1   Overview of Research Statement

My research investigates the complex dynamics of labor markets, focusing on the interplay of immigration policies, technological change, robot automation, human innovation, and market concentration. Employing innovative methodologies —including the application of large language models to U.S. patent descriptions, difference-in-differences analyses with novel instruments, and general equilibrium frameworks— I provide empirical evidence and theoretical insights into how global economic forces reshape labor markets.

2   Impact of Temporary Foreign Workers on Labor Markets

My job market paper, titled "How the Reduction of Temporary Foreign Workers Led to a Rise in Vacancy Rates in South Korea," has been submitted to the *Journal of Human Capital* and has received an invitation for revise and resubmit. It investigates the causal impact of reducing low-skilled temporary foreign workers (TFWs) on job vacancies in South Korea's manufacturing sectors. Utilizing a difference-in-differences analysis with a shift-share instrument based on the COVID-19 quarantine shock, I examine how the sudden reduction in TFWs affected unfilled vacancy rates.

Key findings reveal that sectors heavily dependent on TFWs experienced significant increases in unfilled vacancy rates for two years following the pandemic's onset. Domestic workers were unable to fill the gap left by TFWs, particularly in sectors with intense workloads and long hours. The study underscores the critical role of TFWs in mitigating labor shortages and challenges the notion that reducing foreign labor automatically benefits domestic workers.

To corroborate these findings, I utilized the Local Projection (LP) method developed by Jordà (2005), which augmented the robustness of the results. The analysis revealed that subsequent to a negative shock in foreign labor supply, the vacancy rate exhibits an initial increase, followed by a gradual decline, ultimately converging to its baseline level. This observed pattern is consistent with the extant literature (Pissarides, 2000; Anastasopoulos et al., 2021; Schiman, 2021; Iftikhar and Zaharieva, 2019).

The referees have requested an investigation into the factors contributing to the decline in vacancy rates following the two-year pandemic period. Potential explanations to be explored include the return of TFWs after the relaxation of quarantine measures, market exits by firms (Abramitzky et al., 2019), and the implementation of labor-saving technologies such as automation and robotics (Clemens et al., 2018; Acemoglu, 2010). A comprehensive understanding of these dynamics is essential for the final acceptance of this paper.

3   Measuring Routine and Cognitive Task Indices

"Measuring Routine and Cognitive Task Indices Using Large Language Models to Analyze Occupational Change in the United States" is currently under review for *Labour Economics*, pending revision requests. This study introduces an innovative methodology for quantifying Routine Task Intensity (RTI) and Cognitive Task Intensity (CTI) by employing large language models (LLMs) to analyze O*NET task descriptions at a granular six-digit SOC level.

This approach addresses limitations in existing RTI measures (Autor and Dorn, 2013; Autor et al., 2003; Goos et al., 2009; Fernández-Macías and Hurley, 2017; Marcolin et al., 2016) by harnessing the natural language understanding capabilities of LLMs. The research findings demonstrate a consistent decline in routine occupations across service and sales sectors. Furthermore, the study identifies a significant upward trend in the cognitive impact on wages for female workers, particularly pronounced in service, sales, and management occupations.

4   Automation, Human Task Innovation, and Labor Share

The study "Automation, Human Task Innovation, and Labor Share" examines the global decline in labor share since 2005, emphasizing the effects of robotic and human innovation within a general equilibrium framework. The research addresses endogeneity issues across countries and sectors by employing novel shift-share variables, including operational robot data, patent descriptions' similarity to automation vocabularies using semantic technology, and cognitive task intensity scores.

To the author's knowledge, Autor et al. (2024) represents the sole empirical investigation of robotic and human innovation within a unified framework. While sharing similarities, this study differentiates itself in two key aspects: firstly, it incorporates country variation, with a focus on EU nations. Secondly, it employs a diverse array of novel shift-share instruments, developed by me.

The findings indicate that robotic innovation negatively impacts labor share, whereas human innovation predominantly exerts a positive influence. Furthermore, the elasticity of substitution between labor and non-robot capital is found to be less than unity, indicating a complementary relationship. This observation aligns with the prevailing literature, as noted by Chirinko (2008), Grossman and Oberfield (2022), and Glover and Short (2020).

5   Market Concentration and Labor Share in the EU

Building upon Autor et al. (2020)'s 'superstar firms' hypothesis, which postulates a global trend of increasing market concentration leading to a declining labor share, this study specifically examines whether this pattern holds in EU countries. Utilizing Orbis Historical firm-level data, I investigate trends in EU market concentration.

The preliminary findings suggest a potentially divergent pattern in the EU compared to the United States. First, the study observes that concentration has declined over three decades, corroborating the findings of Kalemli-Özcan et al. (2024). Second, I find no significant correlation between markups and concentration. Third, there appears to be no substantial correlation between concentration and labor share. The study is further examining these findings by distinguishing between foreign-based and domestic-based firms, as their implications may differ significantly, as demonstrated by (Kalemli-Özcan et al., 2024).

To enhance the robustness and persuasiveness of our analysis, I am also developing an alternative model that explains these novel findings.

References

Abramitzky, Ran, Philipp Ager, Leah Platt Boustan, Elior Cohen, and Casper W. Hansen, *The Effects of Immigration on the Economy: Lessons from the 1920s Border Closure*, National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 26536, 2019.

Acemoglu, Daron, *When Does Labor Scarcity Encourage Innovation?*, Journal of Political Economy, vol. 118, no. 6, pp. 1037–1078, 2010.

Anastasopoulos, L. Jason, George J. Borjas, Gavin G. Cook, and Michael Lachanski, *Job Vacancies and Immigration: Evidence from the Mariel Supply Shock*, Journal of Human Capital, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–33, 2021.

Autor, David H., Frank Levy, and Richard J. Murnane, *The Skill Content of Recent Technological Change: An Empirical Exploration*, The Quarterly Journal of Economics, vol. 118, no. 4, pp. 1279–1333, 2003.

Autor, David H., and David Dorn, *The Growth of Low-Skill Service Jobs and the Polarization of the US Labor Market*, American Economic Review, vol. 103, no. 5, pp. 1553–1597, 2013.

Autor, David, David Dorn, Lawrence F. Katz, Christina Patterson, and John Van Reenen, *The Fall of the Labor Share and the Rise of Superstar Firms*, The Quarterly Journal of Economics, vol. 135, no. 2, pp. 645–709, 2020.

Autor, David, Caroline Chin, Anna Salomons, and Bryan Seegmiller, *New Frontiers: The Origins and Content of New Work, 1940–2018*, The Quarterly Journal of Economics, forthcoming, 2024.

Chirinko, Robert S., σ: *The Long and Short of It*, Journal of Macroeconomics, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 671–686, 2008.

Clemens, Michael A., Ethan G. Lewis, and Hannah M. Postel, *Immigration Restrictions as Active Labor Market Policy: Evidence from the Mexican Bracero Exclusion*, American Economic Review, vol. 108, no. 6, pp. 1468–1487, 2018.

Fernández-Macías, Enrique, and John Hurley, *Routine-Biased Technical Change and Job Polarization in Europe*, Socio-Economic Review, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 563–585, 2017.

Glover, Andrew, and Jacob Short, *Can Capital Deepening Explain the Global Decline in Labor's Share?*, Review of Economic Dynamics, vol. 35, pp. 35–53, 2020.

Goos, Maarten, Alan Manning, and Anna Salomons, *Job Polarization in Europe*, American Economic Review, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 58–63, 2009.

Grossman, Gene M., and Ezra Oberfield, *The Elusive Explanation for the Declining Labor Share*, Annual Review of Economics, vol. 14, pp. 93–124, 2022.

Iftikhar, Zainab, and Anna Zaharieva, *General Equilibrium Effects of Immigration in Germany: Search and Matching Approach*, Review of Economic Dynamics, vol. 31, pp. 245–276, 2019.

Jordà, Òscar, *Estimation and Inference of Impulse Responses by Local Projections*, American Economic Review, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 161–182, 2005.

Kalemli-Özcan, Şebnem, Bent E. Sørensen, Carolina Villegas-Sanchez, Vadym Volosovych, and Sevcan Yeşiltaş, *How to Construct Nationally Representative Firm-Level Data from the Orbis Global Database: New Facts on SMEs and Aggregate Implications for Industry Concentration*, American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 353–374, 2024.

Marcolin, Luca, Sébastien Miroudot, and Mariagrazia Squicciarini, *The Routine Content of Occupations: New Cross-Country Measures Based on PIAAC*, OECD Trade Policy Papers, No. 188, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2016.

Pissarides, Christopher A., *Equilibrium Unemployment Theory*, 2nd edition, MIT Press, 2000.

Schiman, Stefan, *Labor Supply Shocks and the Beveridge Curve—Empirical Evidence from EU Enlargement*, Review of Economic Dynamics, vol. 40, pp. 108–127, 2021.