

Cite as: G. Hallinan *et al.*, *Science* 10.1126/science.aap9855 (2017).

A radio counterpart to a neutron star merger

G. Hallinan,^{1*}† A. Corsi,^{2†} K. P. Mooley,³ K. Hotokezaka,^{4,5} E. Nakar,⁶ M. M. Kasliwal,¹ D. L. Kaplan,⁷ D. A. Frail,⁸ S. T. Myers,⁸ T. Murphy,^{9,10} K. De,¹ D. Dobie,^{9,10,11} J. R. Allison,^{9,12} K. W. Bannister,¹¹ V. Bhalerao,¹³ P. Chandra,^{14‡} T. E. Clarke,¹⁵ S. Giacintucci,¹⁵ A. Y. Q. Ho,¹ A. Horesh,¹⁶ N. E. Kassim,¹⁵ S. R. Kulkarni,¹ E. Lenc,^{9,10} F. J. Lockman,¹⁷ C. Lynch,^{4,10} D. Nichols,¹⁸ S. Nissanke,¹⁸ N. Palliyaguru,² W. M. Peters,⁹ T. Piran,¹² J. Rana,¹⁹ E. M. Sadler,^{9,10} L. P. Singer²⁰

¹Division of Physics, Mathematics and Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA. ²Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA. ³Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK. ⁴Center for Computational Astrophysics, Flatiron Institute, 162 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10010, USA. ⁵Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA. ⁶Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel. ⁷Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA. ⁸National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Socorro, NM 87801, USA. ⁹Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia.

¹⁰Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics (CAASTRO). ¹¹Australia Telescope National Facility, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Astronomy and Space Science, Epping, NSW 1710, Australia. ¹²Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics in 3 Dimensions (ASTRO 3D). ¹³Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai 400076, India. ¹⁴National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Pune University Campus, Ganeshkhind Pune 411007, India. ¹⁵Remote Sensing Division, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375, USA.

¹⁶Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel. ¹⁷Green Bank Observatory, Green Bank, WV 24944, USA. ¹⁸Institute of Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, Netherlands. ¹⁹Inter University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pune University Campus, Pune, Maharashtra 411007, India. ²⁰Astroparticle Physics Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA.

*Corresponding author. Email: gh@astro.caltech.edu

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡Present address: Department of Astronomy, Stockholm University, Alba Nova, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden.

Gravitational waves have been detected from a binary neutron star merger event, GW170817. The detection of electromagnetic radiation from the same source has shown that the merger occurred in the outskirts of the galaxy NGC 4993, at a distance of 40 megaparsecs from Earth. We report the detection of a counterpart radio source that appears 16 days after the event, allowing us to diagnose the energetics and environment of the merger. The observed radio emission can be explained by either a collimated ultra-relativistic jet viewed off-axis, or a cocoon of mildly relativistic ejecta. Within 100 days of the merger, the radio light curves will distinguish between these models and very long baseline interferometry will have the capability to directly measure the angular velocity and geometry of the debris.

On 2017 August 17, the Advanced Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory (Advanced LIGO) detected a gravitational wave signal, GW170817, which was rapidly identified to be associated with the inspiral and coalescence of two neutron stars (1). A burst of gamma-rays, GRB170817A, was detected approximately two seconds after the gravitational wave detection by the Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) of the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope (2–4). With the addition of data from the Advanced Virgo interferometer, the source of gravitational waves was localized to an area of 28 deg^2 (90% confidence region) and a distance of 40 ± 8 megaparsecs (Mpc) (1). There were 49 catalogued galaxies within this volume, allowing astronomers to rapidly search for electromagnetic counterparts (5). An optical counterpart, designated SSS17a, was detected within ~11 hours of the event by astronomers using the Swope telescope, localizing the merger to the S0-type galaxy NGC 4993 at a distance of 40 Mpc (6, 7). It was independently confirmed soon after (8, 9). Following the optical detections,

targeted observing campaigns were initiated across the electromagnetic spectrum (10). Subsequent optical and infrared spectroscopic observations firmly established this optical counterpart to be associated with the neutron star merger GW170817 (5).

We report a coordinated effort to use the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA), the VLA Low Band Ionosphere and Transient Experiment (VLITE), the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) and the Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT) to constrain the early time radio properties of the neutron star merger. Companion papers report the ultraviolet and X-ray properties (11) and interpret the pan-chromatic behavior of the transient (5). The multi-wavelength counterpart to GW170817 is hereafter referred to as EM170817.

The search for a radio counterpart to GW170817

We began radio observations of NGC 4993 on August 17 2017 at 01:46 UTC, within ~13 hours of the detection of the

gravitational event. These initial observations were part of a survey with the ATCA, targeting galaxies in the gravitational wave localization region as identified by the Census of the Local Universe (CLU) catalog (5). A similar survey of these CLU cataloged galaxies also commenced with the VLA. After confirmation of a compelling optical counterpart to the merger, observations focused on the location of EM170817, with coordination between the VLA, ATCA, GMRT and VLITE enabling monitoring on a close to daily basis at frequencies spanning 0.3 – 10 GHz (12). Only upper limits on the radio flux of EM170817 were possible until a counterpart appeared in VLA data from observations on September 2 and September 3 at a frequency of 3 GHz, and in independent observations on September 3 at a frequency of 6 GHz (Fig. 1) (13, 14). The ATCA also detected the source on September 5 in the 5.5 – 9 GHz band (15). The entire radio data set is tabulated in table S1. In observations at 3 GHz with the VLA, the source shows evidence of an increase in flux density over a timescale of 2 weeks, varying from $15.1 \pm 3.9 \mu\text{Jy}$ on 2017 September 03 to $34 \pm 3.6 \mu\text{Jy}$ ($1 \text{ Jy} = 10^{-23} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$) on 2017 September 17 (Figs. 2 and 3).

Figure 1 shows a comparison between a near-infrared image of EM170817 and deep radio images of the same field from the VLA at a frequency of 6 GHz. The position of the radio source is Right Ascension (RA) = $13h09m48.061s \pm 0.005s$ and Declination (Dec) = $-23d22m53.35s \pm 0.14s$ (J2000 equinox), using data at 3 GHz from September 8 and 10. The optical position derived from Hubble Space Telescope observations is RA = $13h09m48.071s \pm 0.004s$, Dec = $-23d22m53.37s \pm 0.05s$ (16). Within the uncertainties, the two positions are mutually consistent. We can further calculate the chance alignment of the optical counterpart to a background radio source. In these same radio data (Sept 8 and 10 combined), the radio source has reached a flux density of $25 \pm 2.2 \mu\text{Jy}$. There are 2,700 sources per square degree with flux density greater or equal to this value at 3 GHz (17), resulting in a likelihood of chance alignment of 2×10^{-5} for the positional errors shown above. The likelihood of chance alignment becomes even smaller when considering that the source has been observed to double in flux density over 2 weeks and <4% of sources at 3 GHz vary by >30% (18). We therefore confirm the transient to be the radio counterpart to EM170817.

Models of binary neutron star coalescence predict the emergence of an associated radio flare, due to the tidal ejection of 0.01–0.05 solar masses (M_\odot) of energetic material at sub-relativistic velocities (a few tenths of the speed of light) (19–21). This ejecta material forms a blast wave as it plows through the ambient interstellar medium (ISM) surrounding the merger, producing synchrotron radiation peaking at radio frequencies, lasting months to years after the merger. The observed timescale and luminosity of the radio source is

sensitive to the mass and velocity of the blast wave ejecta and the density of the ISM. Therefore, the radio emission is diagnostic of the energetics of the blast wave ejecta as well as the environment of the merger.

Binary neutron star mergers have long been proposed as a likely progenitor for short (< 2 s) hard gamma-ray bursts (sGRBs) (22) and the generation of an ultra-relativistic jet is required to account for the properties of both the GRBs and their afterglows (23). As in the case of sub-relativistic ejecta, the jet interacting with the circummerger medium will produce radio emission. However, in this case, the resulting radio light curve depends critically on the angle between the observer line of sight and the jet (24).

We compare our early time radio observations with numerical models (12) for the expected radio light curves due to synchrotron emission from sub-relativistic ejecta and an ultra-relativistic jet, as well as the interaction between these components. Where possible, we focus on a portion of the parameter space that is consistent with observations at optical, infrared, UV and X-ray [see (5), their figure 5, for a schematic illustration of the model].

The sub-relativistic ejecta

The radio flare produced by the sub-relativistic ejecta that generates the optical and infrared emission is expected to peak on a timescale of months to years (19–21). With the mass and velocity range inferred from the optical and infrared, its energy must be high ($>10^{51} \text{ erg}$) (5); however, with an expected velocity of $\sim 0.2c$ the bulk of this ejecta cannot be the source of the radio signal that has been observed to rise within weeks of the merger. Instead, this component is expected to dominate the radio emission at late time (years). Nevertheless, this ejecta is expected to have a distribution of velocities with a low-mass fast tail that can extend up to mildly relativistic velocities, which may be the source of the observed emission (Fig. 3). When considering the sub-relativistic ejecta, we take into account the non-detection of neutral hydrogen from the host galaxy (5σ mass limit of $<1 \times 10^8 M_\odot$) in our recent observations with the Green Bank Telescope [GBT (12)], which suggests an ISM density, $n < 0.04 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (supplementary online text). Assuming this density as a constraining limit, the radio then requires such a mildly relativistic outflow to have a velocity $v > 0.7c$ ($\Gamma > 1.5$) and to carry at least 10^{49} erg in isotropic equivalent energy (Fig. 3). This is unlikely, but cannot be ruled out.

A classical short hard gamma ray burst

GW170817 provides an unambiguous detection of a binary neutron star merger and therefore offers the opportunity to directly investigate the presence of an ultra-relativistic jet. The isotropic equivalent luminosity of the

burst of gamma-rays detected by Fermi GBM is 4×10^{46} erg (2–4). This is orders of magnitude lower than the peak luminosity of the classical sGRB population ($10^{49} - 10^{52}$ erg; median = 2×10^{51} erg) (23). Moreover, this gamma-ray emission is not a very low luminosity analog of the classical sGRB population (5).

Therefore, the observed gamma-rays cannot securely confirm the long-standing hypothesis that NS mergers are the progenitors of cosmological sGRBs. Within the framework of the classical short hard GRB model, there are two possibilities: i) the jet axis was slightly offset from our line-of-sight, but was close enough for the observed gamma-rays to be a component of the regular sGRB prompt emission (hereafter called the slightly off-axis model); or ii) our line-of-sight was at a large angle from the jet axis and the observed gamma-rays were generated by a different mechanism (hereafter called the widely off-axis model). Below we explore the current radio constraints and predict the future evolution under each of these scenarios.

In the slightly off-axis model, if the gamma-rays are produced by a slightly off-axis jet, then the edge of the jet cannot be more than about 0.1 rad from our line of sight (5). The jet drives a relativistic blast wave into the ISM, which subsequently decelerates quickly. The Lorentz factor Γ drops to ~ 10 within about a day, after which the beam of its emission expands to include our line of sight, thereby producing bright radio emission in our direction. The blast wave Lorentz factor at a given time depends very weakly on the jet isotropic equivalent energy E_{iso} and the external number density n ; therefore this prediction holds for a wide range of jet and ISM parameters (25).

Figure 2 shows several predicted light curves (12) for an ultra-relativistic jet with $E_{\text{iso}} = 10^{50}$ erg that misses our line of sight by 0.1 rad. A density of 10^{-3} cm^{-3} , which is on the low end of the distribution of densities inferred from sGRB afterglows (26), produces a signal that is brighter by more than an order of magnitude than the observed radio emission from EM170817. A very low density of $6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ is required to reproduce the observed light curve, which is more consistent with the intergalactic medium than the environs of a S0-type galaxy (27). Therefore, our radio observations strongly disfavor a model in which the observed gamma-rays were produced by a slightly off-axis luminous ultra-relativistic jet.

Under the widely off-axis model, the radio data are consistent with the model for reasonable ranges of jet energy and ISM density (Fig. 3). The radio emission from an off-axis jet undergoes a rapid rise before a broad peak, followed by a slow decline. The observed radio light curve of EM170817 is inconsistent with the sharp rise phase of an off-axis jet. Instead, the light curve implies that the observations were made around the onset of the broad peak in

emission. Thus, a prediction of this model is that the radio light curve will remain at a similar brightness for the next several weeks and then start to fade. However, this model does not account for the early-time gamma-ray emission.

A mildly relativistic cocoon

The high luminosity of the optical and IR counterpart to EM170817 requires a high mass of ejecta at sub-relativistic velocities at the time of the merger (5). The observed delay between the gravitational wave signal of the neutron star merger and the Fermi-detected gamma rays indicates an additional sustained source of energy post-merger. This source of energy is likely manifested as a jet. As it expands, the jet transfers a large fraction of its energy into the surrounding ejecta, forming a hot cocoon that expands over a wide angle while traveling at mildly relativistic velocities (28). The formation and possible eventual break-out of such a cocoon can account for many of the properties of the observed electromagnetic signatures seen after the event, from infrared to gamma-rays (5). As this cocoon propagates into the ISM, it will also produce a radio signal.

The energy coupled to the mildly relativistic ejecta depends mostly on the fate of the jet, as well as its total energy. If the jet is narrow (opening angle $\sim 10^\circ$), it can drill through the ejecta and break out with an isotropic equivalent energy of $\sim 10^{50} - 10^{51}$ erg, leaving a fraction of its total energy ($10^{48} - 10^{49}$ erg) in the mildly relativistic cocoon. Alternatively, if the jet is wide it requires about 10^{51} erg in order to propagate a substantial distance within the ejecta before it is fully choked, depositing all of its energy in the cocoon. A non-negligible fraction of this energy, $> 10^{50}$ erg, is then coupled to mildly relativistic ejecta with $\Gamma = 2 - 3$ (and possibly even higher). Thus an energetic, mildly relativistic outflow indicates a choked jet, while a low-energy, mildly relativistic outflow indicates a narrow jet that breaks out of the ejecta.

Figure 3 shows the predicted radio emission for both scenarios (12), assuming $\Gamma = 2$, showing that they are consistent with the observed radio emission if the ISM density is about $\sim 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ($\Gamma = 3$ requires a density of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-3}$). Both curves are similar during the rising phase, as the radio emission is generated by the forward shock propagating into the ISM with luminosity that depends only on velocity (for a given density). This velocity is constant during the rising phase. During this phase, the radio flux at a given band rises as time t^3 over a wide range of parameters, regardless of the shock velocity (whether Newtonian or relativistic), cocoon energy or ISM density. The emission peaks when the entire energy of the cocoon is deposited in the ISM and the shock starts to decelerate. Thus, while an energetic cocoon may become much brighter several months after a merger, a low-energy cocoon persists for much less

time. The current data marginally favor the low-energy cocoon model (jet break-out) over the energetic cocoon (choked jet). We predict that the radio light curves will definitively discriminate between an energetic cocoon, a low-energy cocoon and an off-axis jet model within 100 days of the merger.

Consistency with the x-ray observations

All the models that we have considered predict an optically thin spectrum, consistent with our radio observations (fig. S4), with a single power-law between the radio and the X-rays, $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\beta}$, where F_ν is flux density, ν is frequency and β is the spectral power law index. β depends on the electron distribution power-law index, p , as $\beta = (p-1)/2$. Thus, all our models predict the same X-ray flux around the time that radio emission was detected. This flux is broadly consistent with the X-ray measurement at 15 days (29, 30) if $\beta = 0.5$ ($p = 2$). This spectral index and value of p are lower than typically observed in GRB afterglows, although there are afterglows where such values are measured (31). If $p > 2$ then the models of the radio emission predict an X-ray flux that is lower than the observed one. In that case, the observed X-rays must not be produced by the blast wave that propagates into the ISM, but by some other source.

Imaging the fireball of GW170817

Our models predict differing sizes for the expanding radio emission region (Fig. 4). Radio observations can directly and indirectly constrain the size of the expanding fireball, as has been demonstrated in the case of long GRBs (32, 33).

Radio sources of compact size can be observed to vary, sometimes by a large degree on short timescales, due to interstellar scintillation on propagation through the ISM of our own Galaxy. This variability, analogous to the twinkling of compact objects observed at optical wavelengths, can be used to indirectly measure the size of compact radio sources (34). Using a simple model of the ISM in our Galaxy, inferred from observations of pulsars (35), we predict that the radio counterpart to EM170817 will be subject to refractive scintillation in the strong scattering regime. We calculate the expected modulation index and characteristic timescale for scintillation of the various possible components of ejecta (fig. S5). We find the degree of modulation unlikely to be useful in constraining the source size, given the low signal-to-noise ratio of the radio detections, except in the case of sub-relativistic ejecta. Conversely, this suggests that the light curves presented in Figs. 2 and 3 are reliable measures of the intrinsic variability of EM170817, not misidentified scintillation.

A more direct method to constrain the size of the afterglow and directly measure the outflow front velocity is Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI), which has been suc-

cessfully applied to the case of long GRBs (33). We predict that EM170817 will become detectable and resolved on VLBI baselines within 100 days of the merger, providing an independent constraint on the nature of the ejecta (Fig. 4).

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 161101 (2017). [10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101)
- A. Goldstein et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21528 (2017).
- B. P. Abbott et al., Gravitational waves and gamma rays from a binary neutron star merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A. *Astrophys. J.* 10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c (2017). [10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c)
- A. Goldstein et al., An ordinary short gamma-ray burst with extraordinary implications: Fermi-GBM detection of GRB 170817A. *Astrophys. J.* 10.3847/2041-8213/aa8f41 (2017). [10.3847/2041-8213/aa8f41](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa8f41)
- M. M. Kasliwal, E. Nakar, L. P. Singer, D. L. Kaplan, D. O. Cook, A. Van Sistine, R. M. Lau, C. Fremling, O. Gottlieb, J. E. Jencson, S. M. Adams, U. Feindt, K. Hotokezaka, S. Ghosh, D. A. Perley, P.-C. Yu, T. Piran, J. R. Allison, G. C. Anupama, A. Balasubramanian, K. W. Bannister, J. Bally, J. Barnes, S. Barway, E. Bellm, V. Bhalerao, D. Bhattacharya, N. Blagorodnova, J. S. Bloom, P. R. Brady, C. Cannella, D. Chatterjee, S. B. Cenko, B. E. Cobb, C. Copperwheat, A. Corsi, K. De, D. Dobie, S. W. K. Emery, P. A. Evans, O. D. Fox, D. A. Frail, C. Frohmaier, A. Goobar, G. Hallinan, F. Harrison, G. Helou, T. Hinderer, A. Y. Q. Ho, A. Horesz, W.-H. Ip, R. Itoh, D. Kasen, H. Kim, N. P. M. Kuin, T. Kupfer, C. Lynch, K. Madsen, P. A. Mazzali, A. A. Miller, K. Mooley, T. Murphy, C.-C. Ngeow, D. Nichols, S. Nissanke, P. Nugent, E. O. Ofek, H. Qi, R. M. Quimby, S. Rosswog, F. Rusu, E. M. Sadler, P. Schmidt, J. Sollerman, I. Steele, A. R. Williamson, Y. Xu, L. Yan, Y. Yatsu, C. Zhang, W. Zhao, Illuminating gravitational waves: A concordant picture of photons from a neutron star merger. *Science* 10.1126/science.aap9455 (2017). [10.1126/science.aap9455](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9455)
- D. Coulter et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21529 (2017).
- D. A. Coulter, R. J. Foley, C. D. Kilpatrick, M. R. Drout, A. L. Piro, B. J. Shappee, M. R. Siebert, J. D. Simon, N. Ulloa, D. Kasen, B. F. Madore, A. Murguia-Berthier, Y.-C. Pan, J. X. Prochaska, E. Ramirez-Ruiz, A. Rest, C. Rojas-Bravo, Swope Supernova Survey 2017a (SSS17a), the optical counterpart to a gravitational wave source. *Science* 10.1126/science.aap9811 (2017). [10.1126/science.aap9811](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9811)
- S. Allamet et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21530 (2017).
- S. Yang et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21531 (2017).
- B. Abbott et al., Multi-messenger observations of a binary neutron star merger. *Astrophys. J.* 10.3847/2041-8213/aa91c9 (2017). [10.3847/2041-8213/aa91c9](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa91c9)
- P. A. Evans, S. B. Cenko, J. A. Kennea, S. W. K. Emery, N. P. M. Kuin, O. Korobkin, R. T. Wollaeger, C. L. Fryer, K. K. Madsen, F. A. Harrison, Y. Xu, E. Nakar, K. Hotokezaka, A. Lien, S. Campana, S. R. Oates, E. Troja, A. A. Breweeld, F. E. Marshall, S. D. Barthelmy, A. P. Beardmore, D. N. Burrows, G. Cusumano, A. D'Ai, P. D'Avanzo, V. D'Elia, M. de Pasquale, W. P. Even, C. J. Fontes, K. Forster, J. Garcia, P. Giommi, B. Grefenstette, C. Gronwall, D. H. Hartmann, M. Heida, A. L. Hungerford, M. M. Kasliwal, H. A. Krimm, A. J. Levan, D. Malesani, A. Melandri, H. Miyasaka, J. A. Nousek, P. T. O'Brien, J. P. Osborne, C. Paganini, K. L. Page, D. M. Palmer, M. Perri, S. Pike, J. L. Racusin, S. Rosswog, M. H. Siegel, T. Sakamoto, B. Sbarufatti, G. Tagliaferri, N. R. Tanvir, A. Tohuvavohu, Swift and NuSTAR observations of GW170817: Detection of a blue kilonova. *Science* 10.1126/science.aap9580 (2017). [10.1126/science.aap9580](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9580)
- See supplementary materials.

13. K. Mooley, G. Hallinan, A. Corsi, *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular 21814* (2017).
14. A. Corsi, G. Hallinan, K. Mooley, *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular 21815* (2017).
15. T. Murphy et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular 21842* (2017).
16. S. M. Adams, M. M. Kasliwal, N. Blagorodnova, *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular 21816* (2017).
17. V. Smolčić, M. Novak, M. Bondi, P. Ciliegi, K. P. Mooley, E. Schinnerer, G. Zamorani, F. Navarrete, S. Bourke, A. Karim, E. Vardoulaki, S. Leslie, J. Delhaize, C. L. Carilli, S. T. Myers, N. Baran, I. Delvecchio, O. Mettinen, J. Banfield, M. Baloković, F. Bertoldi, P. Capak, D. A. Frail, G. Hallinan, H. Hao, N. Herrera Ruiz, A. Horesh, O. Ilbert, H. Intema, V. Jelić, H.-R. Klöckner, J. Krpan, S. R. Kulkarni, H. McCracken, C. Laigle, E. Middleberg, E. J. Murphy, M. Sargent, N. Z. Scoville, K. Sheth, The VLA-COSMOS 3 GHz Large Project: Continuum data and source catalog release. *Astron. Astrophys.* **602**, A1 (2017). doi:[10.1051/0004-6361/201628704](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628704)
18. K. P. Mooley, G. Hallinan, S. Bourke, A. Horesh, S. T. Myers, D. A. Frail, S. R. Kulkarni, D. B. Levitan, M. M. Kasliwal, S. B. Cenko, Y. Cao, E. Bellm, R. R. Laher, The Caltech-NRAO Stripe 82 Survey (CNSS) paper. I. The pilot radio transient survey in 50 deg². *Astrophys. J.* **818**, 105 (2016). doi:[10.3847/0004-637X/818/2/105](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/818/2/105)
19. E. Nakar, T. Piran, Detectable radio flares following gravitational waves from mergers of binary neutron stars. *Nature* **478**, 82–84 (2011). doi:[10.1038/nature10365](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10365) Medline
20. K. Hotokezaka, T. Piran, Mass ejection from neutron star mergers: Different components and expected radio signals. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **450**, 1430–1440 (2015). doi:[10.1093/mnras/stv620](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv620)
21. K. Hotokezaka, S. Nissanke, G. Hallinan, T. J. W. Lazio, E. Nakar, T. Piran, Radio counterparts of compact binary mergers detectable in gravitational waves: A simulation for an optimized survey. *Astrophys. J.* **831**, 190 (2016). doi:[10.3847/0004-637X/831/2/190](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/831/2/190)
22. D. Eichler, M. Livio, T. Piran, D. N. Schramm, Nucleosynthesis, neutrino bursts and γ-rays from coalescing neutron stars. *Nature* **340**, 126–128 (1989). doi:[10.1038/340126a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/340126a0)
23. E. Nakar, Short-hard gamma-ray bursts. *Phys. Rep.* **442**, 166–236 (2007). doi:[10.1016/j.physrep.2007.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2007.02.005)
24. J. Granot, A. Panaiteescu, P. Kumar, S. E. Woosley, Off-axis afterglow emission from jetted gamma-ray bursts. *Astrophys. J.* **570**, L61–L64 (2002). doi:[10.1086/340991](https://doi.org/10.1086/340991)
25. R. Sari, T. Piran, R. Narayan, Spectra and light curves of gamma-ray burst afterglows. *Astrophys. J.* **497**, L17–L20 (1998). doi:[10.1086/311269](https://doi.org/10.1086/311269)
26. E. Berger, Short-duration gamma-ray bursts. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **52**, 43–105 (2014). doi:[10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-035926](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-035926)
27. P. Serra, T. Oosterloo, R. Morganti, K. Alatalo, L. Blitz, M. Bois, F. Bournaud, M. Bureau, M. Cappellari, A. F. Crocker, R. L. Davies, T. A. Davis, P. T. de Zeeuw, P.-A. Duc, E. Emsellem, S. Kochfar, D. Krajinović, H. Kuntschner, P.-Y. Lablanche, R. M. McDermid, T. Naab, M. Sarzi, N. Scott, S. C. Trager, A.-M. Weijmans, L. M. Young, The ATLAS3D project - XIII. Mass and morphology of H i in early-type galaxies as a function of environment. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **422**, 1835–1862 (2012). doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20219.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20219.x)
28. O. Gottlieb, E. Nakar, T. Piran, The cocoon emission - an electromagnetic counterpart to gravitational waves from neutron star mergers. arXiv:1705.10797 (2017).
29. E. Troja et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular 21765* (2017).
30. E. Troja et al., *Nature* 10.1038/nature24290 (2017). doi:[10.1038/nature24290](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24290)
31. X.-G. Wang, B. Zhang, E.-W. Liang, H. Gao, L. Li, C.-M. Deng, S.-M. Qin, Q.-W. Tang, D. A. Kann, F. Ryde, P. Kumar, How bad or good are the external forward shock afterglow models of gamma-ray bursts? *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **219**, 9 (2015). doi:[10.1088/0067-0049/219/1/9](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/219/1/9)
32. S. R. Kulkarni, D. A. Frail, M. H. Wieringa, R. D. Ekers, E. M. Sadler, R. M. Wark, J. L. Higdon, E. S. Phinney, J. S. Bloom, Radio emission from the unusual supernova 1998bw and its association with the γ-ray burst of 25 April 1998. *Nature* **395**, 663–669 (1998). doi:[10.1038/27139](https://doi.org/10.1038/27139)
33. G. B. Taylor, D. A. Frail, E. Berger, S. R. Kulkarni, The angular size and proper motion of the afterglow of GRB 030329. *Astrophys. J.* **609**, L1–L4 (2004). doi:[10.1086/422554](https://doi.org/10.1086/422554)
34. M. A. Walker, Interstellar scintillation of compact extragalactic radio sources. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **294**, 307–311 (1998). doi:[10.1111/j.1365-8711.1998.01238.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-8711.1998.01238.x)
35. J. M. Cordes, T. J. W. Lazio, NE2001.I. A new model for the galactic distribution of free electrons and its fluctuations. arXiv:astro-ph/0207156 (2002).
36. R. A. Perley, C. J. Chandler, B. J. Butler, J. M. Wrobel, The Expanded Very Large Array: A new telescope for new science. *Astrophys. J.* **739**, L1 (2011). doi:[10.1088/2041-8205/739/1/L1](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/739/1/L1)
37. J. P. McMullin et al., *ADASS XVI ASP Conf. Ser.* **376**, 127 (2007).
38. J. A. Högbom, Aperture synthesis with a non-regular distribution of interferometer baselines. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.* **15**, 417 (1974).
39. R. J. Sault, P. J. Teuben, M. C. H. Wright, *ADASS IV Conf. Ser.* **77**, 433 (1995).
40. M. C. Shepherd, *ADASS VI ASP Conf. Ser.* **125**, 77 (1997).
41. J. Roy, Y. Gupta, U.-L. Pen, J. B. Peterson, S. Kudale, J. Kodilkar, A real-time software backend for the GMRT. *Exp. Astron.* **28**, 25–60 (2010). doi:[10.1007/s10686-010-9187-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-010-9187-0)
42. H. T. Intema, S. van der Tol, W. D. Cotton, A. S. Cohen, I. M. van Bemmel, H. J. A. Röttgering, Ionospheric calibration of low frequency radio interferometric observations using the peeling scheme. *Astron. Astrophys.* **501**, 1185–1205 (2009). doi:[10.1051/0004-6361/200811094](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200811094)
43. S. H. Reddy, S. Kudale, U. Gokhale, I. Halagalli, N. Raskar, K. De, S. Gnanaraj, B. A. Kumar, Y. Gupta, A wideband digital back-end for the upgraded GMRT. *J. Astron. Instrum.* **6**, 1641011 (2017). doi:[10.1142/S2251171716410117](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171716410117)
44. T. E. Clarke, N. E. Kassim, W. Brisken, J. Helmboldt, W. Peters, P. S. Ray, E. Polisensky, S. Giacintucci, Commensal low frequency observing on the NRAO VLA: VLITE status and future plans. *Proc. SPIE* **9906**, 99065B (2016). doi:[10.1117/12.2233036](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2233036)
45. E. W. Greisen, FITS: A remarkable achievement in information exchange. In *Information Handling in Astronomy—Historical Vistas*, A. Heck, Ed. (Springer, 2003), pp. 71–87.
46. W. D. Cotton, Obit: A development environment for astronomical algorithms. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* **120**, 439–448 (2008). doi:[10.1086/586754](https://doi.org/10.1086/586754)
47. R. M. Prestage, K. T. Constantinescu, T. R. Hunter, L. J. King, R. J. Lacasse, F. J. Lockman, R. D. Norrod, The Green Bank Telescope. *Proc. IEEE* **97**, 1382–1390 (2009). doi:[10.1109/JPROC.2009.2015467](https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2009.2015467)
48. G. de Vaucouleurs, A. de Vaucouleurs, H. G. Corwin, R. J. Buta, P. Fouque, G. Paturel, *Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies, Volumes I to III* (Springer, 1991).
49. A. Soderberg, E. Nakar, E. Berger, S. R. Kulkarni, Late-time radio observations of 68 type Ibc supernovae: Strong constraints on off-axis gamma-ray bursts. *Astrophys. J.* **638**, 930–937 (2006). doi:[10.1086/499121](https://doi.org/10.1086/499121)
50. H. van Eerten, A. van der Horst, A. MacFadyen, Gamma-ray burst afterglow

- broadband fitting based directly on hydrodynamics simulations. *Astrophys. J.* **749**, 44 (2012). doi:[10.1088/0004-637X/749/1/44](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/749/1/44)
51. Y. Oren, E. Nakar, T. Piran, The apparent size of gamma-ray burst afterglows as a test of the fireball model. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **353**, 35 (2004). doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08247.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08247.x)
52. D. G. Barnes, L. Staveley-Smith, W. J. G. de Blok, T. Oosterloo, I. M. Stewart, A. E. Wright, G. D. Banks, R. Bhathal, P. J. Boyce, M. R. Calabretta, M. J. Disney, M. J. Drinkwater, R. D. Ekers, K. C. Freeman, B. K. Gibson, A. J. Green, R. F. Haynes, P. Te Lintel Hekkert, P. A. Henning, H. Jerjen, S. Juraszek, M. J. Kesteven, V. A. Kilborn, P. M. Knezeck, B. Koribalski, R. C. Kraan-Korteweg, D. F. Malin, M. Marquarding, R. F. Minchin, J. R. Mould, R. M. Price, M. E. Putman, S. D. Ryder, E. M. Sadler, A. Schroder, F. Stootman, R. L. Webster, W. E. Wilson, T. Ye, The Hi Parkes All Sky Survey: Southern observations, calibration and robust imaging. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **322**, 486–498 (2001). doi:[10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04102.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04102.x)
53. R. C. Kennicutt Jr., The global Schmidt law in star-forming galaxies. *Astrophys. J.* **498**, 541–552 (1998). doi:[10.1086/305588](https://doi.org/10.1086/305588)
54. D. Kaplan et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21574 (2017).
55. K. De et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21603 (2017).
56. K. Alexander, W. Fong, E. Berger, *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21589 (2017).
57. A. Corsi, M. M. Kasliwal, *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21614 (2017).
58. A. Corsi, M. M. Kasliwal, *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21613 (2017).
59. A. Corsi, M. M. Kasliwal, *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21636 (2017).
60. K. De et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21708 (2017).
61. K. P. Mooley, G. Hallinan, *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21650 (2017).
62. C. Lynch et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21670 (2017).
63. A. Corsi, M. M. Kasliwal, D. Frail, N. T. Palliyaguru, *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21664 (2017).
64. C. Lynch et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21740 (2017).
65. G. Hallinan et al., *Gamma Ray Coordinates Network Circular* 21929 (2017).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

GH, AC and KPM would like to acknowledge the support and dedication of the staff of the National Radio Astronomy Observatory and particularly thank the VLA Director, Mark McKinnon, as well as Amy Mioduszewski and the VLA Schedulers, for making the VLA campaign possible. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. We thank the staff of the GMRT that made these observations possible. The GMRT is run by the National Centre for Radio Astrophysics of the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research. The Australia Telescope Compact Array is part of the Australia Telescope National Facility which is funded by the Australian Government for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO. We thank the Green Bank Observatory for their rapid response to our Director's Discretionary Time GBT proposal. The Green Bank Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under a cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. GH acknowledges the support of NSF award AST-1654815. A.C. and N.T.P acknowledge support from the NSF award #1455090 "CAREER: Radio and gravitational-wave emission from the largest explosions since the Big Bang". K.P.M's research is supported by the Oxford Centre for Astrophysical Surveys which is funded through the Hintze Family Charitable Foundation. This work was supported by the GROWTH (Global Relay of Observatories Watching Transients Happen) project funded by the National Science Foundation under PIRE Grant No 1545949. GROWTH is a collaborative project among California Institute of Technology (USA), University of

Maryland College Park (USA), University of Wisconsin Milwaukee (USA), Texas Tech University (USA), San Diego State University (USA), Los Alamos National Laboratory (USA), Tokyo Institute of Technology (Japan), National Central University (Taiwan), Indian Institute of Astrophysics (India), Inter-University Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics (India), Weizmann Institute of Science (Israel), The Oskar Klein Centre at Stockholm University (Sweden), Humboldt University (Germany), Liverpool John Moores University (UK). EN acknowledges the support of an ERC starting grant (GRB/SN) and an ISF grant (1277/13). This work is part of the research program Innovative Research Incentives Scheme (Vernieuwingsimpuls), which is financed by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research through the NWO VIDI Grant No. 639.042.612-Nissanke and NWO TOP Grant No. 62002444-Nissanke. PC acknowledges support from the Department of Science and Technology via SwarnaJayanti Fellowship awards (DST/SJF/PSA-01/2014-15). AH acknowledges support by the I-Core Program of the Planning and Budgeting Committee and the Israel Science Foundation. TM acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council through grant FT150100099. Parts of this research were conducted by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics in 3D (ASTRO 3D) through project number CE170100013. A.Y.Q.H. was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. DGE-1144469. Parts of this research were conducted by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics (CAASTRO), through project number CE110001020. DLK is supported by NSF grant AST-1412421. TP acknowledges the support of Advanced ERC grant TReX. Basic research in radio astronomy at the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) is funded by 6.1 Base funding. Construction and installation of VLITE was supported by NRL Sustainment Restoration and Maintenance funding. VB acknowledges the support of the Science and Engineering Research Board, Department of Science and Technology, India, for the GROWTH-India project. The VLA data reported in this paper will be available through the NRAO data access portal at <https://archive.nrao.edu/>.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.aap9855/DC1
Materials and Methods
Supplementary Text
Figs. S1 to S5
Table S1
References (36–65)

17 September 2017; accepted 9 October 2017
Published online 16 October 2017
10.1126/science.aap9855




Fig. 1. Comparison of the near-infrared and radio counterparts to EM170817. (A) Near-infrared image of NGC 4993 with EM170817 highlighted, assembled from *J*, *H*, and *K_s* photometric bands taken with the FLAMINGOS-2 instrument on Gemini-South on 2017 August 27 (3). (B) Radio image of the same field created using VLA observations (6 GHz) on 2017 September 9, with the radio counterpart to EM170817 highlighted. Its flux density is $23 \pm 3.4 \mu\text{Jy}$. (C) A combined image from four VLA observations at 6 GHz spanning 2017 August 22.6 – September 1. The flux density at the position of EM170817 is $7.8 \pm 2.6 \mu\text{Jy}$, consistent with a marginal or non-detection. Radio emission seen in (B) and (C) from the core of the galaxy is due to an active galactic nucleus (AGN).




Fig. 2. Radio observations rule out a slightly off-axis jet. Invoking a slightly off-axis jet to explain the Fermi-detected gamma-rays from EM170817 (3) would require an associated radio afterglow appearing within a few days. The radio counterpart to EM170817 is inconsistent with this model. Light curves are shown for three examples, each with a jet isotropic equivalent energy, $E_{\text{iso}} = 10^{50}$ erg, a jet half-opening angle of $\sim 25^\circ$ and an angle between our line of sight and the jet of $\sim 30^\circ$. The only parameter that varies between them is density. The light curves associated with a density of 10^{-3} cm^{-3} (pink dotted curve) and 10^{-5} cm^{-3} (red solid curve) are completely inconsistent with the data. The data can be fitted by a model of a jet ($E_{\text{iso}} = 4 \times 10^{50}$ erg) interacting with an ISM density of $6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (blue dashed curve) inconsistent with the ISM density of a galaxy.




Fig. 3. Radio light curve is consistent with either an off-axis jet or a cocoon. Light curves are shown for both proposed cocoon models, i.e., a high energy cocoon due to a choked jet (red solid curve) and a low energy cocoon with jet break-out (black dashed curve). The light curve favors the low energy cocoon with jet break-out. For the off-axis jet, we use a jet isotropic equivalent energy, $E_{\text{iso}} = 1.5 \times 10^{50}$ erg, a jet half-opening angle, $\theta_j \sim 12^\circ$, and an angle between our line of sight and the jet, $\theta_{\text{obs}} \sim 30^\circ$, together with an ISM density of 10^{-3} cm^{-3} (blue dash-dot curve). However, the light curve is consistent with a range of parameter space in jet energy and ISM density. For each set of jet and observer angle there is a single solution (namely n and E) that fit the data, which cannot be distinguished from the light curve. The pink curve is a model that represents the expected radio emission due to the high velocity tail of the sub-relativistic ejecta, which is yet to be ruled out. All the models indicate an ISM density of $\sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, which in turn is consistent with constraints from H I upper limits (supplementary online text). We predict that the radio light curves will distinguish between the models shown within the first 100 days of the merger.




Fig. 4. Predicted time evolution of the radio source size for different models. Different possible models for the radio emission from EM170817 expand at different velocities. The yellow area shows the approximate parameter space accessible to VLBI. Model parameters for the off-axis jet and cocoon models are the same as used in Fig. 3. The sub-relativistic ejecta is assumed to have a bulk velocity of $0.2c$, as discussed in the main text. We note that this represents a conservative lower limit to the source size as the radio emission is dominated by the fastest component of the ejecta which can exceed $0.4c$.

A radio counterpart to a neutron star merger

G. Hallinan, A. Corsi, K. P. Mooley, K. Hotokezaka, E. Nakar, M. M. Kasliwal, D. L. Kaplan, D. A. Frail, S. T. Myers, T. Murphy, K. De, D. Dobie, J. R. Allison, K. W. Bannister, V. Bhalerao, P. Chandra, T. E. Clarke, S. Giacintucci, A. Y. Q. Ho, A. Horesh, N. E. Kassim, S. R. Kulkarni, E. Lenc, F. J. Lockman, C. Lynch, D. Nichols, S. Nissanke, N. Palliyaguru, W. M. Peters, T. Piran, J. Rana, E. M. Sadler and L. P. Singer

published online October 16, 2017

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2017/10/13/science.aap9855>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2017/10/13/science.aap9855.DC1>

REFERENCES

This article cites 36 articles, 2 of which you can access for free

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2017/10/13/science.aap9855#BIBL>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)