

Gov. Alfonso D. Tan College
 Maloro, Tanguib City
 INSTITUTE OF MIDWIFERY
 Faculty Evaluation
 Teacher Performance Evaluation Result
 1st Semester A. Y. 2019-2020



NO	NAME OF FACULTY		DEAN (30%)		STUDENT (30%)		PEERS (30%)		SELF (10%)		OVERALL AVERAGE	
	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description
1	4.4	VS	5.37	O	4.61	O	4.35	VS	4.68	Outstanding		
2	4.4	VS	5.92	O	4.56	O	4.72	O	4.90	Outstanding		
3	4.4	VS	5.7	O	4.33	VS	4.39	VS	4.71	Outstanding		
4	4.4	VS	5.97	O	4.39	VS	4.17	VS	4.73	Outstanding		
5	4	VS	5.15	O	4.67	O	4	VS	4.46	Very Satisfactory		
6	4	VS	5.55	O	4.56	O	4.72	O	4.71	Outstanding		
MONCADA, CATHERINE D.												
ALBATERA, EMELITA T.												
CABAL, JOFE MARIE P.												
MACA, CRISTELY C.												
VILLAFUERTE, LORELEI P.												
FAMACION, FRANCISLEE N.												

Legend: O – Outstanding VS – Very Satisfactory S – Satisfactory F – Fair U – Unsatisfactory P – Poor

Noted by:

Prepared by: **MARIEL Q. JULIO**
 Secretary, Institute of Midwifery
JAY STEPHEN Q. MONDONG, MN
 Dean, Institute of Midwifery

SUMMARY									
ADJECTIVAL RATING									
No. of Faculty Evaluated	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Poor
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty
6	5	83.33	1	16.67	0	0	0	0	0

Prepared by:

MABEL O. JULIO
Secretary, Institute of Midwifery

Noted by:

JAY STEPHEN B. MONDONG, MN
DEAN, Institute of Midwifery

Approved by:

LOVE H. FAITHORAN, MS-CRIM
VP for ACADEMIC AFFAIRS

Gov. Alfonso D. Tan College
 Maloro, Tanguib City
 INSTITUTE OF MIDWIFERY
 Faculty Evaluation
 Teacher Performance Evaluation Result
 2nd Semester A. Y. 2018- 2019



NO	NAME OF EVALUATOR	DEAN (30%)		STUDENT (30%)		PEERS (30%)		SELF (10%)		OVERALL AVERAGE	
		Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description
1	FAMACION, FRANCISLEE N.	4.7	O	5.37	O	4.89	O	4.35	VS	4.83	Outstanding
2	VILLAFUERTE, LORELEI P.	4.5	O	5.92	O	4.44	VS	4.72	O	4.90	Outstanding
3	MACA, CRISTELY C.	4.5	VS	5.7	O	5	O	4.39	VS	4.90	Outstanding
4	RUDINAS, HAROLD A.	3.5	VS	5.55	O	4.56	O	4.33	VS	4.49	Very Satisfactory
5	CABAL, JOFE MARIE P.	4.5	O	5.97	O	4.64	O	4.72	O	4.96	Outstanding
6	CUENCA, JENNIFER D.	3	S	5.15	O	4.44	VS	4	VS	4.15	Very Satisfactory

Legend: O – Outstanding VS – Very Satisfactory S – Satisfactory F – Fair U – Unsatisfactory P – Poor

Noted by:

JAY STEPHEN Q. MONDONG, MN
 Dean, IM/ OIC, VP for ACADEMICS

MABEL Q. JULIO
 Secretary, IM

Prepared by:

SUMMARY									
ADJECTIVAL RATING									
No. of Faculty Evaluated	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Poor
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty
6	4	66.67	2	33.33	0	0	0	0	0

Prepared by:

MABEL O. JULIO
rhb
Secretary, Institute of Midwifery

Noted by:

JAY STEPHEN Q. MONDONG, MN
DEAN, Institute of Midwifery


Approved by:

JAY STEPHEN Q. MONDONG, MN
OIC, VP for ACADEMIC AFFAIRS




Gov. Alfonso D. Tan College
Maloro, Tangub City

INSTITUTE OF MIDWIFERY
Faculty Evaluation
Teacher Performance Evaluation Result
1st Semester A. Y. 2018-2019



NO	NAME	DEAN (30%)				STUDENT (30%)				PEERS (30%)				SELF (10%)		OVERALL AVERAGE
		Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description	
1	FAMACION, FRANCISLEE N.	4	VS	5.65	O	3.92	VS	4	VS	4	VS	4.39	Very Satisfactory			
2	VILLAFUERTE, LORELEI P.	4	VS	5.65	O	3.78	VS	4	VS			4.36	Very Satisfactory			
3	MACA, CRISTELY C.	4	VS	5.86	O	2.99	S	3.93	VS			4.20	Very Satisfactory			
4	RUDINAS, HAROLD A.	4	VS	5.46	O	3.71	VS	3.5	VS			4.17	Very Satisfactory			
5	PADILLO, JOFE MARIE S.	4	VS	5.85	O	4.14	VS	4	VS			4.50	Outstanding			
6	CUENCA, JENNIFER D.	4	VS	5.61	O	3.9	VS	4.63	O			4.54	Outstanding			

Legend: O – Outstanding VS – Very Satisfactory S – Satisfactory F – Fair U – Unsatisfactory P – Poor

Noted by: 
JAY STEPHEN C. MONDONG, MN
Dean, IM / OIC, VP for ACADEMICS

Prepared by: 
MABEL O. JULIO
Secretary, IM

SUMMARY									
ADJECTIVAL RATING									
No. of Faculty Evaluated	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Poor
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	
6	2	33.33.%	4	66.66%	0		0		0

Prepared by:

MABEL O. JULIO

Secretary, Institute of Midwifery

Noted by:

JAY STEPHEN C. MONDONG, MN

DEAN, Institute of Midwifery

Approved by:

JAY STEPHEN C. MONDONG, MN

OIC, VP for ACADEMIC AFFAIRS



FACULTY			Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result			Classroom Observation Result			Individual Performance Comm and Review Result		
No.	Employee No.	Name	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating
1		JAY STEPHEN C. MONDONG	5.81	O				4	VS		
2		EMADYL BONIE P. DALDE	5.03	VS				5	O		
3		FRANCISLEE FAMACION	5.47	O				4	VS		
4		EVANGELINE A. LEMON						4	VS		

O	Outstanding
VS	Very Satisfactory
S	Satisfactory
F	Fair
U	Unsatisfactory
P	Poor

Legend:

SUMMARY										
Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result										
ADJECTIVAL RATING										
Poor	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Poor	
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage		
	3		2		1		25%		0.00	
	No. of Faculty Evaluated		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty	

SUMMARY									
Classroom Observation Result									
ADJECTIVAL RATING									
Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Poor	
No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
No. of Faculty Evaluated									

SUMMARY											
Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result											
ADJECTIVAL RATING											
Poor	Outstanding			Very Satisfactory			Satisfactory			Fair	
	No. of Faculty	Percentage		No. of Faculty	Percentage		No. of Faculty	Percentage		No. of Faculty	Percentage
	No. of Faculty Evaluated			No. of Faculty			No. of Faculty			No. of Faculty	
	4			3			0.00%			0.00%	



FACULTY		Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result				Classroom Observation Result		Individual Performance Committee and Review Result	
No.	Employee No.	Name		Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating
1		FAMACION, FRANCISLEE		5.25	O	5	O		
2		MONDONG, STEPHEN JAY		5.84	O				

Legend:

O	Outstanding
VS	Very Satisfactory
S	Satisfactory
F	Fair
U	Unsatisfactory
P	Poor

Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result									
SUMMARY									
ADJECTIVAL RATING									
Poor	Fair	Satisfactory	Very Satisfactory	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory	
				No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
				2	100%	2	100%	2	100%
				No. of Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage

SUMMARY									
Classroom Observation Result									
ADJECTIVAL RATING									
Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Poor	
No. of Faculty Evaluated		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty	
1		1		100%					

SUMMARY									
Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result									
ADJECTIVAL RATING									
Poor	Unsatisfactory			Fair	Satisfactory			Very Satisfactory	Outstanding
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty		
	No. of Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage

GOV. ALFONSO D. TAN COLLEGE
Tangub City
Institute of Midwifery
Consolidated Performance Evaluation Report
PROGRAM: Diploma in Midwifery
1st SEMESTER 2016-2017



FACULTY				Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result		Classroom Observation Result		Individual Performance Comm and Review Result	
No.	Employee No.	Name	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	VS
1		MONDONG, STEPHEN JAY	5.84	O			VS		
2		FAMACION, FRANCIS LEE	5.25	O	4.72		S		
3		NONOY, EMERALD BLUE	5.21	O	3.18				



Legend:

O	Outstanding
VS	Very Satisfactory
S	Satisfactory
F	Fair
U	Unsatisfactory
P	Poor

SUMMARY							
Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result							
ADJECTIVAL RATING							
	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Poor
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	
3	No. of Faculty	3	No. of Faculty	100%	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty
No. of Faculty Evaluated							

SUMMARY								
Classroom Observation Result								
ADJECTIVAL RATING								
	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair	Poor
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage		
No. of Faculty Evaluated	2							

SUMMARY										
Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result										
ADJECTIVAL RATING										
No. of Faculty Evaluated	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Unsatisfactory	
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
1	0	0.00	1	100%	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
No. of Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage



O	Outstanding
VS	Very Satisfactory
S	Satisfactory
F	Fair
U	Unsatisfactory
P	Poor

Legend:

Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result

Fair

ADJECTIVAL RATING						
	Outstanding	No. of Faculty	1	No. of Faculty	1	No. of Faculty Evaluated
		Percentage	50%	Percentage	50%	
		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		
	Fair	No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty
		Percentage		Percentage	0.00	Percentage
					0.00	
Poor					No. of Faculty	

Classroom Observation Result

Fair


ADJECTIVAL RATING				
Outstanding	No. of Faculty	Percentage	2	No. of Faculty
			75%	Percentage
Satisfactory	No. of Faculty	Percentage	1	No. of Faculty
			25%	Percentage
Fair	No. of Faculty	Percentage		No. of Faculty
				Percentage
Poor	No. of Faculty	Percentage		No. of Faculty
				Percentage

Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result

Unsatisfactory

ADJECTIVAL RATING										
Po	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Unsatisfactory	
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
3	No. of Faculty Evaluated	0	3	100%		0.00%		0.00%		

GOV. ALFONSO D. TAN COLLEGE
Tangub City
Institute of Midwifery
Consolidated Performance Evaluation Report
PROGRAM: Diploma in Midwifery
2ND SEMESTER 2017-2018



FACULTY												
No.	Employee No.	Name	Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result		Classroom Observation Result		Individual Performance Com and Review Result					
			Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating				
1		ZACAL, ANNA GRACE	5.69	O	4.72	VS	4	V				
2		CUENCA, JENNIFER	4.79	VS	3.72	S	4	V				
3		FAMACION, FRANCISLEE			4.45	VS	4	V				
4		MONDONG, STEPHEN JAY										
5												

Legend:

O	Outstanding
VS	Very Satisfactory
S	Satisfactory
F	Fair
U	Unsatisfactory
P	Poor

Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result

ADJECTIVAL RATING								
		Outstanding	Very Satisfactory	Satisfactory			Fair	Poor
				No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty		
2	No. of Faculty Evaluated	1	50%	1	50%	0.00	0.00	
1	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	No. of Faculty

ADJECTIVAL RATING								
		Outstanding	Very Satisfactory	Satisfactory			Fair	Poor
				No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty		
2	No. of Faculty Evaluated	1	50%	1	50%	0.00	0.00	
1	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	No. of Faculty

Classroom Observation Result

ADJECTIVAL RATING					
Poor	Fair	Satisfactory	Very Satisfactory	Outstanding	3
				No. of Faculty	No. of Faculty Evaluated
				Percentage	2
				No. of Faculty	75%
				Percentage	1
				No. of Faculty	25%
				Percentage	0.00
				No. of Faculty	

ADJECTIVAL RATING					
Poor	Fair	Satisfactory	Very Satisfactory	Outstanding	3
				No. of Faculty	No. of Faculty Evaluated
				Percentage	2
				No. of Faculty	75%
				Percentage	1
				No. of Faculty	25%
				Percentage	0.00
				No. of Faculty	

Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result

ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Unsatisfactory		Po
No. of Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage		
3	0	0.00	3	100%		0.00%		0.00%				

ADJECTIVAL RATING												Po
Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Unsatisfactory		No. of Faculty Evaluated		
No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage		No. of Faculty	Percentage
3	0	0.00	3	100%		0.00%		0.00%				



GOV. ALFONSO D. TAN COLLEGE
Tangub City
Institute of Midwifery
Consolidated Performance Evaluation Report
PROGRAM: Diploma in Midwifery
2ND SEMESTER 2017-2018



Individual Performance Com and Review Result	Classroom Observation Result		Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result			FACULTY				
	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Name			No. Employee No.	No.
V	4	VS	4.72	O	5.69		ZACAL, ANNA GRACE		1	
V	4	S	3.72	VS	4.79		CUENCA, JENNIFER		2	
V	4	VS	4.45				FAMACION, FRANCISLEE		3	
							MONDONG, STEPHEN JAY		4	
									5	



Legend:

O	Outstanding
VS	Very Satisfactory
S	Satisfactory
F	Fair
U	Unsatisfactory
P	Poor

SUMMARY							
Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result							
ADJECTIVAL RATING							
Poor	Outstanding			Very Satisfactory			Fair
	No. of Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	
	2	1	50%	1	50%	0.00	

SUMMARY							
Classroom Observation Result							
ADJECTIVAL RATING							
Poor	Outstanding			Very Satisfactory			Fair
	No. of Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	
	3			2	75%	1	25%

SUMMARY							
Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result							
ADJECTIVAL RATING							
Poor	Outstanding			Very Satisfactory			Fair
	No. of Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	
	3	0	0.00	3	100%	0.00%	



SUMMARY							
Students' Teacher Performance Evaluation Result							
ADJECTIVAL RATING							
	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Poor
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	
2	No. of Faculty	1	No. of Faculty	1	No. of Faculty	0.00	
No. of Faculty Evaluated		50%		50%		0.00	

SUMMARY									
Classroom Observation Result									
ADJECTIVAL RATING									
Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Poor	
No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty	
Percentage		Percentage		Percentage		Percentage		Percentage	
3		2		1		25%		0.00	
No. of Faculty Evaluated		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty		No. of Faculty	

SUMMARY										
Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result										
ADJECTIVAL RATING										
P	Outstanding		Very Satisfactory		Satisfactory		Fair		Unsatisfactory	
	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
3	No. of Faculty Evaluated	0	No. of Faculty	0.00	3	No. of Faculty	100%	No. of Faculty	0.00%	No. of Faculty