

# Assignment 1

Rajbir Malik

2017CS10416

## Theorem

$$\forall \text{ exptree } e \\ \text{mk\_big } (\text{eval}(e)) = \text{stackmc li } (\text{compile } e)$$

## Proof

We shall be proving the theorem by applying induction on the **height** of **exptree**.

### Base Case

The base case **height** for **exptree** is 0. In this case the tree **e**, is just **N(x)** for some **int**. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eval}(e) &= x \text{ and,} \\ \text{compile}(e) &= [\text{CONST}(\text{mk\_big } x)] \end{aligned}$$

which after evaluation with **stackmc** would give

$$\text{stackmc li } (\text{compile } e) = (\text{mk\_big } x)$$

Thus, with this we can conclude

$$\text{mk\_big } (\text{eval}(e)) = \text{stackmc li } (\text{compile } e)$$

### Inductive Hypothesis

Now, we assume that  $\forall \text{ exptree } e$  with  $\text{height}(e) \leq k$  satisfy the above theorem, i.e.  $\text{mk\_big } (\text{eval}(e)) = \text{stackmc li } (\text{compile } e)$ , where  $k \geq 0$ . [10 pt]

### Inductive Step

Now, let  $e$  be an `exptree` with `height` =  $k + 1$ .

Now, since  $k \geq 0$ , `height` of  $e \geq 1$ .

This ensures that  $e$  is of the form `(BIN of exptree*exptree)` or `(UN of exptree)`, where `BIN` and `UN` are binary and unary operations, respectively.

#### Case 1 (Binary Operation)

Now,  $e$  is of the form `BIN(e1, er)`. Since,  $e1$  and  $er$  are subtrees of  $e$  their `height` is going to be  $\leq k$ . Thus, our induction hypothesis holds for these trees. Therefore,

```
mk_big (eval(er)) = stackmc li (compile er) = mk_big xr (say)
mk_big (eval(e1)) = stackmc li (compile e1) = mk_big x1 (say)
```

Also,

```
compile(e) = compile(e1) @ compile(er) @ [BIN]
```

Now, for LHS,

```
eval(e) = eval(e1) ** eval(er), and so
eval(e) = x1 ** xr
```

where `**` is the syntactic representation of `BIN`.

Now, for RHS

```
stackmc l1 (compile e) = stackmc l1 (compile e1)@(compile er)@[BIN]
```

And, by the definition of `stackmc`, since `compile e1` represents a complete tree, its values `mk_big x1` will be prepended to stack. The same goes for `compile er`. And, therefore,

```
stackmc l1 (compile e) = stackmc ((mk_big xr)::(mk_big x1)::l1) [BIN]
```

Now, following the definition of `stackmc`, the above expression evaluates to,

```
stackmc l1 (compile e) = BIN (mk_big x1) (mk_big xr), which is same as
BIN (mk_big x1) (mk_big xr) = mk_big (x1 ** xr) = mk_big (eval(e))
```

and, hence induction holds.

### Case 2 (Unary Operation)

With most properties same as above, by induction hypothesis,

$$\text{mk\_big eval}(e') = \text{stackmc li (compile } e') = \text{mk\_big } x' \text{ (say)}$$

Also,

$$\text{compile}(e) = \text{compile}(e') @ [\text{UN}]$$

Now, for LHS,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eval}(e) &= \# \text{eval}(e'), \\ \text{eval}(e) &= \#x \end{aligned}$$

where  $\#$  is the syntactic representation of UN.

Now, for RHS

$$\text{stackmc li (compile } e) = \text{stackmc li (compile } e') @ [\text{BIN}]$$

And, by the definition of `stackmc`, since `compile e'` represents a complete tree, its values `mk.big x'` will be prepended to stack. And, therefore,

$$\text{stackmc li (compile } e) = \text{stackmc ((mk.big } x')::\text{li}) [\text{BIN}]$$

Now, following the definition of `stackmc`, the above expression evaluates to,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{stackmc li (compile } e) &= \text{UN (mk.big } x'), \text{ which is same as} \\ \text{UN (mk.big } x') &= \text{mk.big (\#} x) = \text{mk.big (eval}(e)) \end{aligned}$$

and, hence induction holds.

Thus, induction holds, and thus the theorem is correct.

### Note

We have used some properties which are cleared here

- $\text{UN (mk.big } x) = \text{mk.big (\#} x)$ , from definition of UN in `bigint`
- $\text{BIN (mk.big } x1) (\text{mk.big } x2) = \text{mk.big (} x1 ** x2)$ , from definition of BIN in `bigint`