

PM566 Final- Heart disease analysis

Jayson De La O

Test jayson

Which health indicator have a greater association with heart disease?

INTRODUCTION: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) list heart disease as the leading cause of death in the United States. The goal of this analysis is to find out which health indicators are associated heart disease and will potentially be useful in predicting whether or not someone develop heart disease. Our dataset is a subset of data collected from the CDC's 2015-Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), a telephone survey used to collect data on the health and condition of the residents of the United States of America. The data contains the binary outcome of heart disease or heart attack status along with different health indicators that could be useful in predicting heart disease, such as BMI, smoking status, and age. Most of the health indicator variables have binary responses to a health status or condition, with BMI being the quantitative variable in the data.

METHODS: The dataset was obtained from Kaggle and it is a subset of data from the 2015 CDC's telephone survey across the 50 states. The dataset used only includes health indicators that might be useful for predicting heart disease status across the nation. The dataset was downloaded and read in as a CSV file and the dimensions, header, and footer were all checked to ensure the data was properly displaying. The str function was used to look at the structure of the data and see that all of variables are numerical values. The summary function allows us to take a closer look at the variables and see the summary statistics of each variable and any missing values that might occur in the data. No missing values are observed and most variable are binary-categorical variables. All numerical-categorical variables are transformed using ifelse statements to their corresponding category using the Kaggle legend to make the responses more intuitive. The clean dataset was then restricted to variables that would seem to have an association including the new character variables and BMI(duplicates were removed). Exploratory data analysis was run on variables of interest and it is noted that BMI has a max of 98. A BMI of 98 seems to be a suspicious value because most BMI charts only list 30 and above as the highest BMI category. Using medical journals, I was able to verify that BMI values can exceed 100, but the majority of people do not fall into that category. Additionally, I calculated the proportion of individuals that had a BMI greater than 40 in our dataset was 4% and those greater than 50 was 0.8%, so it seems to be reasonable that these BMI values are not

Table 1: Table 1:Summary Statistics

HDorAttack	n	BMI_mean
No	229787	28.26962
Yes	23893	29.46662

errors and will be included in our analysis. Exploratory graphs were created for variables of interest using ggplot and summary tables were created using summarize and kable function.

Dimensions of dataset: 253680 x 22 Header/Footer data is displaying correctly All variable types are numerical. Summary function tells us dataset is well-maintained; no missing values, but BMI max is 98, which is questionable.

Exploratory Analysis

BMI values greater than 40 seem suspicious, but have been externally validated to exist only 4% of our dataset has BMI greater than 40 and only 0.8% are greater than 50.

Taking a look at the distribution of our variables.

These plots shows the distributions of the variables showing the range of the different responses in each variable.

Data Visualization

The histogram, violin plot, and boxplot show how BMI is distributed between Heart disease status. The mean BMI for individuals with heart disease is higher than those without, showing there is a possible association. The scatterplot shows us that the sample size for heart disease status group is not the same so results maybe be skewed. The mean and sample size for each group is show in the table below, which confirms my conclusion.

```
#####
BMIC2<- ggplot(pred, aes(x = HDorAttack, y = BMI,fill=HDorAttack)) +
  geom_violin()+
  labs(x="Heart Disease Status",y="BMI",title="Violin map of BMI by Heart Disease Status")

BMIC3<- ggplot(pred, aes(x=HDorAttack,y=BMI,fill=HDorAttack))+
  geom_boxplot()+
  labs(x="Heart Disease Status",y="BMI",title="Boxplot of BMI by Heart Disease Status") +
  facet_wrap(~carb, ncol = 3)
```

The barplot show how Age is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease increases with age showing that there might be an association between age and heart disease. The table below also shows that as age increases the proportion in each age categories who have heart disease increases.

Table 2: Proportion of Heart Disease by each Age Category

	Age 18 to 24	Age 25 to 29	Age 30 to 34	Age 35 to 39	Age 40 to 44	Age 45 to 49
Heart Disease	0.9949123	0.9928929	0.9886721	0.9860378	0.9782757	0.9640749
No Heart Disease	0.0050877	0.0071071	0.0113279	0.0139622	0.0217243	0.0359251

Table 3: Proportion of Heart Disease by Gender

	Female	Male
Heart Disease	0.9281206	0.8774641
No Heart Disease	0.0718794	0.1225359

The barplot show how gender is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease rates are higher for male than female showing that there might be an association between gender and heart disease. The table below also shows that as males are at an increased risk for heart disease.

The barplot show how Education level is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease status seems to be less prevalent in individuals with college degrees, but the effect does not seem to be as impactful in the No school/Elementary school categories showing that there might be an association between increased education level and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the most part as education increases the proportion heart disease decreases.

The barplot show how income level is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease status seems to be less prevalent in individuals with higher incomes, but the effect does not seem to be as impactful in the lower income categories showing that there might be an association between increased income level and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the most part as income increases the proportion heart disease decreases.

`table(pred$Income_cats)`

The barplot show how blood pressure level is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease seems to be less prevalent in individuals without high blood pressure showing that there might be an association between increased high blood pressure level and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the proportion of individuals with heart disease also had high blood pressure.

Table 4: Proportion of Heart Disease by Education Level

	College graduate	Elementary	High School	No school	Some college	Some High School
Heart Disease	0.9340042	0.8075686	0.881004	0.8333333	0.9010442	0.8291667
No Heart Disease	0.0659958	0.1924314	0.118996	0.1666667	0.0989558	0.1708333

Table 5: Proportion of Heart Disease by Income

	\$75,000 or more	Less than \$10,000	Less than \$15,000	Less than \$20,000	Less than \$25,000
Heart Disease	0.9492726	0.8417083	0.8135449	0.8425034	0.8425034
No Heart Disease	0.0507274	0.1582917	0.1864551	0.1574966	0.1574966

Table 6: Proportion of Heart Disease by Gender

	High BP	No High BP
Heart Disease	0.9588198	0.8352645
No Heart Disease	0.0411802	0.1647355

The barplot show how cholesterol level is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease seems to be less prevalent in individuals without high cholesterol showing that there might be an association between increased high cholesterol levels and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the proportion of individuals with heart disease also had high blood cholesterol.

The barplot show how stroke status is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease seems to be less prevalent in individuals without a stroke showing that there might be an association between strokes and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the proportion of individuals with heart disease also had a stroke.

The barplot show how smoking status is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease seems to be less prevalent in individuals who do not smoke showing that there might be an association between smoking and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the proportion of individuals with heart disease also were smokers.

The barplot show how exercise status is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease seems to be less prevalent in individuals who exercise showing that there might be an association between exercising and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the proportion of individuals with heart disease also were not exercising.

The barplot show how heavy alcohol use status is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease seems to be more prevalent in individuals who do not drink showing that there might be an association between heavy alcohol use and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the proportion of individuals with heart disease also were also not drinkers, but this could be caused by low sample size in heavy drinker category.

Table 7: Proportion of Heart Disease by High Cholesterol

	High Cholesterol	No High Cholesterol
Heart Disease	0.9511257	0.8442899
No Heart Disease	0.0488743	0.1557101

Table 8: Proportion of Heart Disease by Stroke Status

	stroke	No stroke
Heart Disease	0.9180075	0.6174699
No Heart Disease	0.0819925	0.3825301

Table 9: Proportion of Heart Disease by Smoking status

	Smoke	No smoke
Heart Disease	0.935635	0.8683454
No Heart Disease	0.064365	0.1316546

The barplot show how fruit eating status is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease seems to be less prevalent in individuals who eat fruit showing that there might be an association between eating fruit and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the proportion of individuals with heart disease also were not eating fruit.

The barplot show how vegetable eating status is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease seems to be less prevalent in individuals who eat vegetables showing that there might be an association between eating vegetables and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the proportion of individuals with heart disease also were not eating vegetables.

The barplot show how diabetes status is distributed between Heart disease status. The heart disease seems to be less prevalent in individuals who do not have diabetes or are borderline showing that there might be an association between diabetes and heart disease. The table below also shows that for the proportion of individuals with heart disease also were diabetic.

CONCLUSION: As we expected the health indicators seemed to be associated with heart disease. For the most part all the variables that seem to put you in a healthier category showed a decrease in heart disease. Although, the data did seem to have an exception were we show that an increase in heavy use of alcohol showed a decrease in heart disease, but this trend need more research because the data might have been skewed due to small sample size in the heavy use alcohol category. All variables seemed to be associated with heart disease and deserve to be furthered studied, but from the list of variables of interest heavy use of alcohol and fruit eating status seemed to be the least associated between the variables.

Table 10: Proportion of Heart Disease by Exercise status

	exercise	No exercise
Heart Disease	0.8608646	0.9202793
No Heart Disease	0.1391354	0.0797207

Table 11: Proportion of Heart Disease by Heavy Alcohol Use

	Alcohol Use	No Alcohol
Heart Disease	0.9037482	0.9405163
No Heart Disease	0.0962518	0.0594837

Table 12: Proportion of Heart Disease by if they eat fruit

	Eats fruits	No fruits
Heart Disease	0.8982022	0.910204
No Heart Disease	0.1017978	0.089796

Table 13: Proportion of Heart Disease by if they eat vegetables

	Eats Vegetables	No Vegetables
Heart Disease	0.8820837	0.9113296
No Heart Disease	0.1179163	0.0886704

Table 14: Proportion of Heart Disease by Diabetes status

	Diabetes	No Diabetes	Pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes
Heart Disease	0.7771176	0.9281667	0.8566184
No Heart Disease	0.2228824	0.0718333	0.1433816