

Introduction to Programming and its Mathematical Foundations

Lesson 9: Functions with multiple arguments

Note: File `script09.gs`, to be loaded into the interpreter, contains the functions being discussed below.

Summary: **How to provide $n > 1$ arguments to a function, one at a time**

Terminology: When the number of arguments of a function is 1, 2, 3, ..., n , the function is called a unary, binary, ternary, ..., n -ary function. Examples: the area of a rectangle, with its length and breadth as arguments, or the volume of a cylinder, given its radius and height, are binary functions.

How to pass multiple arguments to a function? This question arises because in the lambda calculus, the mathematics underlying functional programming, all functions are supposed to be unary.

In the Gofer expression `f . x`, the dot `.` is a binary operator whose left operand `f` is a function and right operand `x` is the argument to which it is applied. (Therefore it appears that `f` is a unary function.) In Gofer notation, we say in general that if `f : a -> b`, then `x : a` and `f . x : b`. In other words, the type of `x` has to be the same as the domain type of `f`, otherwise there is a type mismatch. Likewise, the resulting type of `f . x` is the range type of `f`.

One way to pass multiple arguments to a unary function is to put the arguments into a tuple by placing parentheses around them, and make this tuple the single argument to the function, like `x` in `f . x` above, e.g.,

```
area: Num.a => (a, a) -> a           ? area . (2, 3)
-- area of a rectangle                6 : Int
-- a can be any numerical type        ? area . (2.5, 2.0)
area . (len, br) = len * br          5.0 : Float
```

€: Write a binary function that computes the volume of a cylinder: `vol . (rad, ht) = pi * rad^2 * ht`.

Q: What is the type of `vol`? Hint: Since `pi : Float`, the radius and height of the cylinder also have to be `Float`. (Recall: In Gofer you can perform arithmetic operations on `Int`, or on `Float`, but not on a combination.)

Rem: You can imagine the general case, when 3 or more arguments can be enclosed into a single tuple.

Using type `Num.a => (a, a) -> a` for function `area` can lead to a message (which I won't try to explain) like:

```
ERROR "script08.gs" (line 5): Unresolved top-level overloading
*** Binding                : area_c
*** Inferred type          : _5 -> _5 -> _5
*** Outstanding context    : Num._5
```

So I modified `area` to `area1` and `area2`, where the sides of the rectangle are `Int` and `Float`, respectively.

```
area1: (Int, Int) -> Int           ? area1 . (5.0, 10.0)
area1 . (len , br) = len * br      ERROR: Type error in application
? area1 . (5, 10)                  *** expression : area1.(5.0,10.0)
50 : Int                           *** term          : (5.0,10.0)
                                   *** type           : (Float,Float)
                                   *** does not match : (Int,Int)

area2: (Float, Float) -> Float      ? area2 . (5.0, 10.0)
area2 . (len , br) = len * br      50.0 : Float
```

The curry and uncurry operators for binary functions

We want to be able to supply arguments to a function without having to consolidate them into a tuple. The `curry` operator does that for binary functions, and the `uncurry` operation is the inverse of `curry`. Once we understand `curry`, its generalization can be used for arbitrary number of arguments. (In comparison to `curry`, the `uncurry` operator is not so important.)

Given functions like `area1` and `area2` above, note how the `curry` and `uncurry` operators are applied.

```
area1c = curry.area1           -- The “curried” version of area1
arealu = uncurry . area1c      -- The curried version is “uncurried” in turn

area2c = curry.area2           -- The “curried” version of area2
area2u = uncurry . area2c      -- The curried version is “uncurried”
```

The signature of the original `area1` is given below. Based upon it, the signatures of `area1c` (the curried `area1`) and `arealu` (the uncurried `area1c`) are as follows:

```
? :t area1                ? :t arealu
area1 : (Int,Int) -> Int   arealu : (Int,Int) -> Int
? :t area1c               ? arealu -- “uncurried” area1c
area1c : Int -> Int -> Int uncurry.area1c : (Int,Int) -> Int
? area1c    -- “curried” area1    ? arealu . (8, 4)
curry.area1 : Int -> Int -> Int    32 : Int
```

€: Functions `area2`, `area2c` and `area2u` are defined in file `script09.gs`. Find their types.

We can easily make sense of the types of functions `area1` and `arealu`, as they both have the type `(Int, Int) -> Int`. That is, if their argument is a pair of integers, they will return an integer result.

€: But what to make of the type of the curried function `area1c`, namely, `Int -> Int -> Int`?

Note that 1. there are two arrows in the type signature, rather than the usual one arrow, and further, that 2. are no parentheses, i.e., a pair of integers are not to be provided.

Experiment 1: Give a single integer as an argument to `area1c`, and observe what happens.

```
? area1c . 10
curry.area1.10 : Int -> Int
```

Outcome: If `area1c` is given a single integer as an argument, it returns a function of type `Int -> Int`.

Experiment 2: Give function `area1c.10` another integer as an argument and see what happens.

```
? area1c . 10 . 20
200 : Int
```

Outcome: `area1c` computes the area of the rectangle with sides 10 and 20, just as the original `area1` does.

The difference is that function `area1` has to be given the two arguments together, in the pair `(10, 20)` but the curried function `area1c` can take the arguments one at a time. Phrasing it in different words, we can delay giving the second argument of `area1c`.

€: In file `script08.gs`, the function `len10` has been defined as `len10 = area1c.10`. Find the type of `len10`, give it an appropriate argument, and find out what the resulting value is.

```
? :t len10                ? len10 . 20 -- breadth 20
len10 : Int -> Int         200 : Int
? len10                   ? len10 . 56
curry.area1.10 : Int -> Int 560 : Int
```

Rem: In an expression $f.x.y$, the dot associates to the left, i.e., $f.x.y = (f.x).y$, i.e., the dot on the left is applied first—resulting in a unary function—and only then the dot on the right is applied next. While `areal` is of type `Int->Int->Int`, the most general type of `f` is `a->b->c`, with `x:a` and `y:b`.

You would be able to argue to yourself that if dot associates to the left, then arrow must associate to the right.

Just in case you cannot convince yourself about the arrow associating to the right, define two functions called `left1` and `right1`. These functions can do something trivial, like returning the sum of the two parameters.

Place parentheses in the type definition of function `right` to force the arrow to associate to the right, thus: `right: Int -> (Int -> Int)`. Similarly, place parentheses in function `left` to force the arrow to associate to the left, thus `left: (Int -> Int) -> Int`. We want to see which function is type correct.

```
left: (Int -> Int) -> Int          right: Int -> (Int -> Int)
left . x . y = x+y                right . x . y = x+y
```

We cannot even load the script into the interpreter, as there is a type error in the definition of function `left`.

```
ERROR "script08.gs" (line 14): Type error in function binding
*** term          : left
*** type          : a -> a -> a
*** does not match : (Int -> Int) -> Int
```

In other words, forcing left associativity of the arrow in function `left` leads to type incorrectness. So I commented out the definition of `left1` so that the script can be loaded, and asked for the type of `right`.

```
? :t right
right : Int->Int->Int
? right . 10 . 20
30 : Int
```

Observe: Something interesting has happened. In the type definition for function `right`, we had put parentheses around the right arrow, thus `right1: Int -> (Int -> Int)`. But when we asked for the type of `right` the interpreter removed the parentheses. This has happened because in the interpreter's view the parentheses are superfluous.

Conclusion: Forcing left associativity of the arrow by putting parentheses in `left` caused a type error, but forcing right associativity of the arrow in `right` caused the interpreter to ignore the parentheses.

We have discovered an important fact: When dot associates to the left, arrow associates to the right.

Problem (Example of a binary function in uncurried and curried form): We want to raise an `Int` or a `Float` to an integer power using the exponentiation operator `"^"`. Below, and in file `script09.gs`, the curried form of the function is called `power`, whereas the uncurried form carries an extra letter as a suffix, thus `poweru`.

```
power: Num.a => a -> Int -> a          poweru: Num.a => (a, Int) -> a
power . base . n = base ^ n            poweru(base, n) = base ^ n
? :t power                               ? :t poweru
power : Num.a => a -> Int -> a            poweru : Num.a => (a,Int) -> a
? :t power . 2
power.2 : Int -> Int - type variable a takes value Int
? :t power . 2.0
power.2.0 : Int -> Float -- a takes value Float
? power . 2 . 5
32 : Int
? power . 2.0 . 5
32.0 : Float
```

Background: The builtin function `exp` is of type `Float->Float`. The value of `exp.x` is e (the base of natural logarithms, value `2.71828`) raised to power `x`.

Functions `poweru` and `power` are generalizations of `exp`, in the sense that the base is not e but any `Int` or `Float` value, and they are variations of `exp`, in the sense that the base is raised to an integer power. The values returned by `poweru` or `powerc` are of type `Num.a => a`, i.e., `Int` or `Float`, depending on whether `base` is `Int` or `Float`.

€: Verify that function `expo`, defined in `script08.gs`, produces the same values as the builtin function `exp`.

Problem set (under construction):

Define `mult.m.n`, the multiplication of two integers `m` and `n`, without using the multiplication operator.

Hint: use a recursive function to implement multiplication as repeated addition.