} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (X'X)\hat{\beta} = X'y.$$



$$\therefore \hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'y$$

Fitted values:  $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}$ .

Residual:  $e = y - \hat{y} = y - X\hat{\beta}$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SS_E}{n-k-1} = \frac{(y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta})}{n-k-1} = \frac{SS_E}{n-p}$$

If the model is "correct", then  $\hat{\beta}$  is an unbiased estimator of the model parameters  $\beta$ :

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta \quad \text{Also,}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1}$$

Example: Trend Adjustment:

- 1) Fit a model with a linear time trend
- 2) Subtract the fitted values from original observations
- 3) Now, residuals are trend free.
- 4) Forecast residuals
- 5) Add residual forecast value to estimate of trend, so
- 6) Now, we have a forecast of ~~origins~~ the variable.

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \epsilon \quad , \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T.$$

Least squares normal equations for this model:

$$T\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \frac{T(T+1)}{2} = \sum_{t=1}^T y_t$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \frac{T(T+1)}{2} + \hat{\beta}_1 \frac{T(T+1)(2T+1)}{6} = \sum_{t=1}^T t \cdot y_t$$

$$\therefore \hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{2(2T+1)}{T(T-1)} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t - \frac{6}{T(T-1)} \sum_{t=1}^T t y_t, \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{12}{T(T^2-1)} \sum_{t=1}^T t y_t - \frac{6}{T(T-1)} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t$$

Procedure of previous page implies:

- let  $\hat{\beta}_0(T)$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1(T)$  denote the estimates of parameters computed at point in time  $T$ .
- Predicting the next observation  $\Rightarrow$ 
  - a) predict the point on the trend line in period  $T+1$   $\left\{ \hat{\beta}_0(T) + \hat{\beta}_1(T) * (T+1) \right.$
  - b) add a forecast of the next residual  $\left\{ \hat{\epsilon}_{T+1}(1) \right.$

$$\therefore \hat{y}_{T+1}(T) = \hat{\beta}_0(T) + \hat{\beta}_1(T) * (T+1) + \hat{\epsilon}_{T+1}(1)$$

↑  
should be zero if structureless.



### III. Statistical Inference

- Hypothesis testing
- Confidence Interval Estimation
- Assume  $E_i$  (or  $E_t$ )  $\sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$

#### 1. Test for significance of regression:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$$

$$H_1: \text{at least one } \beta_j \neq 0$$

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = y'y - n\bar{y}^2$$

$$SSR = \hat{\beta}' X'y - n\bar{y}^2$$

$$SSE = y'y - \hat{\beta}' X'y$$

$$F_0 = \frac{SSR/k}{SSE/(n-p)}$$

#### ANOVA Table

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	D.F.	Mean Square	Test Stat, $F_0$
Regression	SSR	k	$\frac{SSR}{k}$	$F_0 = \frac{SSR/k}{SSE/(n-p)}$
Residual / Error	SSE	n-p	$\frac{SSE}{n-p}$	
Total	SST	n-1		

Also see  $R^2$  and Adjusted  $-R^2$ .

## 2. Tests for significance of individual regression coefficients

$$\begin{array}{l|l} H_0 : \beta_j = 0 & t_0 = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{se(\hat{\beta}_j)} \\ H_1 : \beta_j \neq 0 & \end{array}$$

Reject  $H_0$  if  $|t_0| > t_{\alpha/2, n-p}$

To test the significance of a group of coefficients, we can "partition" the model, and do a partial F-test.

$$\text{Let } y = X\beta + E = X_1\beta_1 + X_2\beta_2 + E.$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Then,} \\ H_0 : \beta_1 = 0 \\ H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} SSR(\beta_1 | \beta_2) &= SSR(\beta) - SSR(\beta_2) \\ &= \hat{\beta}' X' y - \hat{\beta}_2' X_2' y \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore F_0 = \frac{SSR(\beta_1 | \beta_2) / r}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim F(r, n-p)$$

## 3. Confidence Intervals on individual regression coefficients

If  $E \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$ , then

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1})$$



Let  $C_{jj} = (f_j)^{\text{th}}$  element of  $(X'X)^{-1}$ .

Then, a  $(100-\alpha)\%$  C.I. for  $\beta_j$ ,  $j=0,1,\dots,k$

is:

$$\hat{\beta}_j - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-p} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 C_{jj}} \leq \beta_j \leq \hat{\beta}_j + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-p} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 C_{jj}}$$

or:

$$\hat{\beta}_j - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-p} \cdot \text{se}(\hat{\beta}_j) \leq \beta_j \leq \hat{\beta}_j + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-p} \cdot \text{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)$$

#### 4. Confidence Interval on the Mean Response

Let  $x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_{01} \\ \vdots \\ x_{0k} \end{bmatrix}$  be a particular combination of regressors.

Then, mean response at this point is:

$$E[y(x_0)] = \mu_{y|x_0} = x_0' \beta, \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{y}(x_0) = \hat{\mu}_{y|x_0} = x_0' \hat{\beta}, \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{y}(x_0)) = \hat{\sigma}^2 x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0$$

Standard error of fitted response,

$$\text{S.E.}(\hat{y}(x_0)) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{y}(x_0))} = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0}.$$

Then, a  $(100-\alpha)\%$  C.I. for mean response at  $x_0$  is:

$$\hat{y}(x_0) - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-p} \cdot \text{S.E.}(\hat{y}(x_0)) \leq \mu_{y|x_0} \leq \hat{y}(x_0) + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-p} \cdot \text{S.E.}(\hat{y}(x_0))$$

### 5. Prediction of new observations

Let  $x_0$  be a particular set of values of regressors.

Point estimate of future observation,  $y(x_0)$  is :

$$\hat{y}(x_0) = x_0' \hat{\beta}$$

Prediction error,  $e(x_0) = y(x_0) - \hat{y}(x_0)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(e(x_0)) &= \text{Var}(y(x_0) - \hat{y}(x_0)) \\ &\quad \text{assuming independence b/w } y \text{ \& } \hat{y} \\ &= \text{Var}(y(x_0)) + \text{Var}(\hat{y}(x_0)) \\ &= \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0 \\ &= \sigma^2 (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{S.E. of prediction error} = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0)} \sim t_{n-p}$$

$\therefore$  A  $(100-\alpha)$  prediction interval for  $y(x_0)$  is :

$$\hat{y}(x_0) - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-p} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0)} \leq y(x_0) \leq \hat{y}(x_0) + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-p} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0)}$$

CI : Interval estimate on MEAN RESPONSE of 'y'-dist at  $x_0$ .

PI : Interval estimate on a single future observation from the 'y'-dist at  $x_0$ .



## 6. Model Adequacy Checking :

A. Residual plots :  $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$  ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .  
Assumption is  $e_i \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$ .

Normal prob. plot : Normality of errors  
Residuals vs. Fitted : Constant Variance | Equality of variance.  
Residuals vs. Regressors  
Residuals vs. time order : Esp. for time-series data.

B. Standardized Residuals :  $d_i = \frac{e_i}{\hat{\sigma}}$  ;  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \text{MSE}$

If  $|d_i| > 3$ , examine the outliers

C. Studentized Residuals : For heteroskedastic variance,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y} &= X\hat{\beta} = X[(X'X)^{-1}X'y] \\ &= (X(X'X)^{-1}X') \cdot y \\ &= Hy\end{aligned}$$

↑  
Hat Matrix

$$\therefore e = y - \hat{y} = y - Hy = y(I - H)$$

$\text{Cov}(e) = \sigma^2(I - H)$  : Covariance matrix.

$$V(e_i) = \sigma^2(1 - h_{ii})$$

$\therefore$  Studentized Residuals :  $r_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2(1 - h_{ii})}}$  ;  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \text{MSE}$

- D. Prediction error sum of squares (PRESS) DIY
- E. R-Student : Externally studentized residual DIY
- F. Cook's Distance :  $D_i = \frac{r_i^2}{p} \cdot \frac{h_{ii}}{1-h_{ii}}$   
 If  $D_i > 1 \Rightarrow$  Observation has influence!

Note: Also See Variable Selection Methods and model selection criteria. We will use 'R' to study this.

## 7. Generalized least squares, GLS

Problem: Heteroskedasticity or non-constant variance.

Solution(s): Transforming variable

Suppose  $V = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix}$ , where

$\sigma_i^2$  = variance of  $i$ 'th observation,  $y_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ .

We can create a "Weighted Least Squares" (WLS)  $f^h$ , where the weight is inversely proportional to the variance of  $y_i \Rightarrow w_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}$



Then,  $L = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$ , and the

least squares normal equations are:

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i + \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i + \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i y_i$$

Solve these to get  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$ .

In general, if  $y = X\beta + \epsilon$ ,  $E(\epsilon) = 0$ ; and  $\text{Var}(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 V$  (not  $\sigma^2 I$ )  
 then, the least squares ~~normal equations~~ <sup>function is:</sup> are:

$$L = (y - X\beta)' V^{-1} (y - X\beta).$$

Least squares normal equations are:

$$(X' V^{-1} X) \hat{\beta}_{GLS} = X' V^{-1} y.$$

GLS estimator of  $\beta$  is:

$$\boxed{\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = (X' V^{-1} X)^{-1} X' V^{-1} y}, \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{GLS}) = \sigma^2 (X' V^{-1} X)^{-1}$$

Note:  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$  is BLUE of  $\beta$ .

Question: How do we find the "weights"?  
estimate

Steps in finding a "variance equation":

1. Fit an OLS:  $y = X\beta + \epsilon$  ; obtain  $\epsilon$  (as residuals).
2. Use Residual diagnostics to determine if  

$$\sigma_i^2 = f(y) \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_i^2 = f(x)$$

Resid. vs. fitted  
plot
Resid. vs. Regressor  
plot
3. Regress  $\sqrt{\epsilon}$  on either  $y$  or  $x_i$ , whichever is appropriate.  
 Obtain an equation for predicting the variance of each observation:  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2 = f(x)$  or  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2 = f(y)$ .
4. Use fitted values from the estimated variance  $f^*$  to obtain weights:  $w_i = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_i^2}$  ;  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ .
5. Use these weights as the diagonal elements of the  $V^{-1}$  matrix in the GLS procedure.
6. Iterate to reduce difference b/w  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$  &  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$ .

Example: See R code for example.

We can also use "Discounted" least squares, where recent observations are weighted more heavily than older observations.



## 8. Regression Models for general time-series data

If errors are correlated or not independent:

i)  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$  is still unbiased, but not BLUE, i.e.;  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$  does not have minimum variance. [SHOW ... exercise].

ii) If errors are positively autocorrelated, MSE is a (serious) underestimate of  $\sigma^2$ .

=> S.E. of  $\hat{\beta}$  are underestimated.

=> C.I.'s and P.I.'s are shorter than they should be.

=>  $H_0$  may be rejected more frequently than  $\alpha$  should be, and hypothesis tests are no longer reliable.

Attention

### Solutions to Autocorrelated errors

a) If autocorrelation due to omitted variable, then identifying and including the appropriate omitted variable should remove the autocorrelation.

b) If we "understand" the structure of the autocorrelation, then use GLS

c) Use models that specifically accounts for the autocorrelation

consider a simple linear regression with  
"first-order" autoregressive errors, i.e.:

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_t + \varepsilon_t, \text{ where}$$

$$\varepsilon_t = \phi \varepsilon_{t-1} + a_t, \text{ and } a_t \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma_a^2),$$

and  $|\phi| < 1$ .

First, note that:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_t &= \phi \varepsilon_{t-1} + a_t \\ &= \phi(\phi \varepsilon_{t-2} + a_{t-1}) + a_t \\ &= \phi(\phi(\phi \varepsilon_{t-3} + a_{t-2}) + a_{t-1}) + a_t \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon_t = \phi^2 \varepsilon_{t-2} + \phi a_{t-1} + a_t$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \phi^3 \varepsilon_{t-3} + \phi^2 a_{t-2} + \phi a_{t-1} + a_t \\ &= \phi^3 \varepsilon_{t-3} + \phi^2 a_{t-2} + \phi^1 a_{t-1} + \phi^0 a_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon_t = \sum_{j=0}^t \phi^j a_{t-j}$$

Also: [SHOW THESE 'DIY']

(a)  $E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$  ~~show~~

(b)  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma^2 = \sigma_a^2 \left( \frac{1}{1-\phi^2} \right)$ , and

(c)  $\text{Cov}(\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t+j}) = \phi^j \sigma_a^2 \left( \frac{1}{1-\phi^2} \right)$ .



Lag One autocorrelation,  $\rho_1$  is:

$$\rho_1 = \frac{\text{Cov}(E_t, E_{t+1})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(E_t)} \cdot \sqrt{\text{Var}(E_{t+1})}} = \phi \quad (\text{SHOW})$$

Lag 'k' autocorrelation,  $\rho_k$  is:

$$\text{ACF: } \rho_k = \frac{\text{Cov}(E_t, E_{t+k})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(E_t)} \sqrt{\text{Var}(E_{t+k})}} = \phi^k$$

### 1. Durbin-Watson Test for autocorrelation

Positive Autocorrelation:  $H_0: \phi = 0$   
 $H_1: \phi > 0 \leftarrow \text{correct}$

$$d = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^T (e_t - e_{t-1})^2}{\sum_{t=2}^T e_t^2} \approx 2 \cdot (1 - r_1),$$

where  $r_1$  = lag one autocorrelation b/w residuals.

Positive Autocorrelation

if  $d < d_L$ : reject  $H_0: \phi = 0$   
 if  $d > d_U$ : do not reject  $H_0$   
 if  $d_L < d < d_U$ : Inconclusive

Negative autocorrelation  
 $H_1: \phi < 0$

if  $(4-d) < d_L$ : reject  $H_0$   
 if  $(4-d) > d_U$ : do not reject  $H_0$   
 if  $d_L < 4-d < d_U$ : Inconclusive

## 2. Estimating parameters in a time series regression model.

Omission of one or more important predictor variables can cause 'artificial' time dependence.  
See Example 3.13

Cochrane-Orcutt Method: Simple linear regression with AR(1) errors

$$\text{Let } y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_t + E_t \quad ; \quad E_t = \phi E_{t-1} + a_t$$

$$a_t \sim \text{NID}(\phi \sigma_a^2); |\phi| < 1$$

Step 1: Transform  $y_t$ :  $y'_t = y_t - \phi y_{t-1}$

$$\Rightarrow y'_t = \underbrace{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_t + E_t}_{y_t} - \phi \underbrace{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{t-1} + E_{t-1})}_{y_{t-1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow y'_t = \underbrace{\beta_0(1-\phi)}_{\beta'_0} + \beta_1 \underbrace{(x_t - \phi x_{t-1})}_{x'_t} + \underbrace{(E_t - \phi E_{t-1})}_{a_t}$$

$$(*) \Rightarrow y'_t = \beta'_0 + \beta_1 x'_t + a_t$$

Step 2: Get  $e_t$ :  $y_t - \hat{y}_t$

Step 3: Estimate  $\phi$ :  $e_t = \hat{\phi} e_{t-1}$

$$\text{Recall: } \hat{\phi} = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^T e_t e_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=1}^T e_t^2} \quad \left( \text{Recall: } \frac{X'y}{X'X} \right)$$

Step 4: Calculate  $y'_t = y_t - \hat{\phi} y_{t-1}$

$$x'_t = x_t - \hat{\phi} x_{t-1}$$



step 5: Estimate  $(*)$  :  $\hat{y}'_t = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x'_t$

step 6: Apply Durbin - Watson Test on  $a_t = y'_t - \hat{y}'_t$ .  
if autocorrelation persists, REPEAT process!

See Example 3.14.

### Maximum Likelihood Approach

Let  $y_t = \mu + a_t$ ;  $a_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ ;  $\mu = \text{unknown constant}$ .

Probability density  $f^n$  of  $\{y_t\}_{t=1,2,\dots,T}$ :

$$f(y_t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y_t - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow f(y_t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a_t}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]$$

Joint probability density  $f^n$  of  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T\}$ :

$$l(y_t, \mu) = \prod_{t=1}^T f(y_t) = \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a_t}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]$$

$$\therefore l(y_t, \mu) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^T \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^2\right]$$

This is the likelihood  $f^n \uparrow$

Log-likelihood  $f^n$ :

$$\ln(y_t, \mu) = -\frac{T}{2} \ln(2\pi) - T \ln(\sigma) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^2.$$

To maximize the log-likelihood, minimize  $\sum_{t=1}^T a_t^2$ , i.e;

$$\text{Minimize } \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^2 = \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mu)^2$$

This is the same as the LS estimators!!

Now Consider  $y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_t + \varepsilon_t$  ;  $\varepsilon_t = \phi \varepsilon_{t-1} + a_t$  ;  
 $|\phi| < 1$  ;  $a_t \sim N(0, \sigma_a^2)$

Then,

$$y_t - \phi y_{t-1} = (1-\phi)\beta_0 + \beta_1(x_t - \phi x_{t-1}) + a_t$$

(as in Cochrane-Orcutt)

$$\Rightarrow y_t = \phi y_{t-1} + (1-\phi)\beta_0 + \beta_1(x_t - \phi x_{t-1}) + a_t.$$

The joint pdf of  $a_t$ 's is:

$$f(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_T) = \prod_{t=2}^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_a^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a_t}{\sigma_a}\right)^2\right]$$

$$= \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_a^2}} \right]^{T-1} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_a^2} \sum_{t=2}^T a_t^2\right]$$

Likelihood  $f^n$ :  $l(y_t, \phi, \beta_0, \beta_1) =$

$$\left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_a^2}} \right]^{T-1} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_a^2} \sum_{t=2}^T \left\{ y_t - (\phi y_{t-1} + (1-\phi)\beta_0 + \beta_1(x_t - \phi x_{t-1})) \right\}^2\right]$$



$$\begin{aligned} \text{log-likelihood : } \ln(y_t, \phi, \beta_0, \beta_1) = \\ - \frac{T-1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - (T-1) \ln(\sigma_a) \\ - \frac{1}{2\sigma_a^2} \sum_{t=2}^T \left[ \frac{y_t}{\sigma_a} - (\phi y_{t-1} + (1-\phi)\beta_0 + \beta_1(x_t - \phi x_{t-1})) \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

To maximize log-likelihood, minimize last term or RHS, which is just the SSE!

One-period ahead forecast:

A  $(100-\alpha)\%$  PI for lead-one forecast is:

$$\hat{y}_{T+1}(T) \pm z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_a, \text{ where}$$

$$\hat{y}_{T+1}(T) = \hat{\phi} y_T + (1-\hat{\phi}) \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 (x_{T+1} - \hat{\phi} x_T)$$

Two-periods ahead forecast:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_{T+2} &= \hat{\phi} \hat{y}_{T+1} + (1-\hat{\phi}) \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 (x_{T+2} - \hat{\phi} x_{T+1}) \\ &= \hat{\phi} [\hat{\phi} y_T + (1-\hat{\phi}) \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 (x_{T+1} - \hat{\phi} x_T)] \\ &\quad + (1-\hat{\phi}) \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 (x_{T+2} - \hat{\phi} x_{T+1}). \end{aligned}$$

This is assuming,  $y_T$  and  $x_T$  are known;

$x_{T+1}$  and  $x_{T+2}$  are known;

but  $a_{T+1}$  and  $a_{T+2}$  are not known yet.  
(realized)

Two-step-ahead forecast error:

$$y_{T+2} - \hat{y}_{T+2}(T) = a_{T+2} + \hat{\phi} a_{T+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(a_{T+2} + \hat{\phi} a_{T+1}) &= \hat{\sigma}_a^2 + \hat{\phi}^2 \hat{\sigma}_a^2 \\ &= (1 + \hat{\phi}^2) \hat{\sigma}_a^2 \end{aligned}$$

A  $(100-\alpha)\%$  P.I. for two-step-ahead forecast is:

$$\hat{y}_{T+2}(T) \pm z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot (1 + \hat{\phi}^2) \hat{\sigma}_a$$

$\tau$ -periods-ahead forecasts:

$$\hat{y}_{T+\tau}(T) = \hat{\phi} \hat{y}_{T+\tau-1}(T) + (1-\hat{\phi}) \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 (x_{T+\tau} - \hat{\phi} x_{T+\tau-1})$$

$\tau$ -step-ahead forecast error:

$$y_{T+\tau} - \hat{y}_{T+\tau}(T) = a_{T+\tau} + \hat{\phi} a_{T+\tau-1} + \dots + \hat{\phi}^{\tau-1} a_{T+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(y_{T+\tau} - \hat{y}_{T+\tau}(T)) &= (1 + \hat{\phi}^2 + \hat{\phi}^4 + \dots + \hat{\phi}^{2(\tau-1)}) \sigma_a^2 \\ &= \sigma_a^2 (1 - \hat{\phi}^{2\tau}) / (1 - \hat{\phi}^2) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  A  $(100-\alpha)\%$  P.I. for the lead- $\tau$  forecast is:

$$\hat{y}_{T+\tau}(T) \pm z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \left( \frac{1 - \hat{\phi}^{2\tau}}{1 - \hat{\phi}^2} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_a$$

What if  $x_{T+\tau}$  is not known?

Use an unbiased forecast of  $x_{T+1}$ :  $\hat{x}_{T+1}$ , and use for forecast of  $y_{T+1}(T)$ , and to calculate variance of the forecast error:  $\frac{1 - \hat{\phi}^{2\tau}}{1 + \hat{\phi}^2} \sigma_a^2 + \hat{\beta}_1^2 \sigma_x^2(\tau)$  ! See equations 3.114 - 3.118.