

DEPOSITION OF DEFENCE WITNESS NO.01 FOR THE
PROSECUTION

I do hereby on solemn affirmation state that -

My name is : Sunilkumar Mohanbhai Dhangar,
Age : 38 Years, Occu.- Service,
R/o.: Surat.

Order :- As per the application at Exh.85 the witness is called by the defence, the advocate of defence submitted the document already on record in respect of that document the defence want to cross examine the witness hence, they does not want to start the examination in chief hence, the witness is directly cross examined.

Cross Examination of DW-1 by Ld advocate Samir Modasiya

1] I have studied up to M,SC in Physics. I have no any specific degree or certificate for examination of voice. The seal on envelope shows P.I. Silvassa as a description. The Anubhooti Speaker Identification Software is a Private Ltd company. It is true to say that the control sample and questioned document were simultaneously feed in the software for analysis. It is true to say that the software analyze the voice and generate the report. It is true to say that in said report CUE such as frequency, pitch and duration are contained. It is true to say that the voice of two person may be defer on the parameter given in the said software. It is true to say that we have not mentioned the parameter in the report submitted before the

Court. It is true to say that we have not submitted total software analysis report. It is not true to say that the control voice and question voice were not matched hence, we have not submitted the software analysis report. The accuracy of software ASL5000 is at about 95%. We have report of accuracy in our office. We used the word probable in our report as the voice sample may be of the same person. I am not 100% sure that the voice sample may be of the same person. It is not necessary when the speech is recorded in public the public noise should be eliminated before analysis the voice. It is not true to say that we cannot compare the questioned voice without elimination of public voice. The noise generates acoustic cues. It is not true to say that the acoustic cues create interference in the control voice. It is true to say that I have not specifically mentioned linguistic and phonetic features which I verified in my report.

Q. Why the continuity of audio video file in pen drive exhibited was not verified technically ?

A. Because it is an news clip.

2] It is true to say that the news clip is edited. It is true to say that if we received the original version of audio-video clip as a questioned document than we may compare with control sample and give definite opinion about the continuation of the clip. It is not true to say that we have no any technical expert hence I person does not examined the audio and video clip. It is not

true to say that the report is purely based on software generated report. It is not true to say that I have given false report.

Cross complete.

**No Re-examination.
R.O.A.C.**

Before Me,

Date : 27/11/2025.
Silvassa.

(Mr. Jaydeo Y. Ghule)
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
D.&N.H., Silvassa.