

FACULTY

Evaluation Performance

Consolidated Result of **Faculty Performance**





INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
Consolidated Performance Evaluation Report
1st Semester A. Y. 2017- 2018

		;		3- Sunsjuctory	7	VS- Very Satisfactory	Legend: O- Outstanding	end O	1,69
7	P. Poor	F- Fair		Caticfactor	2		DECLO		2.
		40	4.00			IURIS RITA P.	SECIOT		1
		5	200			MAE E.			
				Č	4.30	, RHEA APPLE	SIAREZ		i,
<.	4.8	S	× 0×	1/6	75.			No.	-
TAGE.	1	rating	Rating	rating	Numerical Rating	Name		Employee	2
Adjec	Numerical	Adjectival	Numorical						
mance ment an Result	Individual Performance Commitment as Review Result	her mance on Result	Teacher Performance Evaluation Resu	room on Result	Classroom Observation Result	y	Faculty		

2	S
Oheomation	MMAKY
₹	

Result

						100%	-			_
							I demity		Raculty	Sept of section of the section of th
rercenta	Faculty	Percentage	Faculty	Faculty Percentage	No. of	of Percentage	No. of	Percentage No. of	- 1	Faculty Evaluated
D	No. of		No of			1	,	3	Cuts	NO. 01
Poor	-	Fair	_	Satisfactory	Satis	Very Satisfactory	Very S	Ontstanding	Omte	
•	1				TO LA CALL	0000				
				RATING	ADJECTIVAL RATING	ADJ				
					Change com const.	Cimani				

SUMMARY Teacher Performance Evaluation Result

2		Evaluated			
	Larant	No. of	1		
		No. of Percentage	a	Outstanding	
2	,	No. of		Very S	
100 %	100.0/	centage Faculty Percentage		Very Satisfactory	ADJ
		Faculty	No of	Sati	ADJECTIVAL RATING
		Percentage		Satisfactory	RATING
		Faculty	- 1		
	-	refrentage	Danadaga	Fair	
		Faculty	No. of		
			Percenta	Poor	

SUMMARY Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result

Prepared:

LIPCY B. J ANDONI

Secretary,

Noted

BABA LIN A. MINA, MPA

Dean, Institute of Arts and Sciences

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb. VP for Academic Affairs



INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES Consolidated Performance Evaluation Report 2nd Semester A. Y. 2017-2018

	1	7 0000		5- Sansjaciony	Ų-,	VS- Very Satisfactory	I egend. O. Outstanding	end. O-	1 00
*	P- Poor	F. Fair		Catinfanton	2	,	שבערטו		2.
		C	5.45			ILIRIS RITA P.	CECIOT		
			1			MAE E.			
	•	(0.00	Š	4./3	, RHEA APPLE	SIAREZ		-
_	4.09	5	n n	110	1			70.	
Tal.		rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival rating	Numerical Rating	Name		Employee	Z
Adie	Namanical			+					
idual mancı nent a Resu	Individual Performanc Commitment Review Resu	her mance n Result	Teacher Performance Evaluation Result		Classroom Observation Result	y	Faculty		

SUMMARY Classroom Observation Result

	_	Evaluated F	NO. 01		
	ACBILY	No. of	Ç	Out	
		Percentage	O CONTRACTOR	Outstanding	
juma d	Variation V	No. of		Very S	
100%	.000	Percentage		Very Satisfactory	AD.
		No. of Faculty		Sati	ADJECTIVAL RATING
		Percentage		Satisfactory	RATING
		Faculty	No of		
		Percentage		Fair	
		Faculty	No. of		
		Percent	,	Poor	

SUMMARY Teacher Performance Evaluation Result

No. of	2~	L	2
Outstanding	No. of Percentage	CURLY	2 100%
Very S	No. of	T. Chemina	
Very Satisfactory	Percentage		
y Satisfactory	No. of		
Satisfactory	No. of Percentage		
	No. of Faculty		
Fair	Percentage		
	No. of Faculty		
Poor	Percent		

SUMMARY Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result

	Evaluated No. of Percentage		Outstanding
-	ntage No. of Faculty		
100%	Percentage		Very Satisfactory
	Faculty		Satis
	Faculty Percentage		Satisfactory
	Faculty	No of	
	Percentage		Fair
	Faculty	No. of	
	rercent		Poor

Prepared:

Secretary, LAS ANDONI

Noted:

BABYIAN A. MINA, MPA Dean, Institute of Arts and Sciences

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLIORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb. VP for Academic Affairs



INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES **Summary of Classroom Observation Result** 2nd Semester A. Y. 2017- 2018



		SACATE.				emester ———			Criteria						Ra	ating
	Facult	v							Criteria	7	8	Q	10	11	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating
	Tacas	Name		1	2	3	4	5	0	-	4	1	4	5	4.18	VS
. Emloyee No.		Florife	R.	4	5	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	5	5	4.00	VS
	Limpao	Eunel	P.	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	5	5	5	4.73	VS
	Malig-on	, Rhea Apple Mae	E.	4	5	5	4	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	4.82	VS
	Siarez	, Preachy Mae	S.	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4.91	VS
	Esin	, Jay Mar	G.	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	5	4.18	VS
	Requina	Elmie Joy		5	3	4	4	3	4	3	5	5	5	5	4.55	VS
	Maquiling	, Reynwill Jan	B.	4	5	4	5	4	5	3	5	3	1 4	4	3.91	S
	Casuyon	Michelle	A.	4	5	4	4	3	4	3	5	1 1	5	5	4.64	VS
	Villejo	Charelene		5	5	5	5	5	3	4	3	3	5	5	3.91	S
	Sumbillo	, Yvonne	A.	3	4	3	4	4	3	5	4 5	-	5	5	5.00	VS
0	Epong	, Glydel	E.	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	1 1	3	4	4	3.64	S
1	Octaviano	, Rhodel Jose	B.	4	4	4	3	3	4	1 3	4	+ 3	1 4	5	4.55	VS
3	Concepcion Rupinta	, Knoder rose	P.	4	5	5	4	4	5	1 3] 3	1 4		1		

Criteria:

- 1. Delivers effective introduction and motivation
- 2. Master the Subject matter
- 3. Gives clear directions and logical explanation about the subject matter
- 4. Uses appropriate questioning techniques and distributes questions evenly
- 5. Uses instructional materials appropriate for the lessons
- 6. Keeps students' attention and interest
- 7. Maintain a clean and an orderly classroom conducive for learning
- 8. Communicates clearly with proper enunciation and articulation of words

10. Shows positive relationship with the students and displays pleasant disposition with the students and displays pleasant disposition by exhibiting a well-poised personality

11. Manifest proper grooming & classroom decorum (mannerism, hand & body gesture etc., Pleasant disposition)

Legend:

5- Outstanding

4- Very Satisfactory

3- Satisfactory

2- Fair

1-Poor

					IMARY VAL RATIN	G			· · · · · ·	
No. of Faculty	Outsta	nding	Very Sa	tisfactory	Satisfa		Fa			oor
Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
13			10	77%	3	23%		Ł		

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JALANDONI Secretary, LAS

Noted:

Dean, IAS

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb.

VP for Academic Affairs



INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES **Summary of Classroom Observation Result** 1st Semester A. Y. 2017- 2018



							emester			Criteria						Ra	ating
		Facu	lty						· -	-	7	8	9	10	11	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Ratin
. E	Emloyee No.	[Name		1	2	3	4	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	4.91	VS
1 -	annoyee 1101	Ugnit	, Margareth	G.	5	5	5	4	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4.64	VS
1			, Flora Mae	A.	5	5	4	3	4	3	5	5	4	5	5	4.55	VS
2		Bugas Guazo	Flordeliza		5	4	4	3	5	5	3	1	3	5	5	4.09	VS
3		-	, Ma. Allen	G.	4	5	4	3	3	4	3	5	3	4	5	4.27	VS
4		Apao Azuelo	Fritzie	B.	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	1	1 4	5	5	4.55	VS
3		Embodo	, Elton John	B.	4	5	5	4	5	3	4	5	1 4	4	5	4.45	VS
0		Eslit	Shindie Mae		4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4.91	VS
-		Esin	, Preachy Mae	S.	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4.73	VS
8		Limpao	Florife	R.	5	5	5	4	4	5	1	5	3	5	5	4.36	VS
10		Siarez	, Rhea Apple Mae	E.	4	4	4	5	4	3	- 5	5	5	5	5	4.82	VS
11		Requina	, Jay Mar	G.	4	5	5	1 5	4	1 5	1	5	4	5	5	4.64	VS
11		Rupinta	, Lorna	P.	4	5	5	5	4	1 3	+ 4	1	5	5	5	4.18	VS
12		Siarez	Reny		4	5	3	1 4	1 4	1 3	4	1 -	1 -				

Criteria:

- 1. Delivers effective introduction and motivation
- 2. Master the Subject matter
- 3. Gives clear directions and logical explanation about the subject matter
- 4. Uses appropriate questioning techniques and distributes questions evenly
- 5. Uses instructional materials appropriate for the lessons
- 6. Keeps students' attention and interest
- 7. Maintain a clean and an orderly classroom conducive for learning
- 8. Communicates clearly with proper enunciation and articulation of words

- 9. Relates subject matter to real life situation
- 10. Shows positive relationship with the students and displays pleasant disposition with the students and displays pleasant disposition by exhibiting a well- poised personality
- 11. Manifest proper grooming & classroom decorum (mannerism, hand & body gesture etc., Pleasant disposition)

Legend:

5- Outstanding

4- Very Satisfactory

3- Satisfactory

2- Fair

1- Poor

	*				MARY VAL RATIN	G		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
No. of Faculty	Outsta	nding	Very Sat	tisfactory	Satisfa		Fa	ir	Po	oor
Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage						
13			13	100%		0%				

LIPCY B. JALANDONI Secretary, IAS

Noted:

BABYLIN A. MINA, MPA

Dean, IAS

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb.

VP for Academic Affairs



INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Student Evaluation
Teacher Performance Evaluation Result
1st Semester A. Y. 2017- 2018



Marcon 9 2 1 december 1 1 and	Fac	culty			ching mance	1	se of inology		sment &		ssroom agement	Profe	nalities & ssionali sm		verage Result
Employee No.		Name		Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD .	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Tutting	Qualitative Descriptur
GADTC-J-	SIAREZ	, RHEA APPLE MAE	E	5.19	0	4.56	VS	5.3 6	0	5.1	VS	5.1 8	0	5.0 8	VS
. 00948	SECLOT	JURIS, RITA M.	•	4.96	VS	5	VS	5.1	VS	4.9	VS	5.4	0	4.0 8	VS

gend:

O – *Outstanding* (5.17-6.00)

VS – Very Satisfactory (4.34-5.16)

S – *Satisfactory* (3.51-4.33)

F -- Fair (2.67-3.50) U – Unsatisfactory (1.84-2.66) P-Poor (1.00-1.53)

SUMMARY

ADJECTIVAL RATING

No. of	Outs	tanding	Very Sa	atisfactory	Satis	factory	F	air	Po	or
Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
	T down-y		2	100 %						

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JALANDONI

Noted:

BARALIN A. MINA. MPA

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM 1.16



INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Student Evaluation

Teacher Performance Evaluation Result 2ND Semester A. Y. 2017-2018



		Fa	culty	l c	ching rmance	1	se of inology		sment & ethods		ssroom agement	Profe	onalities & essionali sm	1	verage Result
No.	Employee No.		Name	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD .	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerica Rating	Qualitative Description
1.	GADTC-J- 00948	SIAREZ	, RHEA APPLE MAE	E 5.69	0	5.18	0	5.6 1	0	5.5 6	0	5.7	0	5.5 5	0
2.		SECLOT	, JURIS RITA M.	5.52	0	5.4	0	5.1 6	VS	5.6 5	0	5.5 4	0	5.4 5	0

egend:

O – Outstanding (5.17-6.00)

VS – Very Satisfactory (4.34-5.16)

S – Satisfactory (3.51-4.33)

F-Fair(2.67-3.50)

U-Unsatisfactory P-Poor(1.84-2.66)

(1.00-1.53)

SUMMARY

ADJECTIVAL RATING

No. of	Outs	tanding	Very S	atisfactory	Satist	factory	I	air	Po	or
Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
	1	50%	1	50 %			-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JAKANDONI Secretary, IAS

Noted:

Approved:

Dean Institute of Arts and Sciences

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb. TITO Com A man A Min 1 A CC 1



DEPARTMENT OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Student Evaluation

Teacher Performance Evaluation Result 2ND Semester A. Y. 2016- 2017



		Faculty		ching rmance		se of nnology	11	sment & ethods		ssroom agement	Prof	onalities & essionali sm		verage Result
No.	Employee No.	Name	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerica Rating	Qualitative Description
1.		CABASIS, ZYRA CLAIRE S.	5.69	0	5.18	0	5.6 1	0	5.5 6	0	5.7	0	5.5 5	0
2.		MANALOTO, JURIS RITA P.	5.12	VS	4.67	VS	5.1	VS	5.0	VS	5.2	0	5.0 3	VS

egend:

O – Outstanding (5.17-6.00)

VS – Very Satisfactory

(4.34-5.16)

S – Satisfactory (3.51-4.33)

F-Fair (2.67-3.50)

U – Unsatisfactory (1.84-2.66)

P-Poor (1.00-1.53)

SUMMARY

ADJECTIVAL RATING

No. of	Outs	tanding	Very Sa	ntisfactory	Satisf	factory	F	air	P	oor
Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
2	1	50 %	1	50 %						
										PTN

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JALANDONI

Noted:

Approved:

PALERMOJOHN M. CANILLO

LOVE H. FALLORAN MS_CRIM LIK



DEPARTMENT OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Student Evaluation
Teacher Performance Evaluation Result
1ST Semester A. Y. 2016- 2017



 	Faculty	1	ching mance	1	se of nology	4	sment & ethods	I	ssroom agement	Profe	onalities & essionali sm	A	Average Result
Employee No.	Name	Numerical Ratin	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD.	Numerica	Qualitative Descriptio
	CABASIS, ZYRA CLAIRE S.	. 5.39	0	4.88	VS	5.6	0	5.2	0	5.4 E	0	5.4	0
	MANALOTO , JURIS RITA P.	5.06	VS	4.28	S	5.0	VS	4.9	VS	5.2	0	4.9	VS

egend:

O – Outstanding (5.17-6.00)

VS – Very Satisfactory (4.34-5.16)

S – *Satisfactory* (3.51-4.33)

F – Fair (2.67-3.50)

U – Unsatisfactory (1.84-2.66)

P-Poor (1.00-1.53)

SUMMARY

ADJECTIVAL RATING

No. of Faculty		standing	Very S	atisfactory	Satis	factory	I	air	P	oor
Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
	1	50 %	1	50 %					racuity	

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JALANDONI Secretary, DAS Noted:

PALERMO JOHN M. CANILLO
Chair, Department of Arts and Sciences

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb.

VP for Academic Affairs



DEPARTMENT OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Student Evaluation Teacher Performance Evaluation Result 2ND Semester A. Y. 2015- 2016



	Faculty	Teac Perfor	ching mance		se of nology		sment &	V.	ssroom agement	Profes	nalities & ssionali m		verage Result
				Numerical	QĎ	Numerical	OD.	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerica Rating	Qualitative Descripti
	None	Numerical Ratins	QD	Rating	QD	Rating		+	0	5.7	0	5.6	OUTSTAN
No. Employee No.	Name	5.70	0	5.50	0	5.6	0	5.6	U	3.7		5	DING
	PANIS, ANNIE P.	5.70		3.3		8		5		3		13	
1.	PANIS, ANNIE P.					8		13					

Legend:

O – Outstanding (5.17-6.00)

VS – Very Satisfactory (4.34-5.16)

S – Satisfactory (3.51-4.33)

F - Fair (2.67-3.50)

U – Unsatisfactory (1.84-2.66)

P-Poor(1.00-1.53)

SUMMARY

ADJECTIVAL RATING

					Satis	factory	F	air	Ι.	oor
No. of	Outs	tanding	Very Sa	tisfactory		lactory	No. of	Dtogo	No. of	Percentage
Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	Faculty	Percentage	Faculty	
	1	100%								

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JAY ANDONI Secretary, DA\$

Noted:

Approved:

EDNA E. DELA SIERRA, MAEd

Chair, Department of Arts and Sciences

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, Llb. VP for Academic Affairs





Consolidated Performance Evaluation Report 1st Semester A. Y. 2017- 2018 INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

				Junsjucion	<u>ن</u> د	VS- Very Satisfactory	Legend: O- Outstanding	end: O-	Leg
*	P- Poor	F. Fair		C Caticfactory	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	SECTO		2.
		5	4.08			ILIRIS RITA P.	STCI OT		
		1/6				WAC E.			
			J.00	ő	4.36	PPLE	SIAREZ		ij
<u>.</u>	4.00	Ş	202	1/6				70	Š
ratio	Rating	rating	Rating	Adjectival rating	Numerical Rating	Name		Employee	2
Adjec	Numerical	Adjectival	Nimorical	-					
mance ment an Result	Individual Performance Commitment as Review Result	her mance on Result	Teacher Performance Evaluation Result	room on Result	Classroom Observation Result	, Y	Faculty		

SUMMARY
Classroom Observation Result

						100%)-a-ref			_
					Lacorel		Faculty			17 Adimacra
Percenta	Faculty	Percentage	Faculty	Percentage	No. of	Percentage	No. of	Percentage	No. of	Faculty
1	No of		T. T.					Summe	Outs	NO. 01
Poor		Fair		Satisfactory	Satis	Very Satisfactory	Very S	Outstanding	Oute	
				West at the	DALITA CHI	AUA				
				RATING	ADJECTIVAL BATING	144				

						100%	2		
Percenta	Faculty	Faculty Percentage	Faculty	Faculty Percentage	Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	No. of Percentage	No. of Faculty
Poor	No.	Fair	No.	Satisfactory	Satis	Very Satisfactory	Very S	Outstanding	Outs
				RATING	ADJECTIVAL RATING	ADJ			
				SUMMARY Teacher Performance Evaluation Result	SUMMARY rformance Eval	Teacher Pei			

No. of Faculty Evaluated

2

tstanding						100%			Lacory	_	100
ADJECTIVAL RATING Outstanding Very Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory No. of	Faculty	Percentage		-		Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of	Faculty Evaluated	
ADJECTIVAL RATING Outstanding Very Satisfactory Satisfactory Fair	No. of		2					0	Cuts	NO. 01	
ADJECTIVAL RATING		Fair		factory	Satis	atisfactory	Very S	tanding	Oute		
				RATING	ECTIVAL	ADJ					

Prepared:

LIPCY B. J Secretary, ANDONI

Noted:

BABXLIN A. MINA, MPA
Dean, Institute of Arts and Sciences

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb.
VP for Academic Affairs



INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
Consolidated Performance Evaluation Report
2nd Semester A. Y. 2017-2018

1									
) (200		5- Sansjaciony	<u>ن</u> - د	VS- Very Satisfactory	Legend: O- Outstanding	end: (Leg
٠	P. Poor	Fair	r)	Catinfanton	2		שבכנטו		
		C	5.45			IURIS RITA P.	SECIOT		2
)				MAE E.			
	1:00	C	0.00	Ş	4.73	, RHEA APPLE	SIAREZ		
_	200	>	7 7 7	5				No.	
rai	Rating	Adjectival rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival rating	Numerical Rating	Name		Employee	Z
A dis									
dual nance lent a Resu	Individual Performance Commitment 8 Review Resu	ther mance on Result	Teacher Performance Evaluation Resu	room on Result	Classroom Observation Result	y	Faculty		

assroom Observatio	SUMMAKE
on Resu	

Cla ult

_		Evaluated N	140.0	Zo of	
	lculty	o. of		Outs	
		No. of Percentage	C	Outstanding	
	Pacua	No. o		Very S	
100%		Percentage		Very Satisfactory	
		No. of		Sati	
		f Percentage No. of Percentage		Satisfactory	
		Faculty			
		Faculty Percentage		Fair	
		Faculty	No of		
		Percent		Poor	

SUMMARY Teacher Performance Evaluation Result

Outstanding Very Satisfactory Satisfactory Outstanding No. of Percentage Faculty No. of Percentage Faculty No. of Percentage Faculty	Very Satisfactory Very Satisfactory Satisfactory No. of Percentage Faculty Percentage Faculty No. of Percentage Faculty
Very Satisfactory No. of Percentage	Very Satisfactory No. of Faculty Percentage Faculty ADJECTIVAL RATING Satisfactory No. of Percentage Faculty No. of Faculty
ig AD	Satisfactory Satisfactory No. of Percentage Faculty
Satisfactory No. of Percentage Faculty	No. of Faculty
Sfactory Percentage	No. of Faculty
	No. of Faculty
Fair Percentage	
Fair Poor Percentage Faculty Poor	14 1

SUMMARY Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result

\triangleright	
DJE	
H	
Ä	
V	
A	
L RATING	
<u>~</u>	
E	
ลี	

						100%	<u> </u>			-	
					A		_		Hacuity		-
Percer	Faculty	Percentage	No. of	Percentage	No. of	Percentage	No. of	No. of Percentage	No. of	Faculty Evaluated	
	N. C.							0		140.01	
Poor	-	Fair		Satisfactory	Satis	Very Satisfactory	Very S	Outstanding	Outs	No.	

Prepared: (

LIPCY B. JAL Secretary, LAS NDONI

Noted:

BABYIAN A. MINA, MPA
Dean, Institute of Arts and Sciences

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIB. VP for Academic Affairs