WRITE PETITION -----ARTICLE 226.

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT
NEW DELHI
Extraordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO OF 2017
IN THE MATTER OF:-
DEEPAK AGGARWALPETITIONERVERSUSUNION OF INDIA & ORSRESPONDENTS
MEMO OF PARTIES
1. DEEPAK AGGARWALS/O LATE OM PRAKASH AGGARWAL
R/O, SEC 13, ROHINI, DELHI
-110085PETITIONE

VERSUS

- 1. UNION OF INDIA, THROUGHMINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, THROUGH ITSJOINT SECRETARY, NORTH BLOCK CENTRAL SECRETARIAT, NEW DELHI-110001...RESPONDENT NO. 1
- 2. PROF. YOGESH K TYAGI, VICE CHANCELLOR

 PATRON OF DUSU, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI
 110007...RESPONDENT NO. 2
- 3. NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN (NDMC HEAD OFFICE) PALIKA KENDRA, PARLIAMENT STREET, NEW DELHI-110001... RESPONDENT NO. 3
- 4. COMMISSIONER OF POLICE -

DELHIPOLICE HEADQUARTERS, M.S.O BUILDING, NEW DELHI-110002...RESPONDENT NO. 4

Hence, this present Writ Petition.

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

Extraordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:-

DEEPAK AGGARWAL ...PETITIONERVERSUSUNION OF INDIA & ORS. ...RESPONDENTSHence, this present Writ Petition.

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

Extraordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.___ OF 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:-

DEEPAK AGGARWAL ...PETITIONERVERSUSUNION OF INDIA & ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE &

HIS COMPANION JUSTICES OF THIS HON'BLE COURT THE HUMBLE PETITION OF THE PETITIONER ABOVENAMEDMOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

- 1. That the Writ Petitioner has no personal interest in the litigation. The Petition is not guided by self-gain or for gain of any other person/institution/body and that there is no motive other than of public interest infiling the Writ Petition.
- 2.That the petitioner who is an advocate by profession is heart wrenched atthe defacement of public properties across all quarters of Delhi in the wakeof the upcoming DUSU elections as also owing to the manifest ineptnessof the concerned authorities to curtail the aforesaid menace wasconstrained to undertake a painstaking direct and indirect research throughthe internet from 2013 to present & field surveys of students in person witha view to find out the measures taken by the concerned authorities to setthe law into motion.

However, astonishingly it has been found that the said nefarious practiceshave been carried out inordinately with

complete impunity, wherein theconcerned authorities have been only a mute spectator to the students' grievances and public spirited citizens in every consecutive year. The samealso gets underpinned by the statements issued by the senior officers of theconcerned authorities to the Leading News, whereupon they have doggedlycited their helplessness to put an end to the menace, instead passing the buck onto the connected authorities. [The copies of newspaper reports are appended as Annexure.

- 3. That the petitioner upon noticing the blatant violation of the law by theaforesaid candidate captured photographs of the defacements and destruction of public property across various parts of the city. [The copies of photographs are appended as Annexure
- 4. That the petitioner has preferred the instant writ petition under Article 226of the Constitution of India seeking directions in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the respondents to candidates participating Delhi University Student's Election (her einafter referred as "DUSU"), 2017 fromsoiling the walls, Public properties, private shopswith the use of ink, chalk, paint and/or poster across the city as this doesnot cohere with the surrounding have thereby strong bearing on theappearance or beauty, further as the same is done in a public place, is

aneyesore to the viewers, and violates Fundamental Right under Article

21 by robbing the citizens of clean and beautiful environment a ndsurroundings free from any defacement further it also results in irrational, arbitrary exercise of their student election candidature and thus, violation of Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution

5. That since the Respondents 1 to 4 have failed to discharge their statutoryand constitutional obligations the Petitioner does not have any otheralternative and equally efficacious remedy and is constrained to file theinstant Writ Petition in the nature of a PIL, on the following amongst other