

Sage Drewke

Software Engineering

6 March 2023

Professor Binowski

### Review of SleepWear Project Plan

1. Structure wise the team members did not include their initials next to the sections. As someone trying to gauge how much each team member contributed to the document, there is no way to tell who did what.
2. The introduction lacked clarity on the main purpose of the app. For example, to describe what the app is looking for, the team wrote “A good sleep is a good day and a good day is a good sleep.” While I understand they are trying to advocate that their app will help with good sleep and therefore a good day, it was too confusing. I do think that if they shorten what they wrote, it would make for a good slogan.
3. The grammar/syntax was off in the introduction. In their second sentence to describe the scope and purpose the team wrote, “What’s contained in this document is our system scope, Anticipated business and personal benefits, problem and opportunity description, system capabilities and features of the application.” I would revise this sentence by omitting the first word. To make it more clear they should just start by saying “Contained in this document...” Additionally, the word anticipated is accidentally capitalized which at first read was very confusing.
4. Their project plan is missing the system context diagram and MS project directly in the Project Plan. While both these elements are in the GitHub repository, there is no link to

them in the Project Plan. I think it's fine that they didn't include these elements in the actual Project Plan as it's hard to format into a Google Doc, but should have included a link to them in the repository.

5. Their tracking and control mechanisms section was unclear. Rather than using paragraph style, I think they should have had a section for each different piece of software that they use. By doing this, it would make it more clear on which team member manages which piece of software.