

# Assignment 1

Rajbir Malik

2017CS10416

## Theorem

$$\forall \text{exptree } e \\ \text{mk\_big}(\text{eval}(e)) = \text{stackmc li}(\text{compile } e)$$

## Proof

We shall be proving the theorem by applying induction on the `height` of `exptree`.

### Base Case

The base case `height` for `exptree` is 0. In this case the tree `e`, is just `N(x)` for some `int`. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eval}(e) &= x, \text{ and} \\ \text{compile}(e) &= [\text{CONST}(\text{mk\_big } x)] \end{aligned}$$

which after evaluation with `stackmc` would give

$$\text{stackmc li}(\text{compile } e) = (\text{mk\_big } x)$$

Thus, with this we can conclude

$$\text{mk\_big}(\text{eval}(e)) = \text{stackmc li}(\text{compile } e)$$

### Inductive Hypothesis

Now, we assume that  $\forall \text{exptree } e$  with  $\text{height}(e) \leq k$  the above theorem is satisfied, i.e.  $\text{mk\_big}(\text{eval}(e)) = \text{stackmc li}(\text{compile } e)$ , where  $k \geq 0$ .

### Inductive Step

Now, let  $e$  be an `exptree` with `height` =  $k + 1$ .

Now, since  $k \geq 0$ , `height` of  $e \geq 1$ .

This ensures that  $e$  is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{BIN of exptree*exptree}) \\ &\quad \text{or} \\ &(\text{UN of exptree}) \end{aligned}$$

, where `BIN` and `UN` are *binary* and *unary* operations respectively.

#### Case 1 (Binary Operation)

Now,  $e$  is of the form `BIN`( $e_1$ ,  $e_r$ ). Since,  $e_1$  and  $e_r$  are subtrees of  $e$  their `height` is going to be  $\leq k$ . Thus, our induction hypothesis holds for these trees. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mk\_big}(\text{eval}(e_r)) &= \text{stackmc li}(\text{compile } e_r) = \text{mk\_big } x_r \text{ (say)} \\ \text{mk\_big}(\text{eval}(e_1)) &= \text{stackmc li}(\text{compile } e_1) = \text{mk\_big } x_l \text{ (say)} \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\text{compile}(e) = \text{compile}(e_1) @ \text{compile}(e_r) @ [\text{BIN}]$$

Now, for LHS,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eval}(e) &= \text{eval}(e_1) ** \text{eval}(e_r), \text{ and so} \\ \text{eval}(e) &= x_l ** x_r \end{aligned}$$

where `**` is the syntactic representation of `BIN`.

Now, for RHS

$$\text{stackmc li}(\text{compile } e) = \text{stackmc li}(\text{compile } e_1) @ (\text{compile } e_r) @ [\text{BIN}]$$

And, by the definition of `stackmc`, since `compile`  $e_1$  represents a complete tree, its values `mk\_big`  $x_l$  will be prepended to stack. The same goes for `compile`  $e_r$ . And, therefore,

$$\text{stackmc li}(\text{compile } e) = \text{stackmc} ((\text{mk\_big } x_r)::(\text{mk\_big } x_l)::\text{li}) [\text{BIN}]$$

Now, following the definition of `stackmc`, the above expression evaluates to,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{stackmc li}(\text{compile } e) &= \text{BIN}(\text{mk\_big } x_l)(\text{mk\_big } x_r), \text{ which is same as} \\ \text{BIN}(\text{mk\_big } x_l)(\text{mk\_big } x_r) &= \text{mk\_big}(x_l ** x_r) = \text{mk\_big}(\text{eval}(e)) \end{aligned}$$

and, hence induction holds.

### Case 2 (Unary Operation)

With most properties same as above and  $e$  as  $\text{UN}(e')$ , by induction hypothesis,

$$\text{mk\_big eval}(e') = \text{stackmc li } (\text{compile } e') = \text{mk\_big } x' \text{ (say)}$$

Also,

$$\text{compile}(e) = \text{compile}(e') @ [\text{UN}]$$

Now, for LHS,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eval}(e) &= \# \text{eval}(e'), \\ \text{eval}(e) &= \#x \end{aligned}$$

where  $\#$  is the syntactic representation of  $\text{UN}$ .

Now, for RHS

$$\text{stackmc li } (\text{compile } e) = \text{stackmc li } (\text{compile } e') @ [\text{BIN}]$$

And, by the definition of  $\text{stackmc}$ , since  $\text{compile } e'$  represents a complete tree, its values  $\text{mk\_big } x'$  will be prepended to stack. And, therefore,

$$\text{stackmc li } (\text{compile } e) = \text{stackmc } ((\text{mk\_big } x') :: \text{li}) [\text{BIN}]$$

Now, following the definition of  $\text{stackmc}$ , the above expression evaluates to,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{stackmc li } (\text{compile } e) &= \text{UN } (\text{mk\_big } x'), \text{ which is same as} \\ \text{UN } (\text{mk\_big } x') &= \text{mk\_big } (\#x) = \text{mk\_big } (\text{eval}(e)) \end{aligned}$$

and, hence induction holds.

Thus, induction holds, and thus the theorem is correct.

### Note

We have used some properties which are cleared here

- $\text{UN } (\text{mk\_big } x') = \text{mk\_big } (\#x)$ , from definition of  $\text{UN}$  in `bigint`
- $\text{BIN } (\text{mk\_big } x1) (\text{mk\_big } x2) = \text{mk\_big } (x1 ** x2)$ , from definition of  $\text{BIN}$  in `bigint`
- List `li` is assumed to be an arbitrary `bigint` list.