Swetha Jayapathy

Student ID: 934041047

Instructor: Julianne Schutfort

January 15th, 2020

CS 325 HW 1 – 30 points

1) (4 pts) For each of the following pairs of functions, select the best relationship from the options:

f(n) is O(g(n)), f(n) is $\Omega(g(n))$, or f(n) is $\Theta(g(n))$

a.
$$f(n) = n^{0.25}$$
; $g(n) = n^{0.5}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{0.25}/n^{0.5=0}$$

b.
$$f(n) = log n^2$$
; $g(n) = lg n$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\log n^2)/\lg n$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}$$
 (2log n)/lg n = goes to infinity

$$f(n)$$
 is $\Theta(g(n))$

c. f(n) = log log n g(n) = log n

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\log \log n)/\log n$$

$$f(n)$$
 is $\Omega(g(n))$

d. $f(n) = 5000n^3 + n^2$ $g(n) = 0.000001 n^4$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (5000n^3 + n^2)/(0.000001 n^4) -> Denominator grows faster = 0$$

$$f(n)$$
 is $O(g(n))$

e. f(n) = nlogn + n; $g(n) = n \sqrt{n}$

f.
$$f(n) = e^n$$
; $g(n) = 2^n$

```
\lim_{n\to\infty} (e/2)^n
f(n) is \Omega(g(n))
```

g.
$$f(n) = 2^n$$
; $g(n) = 2^{n+1}$
 $\lim_{n\to\infty} 2^n / 2^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}$
 $f(n)$ is $\Theta(g(n))$

h.
$$f(n) = n^n$$
; $g(n) = n!$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^n / n! = \infty$$

$$f(n) \text{ is } \Omega(g(n))$$

a.

2) (6 pts) Determine the theoretical running time of the following algorithms. Use theta notation and give a brief explanation.

```
int Algo1(int n)
{
   int sum = 0;
   for (int i = n; i > 0; i--) {
      for (int j = i+1; j <=n; j++) {
        sum = sum + j;
        cout << i << " " << sum << endl;
   }
}</pre>
```

The first for loop runs for n times

The second for loop also runs for n times, lets take n = 5,

The second loop fails for the first time, but then runs upto n times after i gets decremented. And it takes constant time for the sum and Print statements.

Therefore, the Run time complexity is $\Theta(n^2)$

b.

```
int Algo2(int n)
{
   int total = 0;
   for (long int i = 2; i <= n; i=i*i) {
      total = total + 1;
      cout << i << " " << total << endl;
}
}</pre>
```

We can see that i value is doubled for each loop as below

```
i^2 \le n
= O(\sqrt{n})
```

The other statements are run for a constant time, hence it is negligible.

Thereby we would get 2^k ,since it is raised to the powers of 2, we can write it as Θ (lg n)

```
c. int Algo3(int n, int m)
{
    int total = 0;
    int sum = 0;
    for (int i = 1; i <= n; i +=2) {
        total = total + 1;
        cout << i << " " << total << endl;
    }
    for (int j = 1; j <= m; j++) {
        sum = sum + 1;
        cout << j << " " << sum << endl;
    }

    for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
        for (int j = 1; j <= m; j++) {
            sum = sum + 1;
            cout << i << j << " " << sum << endl;
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

- The first for loop runs for n² times, the inner statements takes constant time, therefore we can say the complexity of this as n²
- The second for loop runs m times
- The third for loop runs n*m times

Hence we would get a polynomial as $n^2+(n^*m)+m$

Therefore the run time complexity is $\Theta(n^2)$.

4.

Insertion Sort

Execution time in Milliseconds:

Insertion Sort	
n value	Execution time
1000	51
1200	58
1300	54
1500	60
1600	64
2300	67
4000	70
5000	85



Merge Sort :

Merge Sort	
n value	Execution time
1000	52
1200	52
2000	53
2200	58
2400	59
3400	61
3600	67
4300	63



c. Run time are as below:

Merge sort – logn*n

Insertion sort – n^2

e) Comparison

Comparing the two curves of merge sort and insertion sort, there are no anomalies. Both fits the run time data perfectly.