

CSE508 Network Security

9/28/2017 **Symmetric Key Cryptography**

Michalis Polychronakis
Stony Brook University

Cryptography



Goals

Confidentiality

Keep content secret from all but authorized entities

Integrity

Protect content from unauthorized alteration

Authentication

Confirm the identity of communicating entities or data


Non-repudiation

Prevent entities from denying previous commitments or actions

Basic Terminology

Plaintext: top secret message

Ciphertext: eza dpncpe xpddlrp


 ***Cipher:*** algorithm for transforming plaintext to ciphertext (*encryption*) and back (*decryption*)

Key: (usually secret) information used in a cipher, known to sender, receiver, or both

Cryptanalysis (codebreaking): the study of methods of deciphering ciphertext without knowing the key

Cryptology: the broader field of “information hiding” cryptography, cryptanalysis, steganography, ...

Plaintext vs. Ciphertext



Cryptosystem

A suite of cryptographic algorithms that take a key and convert between plaintext and ciphertext

Main components

Plaintext space: set P of possible plaintexts

Ciphertext space: set C of possible ciphertexts


Key space: set K of encryption/decryption keys 

Encryption algorithm: $E : P \times K \rightarrow C$

Decryption algorithm: $D : C \times K \rightarrow P$

$$\forall p \in P, k \in K : D(E(p, k), k) = p$$

Basic Scenario



Cryptographic Function Types

Hash functions: no key

Input of arbitrary length is transformed to a fixed-length value

One-way function: hard to reverse

Secret (symmetric) key functions: one key

Shared secret key is used for both encryption and decryption

Public (asymmetric) key functions: two keys

Key pair: public key is known, private key is kept secret

Encrypt with public key and decrypt with private key

Encrypt with private key and decrypt with public key

Kerckhoffs's Principle

A cryptosystem should be secure even if everything about the system, except the key, is public knowledge

The security of the system must rest entirely on the secrecy of the key 

- Only brute force attacks are possible


- Otherwise the algorithm is broken

Contrast with security by obscurity: every secret creates a potential failure point


- Widely used secret algorithms will eventually be reverse engineered (or leaked, stolen, ...)

- Difficult to deploy a new algorithm if an old one is compromised

A public implementation enables scrutiny by experts



Caesar Cipher



Ciphertext: WKH TXLFN EURZQ IRA MXPSV RYHU WKH ODCB GRJ

Plaintext: the quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog

Shift by x (e.g., ROT-13)

Monoalphabetic substitution

Shift Ciphers

Plaintext space: $P = \{A, B, C, \dots, Z\}$

Ciphertext space: $C = \{A, B, C, \dots, Z\}$

Key space: $K = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 25\}$


Encryption algorithm: $E(x, k) = (x + k) \bmod 26$

Decryption algorithm: $D(x, k) = (x - k) \bmod 26$

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25

Caesar Cipher: $k = 3$

Easy to break using frequency analysis



Distribution of letters in a typical sample of English language text

Vigenère Cipher

Plaintext: ATTACKATDAWN

Key: LEMONLEMONLE

Ciphertext: LXFOPVEFRNHR 





Polyalphabetic substitution

Successive Caesar ciphers
with different shift values
depending on a key

Defeats simple frequency
analysis, but still breakable

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
B	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A
C	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B
D	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C
E	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D
F	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E
G	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F
H	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
I	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
J	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
K	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
L	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
M	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
N	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
O	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
P	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
Q	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
R	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
S	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R
T	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
U	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T
V	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U
W	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
X	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
Y	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X
Z	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y



Properties of a Good Cryptosystem

Given the ciphertext, an adversary should not be able to recover the original message

- Enumerating all possible keys must be infeasible

- There should be no way to produce plaintext from ciphertext without the key

The ciphertext must be indistinguishable from true random values

- Given a ciphertext, the probability of any possible plaintext being encrypted should be the same

Cryptographic algorithms should be computationally efficient for practical use

- Fast encryption/decryption/hashing 

- There are exceptions: deliberately slow password-based key derivation functions for hindering brute force/dictionary attacks

Basic Attack Models

Known Ciphertext: attacker has access to only a set of ciphertexts

In practice some information about the plaintext might be available: language, character distribution, protocol fields, ...

Brute force frequency analysis, ...

Known Plaintext: attacker has access to both the plaintext and its corresponding ciphertext


Passive attacker: has at least one sample of both

Even partial mappings can be enough


Chosen Plaintext: attacker can obtain the ciphertexts of arbitrary plaintexts

Active attacker: has access to an *encryption oracle*


Known Ciphertext



Known Plaintext



Chosen Plaintext



Computational Difficulty

Modern cryptography: seek guarantees about the “strength” of encryption schemes

Codes, secret writing, and other older encryption schemes were ad hoc and eventually broken

Information-theoretic security

Cannot be broken even with unlimited computing power: *there is simply not enough information*

Not possible if the key is shorter than the message size → impractical

Computational security

Can be broken with enough computation, but *not in a reasonable amount of time*

Rely on *computationally hard* problems: easy to compute but hard to invert in polynomial time (integer factorization, discrete logarithm, ...)

Assume *computationally limited adversaries* → frustrate exhaustive enumeration

One-time Pad

XOR plaintext with a keystream

1882 Frank Miller [Bellovin '11]

1917 Vernam/Mauborgne cipher

Information-theoretically secure
against ciphertext-only attacks
(Shannon 1949)



The keystream must be

Truly random

As long as the plaintext

Kept completely secret

Used only once...



.....	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	
LFHNY ZAHN JBNXK BYMPY KQZAT	E	L	X	W	V	T	S	A	S	P	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A		
VRETH JPCSU RUSYS JKNKH ELBEL	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
PODYS JULVJ ZPAKL HPLGA ZAVZY	C	X	W	V	T	S	A	S	P	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A			
TSUJO XBNKI HBSND HPMPI SZVZ	D	E	L	X	W	V	T	S	A	S	P	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A	
ETJFY DRAXN PHTVY YTKKA ATQPN	E	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
NHCJE PPNBY BRZEH SZZYN CYSDS	F	T	U	T	S	A	S	P	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A				
YIIUJ TBRZT QHDEI YOVRJ HOCBY	G	U	T	S	A	S	P	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A					
HALOK NHIIM CAIDY ROTKH ZDZMP	H	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
QINDS CHQFE KSBVJ CAYSO IZBNHU	I	S	A	S	P	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A							
KJZK OZJIM DBRCY BRUVK LFNAT	J	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
.....	K	S	A	S	P	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A							
.....	L	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A											
.....	M	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
.....	N	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
.....	O	E	L	X	W	V	T	S	A	S	P	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A	
.....	P	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
.....	Q	F	E	D	C	B	A																				
.....	R	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
.....	S	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A																		
.....	T	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
.....	U	O	F	E	D	C	B	A																			
.....	V	F	E	D	C	B	A																				
.....	W	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
.....	X	D	C	B	A																						
.....	Y	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
.....	Z	S	A	S	P	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A							
USBGA JXPFY TUNXH XCKZM OFLST	E	A	Z	X	W	V	T	S	A	S	P	O	N	M	L	K	J	I	H	G	F	E	D	C	B	A	

$$\text{SEND CASH} \oplus K_1 = E_1$$

$$\text{Smiley Face} \oplus K_1 = E_2$$

$$E_1 \oplus E_2 = \text{SEND CASH}$$

One-time Pad

Plaintext space: *all n -bit sequences*

Ciphertext space: *all n -bit sequences*

Key space: *all n -bit sequences*

Encryption algorithm: $E(x, k) = x \oplus k$ (*bit by bit*)

Decryption algorithm: $D(x, k) = x \oplus k$ (*bit by bit*)

Advantages

Easy to compute: simple XOR operation


Impossible to break: information-theoretically secure

Disadvantages

Key size: must be as long as the plaintext

Key distribution: how can the sender provide the key to the receiver securely?


Symmetric Key Cryptography



Pros:

- Fast
- Short keys
- Well known
- Simple key generation

Cons:

- Secrecy of keys 
- Number of keys
- Management of keys
- $n(n-1)/2$ keys needed for n parties

Block Ciphers

Process one block at a time 

Substitution and transposition (permutation) techniques

Examples: *DES (Data Encryption Standard)*, *AES (Advanced Encryption Standard)* – replaced *DES*

Stream Ciphers

Process one bit or byte at a time 

Plaintext is combined (XOR) with a *pseudorandom* keystream
(*NOT the same as one-time pad*)

Synchronous vs. asynchronous (self-synchronizing)

Examples: *RC4*, *any block cipher in OFB or CTR mode*, ...


Block Ciphers

Multiple rounds of substitution, permutation, ...

Confusion: each character of the ciphertext should depend on several parts of the key 🗨️

Diffusion: changing a plaintext character should result in several changed ciphertext characters

	DES	AES
Key length	56 bits 🗨️	128, 192, 256 bits
Block size	64 bits	128 bits
Rounds	16	10, 12, 14 🗨️
Construction	Substitution, permutation	Substitution, permutation, mixing, addition
Developed	1977	1998
Status	Broken!	OK (for now)



Modes of Operation

Direct use of block ciphers is not very useful

- Enemy can build a “code book” of plaintext/ciphertext equivalents

- Message length should be multiple of the cipher block size

How to repeatedly apply a block cipher to securely encrypt/decrypt arbitrary inputs?

Five standard modes

- ECB: Electronic Code Book

- CBC: Cipher Block Chaining

- CFB: Cipher Feedback

- OFB: Output Feedback


- CTR: Counter


ECB: Electronic Code Book Mode

Direct use of the block cipher

Each block is encrypted independently → parallelizable

No chaining, no error propagation



Problem: if $m_i = m_j$ then $c_i = c_j$ 


ECB: Electronic Code Book Mode

Data patterns may remain visible


Susceptible to replay attacks, block insertion/deletion



Plaintext



ECB Mode Encryption




CBC/Other Modes

CBC: Cipher Block Chaining Mode

Each plaintext block is XOR'ed with the previous ciphertext block before being encrypted → obscures any output patterns

Sequential process (non-parallelizable)




Ensures that no messages have the same beginning

Must be random! Must never be reused!

CBC: Decryption

An error in a transmitted ciphertext block also affects its following block (but not subsequent ones)




Both parties must use the same IV: can be transmitted with the message

CTR: Counter Mode

Turns a block cipher into a stream cipher

Next keystream block is generated by encrypting successive values of a counter combined with a nonce (IV)



Counter (CTR) mode encryption