

### Qualification and Teaching **Academic Faculty Experiences**

Consolidated Faculty
 Performance Evaluation





INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
Consolidated Performance Evaluation Report
1st Semester A. Y. 2017- 2018

•	P- Poor	F- Fair		S- Satisfactory	Š	VS- Very Satisfactory	Legend: O- Outstanding	end: (	Leg
		S	4.08			, JURIS RITA P.	SECLOT		2.
\ <sub>\sigma</sub>	4.8	VS	5.08	SS	4.36	, RHEA APPLE MAE E.	SIAREZ		1.
Adjoc	Numerical Rating	Adjectival rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival rating	Numerical Rating	Name		Employee No.	Ş.
mance nent an Result	Individual Performance Commitment as Review Result	cher mance on Result	Teacher Performance Evaluation Resu	room on Result	Classroom Observation Result	Ŋ	Faculty		

SUMMARY
Classroom Observation Result

ADODO LI VILLI AVILLINO
No. of Outstanding Very Satisfactory Satisfactory Fair Poor
Faculty No. of Percentage Faculty Percentage Facult

2	Evaluated	No. of		
	No. of Faculty	Outs		
	No. of Percentage	Outstanding		
2	No. of Faculty	Very S		
100%	Percentage	Very Satisfactory	AD	
	No. of Faculty	Sati	ADJECTIVAL RATING	
	Percentage	Satisfactory	RATING	
	No. of Faculty			
	No. of Percentage	Fair		
	No. of Faculty			
	Percents	Poor		

Evaluated 1	,		
No. of Faculty	Outs		
Percentage	Outstanding		
Faculty	Very Sa		Indi
Percentage 100%	Very Satisfactory	AD	vidual Perform
Faculty	Satis	ADJECTIVAL RATING	SUMMARY ance Commitme
Faculty Percentage	Satisfactory	RATING	SUMMARY Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result
Faculty			ew Result
Percentage	Fair		
Faculty			
Percents	Poor		

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JA Secretary, IAS ANDONI

Noted

BABXLIN A. MINA, MPA
Dean, institute of Arts and Sciences

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb.



INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
Consolidated Performance Evaluation Report
2<sup>nd</sup> Semester A. Y. 2017-2018

	3	٦l	2	VIO 17 C .: 6	T 1 0 0	-	1
0	5.45			, JURIS RITA P.	SECLOT		2.
				MAE E.			
	5.55	۷S	4.73	, RHEA APPLE	SIAREZ		'n
Adjectival rating	Numerical Rating	Adjectival rating	Numerical Rating	Name		Employee No.	No.
ner nance n Result	Teacher Performance Evaluation Resu	room on Result	Classroom Observation Result	y	Faculty		

No. of	î	Evaluated Faculty
Outstanding	of Percentage	
Very S		
Very Satisfactory	No. of Percentage	100%
Sati	No. of J	
Satisfactory	Percentage	
	No. of Faculty	
Fair	Percentage	
	No. of Faculty	
Poor	Percent	

No. of		2	1
Outs	No. of Faculty	2	7
Outstanding	Percentage	100%	
Very S	No. of Faculty		
Very Satisfactory	No. of Percentage		
Sati	No. of Faculty		
Satisfactory	ge No. of Percentage		
	No. of Faculty		
Fair	Percentage		
	No. of Faculty		
Poor	Percent		

jund	Evaluated	No. of		
	No. of Faculty	Outs		
	Percentage	Outstanding		
jungi.	No. of Faculty	Very S		Ind
100%	No. of Percentage	Very Satisfactory	AD	SUMMARY Individual Performance Commitment and Review Result
	No. of Faculty	Satisfactory	ADJECTIVAL RATING	SUMMARY sance Commitme
	Percentage		RATING	RY itment and Revi
	No. of Faculty			iew Result
	Percentage	Fair		
	No. of Faculty			
	Percent	Poor		

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JAJ Secretary, LAS

Noted:

BABYMN A. MIXA, MPA
Dean, Institute of Arts and Sciences

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIB.
VP for Academic Affairs



### INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES Summary of Classroom Observation Result 2nd Semester A. Y. 2017- 2018



		Facul	lty								Criteria	a					R	ating
No.	Emloyee No.		]	Name		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating
1		Limpao	Т,	Florife	R.	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	5	4.18	VS
2		Malig-on	Ť.	Eunel	P.	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	5	5	4.00	VS
3		Siarez		Rhea Apple Mae	E.	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4.73	VS
4	×	Esin	1,	Preachy Mae	S.	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4.82	VS
5		Requina	Ť.	Jay Mar	G.	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.91	VS
6		Maquiling	1,	Elmie Joy		5	3	4	4	3	4	5	5	3	5	5	4.18	VS
7		Casuyon	1,	Reynwill Jan	B.	4	5	4	5	4	5	3	5	5	5	5	4.55	VS
8		Villejo	T,	Michelle	A.	4	5	4	4	3	4	3	5	3	4	4	3.91	S
9		Sumbillo	1	Charelene		5	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	4	5	5	4.64	VS
10		Epong	1	Yvonne	A.	3	4	3	4	4	3	5	4	3	5	5	3.91	S
11		Octaviano		Glydel	E.	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.00	VS
12		Concepcion	1,	Rhodel Jose	B.	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3.64	S
13		Rupinta	1,	Lorna	P.	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4.55	VS

### Criteria:

- 1. Delivers effective introduction and motivation
- 2. Master the Subject matter
- 3. Gives clear directions and logical explanation about the subject matter
- 4. Uses appropriate questioning techniques and distributes questions evenly
- 5. Uses instructional materials appropriate for the lessons
- 6. Keeps students' attention and interest
- 7. Maintain a clean and an orderly classroom conducive for learning
- 8. Communicates clearly with proper enunciation and articulation of words

10. Shows positive relationship with the students and displays pleasant disposition with the students and displays pleasant disposition by exhibiting a well-poised personality 11. Manifest proper grooming & classroom decorum (mannerism, hand & body gesture etc., Pleasant disposition)

Legend:

5- Outstanding

4- Very Satisfactory

3- Satisfactory

2- Fair

1- Poor

	oray to a state to a s				IMARY VAL RATIN	G	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	
No. of Faculty	Outsta	nding	Very Sa	tisfactory	Satisf	actory	Fa	air	P	oor
<b>Evaluated</b>	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
13			10	77%	3	23%		q:		

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JALANDONI

Secretary, LAS

Noted:

BABYIN A. MINA, MPA

Dean, IAS

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb.



### INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES Summary of Classroom Observation Result 1st Semester A. Y. 2017- 2018



		Fac	ulty								Criteria	a					R	ating
Vo.	Emloyee No.		1	Name		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Numerical Rating	Adjectival Rating
1		Ugnit	,	Margareth	G.	5	5	5	.4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.91	VS
2		Bugas	,	Flora Mae	A.	5	5	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.64	VS
3		Guazo	,	Flordeliza		5	4	4	3	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4.55	VS
4		Apao	,	Ma. Allen	G.	4	5	4	3	3	4	5	4	3	5	5	4.09	VS
5		Azuelo	,	Fritzie	B.	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	3	4	5	4.27	VS
6		Embodo	1,	Elton John	B.	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	4.55	VS
7		Eslit	,	Shindie Mae		4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4.45	VS
8		Esin	,	Preachy Mae	S.	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.91	VS
9		Limpao	,	Florife	R.	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4.73	VS
10		Siarez	,	Rhea Apple Mae	E.	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	3	5	5	4.36	VS
11		Requina		Jay Mar	G.	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.82	VS
12		Rupinta	,	Lorna	P.	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4.64	VS
13		Siarez		Reny		4	5	3	4	4	3	4	4	5	5	5	4.18	VS

### Criteria:

- 1. Delivers effective introduction and motivation
- 2. Master the Subject matter
- 3. Gives clear directions and logical explanation about the subject matter
- 4. Uses appropriate questioning techniques and distributes questions evenly
- 5. Uses instructional materials appropriate for the lessons
- 6. Keeps students' attention and interest
- 7. Maintain a clean and an orderly classroom conducive for learning
- 8. Communicates clearly with proper enunciation and articulation of words

- 9. Relates subject matter to real life situation
- 10. Shows positive relationship with the students and displays pleasant disposition with the students and displays pleasant disposition by exhibiting a well-poised personality
- 11. Manifest proper grooming & classroom decorum (mannerism, hand & body gesture etc., Pleasant disposition)

Legend:

5- Outstanding

4- Very Satisfactory

3- Satisfactory

2- Fair

1-Poor

					IMARY VAL RATIN	I <b>G</b>				
No. of Faculty	Outsta	nding	Very Sa	tisfactory	Satisf	actory	Fa	ir	Pe	oor
<b>Evaluated</b>	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
13			13	100%		0%				

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JALANDONI

Noted:

BABYLIN A. MINA, MPA

Dean, IAS

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb.



### INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Student Evaluation Teacher Performance Evaluation Result 1st Semester A. Y. 2017- 2018



	Fa	culty		eaching formance	1	se of hnology		ssment & ethods		ssroom agement	Profe	onalities & essionali sm		verage Result
Employee No.		Name	Numerical Ratio	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD .	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerica Rating	Qualitative Description
GADTC-J- 00948	SIAREZ	, RHEA APPLE MAE	E 5.19	0	4.56	VS	5.3	0	5.1	VS	5.1	0	5.0	VS
	SECLOT	JURIS, RITA M.	4.96	VS	5	VS	5.1	VS	4.9	VS	5.4 5	0	4.0 8	VS

gend:

O – Outstanding (5.17-6.00)

VS – Very Satisfactory (4.34-5.16)

S – Satisfactory (3.51-4.33)

F-Fair (2.67-3.50)

U – Unsatisfactory (1.84-2.66)

Approved:

P-Poor(1.00-1.53)

**SUMMARY** 

### **ADJECTIVAL RATING**

No. of	Outst	tanding	Very S	atisfactory	Satisf	factory	F	'air	Pe	oor
Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
			2	100 %			*		V	

Prepared

Noted:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM LIB



### INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Student Evaluation
Teacher Performance Evaluation Result
2<sup>ND</sup> Semester A. Y. 2017- 2018



		Fa	culty		ching mance		se of mology		sment & ethods	I .	sroom gement	Profe	onalities & essionali sm	-	verage Result
ia	Employee No.		Name	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerica	Qualitative Descripti
- 1	GADTC-J- 00948	SIAREZ	, RHEA APPLE MAE	E 5.69	0	5.18	0	5.6	0	5.5	0	5.7	0	5.5	0
		SECLOT	, JURIS RITA M.	5.52	0	5.4	0	5.1	VS	5.6 5	0	5.5	0	5.4	0

egend:

O – Outstanding (5.17-6.00)

VS – Very Satisfactory (4.34-5.16)

S – *Satisfactory* (3.51-4.33)

F – Fair (2.67-3.50)

U – Unsatisfactory (1.84-2.66)

P – Poor (1.00-1.53)

### **SUMMARY**

### **ADJECTIVAL RATING**

No. of	Outs	tanding	Very S	atisfactory	Satis	factory	I	air	Po	or
Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
•	1	50%	1	50 %					1 dearty	

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JALANDONI

Secretary, IAS/

Noted:

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb.

Dean Institute of Arts and Sciences



### **DEPARTMENT OF ARTS AND SCIENCES**

Student Evaluation
Teacher Performance Evaluation Result
2<sup>ND</sup> Semester A. Y. 2016- 2017



	1	Faculty		hing mance		se of inology		sment & thods	1	ssroom agement	Profe	onalities & essionali sm	1	verage Result
No.	Employee No.	Name	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	Qualitative Description
		CABASIS, ZYRA CLAIRE S.	5.69	0	5.18	0	5.6	0	5.5	0	5.7	0	5.5	0
		MANALOTO, JURIS RITA P.	5.12	VS	4.67	VS	5.1	VS	5.0	VS	5.2	0	5.0	VS

egend:

O – *Outstanding* (5.17-6.00)

VS – Very Satisfactory (4.34-5.16)

S – *Satisfactory* (3.51-4.33)

F – Fair (2.67-3.50) U – Unsatisfactory (1.84-2.66)

P-Poor (1.00-1.53)

**SUMMARY** 

### **ADJECTIVAL RATING**

No. of	Outs	tanding	Very S	atisfactory	Satis	factory	F	air	P	oor
Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
2	1	50 %	1	50 %						
										240

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JALANDONI

Noted:

Approved:

PALERMOJOHN M. CANILLO

LOVE H. FALLORAN MS.CRIM LIK



### DEPARTMENT OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Student Evaluation
Teacher Performance Evaluation Result
1<sup>ST</sup> Semester A. Y. 2016- 2017



Employee No.	Faculty	4	ching rmance		se of inology	- 1	sment & ethods		ssroom agement	Profe	onalities & essionali sm	A	Average Result
Employee No.	Name	Numerical Ratin	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical	QD	Numerical	QD	Numerica	0 11 11 12
	CABASIS, ZYRA CLAIRE S.	. 5.39	0	4.88	VS	5.6	0	Fating 5.2	0	5.4	0	Rating 5.4	Qualitative Descriptio
	MANALOTO , JURIS RITA P.	5.06	VS	4.28	S	5.0	VS	4.9	VS	5.2	0	6 4.9	VS

egend:

O – Outstanding (5.17-6.00)

VS – Very Satisfactory (4.34-5.16)

S – *Satisfactory* (3.51-4.33)

F – Fair (2.67-3.50)

U – Unsatisfactory (1.84-2.66)

P-Poor (1.00-1.53)

### **SUMMARY**

### ADJECTIVAL RATING

No. of Faculty		standing	Very S	atisfactory	Satis	factory	I	air	p	oor
Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of	Percentage	No. of	Percentage
	1	50 %	1	50 %	- Hours		Faculty		Faculty	

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JAL ANDONI Secretary, DAS Noted:

PALERMO JOHN M. CANILLO
Chair, Department of Arts and Sciences

Approved:

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, Llb.



### **DEPARTMENT OF ARTS AND SCIENCES**

**Student Evaluation** 

Teacher Performance Evaluation Result 2<sup>ND</sup> Semester A. Y. 2015- 2016



		Faculty	1	ching rmance		se of inology		sment &		ssroom agement	Profe	onalities & essionali sm	1	Average Result
No.	Employee No.	Name	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerical Rating	QD	Numerica Rating	Qualitative Description
1.		PANIS, ANNIE P.	5.70	0	5.50	0	5.6	0	5.6	0	5.7	0	5.6	OUTSTAN
							8		5		3		5	DING

Legend:

O – Outstanding (5.17-6.00)

VS – Very Satisfactory (4.34-5.16)

S – *Satisfactory* (3.51-4.33)

F – Fair (2.67-3.50) U – Unsatisfactory (1.84-2.66)

P-Poor (1.00-1.53)

**SUMMARY** 

ATS	TEAM	WW 7 A W	T 4	THE TAX
$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}$	JECT	IVAL	KA	TINE.

No. of	Outs	tanding	Very Sa	itisfactory	Satis	factory	F	air	P	oor
Faculty Evaluated	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage	No. of Faculty	Percentage
	1	100%			***************************************		•			

Prepared:

LIPCY B. JAI ANDONI Secretary, DAS Noted:

Approved:

EDNA E. DELA SIERRA, MAEd

Chair, Department of Arts and Sciences

LOVE H. FALLORAN, MS-CRIM, LIb.