

Parkinson's Disease Subtypes Identified from Cluster Analysis of Motor and Non-Motor Symptoms

- 3 Jesse Mu¹, Kallol Ray Chaudhuri^{2*}, Concha Bielza³, Jesus de Pedro-Cuesta⁴, Pedro
- 4 Larrañaga³, Pablo Martinez-Martin⁴
- ¹Department of Computer Science, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, USA
- 6 ²The Maurice Wohl Clinical Neuroscience Institute, Department of Basic and Clinical Neuroscience,
- 7 King's College London, London, UK
- 8 ³Computational Intelligence Group, Department of Artificial Intelligence, Universidad Politécnica de
- 9 Madrid, Madrid, Spain
- 10 ⁴National Center of Epidemiology, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain
- 11 * Correspondence:
- 12 Dr. Kallol Ray Chaudhuri
- 13 ray.chaudhuri@nhs.net
- 14 Keywords: Parkinson's disease, subtypes, non-motor symptoms, motor symptoms, cluster
- 15 analysis

1

2

- 16 Abstract
- 17 Parkinson's disease is now considered a complex, multi-peptide, central and peripheral nervous
- 18 system disorder with considerable clinical heterogeneity. Non-motor symptoms play a key role in the
- 19 trajectory of Parkinson's disease, from prodromal premotor to end stages. To understand the clinical
- 20 heterogeneity of Parkinson's disease, this study used cluster analysis to search for subtypes from a
- 21 large, multi-centre, international, and well-characterized cohort of Parkinson's disease patients across
- 22 all motor stages, using a combination of cardinal motor features (bradykinesia, rigidity, tremor, axial
- signs) and, for the first time, specific validated rater-based non-motor symptom scales. Two
- 24 independent international cohort studies were used: (a) the validation study of the Non-Motor
- 25 Symptoms Scale (n = 411) and (b) baseline data from the global Non-Motor International
- 26 Symptoms Scale (n = 411) and (b) baseline data from the global Political Horizontal Longitudinal Study (n = 540). k-means cluster analyses were performed on the non-motor and
- 27 motor domains (domains clustering) and the 30 individual non-motor symptoms alone (symptoms
- 28 clustering), and hierarchical agglomerative clustering was performed to group symptoms together.
- 29 Four clusters are identified from the domains clustering supporting previous studies: mild, non-motor
- dominant, motor-dominant, and severe. In addition, six new smaller clusters are identified from the
- 31 symptoms clustering, each characterized by clinically-relevant non-motor symptoms. The clusters
- 32 identified in this study present statistical confirmation of the increasingly important role of non-
- motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease heterogeneity and take steps towards subtype-specific
- 34 treatment packages.
- 35 1 Introduction
- Parkinson's disease (PD) is classically considered a motor disorder, with resting tremor, rigidity,
- 37 bradykinesia, and postural instability and gait disorder as its core features. However, the concept of

Deleted: and

- 39 PD has changed considerably in the last few years, now prompting a revision of its diagnostic criteria
- 40 to include non-motor symptoms (NMS) in the core parameters (Postuma et al., 2015; Marras and
- 41 Chaudhuri, 2016). There has been growing recognition that NMS in PD are caused by
- 42 neurotransmitter pathway dysfunctions which involve both the central and peripheral nervous
- 43 systems (Jellinger, 2012; Gjerløff et al., 2015). The significant clinical heterogeneity of NMS in PD
- suggests the existence of specific non-motor subtypes (Marras and Chaudhuri, 2016; Sauerbier et al.,
- 45 2016).
- 46 Previous cluster analyses have already identified motor- and non-motor-based clusters in PD patients
- 47 (e.g. van Rooden et al., 2011; Erro et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015; Pont-Sunyer et al., 2015). Recently,
- 48 it has been argued that the recent concept of non-motor endophenotypes of PD provides a stronger
- 49 basis for subtyping, since these relate to the central pathophysiology of specific neurotransmitter
- 50 systems and are therefore likely to remain stable over time (Marras and Chaudhuri, 2016). As such,
- 51 several studies have explored PD subtypes while considering motor subtypes and their association
- 52 with non-motor aspects of the disease such as psychopathology and cognition (Graham and Sagar,
- 53 1999; Reijnders et al., 2009; Selikhova et al., 2009; Burn et al., 2012; Flensborg Damholdt et al.,
- 54 2012), REM sleep behavior disorder (Romenets et al., 2012), and daily visual activities (Seichepine
- 55 et al., 2011). To our knowledge, however, no studies have used cluster analysis techniques to
- 56 examine subtypes present in NMS only.
- 57 In this study, we used cluster analysis techniques to search for PD subtypes from a large, multi-
- 58 centre, international, and well-characterized cohort of patients across all stages, using a combination
- 59 of motor cardinal features (bradykinesia, rigidity, tremor, axial signs) and comprehensive NMS
- 60 assessed using specific validated rater-based scales. We believe this is the largest study of its size
- 61 with these characteristics, and the first to focus on exclusively NMS-based phenotyping.

62 2 Materials and methods

63 **2.1 Design**

- 64 Data from two independent international studies were used in the analysis: the validation study of the
- Non-Motor Symptoms Scale (NMSS) (n = 411) (Martinez-Martin *et al.*, 2009a) and baseline data
- 66 from the global Non-Motor International Longitudinal Study (NILS) (n = 540) (Ray Chaudhuri et
- 67 al., 2013). NILS has been adopted as a national study by the National Institute of Health Research in
- the UK (UKCRN No: 10084) and is a 5-year follow-up study addressing the range, nature, and
- 69 natural history of NMS in PD across all motor stages. All data in NMSS and NILS have been
- 70 anonymized and entered into a secure database at the National Center of Epidemiology, Carlos III
- 71 Institute of Health, Madrid, Spain.

72 2.2 Patients

- 73 PD patients diagnosed according to internationally recognized criteria (Gibb and Lees, 1988; Lees et
- 74 al., 2009), were included, and represented a mixed cohort of drug-naïve and treated PD across all
- 75 <u>disease stages</u>, For the NMSS study, patients were older than 30 years, but for inclusion of NILS
- 76 patients there was no age limit. Exclusion criteria were: inability to read, understand, or answer
- vitten questionnaires; comorbidity, sequelae, or any disorder interfering with the assessment of PD;
- 78 and inability to give informed consent. Patient recruitment was carried out across 15 countries in
- 79 America, Asia, and Europe from 2007 to 2011.

80 2.3 Assessments

Deleted:

Deleted: across all disease stages were included

Deleted:

For all patients, socio-demographic and historical data were recorded and the following assessments were applied:

- The Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease-Motor (SCOPA-Motor), a scale with three dimensions: A. Examination (10 items); B. Activities of daily living (7 items); and C. Complications (4 items). Each item scores from 0 (normal) to 3 (severe), the total score ranging from 0 to 75. This scale was derived from the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale and showed high correlation with the original scale (r > 0.85) and satisfactory clinimetric attributes in validation studies (Marinus *et al.*, 2004; Martinez-Martin *et al.*, 2005).
- 2. The Non-Motor Symptoms Scale (NMSS), a 30-item scale with nine domains: cardiovascular (2 items), sleep/fatigue (4 items), mood/apathy (6 items), perceptual problems/hallucinations (3 items), attention/memory (3 items), gastrointestinal tract (3 items), urinary function (3 items), sexual function (2 items), and miscellaneous (4 items). Each item scores from 0 to 12 (severity, 0 to 3, multiplied by frequency, 1 to 4) and the total NMSS score varies from 0 to 360, a value representing the total non-motor symptomatic burden (Chaudhuri *et al.*, 2007; Martinez-Martin *et al.*, 2009a).
- 3. The Hoehn and Yahr (HY) scale (Hoehn and Yahr, 1967).
- 4. The Clinical Impression of Severity Index for PD (CISI-PD), a global evaluation of motor signs, disability, motor complications, and cognitive status. Items are rated from 0 (normal) to 6 (very severe), the total score ranging from 0 to 24 (Martinez-Martin *et al.*, 2006, 2009b).

2.4 Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consent

- 105 The NMSS validation study received ethical approval from the Carlos III Institute of Health, Madrid,
- 106 Spain and local research ethics committees (Martinez-Martin et al., 2009a). The NILS is included in
- 107 the UK Department of Health portfolio of approved studies (UK CRN portfolio Nr. 10084) and has
- 108 been approved at all relevant institutions and corresponding ethics committees/institutional review
- boards. All patients gave written informed consent before inclusion in accordance with the
- 110 Declaration of Helsinki.

86

87

88

89

90

91

92 93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

111 2.5 Statistical analysis

- 112 SCOPA-Motor examination items were aggregated to obtain four "cardinal motor signs": tremor
- 113 (items 1 and 2), bradykinesia (item 3), rigidity (item 4), and axial signs (items 5 to 10). Additionally,
- an aggregate "motor complications" variable was obtained from the sum of items 18 to 21
- 115 (dyskinesias and motor fluctuations). All variables were standardized before clustering, and
- unstandardized afterwards for interpretation. Analyses were conducted in R version 3.2.4 (www.r-
- project.org) and Stata version 14 (http://www.stata.com/).

118 2.5.1 Cluster analysis

- 119 k-means was used for cluster analysis. We performed two analyses on the data: the first clustering on
- 120 the nine aggregate non-motor symptom domains, the four cardinal motor signs (tremor, bradykinesia,
- 121 rigidity, axial), and motor complications, henceforth the "domains clustering", and the second on the
- 122 30 individual non-motor symptoms of the NMSS only, henceforth the "symptoms clustering".
- 123 Average-linkage hierarchical agglomerative clustering on the 30 non-motor symptoms, 4 motor
- signs, and motor complications was also performed to observe the grouping of the variables.

- 125 Various formal measures were used to determine the optimal number of clusters for the dataset. For
- the domains clustering, the optimal k according to the Gap Statistic and the 1-standard-error method
- 127 (Tibshirani et al., 2001) was k = 4 (Supplementary Figure 1A). Other cluster determination methods
- 128 suggested k = 2, 3, 4, where k = 2, 3 simply divided the data uninformatively into groups with
- 129 varying levels of overall disease severity. Thus k = 4 was selected to offer a good combination of
- model fit and parsimony. The same method was applied for the symptoms clustering, where the
- number of clusters was k = 6 (Supplementary Figure 1B).

132 **2.5.2** Comparative subgroup analysis

- For each variable in both clusterings, we used one-way ANOVA and χ^2 tests to respectively check
- the equality of variable means and proportions across the clusters found, using Bonferroni correction
- for multiple testing with corrected p < 0.05 considered significant. Differences among pairwise
- 136 clusters were tested post-hoc using Tukey's range test for continuous means, or pairwise χ^2 tests for
- 137 proportions, with Bonferroni correction both for the within-variable pairwise tests and the multiple
- 138 variable comparisons.
- 139 To compare the domains and symptoms clusterings, we depicted cluster alignment with a
- 140 contingency table, and computed the adjusted rand index (ARI) (Hubert and Arabie, 1985) to
- evaluate similarity between the two clusterings.
- Lastly, to explore the relationship between symptom severity and disease duration, we computed the
- 143 correlation of each variable with disease duration and fitted smoothed loess curves to the data both
- globally and for each cluster in the domains clustering.
- 145 3 Results

146 3.1 Study sample

- 147 Out of the 951 patients in the study, we used listwise deletion to exclude 47 patients due to missing
- measurements, resulting in 904 remaining patients. There were no significant differences between the
- included and excluded groups with respect to age, sex, disease duration, and HY ($\chi^2 \ge 0.19$). The
- 150 characteristics of the sample included for analysis (n = 904) are displayed in Table 1. Patients were
- 151 predominantly male (62.17%). 13.38% were in HY stage 1; 43.36% in stage 2; 29.65% in stage 3;
- 152 11.50% in stage 4; and 2.10% in stage 5.

153 **3.2 Domains clustering**

- Results from the k-means clustering on the nine non-motor domains, the four cardinal motor signs,
- and motor complications are reported in Table 2 along with additional variables not used in the
- analysis (heatmap in Figure 1; boxplots in Supplementary Figure 2). Cluster means for all variables
- 157 were found to be statistically significantly different except for age at disease onset and sex (adjusted
- 158 p < 0.05). Specific pairwise differences are noted in the table.
- 159 Cluster D1 (n = 428) patients were mildly affected in all domains. This cluster was characterized by
- 160 relatively lower disease durations and ages.
- 161 Cluster D2 (n = 180) patients were severely affected in non-motor domains but mildly affected in
- 162 motor domains. This cluster had a severity of motor variables relatively similar to the cluster D1
- 163 (mild) subtype especially in tremor, but expressed significantly higher scores for non-motor domains
- than clusters D1 and D3, especially in the sleep/fatigue, mood/apathy, urinary, and miscellaneous

- domains. Except for motor complications, scores for every variable were statistically significantly
- different from those in cluster D3.
- 167 Cluster D3 (n = 232) patients were severely affected in motor domains but mildly affected in non-
- motor domains. Mean motor scores were greater than the means of clusters D1 and D2, with the
- exception of motor complications. Additionally, mean motor scores were less than D4, with the
- exception of tremor, which was especially high. Importantly, CISI-PD scores of clusters D2 and D3
- 171 were not statistically significantly different, and no differences were observed in cluster D2 and
- 172 cluster D3 age or disease duration.
- 173 Cluster D4 (n = 64) patients were severely affected in all domains, having the greatest symptom
- mean out of all four clusters with the exception of tremor. Consequently, patients in cluster D4 had
- 175 the longest average disease duration and oldest ages, but did not have a significantly different age of
- 176 disease onset.

177

3.3 Symptoms clustering

- 178 k-means performed on the 30 individual non-motor symptoms found 6 clusters ordered according to
- 179 increasing CISI-PD score (Table 3, heatmap in Figure 2). Means of all symptoms were found to
- 180 differ across clusters except for disease onset, sex, and tremor, with pairwise differences again noted
- in the table.
- 182 Cluster S1 (n = 456), the largest cluster representing 50% of the group, was similar to domains
- cluster D1, and was composed of patients relatively mildly affected in all NMS. Cluster S2 (n =
- 184 201) had higher mean symptom scores than cluster S1's in several cases, including restless legs
- syndrome (RLS), swallowing, and the miscellaneous domain, but could nonetheless be classified as a
- 186 mild/moderate cluster.
- 187 Although clusters S3-S6 increased in motor and overall disease severity, they varied significantly in
- their non-motor expression and each expressed a unique subset of NMS. These groups of non-motor
- 189 symptoms aligned well with the established non-motor domains. Cluster S3 (n = 100) mainly
- 190 expressed domain 7 (urinary), while cluster S4 (n = 73) was affected severely in domain 3
- 191 (mood/apathy). Cluster S5 (n = 54) showed severe impact in most non-motor symptoms but
- 192 especially in domain 5 (attention/memory). Similarly, cluster S6 (n = 20) had severe scores across
- 193 all non-motor symptoms and motor features, but was most severely affected in the cardiovascular,
- 194 perception/hallucination, and gastrointestinal NMSS domains. Overall, the symptoms clustering
- fragmented the domains clusters into smaller groups, as explored in the next section.

196 3.4 Comparison between clusterings

- 197 Alignment of the D and S clusters is visualized in Table 4. While S1 grouped patients from D1 (mild)
- and D3 (motor-dominant), and D4 (severe) showed a dominant contribution from S5 (severe non-
- motor) and S6 (severe motor and non-motor), the remaining clusters were fragmentarily distributed,
- as indicated by the low similarity between the clusterings (ARI = 0.32) For the domains clustering,
- 201 patients in clusters mildly affected in non-motor domains (D1, D3) were distributed among the
- 202 milder symptoms clusters (S1-S4, skewed left). Conversely, patients in clusters with severe non-
- 203 motor symptoms (D2, D4) were split among the various specific non-motor-dominant clusters (S2-
- 204 S6), suggesting that the symptoms clustering is clinically more specific than the domains clustering.

205 3.5 Hierarchical clustering on variables

- 206 Hierarchical clustering on the 30 non-motor symptoms and the four cardinal motor signs is depicted
- 207 in Figure 3. Symptoms belonging to the same domain of the NMSS tended to cluster together, with
- 208 some exceptions. Diplopia (domain 4) was grouped closer to domain 8 (sexual) symptoms than to
- 209 symptoms in its own domain. Similarly, RLS (domain 2) was closer to domain 9 (miscellaneous)
- 210 symptoms and drowsiness (domain 2) with domain 5 (attention/memory) symptoms. Notably, tremor
- 211 was the most isolated symptom, occupying a single branch at the top of the tree.

Correlation analysis

- 213 Due to high variance, most variables had little to no correlation with disease duration (Supplementary
- 214 Figure 3). In Figure 4, we plotted 4 variables especially relevant to the domains clustering against
- 215 disease progression: CISI-PD, Tremor, Anxiety, and Depression. Notable differences in disease
- 216 progression for each cluster can be seen in the scatterplots: for example, patients in NMS dominant
- 217 cluster D2 actually tended to have higher scores for anxiety and depression at disease onset,
- 218 decreasing with increasing disease duration.

219 4 Discussion

212

- 220 We believe that this is the largest cluster analysis-based study of PD-related motor and non-motor
- 221 symptoms from a large, international, multi-centre cohort. Previous cluster-analysis based studies
- 222 have either focused on early/untreated Parkinson's disease (Erro et al., 2013; Pont-Sunyer et al.,
- 223 2015) or lack detailed assessments based on the severity and frequency of non-motor domains and
- 224 symptoms (van Rooden et al., 2011). Additionally, we believe this is the first study to perform cluster
- 225 analysis exclusively on NMS to reveal NMS-specific subtypes.
- 226 The domains clustering's 4 clusters closely correspond with several previous studies (van Rooden et
- 227 al., 2011; Erro et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015; Pont-Sunyer et al., 2015), especially those reported by
- 228 van Rooden et al. (2011). Both clusters D1 (mild) and D4 (severe) are groups which are present in
- 229 most analyses, but unlike van Rooden et al., our data show that mean differences in disease duration
- 230 do exist between mild and severe subtypes. Clusters D2 and D3 represent a divergence in
- 231 symptomatic expression: D2 representing a non-motor dominant phenotype also described in many
- 232 clinical phenotype-driven studies (Sauerbier et al., 2016), and D3 corresponding to the traditional
- 233 motor-dominant view of PD. Due to these clusters' similar overall PD severity (CISI-PD) and
- 234 duration, differences in disease progression do not explain the differences between D2 and D3.
- 235 Finally, the high incidence of tremor in D3, even higher than D4, is interesting and reflects not only
- 236 the motor-dominant subtype of van Rooden et al. but also the tremor-dominant/slow-progression
- 237 cluster described by Ma et al. (2015).
- 238 Our correlation analysis demonstrates notable differences in disease progression among these
- 239 clusters. The high initial depression and anxiety scores for cluster D2 suggests that patients
- 240 susceptible to NMS-dominant PD can be identified by high NMS scores early after disease onset.
- 241 Furthermore, the general improvement in depression and anxiety scores for this cluster contrasts with
- 242 the relatively stable scores in clusters D1, D3, and D4,
- 243 From the symptoms clustering (Figure 2), six smaller clusters were identified. S1 was similar to D1.
- 244 S2 to S6, while increasing in motor severity, expressed specific NMS, thus supporting the clinical
- 245 concept of NMS-based subtyping. Cluster S2, with principal components including RLS,
- 246 swallowing, pain, and others, may be a new finding from this study. Cluster S3, with significant
- 247 urinary dysfunction, fits the descriptions by Erro et al. (2013), highlighting the relevance of this
- 248 symptom as a specific marker in non-motor dominant clusters and disease progression. Cluster S4,

Deleted: matches

Deleted: loosely with

Deleted: Similarly Deleted: was grouped

Deleted:

Deleted: grouped loosely with

Deleted: recent results found in a 2-year follow-up study of an untreated PD cohort (Mollenhauer et al., 2016)

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

6

- 257 characterized by high mood/apathy symptoms, is consistent with the sleep and apathy clinical
- 258 phenotypes described by other studies (Sauerbier et al., 2016). Clusters S5 and S6 are of clinical
- 259 interest, as in these clusters NMS dominate, overshadowing motor symptoms with an emphasis on
- 260 cognitive impairment in S5 and autonomic (cardiovascular and gastrointestinal) symptoms in S6.
- 261 Overall, many of these subtypes are newly reported and their characteristics support clinical
- 262 endophenotyping of non-motor subtypes not reported in previous studies.
- 263 The comparison between the domains and symptoms clustering shown in the contingency table
- 264 (Table 4) suggests that the broader subset of cluster S1, a mild non-motor dominant cluster,
- 265 essentially expresses two NMS subtypes, one of them with motor symptoms. The low numbers
- observed in some cells do not allow consistent clinical interpretation. The hierarchical clustering 266
- 267 (Figure 3) indicates that the symptoms grouping in the NMSS dimensions works as expected, as most
- 268 items in each domain group together, with the exception of tremor.
- 269 What are the clinical implications of these clusterings? First, our analysis represents statistical
- 270 conformation of NMS-dominant presentation of PD. The specific expression of several NMS
- 271 domains such as mood/anxiety, sleep/fatigue, cognition, and urinary function suggests that these
- 272 subgroups may have different patterns of neurodegeneration involving the brain's various non-
- 273 dopaminergic pathways, possibly in excess of dopaminergic degeneration, as suggested by several
- 274 authors (Jellinger, 2012). Second, clinical recognition of subtypes using ad hoc criteria would allow
- 275 for the development of truly subtype-specific treatment packages for PD (Marras and Chaudhuri,
- 276 2016). Third, clinical characterization of these groups will allow studies of natural history of specific
- 277 subtypes.
- 278 The clinical non-specificity of D1, S1, D4, and S6, with extremely diverse disease durations and
- 279 severities, contrasts with the precisely characterized clusters S3, S4, and S5, with dominant
- 280 expression of urinary, mood/apathy and attention/memory symptoms, respectively, at intermediate
- 281 stages of the disease. This pattern is in line with the notion of "phenotypic convergence" proposed by
- 282 Warren et al. (2013) as a key clinical feature of the spread of neurodegenerative disorders due to
- 283
- abnormal protein aggregates. The identified clusters may represent distinct footprints of large-scale
- 284 network disintegration which necessitates translation to clinical management. The Warren et al.
- 285 concept is in line with the general etiologic hypothesis for late-life neurodegenerative diseases
- 286 proposed by de Pedro-Cuesta et al. (2016).
- 287 Like any cohort-based, cluster-analysis driven study, there are several limitations of this analysis.
- Due to the data collection methods of the two studies used, selection due to prevalence bias, i.e. 288
- 289 sample overrepresentation of patients with higher survival, is unlikely to explain this clustering;
- 290 however, clustering at early PD stages may have been undermined by poorly recorded symptoms
- 291 prior to diagnosis. Furthermore, we did not report a control group, although our intention was not to
- 292 describe the symptoms as discriminant from normal subjects. Lastly, in the treated patients in our 293 sample, NMS symptoms could be influenced by dopaminergic therapy, including depression via
- 294 pramipexole (Barone et al., 2010), sleep disorders via rotigotine (Trenkwalder et al., 2011), and
- 295 others. The numbers of patients undergoing specific treatments are too small to conduct meaningful
- 296 analyses of the effects of such therapies; we expect, however, that such effects on single non-motor
- 297 components do not significantly alter the trends observed in total NMSS scores across all treated and
- 298 drug-naïve patients in our sample.

Deleted: profiles

Deleted: in advanced PD

Deleted: could also

Deleted: patterns of

Formatted: Font:Italic

Deleted: particularly

Deleted: hallucinations and orthostatic problems

Deleted: However, these symptoms did not emerge as key drivers of any of the domains identified in either of our clusterings

Deleted:

β07 308 309	Conversely, our study has several notable strengths: (1) the sample size, which to our knowledge is the largest international sample in this kind of study; (2) the inclusion of patients in all disease stages; and (3) the use of detailed assessments both for motor and non-motor symptoms.
310 311 312 313 314 315	In conclusion, we present statistical confirmation of the growing recognition of NMS-dominant presentation of PD and its heterogeneity. The clinical recognition of these subtypes could allow for subtype-specific treatment packages for PD, and in the future, clinical characterization of these groups will allow for studies of natural history of the various non-motor dominant clusters identified in this paper. Translating results to clinical management or experimental designs would require the identification of inclusion and exclusion criteria of patients into specific subgroups.
316	5 Conflict of interest statement
317 318	The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.
319	6 Author contributions
320 321 322 323 324 325	JM conducted the statistical analysis and interpretation of the data, drafted the methods and results section of the paper, and revised the manuscript for content. KC obtained the data, designed the study, and drafted and revised the introduction and conclusion sections of the paper. CB, JC, and PL revised the manuscript for content and contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data. PM obtained the data, designed the study, and drafted and revised the introduction and conclusion sections of the paper.
326	7 Funding
327 328 329 330 331 332	This study was funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through the Cajal Blue Brain (C080020-09; the Spanish partner of the Blue Brain initiative from École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne) and TIN2016-79684-P projects, the Regional Government of Madrid through the S2013/ICE-2845-CASI-CAM-CM project, the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 720270, and the National Institute of Health Research in the UK (UKCRN No: 10084).
333	8 Acknowledgements
334 335 336	We acknowledge data collection efforts by all contributors, collaborators, and administrative staff of the NILS study and the scale development group of the NMSS. KRC also acknowledges the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Kings College, London, and the National Parkinson Foundation, USA.

Barone P, Poewe W, Albrecht S, Debieuvre C, Massey D, Rascol O, et al. Pramipexole for the

treatment of depressive symptoms in patients with Parkinson's disease: a randomised, double-blind,

placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Neurol 2010;9(6):573-80. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70106-X

337

338

339

340

9

References

- 342 Burn DJ, Landau S, Hindle JV, Samuel M, Wilson KC, Hurt CS, Brown RG; PROMS-PD Study
- 343 Group. Parkinson's disease motor subtypes and mood. Mov Disord 2012;27(3):379-386. doi:
- 344 10.1002/mds.24041
- 345 Chaudhuri KR, Martinez-Martin P, Brown RG, Sethi K, Stocchi F, Odin P et al. The metric
- 346 properties of a novel non-motor symptoms scale for Parkinson's disease: results from an international
- 347 pilot study. Mov Disord 2007;22(13):1901-1911. doi: 10.1002/mds.21596
- 348 de Pedro-Cuesta J, Martinez-Martin P, Rábano A, Ruiz-Tovar M, Alcalde-Cabero E, Calero M
- 349 Etiologic Framework for the Study of Neurodegenerative Disorders as Well as Vascular and
- 350 Metabolic Comorbidities on the Grounds of Shared Epidemiologic and Biologic Features. Front
- 351 Aging Neurosci 2016;8:138. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2016.00138
- 352 Erro R, Vitale C, Amboni M, Picillo M, Moccia M, Longo K, et al. The heterogeneity of early
- 353 Parkinson's disease: a cluster analysis on newly diagnosed untreated patients. PLoS ONE
- 354 2013;8(8):e70244. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0070244
- 355 Flensborg Damholdt M, Shevlin M, Borghammer P, Larsen L, Ostergaard K. Clinical heterogeneity
- 356 in Parkinson's disease revisited: a latent profile analysis. Acta Neurol Scand 2012;125(5):311-318.
- 357 doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0404.2011.01561.x
- 358 Gibb WR, Lees AJ. The relevance of the Lewy body to the pathogenesis of idiopathic Parkinson's
- 359 disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1988;51(6):745-752. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.51.6.745
- 360 Gjerløff T, Fedorova T, Knudsen K, Munk OL, Nahimi A, Jacobsen S, et al. Imaging
- acetylcholinesterase density in peripheral organs in Parkinson's disease with 11C-donepezil PET.
- 362 Brain 2015;138(Pt 3):653-663. doi: 10.1093/brain/awu369
- 363 Graham JM, Sagar HJ. A data-driven approach to the study of heterogeneity in idiopathic
- Parkinson's disease: identification of three distinct subtypes. Mov Disord 1999;14(1):10-20. doi:
- 365 10.1002/1531-8257(199901)14:1<10::AID-MDS1005>3.0.CO;2-4
- Hoehn MM, Yahr MD. Parkinsonism: onset, progression, and mortality. Neurology 1967;17(5):427-
- 367 442. doi: 10.1212/WNL.17.5.427
- 368 Hubert L, Arabie P. Comparing partitions. J Classification 1985;2(1):193-218. doi:
- 369 10.1007/BF01908075
- 370 Jellinger KA. Neuropathology of sporadic PD disease: evaluation and change of concepts. Mov
- 371 Disord 2012;27(1):8-30. doi: 10.1002/mds.23795

- 372 Lees AJ, Hardy J, Revesz T. Parkinson's disease. Lancet 2009;373(9680):2055-2066. doi:
- 373 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60492-X
- 374 Ma LY, Chan P, Gu ZQ, Li FF, Feng T. Heterogeneity among patients with Parkinson's disease:
- 375 Cluster analysis and genetic association. J Neurol Sci 2015;351(1):41-45. doi
- 376 10.1016/j.jns.2015.02.029
- 377 Marinus J, Visser M, Stiggelbout AM, Rabey JM, Martinez-Martin P, Bonuccelli U, et al. A short
- 378 scale for the assessment of motor impairments and disabilities in Parkinson's disease: the
- 379 SPES/SCOPA. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2004;75(3):388-395. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2003.017509
- 380 Marras C, Chaudhuri KR. Non-motor features of Parkinson's disease subtypes. Mov Disord
- 381 2016;31(8):1095-1102. doi: 10.1002/mds.26510
- 382 Martinez-Martin P, Benito-Leon J, Burguera JA, Castro A, Linazasoro G, Martinez-Castrillo JC, et
- 383 al. The SCOPA-Motor Scale for assessment of Parkinson's disease is a consistent and valid measure.
- 384 J Clin Epidemiol 2005;58(7):674-679. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.09.014
- 385 Martinez-Martin P, Forjaz MJ, Cubo E, Frades B, de Pedro Cuesta J; ELEP Project Members. Global
- 386 versus factor-related impression of severity in Parkinson's disease: a new clinimetric index (CISI-
- 387 PD). Mov Disord. 2006;21(2):208-214. doi: 10.1002/mds.20697
- 388 Martinez-Martin P, Rodriguez-Blazquez C, Abe K, Bhattacharyya KB, Bloem BR, Carod-Artal FJ, et
- 389 al. International study on the psychometric attributes of the Non-Motor Symptoms Scale in Parkinson
- 390 disease. Neurology 2009a;73(19):1584-1591. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c0d416
- 391 Martinez-Martin P, Rodriguez-Blazquez C, Forjaz MJ, de Pedro J; Spanish-American Longitudinal
- 392 PD Patient Study Group. The Clinical Impression of Severity Index for Parkinson's Disease:
- 393 international validation study. Mov Disord. 2009b;24(2):211-217. doi: 10.1002/mds.22320
- 394 Pont-Sunyer C, Hotter A, Gaig C, Seppi K, Compta Y, Katzenschlager R, et al. The onset of
- nonmotor symptoms in Parkinson's disease (the ONSET PD study). Mov Disord 2015;30(2):229-237.
- 396 doi: 10.1002/mds.26077
- 397 Postuma RB, Berg D, Stern M, Poewe W, Olanow CW, Oertel W, et al. MDS clinical diagnostic
- 398 criteria for Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2015;30(12):1591-1601. doi: 10.1002/mds.26424
- 399 Ray Chaudhuri K, Rojo JM, Schapira AH, Brooks DJ, Stocchi F, Odin P, et al. A proposal for a
- 400 comprehensive grading of Parkinson's disease severity combining motor and non-motor assessments:
- 401 meeting an unmet need. PLoS ONE 2013;8(2):e57221. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057221

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

Deleted: Mollenhauer B, Zimmermann J, Sixel-Döring F, Focke NK, Wicke T, Ebentheuer J, et al. Monitoring of 30 marker candidates in early Parkinson's disease as progression markers. Neurology 2016;87(2):168-177. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000002651.

- 407 Reijnders JS, Ehrt U, Lousberg R, Aarsland D, Leentjens AF. The association between motor
- 408 subtypes and psychopathology in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2009;15(5):379-
- 409 382. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2008.09.003
- 410 Romenets SR, Gagnon JF, Latreille V, Panniset M, Chouinard S, Montplaisir J, et al. Mov Disord
- 411 2012;27(8):996-1003. doi: 10.1002/mds.25086
- 412 Sauerbier A, Jenner P, Todorova A, Chaudhuri KR. Non motor subtypes and Parkinson's disease.
- 413 Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2016;22(Suppl 1):S41-46. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2015.09.027
- 414 Seichepine DR, Neargarder S, Miller IN, Riedel TM, Gilmore GC, Cronin-Golomb A. Relation of
- 415 Parkinson's disease subtypes to visual activities of daily living. J Int Neuropsychol Soc
- 416 2011;17(5):841-852. doi: 10.1017/S1355617711000853
- 417 Selikhova M, Williams DR, Kempster PA, Holton JL, Revesz T, Lees AJ. A clinico-pathological
- 418 study of subtypes in Parkinson's disease. Brain 2009;132(Pt 11):2947-2957. doi:
- 419 10.1093/brain/awp234
- 420 Tibshirani R, Walther G, Hastie T. Estimating the number of clusters in a data set via the gap
- 421 statistic. JR Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol 2001;63(2):411-423. doi: 10.1111/1467-9868.00293
- 422 Trenkwalder C, Kies B, Rudzinska M, Fine J, Nikl J, Honczarenko K, et al. Rotigotine effects on
- 423 early morning motor function and sleep in Parkinson's disease: a double-blind, randomized, placebo
- 424 controlled study (RECOVER). Mov Disord 2011;26(1):90-9. doi: 10.1002/mds.23441
- 425 van Rooden SM, Colas F, Martinez-Martin P, Visser M, Verbaan D, Marinus J, et al. Clinical
- 426 subtypes of Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2011;26(1):51-58. doi: 10.1002/mds.23346
- 427 Warren JD, Rohrer JD, Schott JM, Fox NC, Hardy J, Rossor MN. Molecular nexopathies: a new
- 428 paradigm of neurodegenerative disease. Trends Neurosci 2013;36(10):561-569. doi.
- 429 10.1016/j.tins.2013.06.007

430 **10 Tables**

431 **Table 1.** Description of the sample.

	Mean	SD	Median	Range
Age at study	64.28	9.86	65	34 - 89
Age at onset of Parkinson's disease (PD onset)	56.27	10.72	57	25 - 89

Duration of the disease (PD duration)	8.01	5.80	7	0 - 40
Non-Motor Symptoms Scale total score	50.45	41.72	39	0 - 225
Cardiovascular	1.74	3.26	0	0 - 24
Sleep/Fatigue	8.76	8.71	6	0 - 48
Mood/Apathy	8.67	11.54	4	0 - 60
Perceptual problems/Hallucinations	1.64	3.86	0	0 - 33
Attention/Memory	5.40	7.42	2	0 - 36
Gastrointestinal	5.53	6.78	3	0 - 36
Urinary	8.07	8.93	5	0 - 36
Sexual function	3.53	5.98	0	0 - 24
Miscellaneous	7.12	7.78	4	0 - 48
Cardinal motor features *				
Tremor	2.59	2.58	2	0 - 12
Bradykinesia	2.40	1.41	2	0 - 6
Rigidity	2.23	1.36	2	0 - 6
Axial	3.25	2.67	3	0 - 12
SCOPA-Motor Total score	21.07	12.06	19	1 - 72
A. Examination	11.54	6.56	10	0 - 41
B. Activities of daily living	6.80	4.19	7	0 - 21
C. Complications	2.73	3.01	2	0 - 12
Clinical Impression of Severity Index	8.29	4.61	8	0 - 24

SD: Standard deviation. SCOPA: Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease.

432

433

Table 2. Domains clustering summary. Unless otherwise specified, statistics are reported as mean (sd).

Cluster D1 D2 D3 D4

^{*} Scores derived from items of the SCOPA-Motor A. Examination.

	n (%)	428 (47%)	180 (20%)	232 (26%)	64 (7%)
Non-motor	1. Cardiovascular	0.7 (1.5) ^{2,4}	3.2 (3.7) ^{1,3,4}	1.1 (2.1) ^{2,4}	6.9 (6.4) ^{1,2,3}
domains	2. Sleep/fatigue	$4.5(5.0)^{2,3,4}$	16 (8.7) ^{1,3,4}	7.5 (6.6) ^{1,2,4}	21.7 (9.7) ^{1,2,3}
	3. Mood/apathy	3.4 (4.8) ^{2,3,4}	19.2 (15.0) ^{1,3}	6.6 (8.0) ^{1,2,4}	21.7 (13.5) ^{1,3}
	4. Perception/hallucination	0.5 (1.7) ^{2,4}	2.7 (4.3) ^{1,3,4}	0.8 (1.8) ^{2,4}	9.7 (6.9) ^{1,2,3}
	5. Attention/memory	3.0 (4.5) ^{2,4}	10.5 (9.2) ^{1,3,4}	3.3 (4.4) ^{2,4}	14.5 (11.0) ^{1,2,3}
	6. Gastrointestinal	2.9 (4.1) ^{2,3,4}	8.5 (7.1) ^{1,3,4}	4.7 (5.3) ^{1,2,4}	17.4 (9.2) ^{1,2,3}
	7. Urinary	4.7 (6.2) ^{2,4}	14.0 (9.9) ^{1,3,4}	6.2 (6.7) ^{2,4}	20.3 (9.7) ^{1,2,3}
	8. Sexual function	1.7 (3.4) ^{2,3,4}	7.3 (7.8) ^{1,3}	2.4 (4.1) ^{1,2,4}	9.0 (9.9) ^{1,3}
	9. Miscellaneous	4.0 (4.8) ^{2,3,4}	13.2 (8.7) ^{1,3}	6.2 (6.8) ^{1,2,4}	14.5 (10.1) ^{1,3}
Motor symptoms	Axial	1.7 (1.5) ^{2,3,4}	3.6 (2.2) ^{1,3,4}	4.5 (2.3) ^{1,2,4}	8.2 (2.7) ^{1,2,3}
	Bradykinesia	1.6 (0.9) ^{2,3,4}	2.2 (1.1) ^{1,3,4}	3.5 (1.0) ^{1,2,4}	4.5 (1.3) ^{1,2,3}
	Rigidity	1.5 (0.9) ^{2,3,4}	1.8 (1.2) ^{1,3,4}	3.3 (1.0) ^{1,2,4}	4.2(1.2) ^{1,2,3}
	Tremor	2.0 (1.9) ^{3,4}	1.5 (1.8) ^{3,4}	4.1 (2.8) ^{1,2}	4.1 (4.1) ^{1,2}
	Motor complications	1.4 (2.1) ^{2,3,4}	3.1 (2.9) ^{1,4}	3.7 (2.9) ^{1,4}	7.0 (3.6) ^{1,2,3}
Variables not	Sex (% male)	64	54	67	58
used in analysis	CISI-PD total	5.5 (3.0) ^{2,3,4}	9.6 (3.8) ^{1,4}	10.1 (3.5) ^{1,4}	16.4 (4.6) ^{1,2,3}
	Age	62.5 (9.7) ⁴	65.2 (9.4) ⁴	64.9 (10.1) ⁴	71.1 (7.9) ^{1,2,3}
	PD onset	56 (10.5)	56.6 (10.6)	56.3 (11.3)	56.7 (10.6)
	PD duration	6.5 (4.7) ^{2,3,4}	8.6 (5.7) ^{1,4}	8.6 (5.7) ^{1,4}	14.4 (8.0) ^{1,2,3}

 $^{^{1}}$ Significant difference with cluster D1 (corrected p < 0.05)

 $^{^2}$ Significant difference with cluster D2 (corrected p < 0.05)

 $^{^3}$ Significant difference with cluster D3 (corrected p < 0.05)

434 435

Table 3. Symptoms clustering summary. Unless otherwise specified, statistics are reported as mean (sd).

	Cluster	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6
	n(%)	456 (50%)	201 (22%)	100 (11%)	73 (8%)	54 (6%)	20 (2%)
1.	Lightheadedness	0.5 (1.1) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	1.7 (2.5)1,5,6	2.5 (3.4)1,6	1.9 (2.5)1,6	3.3 (3.7)1,2,6	7.7 (3.6)1,2,3,4,5
Cardiovascular	Fainting	0.1 (0.6)6	0.3 (1) ⁶	0.1 (0.5) ⁶	0.5 (1.2)6	0.1 (0.5)6	6.3 (2.9)1,2,3,4,5
2. Sleep/	Drowsiness	0.9 (1.8) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	2.4 (2.9)1,5,6	2.3 (2.9)1,5,6	2.2 (3)1,5,6	6.6 (4.2)1,2,3,4	5.2 (3)1,2,3,4
fatigue	Fatigue	1.2 (1.9) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	4.2 (3.7) ^{1,4,5}	4.5 (4)1,5	5.9 (4.2) ^{1,2}	8 (3.5) ^{1,2,3}	6.9 (3.1) ¹
	Insomnia	1.1 (2.2) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	3 (3.8) ^{1,4}	3.1 (4.2) ^{1,4}	5.3 (4.8)1,2,3	4.7 (4.8)1	5 (2.4)1
	RLS	0.5 (1.3) ^{2,4,5,6}	2.4 (3.5)1,3,6	1 (2.4) ^{2,5,6}	1.8 (3.2) ^{1,6}	3.2 (4.2) ^{1,3}	4.9 (2.6) ^{1,2,3,4}
3. Mood/	Loss interest	0.4 (0.9) ^{2,4,5,6}	1.1 (1.8)1,4,5,6	0.6 (1.3)4,5,6	6.6 (3.9)1,2,3,5	4.5 (3.7) ^{1,2,3,4}	5.3 (2.8) ^{1,2,3}
apathy	Loss activities	0.6 (1.3) ^{2,4,5,6}	1.9 (2.7) ^{1,4,5,6}	1 (2)4,5,6	7.8 (3.5)1,2,3,5,6	6 (4.5) ^{1,2,3,4}	4.7 (2.9)1,2,3,4
	Anxiety	0.8 (1.6) ^{2,4,5,6}	2.2 (2.9)1,4,5,6	1.6 (2.9)4,5,6	5.8 (4.3)1,2,3	6.4 (4.4) ^{1,2,3}	4.7 (3)1,2,3
	Depression	0.7 (1.4) ^{2,4,5,6}	2.7 (3.2)1,3,4,5,6	1.4 (2.3) ^{2,4,5,6}	7.4 (4) ^{1,2,3}	5.8 (4.3) ^{1,2,3}	5.3 (3.2) ^{1,2,3}
	Flat affect	0.3 (1) ^{2,4,5,6}	1.1 (2.1) ^{1,4,5}	0.8 (2.1)4,5,6	4.8 (4.3)1,2,3,5	2.9 (3.7)1,2,3,4	3 (2.1) ^{1,3}
	Loss pleasure	0.3 (1.1)4,5,6	1 (1.8)4,5,6	0.8 (1.8)4,5,6	7.3 (3.8)1,2,3,5,6	4.6 (4.2) ^{1,2,3,4}	3.6 (2.8) ^{1,2,3,4}
4. Perception/	Hallucination	0.2 (0.9)5,6	0.6 (1.7)5,6	0.7 (1.9)5,6	0.6 (1.8) ^{5,6}	2.7 (3.3)1,2,3,4,6	4.8 (3.3)1,2,3,4,5
hallucination	Delusion	0.1 (0.6)4,5,6	0.3 (1.4)4,5,6	0.1 (0.6)4,5,6	1.3 (3)1,2,3,6	2 (3.5) ^{1,2,3,6}	4.7 (3.4)1,2,3,4,5

⁴ Significant difference with cluster D4 (corrected p < 0.05)

	Diplopia	0.2 (0.8)5,6	0.6 (1.4)5,6	0.4 (1.6)5,6	0.8 (2.2)5,6	3.1 (4.4) ^{1,2,3,4}	3.6 (2.7)1,2,3,4
5. Attention/	Loss concentration	0.7 (1.5) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	2.6 (2.9)1,5,6	2.2 (3.4) ^{1,5,6}	2.9 (2.9)1,5	7.5 (3.9)1,2,3,4	5.1 (2.8) ^{1,2,3}
memory	Forget explicit	0.7 (1.3) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	2.2 (2.7) ^{1,5,6}	2.2 (3)1,5,6	2.1 (2.5) ^{1,5,6}	8.5 (3.2) ^{1,2,3,4,6}	4.8 (2.9)1,2,3,4,5
	Forget implicit	0.5 (1.2) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	1.9 (2.6) ^{1,5,6}	1.5 (2.7)1,5,6	1.8 (2.2) ^{1,5,6}	7.6 (4.1) ^{1,2,3,4,6}	5.1 (3.2) ^{1,2,3,4,5}
6.	Drooling	0.6 (1.5) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	2.3 (3.3) ^{1,5,6}	3.3 (4.1) ^{1,6}	3.3 (4.2) ^{1,6}	4.2 (4.8) ^{1,2}	6.2 (3.3) ^{1,2,3,4}
Gastrointestinal	Swallowing	0.3 (0.8) ^{2,3,5,6}	2 (3)1,6	1.2 (2)1,6	1.2 (2)6	2.3 (2.9)1,6	6.5 (4.2) ^{1,2,3,4,5}
	Constipation	1.5 (2.7) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	3 (3.8) ^{1,6}	3.8 (4.4) ^{1,6}	3.7 (4.4) ^{1,6}	4.5 (4.9) ^{1,6}	8.8 (4.4) ^{1,2,3,4,5}
7. Urinary	Urinary urgency	0.9 (1.7) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	1.8 (2.4) ^{1,3,5,6}	6.6 (3.8) ^{1,2,4}	2.4 (3.4) ^{1,3,5,6}	7.7 (4.3) ^{1,2,4}	6.2 (3.5) ^{1,2,4}
	Urinary frequency	0.9 (1.8)3,4,5,6	1.3 (1.9)3,4,5,6	7.7 (3.5) ^{1,2,4}	3.1 (3.6) ^{1,2,3,5,6}	6.2 (4.5) ^{1,2,4}	6.7 (3.1) ^{1,2,4}
	Nocturia	1.7 (2.3) ^{3,4,5,6}	2.6 (2.9)3,4,5,6	8.5 (3.5) ^{1,2,4}	4.6 (4.2) ^{1,2,3,5}	6.9 (4.4) ^{1,2,4}	7.1 (3.4) ^{1,2}
8. Sexual	Sex drive	0.7 (1.7) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	1.9 (3.4)1,5	3 (4.1) ^{1,5}	3.6 (4.4)1,5	6 (5.3)1,2,3,4	3.9 (5.3)1
	Sex dysfunction	0.7 (2)3,4,5,6	1.8 (3.3) ^{3,5}	3.4 (4.3) ^{1,2}	2.3 (4.2) ^{1,5}	5 (5.2) ^{1,2,4}	3.8 (5.6)1
9.	Unexplained pain	0.7 (1.8) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	2.6 (3.9)1	2.4 (4)1	2.3 (3.6)1	4.3 (5)1	4.3 (2.2)1
Miscellaneous	Gustation/olfaction	1.2 (2.5) ^{2,4,5,6}	4 (4.2)1	2.5 (3.6)	3 (3.9)1	4 (4.7)1	5.5 (4.7)1
	Weight change	0.8 (1.4) ^{2,4,5,6}	1.9 (3.1) ^{1,5}	1.8 (3)5	2.1 (2.8)1	3.6 (4.3) ^{1,2,3}	4 (3.9)1
	Sweating	0.6 (1.6) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	2.5 (3.9)1	2.4 (3.9)1	2.1 (3.6)1	2.8 (3.9)1	3.9 (3.4)1
Motor	Axial	2.3 (2) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	3.5 (2.6) ^{1,5,6}	4 (2.8) ^{1,6}	4.4 (2.7) ^{1,6}	5.3 (3.3) ^{1,2,6}	8.5 (2.3) ^{1,2,3,4,5}
symptoms	Bradykinesia	2.1 (1.2)4,5,6	2.5 (1.4) ⁶	2.5 (1.4) ⁶	2.9 (1.4) ^{1,6}	3 (1.6) ^{1,6}	4.5 (1.7)1,2,3,4,5

	Rigidity	2 (1.2) ⁶	2.3 (1.5)6	2.3 (1.4) ⁶	2.5 (1.3)6	2.6 (1.5)6	4.7 (1) ^{1,2,3,4,5}
	Tremor	2.6 (2.4)6	2.5 (2.4)6	2.1 (2.5)6	2.5 (2.6)6	2.4 (2.8)6	5.8 (5.3)1,2,3,4,5
	Motor comp	1.9 (2.5) ^{2,4,5,6}	3.5 (3) ^{1,6}	2.7 (2.7) ⁶	3.7 (3.3)1,6	3.9 (3.7)1,6	8 (3.7) ^{1,2,3,4,5}
Variables not	Sex	67	57	64	49	63	50
used in analysis	CISI PD total	6.4 (3.5) ^{2,3,4,5,6}	9.3 (4.1)1,5,6	9.4 (4.1)1,6	10.7 (4.6) ^{1,6}	11.8 (5.5) ^{1,2,6}	18.4 (4.2)1,2,3,4,5
	Age	62.8 (10)3,5,6	63.8 (10) ⁵	68.3 (7.5) ¹	63.1 (9.2)	69.7 (9.2) ^{1,2}	72.1 (7.7) ¹
	PD onset	56.2 (10.8)	55 (10.7)	58.2 (10)	54.8 (10.3)	58.8 (11.7)	59.2 (8.6)
	PD duration	6.6 (4.8) ^{2,3,5,6}	8.8 (5.9)1	10.1 (6.7)1	8.3 (4.9)	11 (8.5)1	12.8 (6.5)1

 $^{^{}n}$ Significant difference with cluster Sn (corrected p < 0.05)

436 Table 4. Contingency table describing cross-categorization of individuals in the domains and437 symptoms clusters.

Symptoms clusters

		S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	Total
Domains clusters	D1	335	64	26	3	0	0	428
	D2	0	54	46	49	31	0	180
	D3	121	77	22	12	0	0	232
	D4	0	6	6	9	23	20	64
Total		456	201	100	73	54	20	904

11 Figure legends

438

439

440

441

442

Figure 1. Heatmap of variables for each cluster in the domains clustering, separated by white lines according to 9 non-motor domains, 4 cardinal motor features, motor complications, and 4 general variables not included in the analyses. Since symptoms have different scales, cluster means for each symptom are displayed as standardized scores relative to each overall symptom mean.

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

- 443 Figure 2. Heatmap of variables for each cluster in the symptoms clustering, separated by white lines
- 444 according to 30 individual non-motor symptoms and variables not included in the analysis (cardinal
- 445 motor features, motor complications, and other general variables). Since symptoms have different
- scales, cluster means for each symptom are displayed as standardized scores relative to each overall
- 447 symptom mean.
- 448 Figure 3. Average-linkage hierarchical clustering of motor (blue) and non-motor (black) symptoms.
- 449 Symptoms are labeled with their name and corresponding domain number. The tree is colored with 5
- 450 clusters.
- 451 Figure 4. Scatterplots of selected symptoms against disease duration. For clarity, scatterplot points
- 452 are colored according to cluster and jittered slightly. Smoothed loess curves for each cluster are
- 453 drawn in their respective cluster colors. The black curve is the curve for the entire population, and the
- 454 global mean score is marked with a dotted line.