

A Tutorial Overview of Ordinal Notations

Jacques Bailhache (jacques.bailhache@gmail.com)

June 18, 2018

1 Interest of transfinite ordinal numbers

The domain of transfinite ordinal numbers, or ordinals, has the particularity of being the only mathematical domain that cannot be automated. In all other domains of mathematics, it is at least theoretically possible to deduce the theorems automatically from a formal system consisting of a finite set of axioms and rules. But Gödel proved that given any formal system of a theory sufficiently powerful to contain arithmetics, it is possible to build a proposition that expresses its own unprovability in this formal system. This proposition, which is very huge, has also a meaning as an ordinary arithmetic proposition, but is very useless in ordinary arithmetics. If the formal system is consistent, then this proposition is undecidable.

At first sight one could think that we just have to add this proposition to the system as an axiom, but this augmented system also have its own Gödelian proposition. By adding successively Gödelian propositions, we obtain an infinite sequence of systems, and the system defined as the union of all these systems also has its Gödelian proposition, and so on. But according to Solomon Feferman in "Penrose's Gödelian argument" <http://math.stanford.edu/feferman/papers/penrose.pdf> p.9 :

"one obtains completeness for all arithmetical sentences in a progression based on the transfinite iteration of the so-called global or uniform reflection principle"

The uniform reflection principle, which is something similar to adding the Gödelian proposition as an axiom, is described for example in John Harrison's paper "Metatheory and Reflection in Theorem Proving: A Survey and Critique"

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~jrh13/papers/reflect.ps.gz> p.18 :

$$\vdash \forall n. Pr(\lceil \phi[n] \rceil) \Rightarrow \phi[n]$$

Harrison also says p.19 :

"Feferman showed that a transfinite iteration based on it proves all true sentences of number theory".

So we can say that the construction of transfinite ordinals can store all the creative part of mathematics.

2 Mathematical reminders

2.1 Combinatory logic and lambda calculus

These theories are formalization of the notion of information processing.

Everything is represented by information processing or functions, even data. An elementary piece of data, like a boolean data (true or false), can be represented by a function with two variables, which gives the first one if the value is true, or the second one if the value is false. A structured information, for example a couple of value, is represented by a function that, when applied to the boolean true value, gives the first value of the couple, and when applied to the boolean false, gives the second value of the couple.

A function with two variables is represented by a function that, when applied to the first variable, gives another function which, when applied to the second variable, gives the final result, and so on. A function that gives several result can be represented by a function that gives a structured containing all the results. So we have to consider only functions that, when applied to one variable, also called "argument" or sometimes "parameter", give one result.

The application of a function f to a variable x is written " $f\ x$ ".

" $(f\ x)\ y$ " may be written simply " $f\ x\ y$ ".

The following cases can be distinguished according to the relationship between the variable to which the function is applied and the result of the application of this function to this variable :

- The result is the variable itself : the function is called identity, written " I ". For any x , we have $I\ x = x$.
- The result is y which does not depend on the variable. The function is a constant function which always gives y as result. It is written " $K\ y$ ". For any x and y , we have $K\ y\ x = y$.

- The result is the result of the application of a to b, where both a and b may depend on the variable. In this case, the function is written "S f g" where f is a function that gives a when applied to the variable and g is a function that gives b when applied to the variable. For any f, g and x, $S\ f\ g\ x = f\ x\ (g\ x)$.

Any function can be represented by applications of I, K and S, or even only K and S, because $I = S\ K\ K$. This is called "combinatory logic".

But with this representation we obtain huge expressions difficult to read and understand. So we will introduce a notation to represent the function that, when applied to a variable x, gives a result M, where M represents an expression that may contain one or several occurrences of x. Different notations are used, depending on typographic possibilities, for example :

- M with x replaced by \hat{x} (Principia Mathematica)
- $\hat{x}.M$ (original notation not very used)
- $\wedge x.M$
- $\backslash x.M$
- $\lambda x.M$ (probably the most used notation in lambda calculus)
- $(\lambda x M)$
- $\lambda x[M]$
- $[x].M$
- $x \mapsto M$
- $\lambda x \rightarrow M$ or $\backslash x \rightarrow M$ (in Haskell)

$\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.M$ may be written $\lambda xyz.M$.

This is the lambda calculus notation.

The combinatory logic representation of a function can be retrieved from its lambda calculus representation using the following correspondence rules :

- $\lambda x.x = I$
- $\lambda x.y = Ky$ if y does not contain x
- $\lambda x.(ab) = S(\lambda x.a)(\lambda x.b)$

$(\lambda x.M)N$ is the result of the substitution of x by N in M.

The lambda calculus notation has a disadvantage for example $\lambda x.x$ and $\lambda y.y$ represent the same function although they are different expressions. To avoid this disadvantage, we can use De Bruijn index. With this notation, this function is written $\lambda 1$. Each occurrence of a variable is replaced by a natural number n which means the variable corresponding to the n-th *lambda* in which it is nested, starting from the innermost.

With this notation we have :

- $I = \lambda 1$
- $K = \lambda \lambda 2$
- $S = \lambda \lambda \lambda 31(21)$

I will sometime use the notation $[\dots * \dots]$ or $[\dots \bullet \dots]$ for $\lambda \dots 1 \dots$

See also <https://ryanflannery.net/research/logic-notes/Church-CalculiOfLambdaConversion.pdf> for more information about combinatory logic and lambda calculus.

2.2 Natural numbers

Natural numbers are defined by Peano axioms :

- 0 is a natural number.
- Every natural number has a successor.
- 0 is not the successor of any natural number.
- If two natural numbers have the same successor, they are equal.
- If 0 has a property, and if the fact that some natural number has this property implies that its successor also has this property, then every natural number has this property.

Arithmetical operations are defined as follow, where $\text{suc}(n)$ represents the successor of the natural number n :

- addition : $a + 0 = a$; $a + \text{suc}(b) = \text{suc}(a + b)$
- multiplication : $a \cdot 0 = 0$; $a \cdot \text{suc}(b) = (a \cdot b) + a$
- exponentiation : $a^0 = 1$; $a^{\text{suc}(b)} = a^b \cdot a$

For natural numbers, the addition and the multiplication are commutative : $a + b = b + a$; $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$, but not the exponentiation : generally $a^b \neq b^a$.

We shall see later that the addition and the multiplication of transfinite ordinal numbers are not commutative.

2.3 Composition and iteration of functions

The composition of two functions f and g , written $B f g$ or $f \circ g$ is a function satisfying $(f \circ g)x = f(gx)$.

The composition of a function with itself $f \circ f$ can be written f^2 .

More generally, the n -th iterate f^n of the function f is defined by :

- $f^0 = I$
- $f^{\text{suc}(n)} = f \circ f^n$

and has the following properties :

- $f^{a+b} = f^b \circ f^a$
- $f^{a \cdot b} = (f^a)^b$

2.4 Different ways of representing finite sequences

A finite sequence of length n of elements of a given set S can be considered as a function which, to each natural number less than n , associates an element of S .

For example, we can define a finite sequence of length 4 of natural numbers by the function f defined by :

- $f(0) = 4$
- $f(1) = 3$
- $f(2) = 0$
- $f(3) = 8$

There are different ways to represent such a sequence :

- Comma separated list, from left to right : 4,3,0,8
- Comma separated list, from right to left : 8,0,3,4
- Matrix with values and ranks : $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$
- Matrix with values and ranks, omitting null values : $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$
- Polynom : $8x^3 + 3x + 4$
- A number whose representation in base n is the considered sequence, where n is any number greater than all numbers of the sequences, for example for $n = 10$, the number 8034. This is also the value of the polynom for $x = n$.

Some representations of ordinals use finite sequences of ordinals. Different ways of representing sequences are used by these representations, for example comma separated list for Veblen function with finitely many variables, matrix with values and ranks for Schütte bracket or Klammersymbol, or base Ω representation for collapsing functions, where Ω is an ordinal which is greater than all ordinals of the sequence, for example if we want to represent sequences of countable ordinals, we can use for Ω the least uncountable ordinal ω_1 .

2.5 Set theory

A set is a well-determined unordered collection of elements.

$a \in A$ means that a is an element of the set A .

The set B is a subset of the set A if and only if each element of B is also an element of A .

A binary relation R on a set A is a set of ordered pairs (a, b) of elements of A . $(a, b) \in R$ may be written $a R b$.

2.5.1 Cardinality of a set

The cardinality of a finite set is simply its number of elements.

The cardinality can be generalized to infinite sets. Two sets have the same cardinality if there is a bijection between them, which is a relation that associates one element of the second set to any element of the first set and reciprocally. The cardinality of a set A is less than the cardinality of a set B if any element of A can be associated with an element of B but there are some elements of B which are not associated to any element of A. For example, the cardinality of the natural numbers is less than the cardinality of the real numbers.

2.5.2 Cofinal subsets

If A is a set with a binary relation R and B is a subset of A, then B is said to be a cofinal subset of A with respect to R if, for every $a \in A$, there exists some $b \in B$ such that $a R b$.

When R is an order relation like " $<$ " (less than), cofinal subsets are sometimes said to be unbounded.

3 Defining transfinite ordinal numbers

Natural numbers can be represented by sets. Each number is represented by the set of all numbers smaller than it.

- $0 = \{\}$ (the empty set)
- $1 = \{0\} = \{\{\}\}$
- $2 = \{0, 1\} = \{\{\}, \{\{\}\}\}$
- $3 = \{0, 1, 2\} = \{\{\}, \{\{\}\}, \{\{\}, \{\{\}\}\}\}$
- ...

The successor of a natural number can be defined by $suc(n) = n + 1 = n \cup \{n\}$.

We have $n \leq p$ if and only if $n \subseteq p$.

\mathbb{N} is the set of all natural numbers : $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ The natural numbers can be generalized to what is called "transfinite ordinal numbers", or simply "ordinal numbers" or "ordinals", by considering that infinite sets represent ordinal numbers. \mathbb{N} considered as an ordinal number is written ω . ω is the least ordinal which is greater than all the numbers $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ We say that ω is a limit ordinal and $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ is a fundamental sequence of ω . This is written : $\omega = sup\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ or $\omega = lim(n \mapsto n)$ because the n-th element (starting with 0) of the sequence is n. An ordinal does not have a unique fundamental sequence, for example $1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ is also a fundamental sequence of ω , because the least ordinal that is greater than all ordinals of this sequence is also ω (more generally the limit ordinal is the same if any number of the least items of a sequence are removed), and the same stands for the sequence $0, 2, 4, 6, \dots$

Any ordinal can be defined as the least ordinal strictly greater than all ordinals of a set : the empty set for 0, $\{\alpha\}$ for the successor of α , $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$ for an ordinal with fundamental sequence $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$

The successor can be generalized to transfinite ordinal numbers : $suc(\omega) = \omega + 1 = \omega \cup \{\omega\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \omega\}$; $suc(suc(\omega)) = \omega + 2 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1\}$ and so on.

Then we can consider the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \omega + 3, \dots\}$ which is a limit ordinal, and $\omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \omega + 3, \dots$ is a fundamental sequence of this ordinal. This ordinal is $\omega + \omega = \omega \cdot 2$ or $\omega \cdot 2$ or $\omega 2$.

Then we can go on building greater and greater ordinals : $\omega \cdot 3, \dots, \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$

ω is the least ordinal which has not a finite cardinality.

ω_1 is the least uncountable ordinal (an uncountable ordinal is an ordinal whose cardinality is strictly greater than the cardinality of ω), and is also the set of all countable ordinals (ordinals whose cardinality is less than or equal to the cardinality of ω). This means that all ordinals less than ω_1 are countable, and ω_1 and all ordinals greater than it are uncountable.

We can define a sequence of ordinals ω_k where k is a natural number by $\omega_0 = \omega$ and ω_{k+1} is the least ordinal whose cardinality is greater than the cardinality of ω_k .

Any ordinal α can be defined by either :

- Zero : $\alpha = 0$
- The successor of another ordinal : $\alpha = suc(\beta) = \beta + 1$
- A limit ordinal : $\alpha = lim_{\beta} f = sup_{\xi \in \beta} \{f(\xi)\}$
where for any $\xi \in \beta$ or $\xi < \beta$, $f(\xi)$ is an ordinal. A limit ordinal can always be defined as $lim_{\omega_k} f$ by eventually rearranging the order of elements of β . I will sometimes use the notation Lim_k for lim_{ω_k} . When $\beta = \omega = \omega_0$, $lim_{\omega} f$ will sometimes be written more simply $lim f$. This is the case for countable limit ordinals, which have the same cardinality as ω .

Note that $\omega_\omega = \lim_\omega(\xi \mapsto \omega_\xi)$, so $\lim_{\omega_\omega} f = \lim_\omega(\xi \mapsto f(\omega_\xi)) = \lim(\xi \mapsto f(\omega_\xi))$.

The cofinality of an ordinal is defined by :

- $\text{cof } 0 = 0$
- $\text{cof}(\text{suc } \alpha) = 1$
- $\text{cof}(\lim_\beta f) = \beta$ if there is no ordinal $\gamma < \beta$ such that $\lim_\beta f = \lim_\gamma g$.

Examples :

- $\text{cof } 3 = 1$
- $\text{cof } \omega = \omega$
- $\text{cof}(\omega + 3) = 1$
- $\text{cof}(\omega \cdot 2) = \omega$
- $\text{cof } \omega_1 = \omega_1$
- $\text{cof } \omega_3 = \omega_3$
- $\text{cof } \omega_\omega = \omega$
- $\text{cof } \omega_{\omega+1} = \omega_{\omega+1}$

A regular ordinal is an ordinal which is equal to its cofinality. A singular ordinal is an ordinal which is not regular. Assuming the axiom of choice, $\omega_{\alpha+1}$ is regular for any ordinal α . The cofinality of any ordinal is a regular ordinal, which means $\text{cof}(\text{cof } \alpha) = \text{cof } \alpha$.

For example :

- ω is regular because $\text{cof } \omega = \omega$
- $\omega \cdot 2$ is singular because $\text{cof}(\omega \cdot 2) = \omega$
- ω_1 is regular because $\text{cof } \omega_1 = \omega_1$
- ω_ω is singular because $\text{cof } \omega_\omega = \omega$
- $\omega_{\alpha+1}$ is regular for all ordinal α .

In the case of a limit ordinal, the ξ -th element of a fundamental sequence of α is sometimes written $\alpha[\xi]$ which is not a rigorous notation, because an ordinal may have different fundamental sequences, for example $\omega = \lim_\omega[\bullet] = \lim_\omega[\bullet + 1]$ which gives $\omega[\alpha] = \alpha = \alpha + 1$.

We will introduce later other mathematical objects called tree ordinals which are considered different if the fundamental sequences are different.

For natural numbers, arithmetical operations are defined as follows :

- addition : $a + 0 = a; a + \text{suc}(b) = \text{suc}(a + b)$
- multiplication : $a \cdot 0 = 0; a \cdot \text{suc}(b) = (a \cdot b) + a$
- exponentiation : $a^0 = 1; a^{\text{suc}(b)} = a^b \cdot a$

The definitions of arithmetical operations can be generalized to countable ordinals by adding canonical rules for limit ordinals :

- addition : $\alpha + 0 = \alpha; \alpha + \text{suc}(\beta) = \text{suc}(\alpha + \beta); \alpha + \lim(f) = \lim(n \mapsto \alpha + f(n))$
- multiplication : $\alpha \cdot 0 = 0; \alpha \cdot \text{suc}(\beta) = (\alpha \cdot \beta) + \alpha; \alpha \cdot \lim(f) = \lim(n \mapsto \alpha \cdot f(n))$
- exponentiation : $\alpha^0 = 1; \alpha^{\text{suc}(\beta)} = \alpha^\beta \cdot \alpha; \alpha^{\lim(f)} = \lim(n \mapsto \alpha^{f(n)})$

and more generally to any ordinal, countable or not :

- addition : $\alpha + 0 = \alpha; \alpha + \text{suc}(\beta) = \text{suc}(\alpha + \beta); \alpha + \lim_\beta(f) = \lim_\beta(\xi \mapsto \alpha + f(\xi))$
- multiplication : $\alpha \cdot 0 = 0; \alpha \cdot \text{suc}(\beta) = (\alpha \cdot \beta) + \alpha; \alpha \cdot \lim_\beta(f) = \lim_\beta(\xi \mapsto \alpha \cdot f(\xi))$
- exponentiation : $\alpha^0 = 1; \alpha^{\text{suc}(\beta)} = \alpha^\beta \cdot \alpha; \alpha^{\lim_\beta(f)} = \lim_\beta(\xi \mapsto \alpha^{f(\xi)})$

Note that addition and multiplication are not commutative, for example $1 + \omega = \omega \neq \omega + 1$, because if we take 0, 1, 2, 3, ... as fundamental sequence of ω , then a fundamental sequence of $1 + \omega$ is $1+0, 1+1, 1+2, 1+3, \dots = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and the least ordinal that is greater than all ordinals of this sequence is ω . We will say that "1+" is "absorbed" by ω . More generally, we have $1 + \alpha = \alpha$ for any ordinal $\alpha \geq \omega$.

Also note that $\lim f = f(\omega)$ for some functions f , but not all. For example, if $f(\alpha) = \omega + \alpha$, $\lim f = \sup\{\omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \dots\} = \omega + \omega = \omega \cdot 2$, and also $f(\omega) = \omega + \omega = \omega \cdot 2$. But if $f(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot 2$, $\lim f = \sup\{0, 2, 4, 6, \dots\} = \omega$, but $f(\omega) = \omega + \omega = \omega \cdot 2$.

A class of ordinals is said to be closed when the limit of a sequence of ordinals in the class is again in the class.

For tutorial introductions to transfinite ordinal numbers, see also :

- Madore's introduction in French :
<http://www.madore.org/%7Edavid/weblog/2011-09-18-nombres-ordinaux-intro.html>
- Pointless Gigantic List of Infinite Numbers :
<https://sites.google.com/site/pointlesslargenumberstuff/home/1/pglin?tmpl=%2Fsystem%2Fapp%2Ftemplates%2Fprint%2F>
- Sbiis Saibian's !!! FORBIDDEN LIST !!! of Infinite Numbers :
https://sites.google.com/site/largenumbers/home/appendix/a/infinite_numbers

Here are some examples of Haskell definitions of ordinal types.

```
module Cord_and_ord where
```

```
-- Natural numbers
```

```
data Nat
  = ZeroN
  | SucN Nat
```

```
-- Countable ordinals
```

```
data Cord
  = ZeroC
  | SucC Cord
  | LimC (Nat -> Cord)
```

```
-- Ordinals
```

```
data Ord
  = Zero
  | Suc Ord
  | Lim (Nat -> Ord)
  | Ext (Ord -> Ord)
```

```
ordOfCord ZeroC = Zero
ordOfCord (SucC a) = Suc (ordOfCord a)
ordOfCord (LimC s) = Lim (\n -> ordOfCord (s n))
```

```
cordOfOrd Zero = ZeroC
cordOfOrd (Suc a) = SucC (cordOfOrd a)
cordOfOrd (Lim s) = LimC (\n -> cordOfOrd (s n))
cordOfOrd (Ext f) = cordOfOrd (f Zero)
```

```
module Ords where
```

```
-- Natural numbers
data Ord0
```

```

= Zero0
| Suc0 Ord0

-- Countable ordinals w1
data Ord1
= Zero1
| Suc1 Ord1
| Lim01 (Ord0 -> Ord1)

-- Uncountable ordinals w2
data Ord2
= Zero2
| Suc2 Ord2
| Lim02 (Ord0 -> Ord2)
| Lim12 (Ord1 -> Ord2)

-- Uncountable ordinals w3
data Ord3
= Zero3
| Suc3 Ord3
| Lim03 (Ord0 -> Ord3)
| Lim13 (Ord1 -> Ord3)
| Lim23 (Ord2 -> Ord3)

module Ords where

-- Ordinals
data Ord
= Zero
| One
| W0
| W1
| W2
| Sup Ord (Ord -> Ord)

two = Sup One (\x -> One)
three = Sup One (\x -> two)

suc a = Sup One (\x -> a)

-- f^a(x)
fpower0 f Zero x = x
-- fpower0 f (Suc a) x = f (fpower0 f a x)
fpower0 f (Sup One s) x = f (fpower0 f (s Zero) x)
-- fpower0 f (Lim s) x = Lim (\n -> fpower0 f (s n) x)
fpower0 f (Sup W0 s) x = Sup W0 (\n -> fpower0 f (s n) x)

w_times_2 = Sup W0 (\n -> fpower0 suc n W0)

module Ords where

-- Ordinals

```

```

data Ord
  = Zero
  | One
  | W Ord
  | Sup Ord (Ord -> Ord)

two = Sup One (\x -> One)
three = Sup One (\x -> two)

suc a = Sup One (\x -> a)

-- f^a(x)
fpower0 f Zero x = x
-- fpower0 f (Suc a) x = f (fpower0 f a x)
fpower0 f (Sup One s) x = f (fpower0 f (s Zero) x)
-- fpower0 f (Lim s) x = Lim (\n -> fpower0 f (s n) x)
-- fpower0 f (Sup W0 s) x = Sup W0 (\n -> fpower0 f (s n) x)

w_times_2 = Sup (W Zero) (\n -> fpower0 suc n (W Zero))

module Ord where

ident x = x

data Ord
  = Zero
  | Suc Ord
  | Lim Ord (Ord -> Ord)

-- plus a b = b + a
plus Zero b = b
plus (Suc a) b = Suc (plus a b)
plus (Lim n s) b = Lim n (\x -> plus (s x) b)

-- times a b = b * a
times Zero b = Zero
times (Suc a) b = plus b (times a b)
times (Lim n s) b = Lim n (\x -> times (s x) b)

-- power a b = b^a
power Zero b = Suc Zero
power (Suc a) b = times b (power a b)
power (Lim n s) b = Lim n (\x -> power (s x) b)

one = Suc Zero
omega = Lim Zero ident
omegaplus1 = Suc omega
omegatimes2 = plus omega omega
omegapower2 = times omega omega
omegapoweromega = power omega omega

omega1 = Lim (Suc Zero) ident

```


4 Veblen functions

The next step is the limit or least upper bound of $\omega, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$, written $\sup\{\omega, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots\}$ which is called ε_0 . Note that we have $\omega^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0$. We say that ε_0 is a fixed point (the least one) of the function $\alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha$.

Then we can go on with $\varepsilon_0 + 1, \varepsilon_0 + 2, \dots, \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0 \cdot 2, \dots, \varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0^2, \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}, \dots$

The limit of $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}, \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}}, \dots$ is called ε_1 . It can be shown that it is also the limit of $\varepsilon_0 + 1, \omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}, \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}}, \dots$ (see proof below).

These two fundamental sequences are examples of two ways of ascending ordinals :

- Build greater ordinals from known ones by increasing them using operations like successor, addition, multiplication, exponentiation, ... This method is used by the RSH0 notation which we will study later.
- When we have found a function that, when applied to a given ordinal, gives a greater one (for example $\alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha$), use it ad infinitum starting for example with 0, and then to go further use it ad infinitum starting with the successor of the previous result, and so on. This is called an enumeration of the fixed points of this function. A fixed point of a function f is a value (for example an ordinal) α with $f(\alpha) = \alpha$. Under some conditions (see below), the least fixed point of f is the limit of 0, $f(0)$, $f(f(0))$, $f(f(f(0)))$, ... If it is called α , the next fixed point is the limit of $\alpha + 1$, $f(\alpha + 1)$, $f(f(\alpha + 1))$, $f(f(f(\alpha + 1)))$, ... More generally, the least fixed point of f that is greater or equal to ζ is the limit of ζ , $f(\zeta)$, $f(f(\zeta))$, ... The Veblen functions use this method.

The required conditions are described for example in <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~hsimmons/ORDINAL-NOTATIONS/Fruitful.pdf> page 8 lemma 3.9 :

For each fruitful function f and each ordinal ζ , $f^\omega(\zeta + 1)$ is the least ordinal ν such that $\zeta < \nu = f(\nu)$, or the least fixed point of f that is strictly greater than ζ (or greater than or equal to $\zeta + 1$).

$f^\omega(\zeta + 1)$ is the limit of $\zeta + 1, f(\zeta + 1), f(f(\zeta + 1)), \dots$

A fruitful function is a function that is inflationary, monotone, big, and continuous.

A function f is inflationary if $\alpha \leq f(\alpha)$, monotone if $\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow f(\alpha) \leq f(\beta)$, big if $\omega^\alpha \leq f(\alpha)$ except possibly for $\alpha = 0$, continuous if $f(\text{VA}) = \text{Vf}[A]$ where VA is the pointwise supremum of the set A .

We will now prove by induction the equivalence of the two fundamental sequences above.

We will use the notation $\alpha^{\vdots n}$ for an an "exponential tower" with α repeated n times.

Note that the ordinals respectively limits of the fundamental sequence whose n-th term is ε_0^0 and the one whose n-th term is

$\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}$ is the same, the least fixed point of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon_0^\alpha$, which is greater than ω and also than ε_0 .

So we have proved what we want if we prove that, for any n, we have $\omega^{\omega \cdot \dots^{\omega} \epsilon_0 + 1} = \epsilon_0^{\epsilon_0}$

For $n = 0$, we have $\omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}} = \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0} \cdot \omega} = \omega^{\varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega} = (\omega^{\varepsilon_0})^\omega = \varepsilon_0^\omega$.

Now suppose we have $\omega^{\omega^{\vdots^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}}}} = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\omega}}$.

We must prove the equality for n+1, which can be written $\omega^{\omega \cdot \varepsilon_0 + 1} = \varepsilon_0^\omega$

We have $\omega^{\omega^{\omega^{\vdots^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}}}}}$ $= \omega^{\varepsilon_0^\omega}$ (by our hypothesis) $= \omega^{\varepsilon_0^{1+\varepsilon_0}}$ (for the same reason than $1 + \omega = \omega$, see above) $= \omega^{\varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^\omega}}$ $=$

$$(\omega^{\varepsilon_0})^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}}, \text{ QED.}$$

In a similar way, the limit of $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \varepsilon_1^{\varepsilon_1^{\varepsilon_1}}, \dots$ is called ε_2 and is also the limit of $\varepsilon_1 + 1, \omega^{\varepsilon_1+1}, \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_1+1}}, \dots$

We can define the same way ε_n for any natural number n . Then ε_ω is defined as the limit of $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \dots$, and $\varepsilon_{\omega+1}$ as the limit of $\varepsilon_\omega, \varepsilon_\omega^{\varepsilon_\omega}, \varepsilon_\omega^{\varepsilon_\omega^{\varepsilon_\omega}}, \dots$ or $\varepsilon_\omega + 1, \omega^{\varepsilon_\omega+1}, \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_\omega+1}}, \dots$

For any ordinal α , $\varepsilon_{\alpha+1}$ is the limit of $\varepsilon_\alpha, \varepsilon_\alpha^{\varepsilon_\alpha}, \varepsilon_\alpha^{\varepsilon_\alpha^{\varepsilon_\alpha}}, \dots$ and is also the limit of $\varepsilon_\alpha + 1, \omega^{\varepsilon_\alpha+1}, \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_\alpha+1}}, \dots$

After comes $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon_0}$, and the limit of $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_0}, \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_{\varepsilon_0}}, \dots$ which is called ζ_0 . This is the least fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon_\alpha$. The next one is ζ_1 which is the limit of $\zeta_0 + 1, \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}, \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}}, \dots$. Then we get $\zeta_2, \zeta_3, \dots, \zeta_\omega, \zeta_{\omega+1}, \dots, \zeta_{\varepsilon_0}, \dots, \zeta_{\zeta_0}, \dots, \zeta_{\zeta_{\zeta_0}}, \dots$. The limit of $0, \zeta_0, \zeta_{\zeta_0}, \zeta_{\zeta_{\zeta_0}}, \dots$ is called η_0 .

We can go on using successively different greek letters, or we can use functions indexed by numbers

- $\varphi_0(\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$
- $\varphi_1(\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha$
- $\varphi_2(\alpha) = \zeta_\alpha$
- $\varphi_3(\alpha) = \eta_\alpha$
- $\varphi_{\alpha+1}(\beta)$ is the $(1 + \beta)$ -th fixed point of $\xi \mapsto \varphi_\alpha(\xi)$.

or a function with two variables :

- $\varphi(0, \alpha) = \omega^\alpha$
- $\varphi(1, \alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha$
- $\varphi(2, \alpha) = \zeta_\alpha$
- $\varphi(3, \alpha) = \eta_\alpha$
- $\varphi(\alpha + 1, \beta)$ is the $(1 + \beta)$ -th fixed point of $\xi \mapsto \varphi(\alpha, \xi)$.

Every non-zero ordinal $\alpha < \Gamma_0$, where Γ_0 is the smallest ordinal α such that $\varphi_\alpha(0) = \alpha$, can be uniquely written in normal form for the Veblen hierarchy:

$$\alpha = \varphi_{\beta_1}(\gamma_1) + \varphi_{\beta_2}(\gamma_2) + \cdots + \varphi_{\beta_k}(\gamma_k),$$

where

$$\varphi_{\beta_1}(\gamma_1) \geq \varphi_{\beta_2}(\gamma_2) \geq \cdots \geq \varphi_{\beta_k}(\gamma_k) \quad \gamma_m < \varphi_{\beta_m}(\gamma_m) \text{ for } m \in \{1, \dots, k\}$$

Now we will see how we can find the fundamental sequence of an ordinal written in this normal form.

From the rule defining addition of a limit ordinal :

$$\alpha + \lim(f) = \lim(n \mapsto \alpha + f(n))$$

we deduce the fundamental sequence :

$$(\alpha + \beta)[n] = \alpha + \beta[n]$$

if β is a limit ordinal.

In particular, we have :

$$(\varphi_{\beta_1}(\gamma_1) + \varphi_{\beta_2}(\gamma_2) + \cdots + \varphi_{\beta_k}(\gamma_k))[n] = \varphi_{\beta_1}(\gamma_1) + \cdots + \varphi_{\beta_{k-1}}(\gamma_{k-1}) + (\varphi_{\beta_k}(\gamma_k)[n]), \text{ where } \varphi_{\beta_1}(\gamma_1) \geq \varphi_{\beta_2}(\gamma_2) \geq \cdots \geq \varphi_{\beta_k}(\gamma_k)$$

and $\gamma_m < \varphi_{\beta_m}(\gamma_m)$ for $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$,

Then, $\varphi_0(\gamma)$ is ω^γ .

For $\gamma = 0$ it is 1.

From the rule of multiplication by a limit ordinal :

$$\alpha \cdot \lim(f) = \lim(n \mapsto \alpha \cdot f(n))$$

we deduce the fundamental sequence :

$$(\alpha \cdot \beta)[n] = \alpha \cdot \beta[n] \text{ if } \beta \text{ is a limit ordinal.}$$

In particular, for ω :

$$(\alpha \cdot \omega)[n] = \alpha \cdot \omega[n] = \alpha \cdot n$$

Then we have :

$$\varphi_0(\gamma + 1) = \omega^{\gamma+1} = \omega^\gamma \cdot \omega = \varphi_0(\gamma) \cdot \omega$$

So the corresponding fundamental sequence is :

$$\varphi_0(\gamma + 1)[n] = (\varphi_0(\gamma) \cdot \omega)[n] = \varphi_0(\gamma) \cdot n$$

If γ is a limit ordinal and $\gamma < \varphi_0(\gamma)$, the fundamental sequence can be defined canonically:

$$\varphi_0(\gamma)[n] = \varphi_0(\gamma[n])$$

Note that if we remove the condition $\gamma < \varphi_0(\gamma)$ there is a problem. For example, for $\gamma = \varepsilon_0$, we have $\gamma = \varphi_0(\gamma) = \omega^\gamma$. Then, if we take as fundamental sequence of ε_0 the sequence $\varepsilon_0[0] = 0$ and $\varepsilon_0[n+1] = \omega^{\varepsilon_0[n]}$, then $\varphi_0(\gamma)[0] = \omega^{\varepsilon_0[0]} = \varepsilon_0[0] = 0$, but $\varphi_0(\gamma[0]) = \omega^{\varepsilon_0[0]} = \omega^0 = 1$.

Then, $\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma)$ is the $1 + \gamma$ -th fixed point of the function $\xi \mapsto \varphi_\beta(\xi)$, or more simply the function φ_β .

In particular, $\varphi_{\beta+1}(0)$ is the least fixed point of this function, which is $\varphi_\beta^\omega(0)$. A fundamental sequence of this ordinal is $\varphi_{\beta+1}(0)[n] = \varphi_\beta^n(0)$, which can also be written $\varphi_{\beta+1}(0)[0] = 0$ and $\varphi_{\beta+1}(0)[n+1] = \varphi_\beta(\varphi_{\beta+1}(0)[n])$.

$\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma + 1)$ is the fixed point of φ_β that follows $\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma)$. It is $\varphi_\beta^\omega(\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma) + 1)$. This can also be written $\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma + 1)[0] = \varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma) + 1$ and $\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma + 1)[n+1] = \varphi_\beta(\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma + 1)[n])$.

If γ is a limit ordinal, the fundamental sequence can be defined canonically:

$$\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma)[n] = \varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma[n]) \text{ if } \gamma < \varphi_\beta(\gamma).$$

Finally, if β is a limit ordinal, we define the following fundamental sequences:

$$\varphi_\beta(0)[n] = \varphi_{\beta[n]}(0) \text{ if } \beta < \varphi_\beta(0)$$

$$\varphi_\beta(\gamma + 1)[n] = \varphi_{\beta[n]}(\varphi_\beta(\gamma) + 1)$$

$\varphi_\beta(\gamma)[n] = \varphi_\beta(\gamma[n])$ for a limit ordinal $\gamma < \varphi_\beta(\gamma)$.

Concerning $\varphi_\beta(0)[n]$, note that if we remove the condition $\beta < \varphi_\beta(0)$ there is a problem. For example, if we take $\beta = \Gamma_0$ the least fixed point of the function $\xi \mapsto \varphi_\xi(0)$, then we have $\varphi_{\Gamma_0}(0) = \Gamma_0$. A fundamental sequence of Γ_0 is $\Gamma_0[0] = 0, \Gamma_0[1] = \varphi_0(0) = \omega^0 = 1, \Gamma_0[2] = \varphi_1(0) = \varepsilon_0, \dots$. Then we have $\varphi_{\Gamma_0}(0)[0] = \Gamma_0[0] = 0$, but $\varphi_{\Gamma_0[0]}(0) = \varphi_0(0) = \omega^0 = 1$.

For more explanations about the fundamental sequence $\varphi_\beta(\gamma + 1)[n] = \varphi_{\beta[n]}(\varphi_\beta(\gamma) + 1)$ see :

<https://www.physicsforums.com/threads/fundamental-sequences-for-the-veblen-hierarchy-of-ordinals.933538/>

Let us recap now the results we obtained.

The fundamental sequences for the Veblen functions $\varphi_\beta(\gamma) = \varphi(\beta, \gamma)$ are :

- (1) $(\varphi_{\beta_1}(\gamma_1) + \varphi_{\beta_2}(\gamma_2) + \dots + \varphi_{\beta_k}(\gamma_k))[n] = \varphi_{\beta_1}(\gamma_1) + \dots + \varphi_{\beta_{k-1}}(\gamma_{k-1}) + (\varphi_{\beta_k}(\gamma_k)[n])$, where $\varphi_{\beta_1}(\gamma_1) \geq \varphi_{\beta_2}(\gamma_2) \geq \dots \geq \varphi_{\beta_k}(\gamma_k)$ and $\gamma_m < \varphi_{\beta_m}(\gamma_m)$ for $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$,
- (2) $\varphi_0(0) = 1$,
- (3) $\varphi_0(\gamma + 1)[n] = \varphi_0(\gamma)n$
- (4) $\varphi_{\beta+1}(0)[0] = 0$ and $\varphi_{\beta+1}(0)[n + 1] = \varphi_\beta(\varphi_{\beta+1}(0)[n])$,
- (5) $\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma + 1)[0] = \varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma) + 1$ and $\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma + 1)[n + 1] = \varphi_\beta(\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma + 1)[n])$,
- (6) $\varphi_\beta(\gamma)[n] = \varphi_\beta(\gamma[n])$ for a limit ordinal $\gamma < \varphi_\beta(\gamma)$,
- (7) $\varphi_\beta(0)[n] = \varphi_{\beta[n]}(0)$ for a limit ordinal $\beta < \varphi_\beta(0)$,
- (8) $\varphi_\beta(\gamma + 1)[n] = \varphi_{\beta[n]}(\varphi_\beta(\gamma) + 1)$ for a limit ordinal β .

From these fundamental sequences, we can retrieve the initial definition of the function φ .

- (1) This does not concern the definition of the φ function but the definition of addition
- (2) and (3) and (6) for $\beta = 0$ are equivalent to $\varphi_0(\gamma) = \omega^\gamma$.
- (4) $\varphi_{\beta+1}(0) = \lim(n \mapsto \varphi_\beta^n(0)) = \varphi_\beta^\omega(0)$ which is the least fixed point of φ_β .
- (5) $\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma + 1) = \lim(n \mapsto \varphi_\beta^n(\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma) + 1))$, which is the least fixed point of φ_β strictly greater than $\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma)$, so with (6) it gives $\varphi_{\beta+1}(\gamma)$ is the 1 + γ -th fixed point of φ_β .
- (7), (8) and (6) for β limit ordinal complete the definition of $\varphi_\beta(\gamma)$ for β limit ordinal.

Here is an Haskell implementation of the φ function :

```
module Phi where
```

```
data Nat
  = ZeroN
  | SucN Nat
```

```
data Ord
  = Zero
  | Suc Ord
  | Lim (Nat -> Ord)
```

```
iter f ZeroN x = x
iter f (SucN n) x = f (iter f n x)
```

```
opLim f a = Lim (\n -> f n a)
```

```
opItw f = opLim (iter f)
```

```
cantor a Zero = Suc a
cantor a (Suc b) = opItw (\x -> cantor x b) a
cantor a (Lim f) = Lim (\n -> cantor a (f n))
```

```
nabla f Zero = f Zero
nabla f (Suc a) = f (Suc (nabla f a))
```

```

nabla f (Lim h) = Lim (\n -> nabla f (h n))

deriv f = nabla (opItw f)

phi Zero = cantor Zero
phi (Suc a) = deriv (phi a)
phi (Lim f) = nabla (opLim (\n -> phi (f n)))

```

$\text{iter } f \ n \ x = f^n(x).$
 $\text{opLim } f \ a = \text{limit of } f \ 0 \ a, f \ 1 \ a, f \ 2 \ a, \dots$
 $\text{opItw } f = f^\omega.$
 $\text{cantor } a \ b = a + \omega^b.$
 $\text{deriv } f \ a = \text{the } (1+a)\text{-th fixed point of } f.$
 $\text{phi } a \ b = \varphi_a(b).$

Then we can enumerate the fixed points of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(\alpha, 0)$ and define Γ_α as the $(1 + \alpha)$ -th fixed point of this function, or add another variable to the φ function and define $\varphi_{1,0}(\alpha)$ or $\varphi(1, 0, \alpha)$ as the $(1 + \alpha)$ -th fixed point of this function. So we have $\Gamma_\alpha = \varphi_{1,0}(\alpha) = \varphi(1, 0, \alpha)$.

More generally, we can define a function with any (finite) number of variables $\varphi_{\alpha_n, \alpha_{n-1}, \dots, \alpha_1, \alpha_0}(\beta) = \varphi(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n-1}, \dots, \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \beta)$, with $\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi_0(\alpha) = \varphi(0, \alpha) = \omega^\alpha$.

The notation $\varphi_{\alpha_n, \alpha_{n-1}, \dots, \alpha_1, \alpha_0}(\beta)$ has the advantage of highlighting the different role played by the last variable β .

For a complete definition of this Veblen function with finitely many variables, see for example :

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Veblen_function :

"Let z be an empty string or a string consisting of one or more comma-separated zeros $0, 0, \dots, 0$ and s be an empty string or a string consisting of one or more comma-separated ordinals $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ with $\alpha_1 > 0$. The binary function $\varphi(\beta, \gamma)$ can be written as $\varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)$ where both s and z are empty strings.

The finitary Veblen functions are defined as follows:

- $\varphi(\gamma) = \omega^\gamma$
- $\varphi(z, s, \gamma) = \varphi(s, \gamma)$
- if $\beta > 0$, then $\varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)$ denotes the $(1 + \gamma)$ -th common fixed point of the functions $\xi \mapsto \varphi(s, \delta, \xi, z)$ for each $\delta < \beta$

(...)

The limit of the $\varphi(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ where the number of zeroes ranges over ω , is sometimes known as the "small" Veblen ordinal.

Every non-zero ordinal α less than the small Veblen ordinal (SVO) can be uniquely written in normal form for the finitary Veblen function:

$$\alpha = \varphi(s_1) + \varphi(s_2) + \dots + \varphi(s_k)$$

where

- k is a positive integer
- $\varphi(s_1) \geq \varphi(s_2) \geq \dots \geq \varphi(s_k)$
- s_m is a string consisting of one or more comma-separated ordinals $\alpha_{m,1}, \alpha_{m,2}, \dots, \alpha_{m,n_m}$ where $\alpha_{m,1} > 0$ and each $\alpha_{m,i} < \varphi(s_m)$

For limit ordinals $\alpha < \text{SVO}$, written in normal form for the finitary Veblen function:

- $(\varphi(s_1) + \varphi(s_2) + \dots + \varphi(s_k))[n] = \varphi(s_1) + \varphi(s_2) + \dots + \varphi(s_k)[n]$,
- $\varphi(\gamma)[n] =$
 - n if $\gamma = 1$
 - $\varphi(\gamma - 1) \cdot n$ if γ is a successor ordinal
 - $\varphi(\gamma[n])$ if γ is a limit ordinal
- $\varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)[0] = 0$ and $\varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)[n + 1] = \varphi(s, \beta - 1, \varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)[n], z)$ if $\gamma = 0$ and β is a successor ordinal,
- $\varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)[0] = \varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma - 1) + 1$ and $\varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)[n + 1] = \varphi(s, \beta - 1, \varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)[n], z)$ if γ and β are successor ordinals,
- $\varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)[n] = \varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma[n])$ if γ is a limit ordinal,
- $\varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)[n] = \varphi(s, \beta[n], z, \gamma)$ if $\gamma = 0$ and β is a limit ordinal,

- $\varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma)[n] = \varphi(s, \beta[n], \varphi(s, \beta, z, \gamma - 1) + 1, z)$ if γ is a successor ordinal and β is a limit ordinal. ”

The Veblen function can be generalized to transfinitely many variables with a finite number different from 0. Instead of writing the list of all the variable of the Veblen function, we can write only the non zero variables with position as indice, for example $\varphi(\alpha, 0, \beta, \gamma) = \varphi(\alpha_3, \beta_1, \gamma_0)$. We can then generalize the Veblen function by allowing any ordinal as indices, writing for example $SV\mathcal{O} = \varphi(1_\omega)$. The limit of the ordinals that can be written with this notation is called the large Veblen ordinal (LVO).

According to Wikipedia, ”The definition can be given as follows: let α be a transfinite sequence of ordinals (i.e., an ordinal function with finite support) which ends in zero (i.e., such that $\alpha_0=0$), and let $\alpha[0 \mapsto \gamma]$ denote the same function where the final 0 has been replaced by γ . Then $\gamma \mapsto \varphi(\alpha[0 \mapsto \gamma])$ is defined as the function enumerating the common fixed points of all functions $\xi \mapsto \varphi(\beta)$ where β ranges over all sequences which are obtained by decreasing the smallest-indexed nonzero value of α and replacing some smaller-indexed value with the indeterminate ξ (i.e., $\beta = \alpha[\iota_0 \mapsto \zeta, \iota \mapsto \xi]$ meaning that for the smallest index ι_0 such that α_{ι_0} is nonzero the latter has been replaced by some value $\zeta < \alpha_{\iota_0}$ and that for some smaller index $\iota < \iota_0$, the value $\alpha_\iota = 0$ has been replaced with ξ).”

Schütte brackets or Klammersymbols are another way to write Veblen fuctions with transfinitely many variables. A Schütte bracket consists in a matrix with two lines, with the positions of the variables in the second line in increasing order, and the corresponding values in the first line. This matrix is preceded by the function $\xi \mapsto \varphi(\xi)$. If we take $\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi$, we get the equivalent of the Veblen function. With this notation, the previous example is written :

$$(\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \beta & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

In some of his papers, Harold Simmons puts the function after the matrix, which is more logical, the matrix being considered as a function which, when applied to a function, gives an ordinal :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \beta & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} (\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi)$$

When the function at the left of the matrix is $\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi$, it is sometimes omitted. Example :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \beta & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The corresponding fundamental sequences can be found in <https://sites.google.com/site/travelingtotheinfinity/fundamental-sequences-for-extended-veblen-function> .

Another possible notation is to represent the parameters of the φ function by a polynom of variable Ω where the exponent corresponds to the position of the variable, for example $\varphi(\alpha, 0, \beta, \gamma) = \varphi(\gamma_0, \beta_1, \alpha_3) = (\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \beta & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \varphi(\Omega^3 \cdot \alpha + \Omega \cdot \beta + \gamma)$.

For Ω , we can choose an ordinal which is greater than all the ordinals we want to produce. Since they all are countable, we can take for example $\Omega = \omega_1$ which is the least uncountable ordinal. The method consisting in using uncountable ordinals to define countable ordinals is called ”collapsing”. We will see later other examples of notations using this method.

Note that $\varphi(1, 0) = \varphi(\Omega)$ is the least α such that $\alpha = \varphi(\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$ (the least fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha$); $\varphi(1, 0, 0) = \varphi(\Omega^2) = \varphi(\Omega \cdot \Omega)$ is the least α such that $\alpha = \varphi(\alpha, 0) = \varphi(\Omega \cdot \alpha)$. Generally speaking, we can see that $f(\Omega)$ is the least fixed point of f . We shall see other examples of this equality later concerning ordinal collapsing functions. Note also that ” Ω ” can be replaced by ”1,0” in the formulas.

If we want to distinguish the last variable, we can also use collapsing with the notation $\varphi_{\alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_0}(\beta)$, writing for example $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(\delta) = \varphi_{\Omega^2 \cdot \alpha + \Omega \cdot \beta + \gamma}(\delta)$, or $\varphi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \varphi(\Omega^2 \cdot \alpha + \Omega \cdot \beta + \gamma, \delta)$.

See Veblen’s article ”Continuous Increasing Functions of Finite and Transfinite Ordinals” (<http://www.ams.org/journals/tran/1908-009-03/S0002-9947-1908-1500814-9/S0002-9947-1908-1500814-9.pdf>) for more information.

Here is an Agda implementation of the Veblen function with transfinitely many variables :

{-

A definition of the large Veblen ordinal in Agda
by Jacques Bailhache, March 2016

See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Veblen_function

(1) $\phi(a) = \omega^a$ for a single variable,

(2) $\phi(0, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0) = \phi(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0)$, and

(3) for $a > 0$, $c \rightarrow \phi(a_n, \dots, a_{i+1}, a, 0, \dots, 0, c)$ is the function enumerating the common fixed points of the functions $x \rightarrow \phi(a_n, \dots, a_{i+1}, b, x, 0, \dots, 0)$ for all $b < a$.

(4) Let a be a transfinite sequence of ordinals (i.e., an ordinal function with finite support) which ends in zero (i.e., such that $a_0 = 0$), and let $a[0 \rightarrow c]$ denote the same function where the final 0 has been replaced by c .

Then $c \rightarrow \phi(a[0 \rightarrow c])$ is defined as the function enumerating the common fixed points of all functions $x \rightarrow \phi(b)$ where b ranges over all sequences which are obtained by decreasing the smallest-indexed nonzero value of a and replacing some smaller-indexed value with the indeterminate x (i.e., $b = a[i_0 \rightarrow z, i \rightarrow x]$ meaning that for the smallest index i_0 such that a_{i_0} is nonzero the latter has been replaced by some value $z < a_{i_0}$ and that for some smaller index $i < i_0$, the value $a_i = 0$ has been replaced with x).

-}

module LargeVeblen where

data Nat : Set where

0 : Nat

1+ : Nat -> Nat

data Ord : Set where

Zero : Ord

Suc : Ord -> Ord

Lim : (Nat -> Ord) -> Ord

-- rpt n f x = f^n(x)

rpt : {t : Set} -> Nat -> (t -> t) -> t -> t

rpt 0 f x = x

rpt (1+ n) f x = rpt n f (f x)

-- smallest fixed point of f greater than x, limit of x, f x, f (f x), ...

fix : (Ord -> Ord) -> Ord -> Ord

fix f x = Lim (\n -> rpt n f x)

w = fix Suc Zero -- not a fixed point in this case !

-- cantor a b = b + w^a

cantor : Ord -> Ord -> Ord

cantor Zero a = Suc a

cantor (Suc b) a = fix (cantor b) a

cantor (Lim f) a = Lim (\n -> cantor (f n) a)

-- phi0 a = w^a

phi0 : Ord -> Ord

```

phi0 a = cantor a Zero

-- Another possibility is to use phi'0 instead of phi0 in the definition of phi,
-- this gives a phi function which grows slower
phi'0 : Ord -> Ord
phi'0 Zero = Suc Zero
phi'0 (Suc a) = Suc (phi'0 a)
phi'0 (Lim f) = Lim (\n -> phi'0 (f n))

-- Associative list of ordinals
infixr 40 _=>_&_
data OrdAList : Set where
  Zeros : OrdAList
  _=>_&_ : Ord -> Ord -> OrdAList -> OrdAList

-- Usage : phi al, where al is the associative list of couples index => value ordered by increasing values,
-- absent indexes corresponding to Zero values

phi : OrdAList -> Ord
phi      Zeros  = phi0 Zero -- (1) phi(0) = w**0 = 1
phi (Zero => a & Zeros) = phi0 a -- (1) phi(a) = w**a
phi (      k => Zero & al) = phi al -- eliminate unnecessary Zero value
phi (Zero => a & k => Zero & al) = phi (Zero => a & al) -- idem
phi (Zero => a & Zero => b & al) = phi (Zero => a & al) -- should not appear but necessary for completeness
phi (Zero => Lim f & al) = Lim (\n -> phi (Zero => f n & al)) -- canonical treatment of limit
phi (      Suc k => Suc b & al) = fix (\x -> phi (k => x & Suc k => b & al)) Zero
  -- (3) least fixed point
phi (Zero => Suc a & Suc k => Suc b & al) = fix (\x -> phi (k => x & Suc k => b & al)) (Suc (phi (Zero => a &
Suc k => Suc b & al))) -- (3) following fixed points
phi (      Suc k => Lim f & al) = Lim (\n -> phi (Suc k => f n & al)) -- idem
phi (Zero => Suc a & Suc k => Lim f & al) = Lim (\n -> phi (k => Suc (phi (Zero => a & Suc k => Lim f & al)) &
Suc k => f n & al)) -- idem
phi (      Lim f => Suc b & al) = Lim (\n -> phi (f n => (Suc Zero) & Lim f => b & al))
phi (Zero => Suc a & Lim f => Suc b & al) = Lim (\n -> phi (f n => phi (Zero => a & Lim f => Suc b & al) & Lim
f => b & al))
phi (      Lim f => Lim g & al) = Lim (\n -> phi (Lim f => g n & al))
phi (Zero => Suc a & Lim f => Lim g & al) = Lim (\n -> phi (f n => phi (Zero => a & Lim f => Lim g & al) & Lim
f => g n & al))

SmallVeblen = phi (w => Suc Zero & Zeros)

LargeVeblen = fix (\x -> phi (x => Suc Zero & Zeros)) (Suc Zero)

{-
Normally it should terminate because the parameter of phi lexicographically decreases, but Agda is not clever enough
so it must be called with no termination check option :

$ agda -I --no-termination-check LargeVeblen.agda

      _
  /  _  \      | |
| | _ | | _ _ _ | | _
|  _  / _ \ _ | / _ \
| | / / _ \ \ _ | / _ \
|_ | \ _ _ / _ _ \ _ _ /
      _ / /

Agda Interactive
Type :? for help.
-}

```

_--/

```
The interactive mode is no longer supported. Don't complain if it doesn't work.
Checking LargeVeblen (/perso/ord/LargeVeblen.agda).
Finished LargeVeblen.
Main> phi Zeros
Suc Zero
Main> :typeOf LargeVeblen
Ord
Main>
```

5 Going beyond Veblen function with transfinitely many variables

We start with the large Veblen ordinal which is the least fixed point of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1_\alpha)$. Then we consider a function F that enumerates the fixed points of $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1_\alpha)$. So we have $LVO = F(0)$. The next fixed point $F(1)$ is the limit of $LVO + 1, \varphi(1_{LVO+1}), \varphi(1_{\varphi(1_{LVO+1})}), \dots$

Then we can consider the fixed points of the function F and define a function G that enumerates these fixed points, then a function H that enumerates the fixed points of G , and so on.

This construction is similar to ε which enumerates the fixed points of $\alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha$, ζ which enumerates the fixed points of ε , η which enumerates the fixed points of ζ .

Like we have defined :

- $\varphi_0(\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$
- $\varphi_1(\alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha)$
- $\varphi_2(\alpha) = \zeta(\alpha)$

...

we can define :

- $\varphi_0^+(\alpha) = F(\alpha)$
- $\varphi_1^+(\alpha) = G(\alpha)$
- $\varphi_2^+(\alpha) = H(\alpha)$

...

With this notation we can write $LVO = \varphi_0^+(0)$.

Then $\varphi_\alpha^+(\beta)$ can be written as a binary function $\varphi^+(\alpha, \beta)$ which can be generalized to finitely many variables like $\varphi^+(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and transfinitely many variables like $\varphi^+(1_\omega)$.

Then we can consider the fixed points of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi^+(1_\alpha)$ and define a function φ_0^{++} which enumerates these fixed points.

The same way we can define φ^{+++} , φ^{++++} , ...

We can then define a new notation :

- $\Phi_0 = \varphi$
- $\Phi_1 = \varphi^+$
- $\Phi_2 = \varphi^{++}$

...

There is another way to express this construction.

There are different conventions for $\varphi_0(x)$, like ω^x or ε_x . We can write explicitly the convention chosen for φ_0 by writing " $\varphi_f(\alpha, \beta)$ " for " $\varphi_\alpha(\beta)$ with function f used for φ_0 ". With this notation we have:

- $\varphi_f(0, \beta) = f(\beta)$
- $\varphi_f(\alpha + 1, \beta) = (1 + \beta)$ th fixed point of the function $\beta \mapsto \varphi_f(\alpha, \beta)$
- $\varphi_f(\lambda, \beta) = (1 + \beta)$ th common fixed point of the function $\beta \mapsto \varphi_f(\alpha, \beta)$ for all $\alpha < \lambda$, if λ is a limit ordinal.

(See <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~hsimmons/TEMP/OrdNotes.pdf>)

Then we generalize the binary function $\varphi_f(\alpha, \beta)$ to finitely many variables: for example $\varphi_f(1, 0, \alpha) = (1 + \alpha)$ th common fixed point of the function $\xi \mapsto \varphi_f(\xi, 0)$ (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Veblen_function) and to infinitely many variables with a finite number of them different from 0, for example $\varphi_f(1_\omega)$.

Then we can define new φ functions by taking for φ_0 the function $\xi \mapsto \varphi_f(1_\xi)$ and define functions $\varphi_{\xi \mapsto \varphi_f(1_\xi)}$ with 2 variables, with finitely many variables and with transfinitely many variables.

To make a correspondence with my previous construction, if f is the function $\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi$, then $\varphi_f(\alpha, \beta)$ corresponds to what I wrote $\varphi_\alpha(\beta)$, and $\varphi_{\xi \mapsto \varphi_f(1_\xi)}(\alpha, \beta)$ to $\varphi_\alpha^+(\beta)$.

If we define the function S by $S(f)(\xi) = \varphi_f(1_\xi)$, then $\varphi_{\xi \mapsto \varphi_f(1_\xi)}$ can be written $\varphi_{S(f)}$. We can then consider $\varphi_{S(S(f))}$ and so on. Given an ordinal α , we can iterate transfinitely " α times" the application of S to an initial function f_0 , for example $f_0(\xi) = \omega^\xi$, to obtain a function which I will write $S^\alpha(f_0)$. We can use this function to define a function $\varphi_{S^\alpha(f_0)}$ which permits to construct big ordinals.

6 Simmons notation

6.1 Presentation

Harold Simmons defined a notation (see <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~hsimmons/ORDINAL-NOTATIONS/ordinal-notations.html>) based on fixed points enumeration which "contains" Veblen functions and permits to go further.

He uses the lambda calculus formalism, in which $f \ x$ represents the application of function f to x , and $f \ x \ y = (f \ x) \ y$ the application of function f to x which gives another function which is applied to y giving the final result. He uses the notation $x \mapsto y$ to represent the function which, when applied to x , gives y (instead of the traditional lambda calculus notation $\lambda x. y$).

He also uses the notation ω^\bullet for $\alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha$.

$f \circ g$ represents the composition of functions f and g : $(f \circ g)\alpha = f(g\alpha)$.

f^α is a canonical generalization of exponentiation of a function to an ordinal power : f^n represents $f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f$ with f repeated n times, $f^\omega \zeta$ is the limit of $\zeta, f \ \zeta, f(f \ \zeta), \dots, f^{\omega+1} \zeta = f(f^\omega \zeta)$ and so on.

More precisely, Simmons gives the following definitions in <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~hsimmons/TEMP/OrdNotes.pdf> page 11 :

- $g^0 \zeta = \zeta$
- $g^{\alpha+1} \zeta = g(g^\alpha \zeta)$
- $g^\lambda \zeta = V\{g^\alpha \zeta \mid \alpha < \lambda\}$ (if λ is a limit ordinal, where V denotes the pointwise supremum)

and the following equivalent definitions in <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~hsimmons/ORDINAL-NOTATIONS/Fruitful.pdf> page 4 :

- $g^0 = id$
- $g^{\alpha+1} = g \circ g^\alpha$
- $g^\lambda = V\{g^\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda\}$

and he generalizes these definitions to higher order functions.

Then Simmons defines the following functions :

$Fix \ f \ \zeta = f^\omega(\zeta + 1) = \text{limit of } \zeta + 1, f(\zeta + 1), f(f(\zeta + 1)), \dots$ is the least fixed point of the function f which is strictly greater than ζ , which means the least ordinal ν satisfying $f \ \nu = \nu$ and $\nu > \zeta$.

$Next \ \omega^\bullet = Fix(\alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha)$; $Next \ \zeta$ is the next ε_α after ζ .

$[0]h = Fix(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha 0)$
 $[1]hg = Fix(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha g 0)$
 $[2]hgf = Fix(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha g f 0)$
... and so on ...

In <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~hsimmons/ORDINAL-NOTATIONS/OrdSlides.pdf> Simmons gives another equivalent definition :

$[0]h = Fix(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha \omega)$
 $[1]hg = Fix(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha g \omega)$
 $[2]hgf = Fix(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha g f \omega)$

Simmons also defines :

$Veb \ f \ \zeta = (Fix \ f)^{1+\zeta} 0$ is the $(1 + \zeta)$ -th fixed point of f

$Enm \ h \ \alpha = h^{1+\alpha} 0$

$Veb = Enm \circ Fix$

$[0] = Fix \circ Enm$

$Fix \circ Veb = Fix \circ Enm \circ Fix = [0] \circ Fix$

$Fix \circ Veb^\alpha = [0]^\alpha \circ Fix$

$\Delta[0] = \omega$
 $\Delta[1] = \text{Next } \omega = \varepsilon_0$
 $\Delta[2] = [0]\text{Next } \omega = \text{least } \nu \text{ with } \nu = \text{Next}' \omega = \zeta_0$
 $\Delta[3] = [1][0]\text{Next } \omega = \text{least } \nu \text{ with } \nu = [0]^\nu \text{Next } \omega = \Gamma_0$
 $\Delta[4] = [2][1][0]\text{Next } \omega = \text{least } \nu \text{ with } \nu = [1]^\nu [0]\text{Next } \omega = LVO \text{ (large Veblen ordinal)}$
 ... and so on ...

6.2 Implementation

Here is an implementation of the Simmons hierarchy in Haskell :

```

module Simmons where

-- Natural numbers
data Nat
  = ZeroN
  | SucN Nat

-- Ordinals
data Ord
  = Zero
  | Suc Ord
  | Lim (Nat -> Ord)

-- Ordinal corresponding to a given natural
ordOfNat ZeroN = Zero
ordOfNat (SucN n) = Suc (ordOfNat n)

-- omega
w = Lim ordOfNat

lim0 s = Lim s
lim1 f x = lim0 (\n -> f n x)
lim2 f x = lim1 (\n -> f n x)

-- this does not work :
-- lim ZeroN s = Lim s
-- lim (SucN p) f = \x -> lim p (\n -> f n x)

-- f^a(x)
fpower0 f Zero x = x
fpower0 f (Suc a) x = f (fpower0 f a x)
fpower0 f (Lim s) x = Lim (\n -> fpower0 f (s n) x)

fpower l f Zero x = x
fpower l f (Suc a) x = f (fpower l f a x)
fpower l f (Lim s) x = l (\n -> fpower l f (s n) x)

-- fix f z = least fixed point of f which is > z
fix f z = fpower lim0 f w (Suc z) -- Lim (\n -> fpower0 f (ordOfNat n) (Suc z))

-- cantor b a = a + w^b
cantor Zero a = Suc a
cantor (Suc b) a = fix (cantor b) a
cantor (Lim s) a = Lim (\n -> cantor (s n) a)
  
```

```

-- expw a = w^a
expw a = cantor a Zero

-- next a = least epsilon_b > a
next = fix expw

-- [0]
simmons0 h = fix (\a -> fpower lim0 h a Zero)

-- [1]
simmons1 h1 h0 = fix (\a -> fpower lim1 h1 a h0 Zero)

-- [2]
simmons2 h2 h1 h0 = fix (\a -> fpower lim2 h2 a h1 h0 Zero)

-- Large Veblen ordinal
lvo = simmons2 simmons1 simmons0 next w

$ hugs
-- -- -- -- --
||  ||  ||  ||  ||  ||  ||__  Hugs 98: Based on the Haskell 98 standard
||__||  ||__||  ||__||  __||  Copyright (c) 1994-2005
||---||      ___||  World Wide Web: http://haskell.org/hugs
||  ||      Bugs: http://hackage.haskell.org/trac/hugs
||  || Version: September 2006 -----

Haskell 98 mode: Restart with command line option -98 to enable extensions

Type :? for help
Hugs> :load simmons
Simmons> lvo
ERROR - Cannot find "show" function for:
*** Expression : lvo
*** Of type    : Ord

Simmons>

```

6.3 Correspondence with Veblen functions

ε_0 is the next ε_α after 0 (or after ω , or after any ordinal less than ε_0 , so we have $\varepsilon_0 = \text{Next } 0 = \text{Next } \omega$.
 ε_1 is the next ε_α after ε_0 , so we have $\varepsilon_1 = \text{Next } \varepsilon_0 = \text{Next } (\text{Next } 0) = \text{Next}^2 0 = \text{Next } (\text{Next } \omega) = \text{Next}^2 \omega$.
 ε_2 is the next ε_α after ε_1 , so we have $\varepsilon_2 = \text{Next } \varepsilon_1 = \text{Next } (\text{Next } (\text{Next } 0)) = \text{Next}^3 0 = \text{Next } (\text{Next } (\text{Next } \omega)) = \text{Next}^3 \omega$.
...
 ε_ω is the limit of $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$. It is the limit of $\text{Next}^1 0, \text{Next}^2 0, \text{Next}^3 0, \dots$ which is $\text{Next}^\omega 0$.
More generally, we have $\varepsilon_\alpha = \varphi(1, \alpha) = \text{Next}^{1+\alpha} 0 = \text{Next}^{1+\alpha} \omega$.

$\zeta_0 = \varphi(2, 0)$ is the least fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon_\alpha$ (greater than 0), so $\zeta_0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon_\alpha) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \text{Next}^{1+\alpha} 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \text{Next}^\alpha 0) 0$ (because the "1+" is "absorbed" after a few iterations) = $[0] \text{Next } 0$. Since ζ_0 is also greater than ω , it is also $[0] \text{Next } \omega$ according to a similar computation.

$\zeta_1 = \varphi(2, 1)$ is the next fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon_\alpha$, the least one which is strictly greater than ζ_0 , so $\zeta_1 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon_\alpha) \zeta_0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \text{Next}^\alpha 0) \zeta_0 = [0] \text{Next } \zeta_0 = [0] \text{Next } ([0] \text{Next } 0) = ([0] \text{Next})^2 0 = [0] \text{Next } ([0] \text{Next } \omega) = ([0] \text{Next})^2 \omega$.

More generally, $\zeta_\alpha = ([0] \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$.

Similar computations give $\eta_0 = \varphi(3, 0) = [0]^2 \text{Next } 0$ and $\eta_\alpha = ([0]^2 \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$.
More generally, $\varphi(1 + \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$ or $([0]^\beta \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} \omega$.

$\Gamma_0 = \varphi(1, 0, 0)$ is the least fixed point (greater than 0) of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(\alpha, 0)$ or $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1 + \alpha, 0)$ (for the same reason of "absorbion" of "1+" than previously), so $\Gamma_0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1 + \alpha, 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto ([0]^\alpha \text{Next})^{1+0} 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto [0]^\alpha \text{Next } 0) 0 = [1][0] \text{Next } 0$.

$\Gamma_1 = \varphi(1, 0, 1)$ is the next fixed point : $\Gamma_1 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto [0]^\alpha \text{Next } 0) \Gamma_0 = [1][0] \text{Next } \Gamma_0 = [1][0] \text{Next } ([1][0] \text{Next } 0) = ([1][0] \text{Next})^2 0$.

More generally, we have $\varphi(1, 0, \alpha) = ([1][0] \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$.

$\varphi(1, 1, 0)$ is the least fixed point (greater than 0) of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1, 0, \alpha)$, so it is $\text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1, 0, \alpha) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto ([1][0] \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto ([1][0] \text{Next})^\alpha 0) 0$ (absorbion of 1+) = $[0]([1][0] \text{Next}) 0$.

$\varphi(1, 1, 1)$ is the next fixed point $\text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto ([1][0] \text{Next})^\alpha 0) \varphi(1, 1, 0) = ([0]([1][0] \text{Next})([1][0] \text{Next}) 0) = ([0]([1][0] \text{Next}))^2 0$.

More generally, $\varphi(1, 1, \alpha) = ([0]([1][0] \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} 0$.

$\varphi(1, 2, 0)$ is the least fixed point (greater than 0) of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1, 1, \alpha)$, $\text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1, 1, \alpha) 0 = \text{Fix}([0]([1][0] \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto ([0]([1][0] \text{Next}))^\alpha 0) 0 = [0]([0]([1][0] \text{Next})) 0 = [0]^2([1][0] \text{Next}) 0$.

Like previously, $\varphi(1, 2, \alpha)$ is the $(1 + \alpha)$ -th fixed point of the previous function, which is $([0]^2([1][0] \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} 0$.

More generally, $\varphi(1, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta([1][0] \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} 0$.

$\varphi(2, 0, 0)$ is the least fixed point (greater than 0) of the function $\beta \mapsto \varphi(1, \beta, 0)$, which is $\text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1, \beta, 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\beta \mapsto ([0]^\beta([1][0] \text{Next}))^{1+0} 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\beta \mapsto [0]^\beta([1][0] \text{Next}) 0) 0 = [1][0]([1][0] \text{Next}) 0 = ([1][0])^2 \text{Next } 0$.

The $(1 + \alpha)$ -th fixed point of the previous function is $\varphi(2, 0, \alpha) = (([1][0])^2 \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$.

The least fixed point of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(2, 0, \alpha)$ is $\varphi(2, 1, 0) = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(2, 0, \alpha) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto (([1][0])^2 \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto (([1][0])^2 \text{Next})^\alpha 0) 0 = [0]([1][0])^2 \text{Next } 0$ and its $(1 + \alpha)$ -th fixed point is $\varphi(2, 1, \alpha) = ([0]([1][0])^2 \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$.

More generally, we have $\varphi(2, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta([1][0])^2 \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$.

The general formula with three variables (with $\gamma \neq 0$) is $\varphi(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta([1][0])^\gamma \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$.

In particular, we have $\varphi(\gamma, 0, 0) = ([1][0])^\gamma \text{Next } 0$.

Using collapsing, we can write $\varphi(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \varphi_{\gamma, \beta}(\alpha) = \varphi_{\Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta}(\alpha) = \varphi(\Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta, \alpha) = \varphi(1 + \Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^{\Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta} \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0 = ([0]^\beta([0]^\Omega)^\gamma \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0 = ([0]^\beta([1][0])^\gamma \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$ if we consider that $[0]^\Omega = [1][0]$.

$\varphi(1, 0, 0, 0)$ is the least fixed point of the function $\gamma \mapsto \varphi(\gamma, 0, 0)$, $\text{Fix}(\gamma \mapsto \varphi(\gamma, 0, 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\gamma \mapsto ([1][0])^\gamma \text{Next } 0) 0 = [1]([1][0]) \text{Next } 0 = [1]^2[0] \text{Next } 0$.

All of these computations could be done with ω instead of 0 at the end of the formulas so we also have $\varphi(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta([1][0])^\gamma \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} \omega$.

In a similar way, we can obtain the formula with 4 variables :

$\varphi(1, 0, 0, \alpha) = ([1]^2[0] \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$

$\varphi(1, 0, 1, 0) = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto ([1]^2[0] \text{Next})^\alpha 0) 0 = [0]([1]^2[0]) 0$

$\varphi(1, 0, 1, \alpha) = ([0]([1]^2[0] \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} 0$

$\varphi(1, 0, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta([1]^2[0] \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} 0$

$\varphi(1, 1, 0, 0) = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1, 0, \alpha, 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto [0]^\alpha([1]^2[0] \text{Next}) 0) 0 = [1][0]([1]^2[0] \text{Next}) 0$

$\varphi(1, 1, 0, \alpha) = ([1][0]([1]^2[0] \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} 0$

$\varphi(1, 1, 1, 0) = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1, 1, 0, \alpha) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto ([1][0]([1]^2[0] \text{Next}))^\alpha 0) 0 = [0]([1][0]([1]^2[0] \text{Next})) 0$

$\varphi(1, 1, 1, \alpha) = ([0]([1][0]([1]^2[0] \text{Next})))^{1+\alpha} 0$

$\varphi(1, 1, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta([1][0]([1]^2[0] \text{Next})))^{1+\alpha} 0$

$\varphi(1, 2, 0, 0) = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1, 1, \alpha, 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto [0]^\alpha([1][0]([1]^2[0] \text{next})) 0) 0 = [1][0]([1][0]([1]^2[0] \text{Next})) 0 = ([1][0])^2([1]^2[0] \text{Next}) 0$

$\varphi(1, 2, 0, \alpha) = [1]^2[0] \text{Next } 0$

$\varphi(1, 1, 0, 0) = [1][0]([1]^2[0] \text{Next}) 0$

$\varphi(1, 2, 0, 0) = ([1][0])^2([1]^2[0] \text{Next}) 0$

$\varphi(1, \gamma, 0, 0) = ([1][0])^\gamma([1]^2[0] \text{Next}) 0$

$\varphi(1, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta([1][0])^\gamma([1]^2[0] \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} 0$

$\varphi(2, 0, 0, 0) = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1, \alpha, 0, 0) 0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto ([1][0])^\alpha([1]^2[0] \text{Next}) 0) 0 = [1]([1][0])([1]^2[0] \text{Next}) 0 = [1]^2[0]([1]^2[0] \text{Next}) 0 = ([1]^2[0])^2 \text{Next } 0$

$\varphi(\delta, 0, 0, 0) = ([1]^2[0])^\delta \text{Next } 0$

The general formula with four variables is :

$\varphi(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta([1][0])^\gamma([1]^2[0])^\delta \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} 0 = ([0]^\beta([1][0])^\gamma([1]^2[0])^\delta \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} \omega$

and so on.

Using collapsing, we can write $\varphi(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \varphi_{\delta, \gamma, \beta}(\alpha) = \varphi_{\Omega^2 \cdot \delta + \Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta}(\alpha) = \varphi(\Omega^2 \cdot \delta + \Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta, \alpha) = \varphi(1 + \Omega^2 \cdot \delta + \Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^{\Omega^2 \cdot \delta + \Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta} \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0 = ([0]^\beta ([0]^\Omega)^\gamma ([0]^{\Omega^2})^\delta \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0 = ([0]^\beta ([1][0])^\gamma ([1]^2[0])^\delta \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$ if we consider that $[0]^\Omega = [1][0]$ and $[0]^{\Omega^2} = ([0]^\Omega)^\Omega = ([1][0])^\Omega = [1]([1][0]) = [1]^2[0]$.

The small Veblen ordinal is the limit of :

$\varphi(1) = \omega, \varphi(1, 0) = \text{Next } \omega, \varphi(1, 0, 0) = [1][0] \text{Next } \omega, \varphi(1, 0, 0, 0) = [1]^2[0] \text{Next } \omega, \varphi(1, 0, 0, 0, 0) = [1]^3[0] \text{Next } \omega, \dots$
This limit is $[1]^\omega[0] \text{Next } \omega = [1]^\omega[0] \text{Next } 0$.

Allowing variables at any finite or transfinite positions (which is equivalent to Schütte brackets or Klammersymbols) gives ordinals smaller than the large Veblen ordinal which is the least fixed point of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1_\alpha)$. It is $\text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1_\alpha))0 = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto [1]^\alpha[0] \text{Next } 0)0 = [2][1][0] \text{Next } 0$
 $[2][1][0] \text{Next } 0$ or $[2][1][0] \text{Next } \omega$.

The conversion rule from Schütte Klammersymbol to Simmons notation are described by Simmons in his paper : <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/NOTATIONS/FromBelow.pdf> (Simmons also wrote other papers but it seems to me that they contain inaccuracies and maybe even errors).

In summary :

$$\text{Fix } f\zeta = f^\omega(\zeta + 1)$$

$$\text{Enm } h \alpha = h^{1+\alpha} 0$$

$$\text{Next} = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha)$$

$$[0]h = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha 0)$$

$$[1]hg = \text{Fix}(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha g 0)$$

$$\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + 1 \\ i + 1 \end{bmatrix} = ([1]^i[0])^{1+\alpha} \text{ if } i \neq 0; [0]^\alpha \text{ if } i = 0$$

$$\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 & \dots & \alpha_s + 1 \\ i_1 + 1 & \dots & i_s + 1 \end{bmatrix} = \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 \\ i_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_s + 1 \\ i_s + 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $f \circ g$ is the composition of functions f and g : $(f \circ g)x = f(g x)$

$$\text{Sch} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \alpha_1 & \dots & 1 + \alpha_s \\ 1 + i_1 & \dots & 1 + i_s \end{bmatrix} = \text{Enm} \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \alpha_1 & \dots & 1 + \alpha_s \\ 1 + i_1 & \dots & 1 + i_s \end{bmatrix} \circ \text{Fix}$$

f may be any function but it is usually $\alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha$.

$$f \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 1 + \alpha_1 & \dots & 1 + \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 + i_1 & \dots & 1 + i_s \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \text{Sch} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \alpha_1 & \dots & 1 + \alpha_s \\ 1 + i_1 & \dots & 1 + i_s \end{bmatrix} f\zeta$$

$$= (\text{Enm} \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \alpha_1 & \dots & 1 + \alpha_s \\ 1 + i_1 & \dots & 1 + i_s \end{bmatrix} \circ \text{Fix}) f\zeta$$

$$= (\text{Enm} \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 \\ i_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_s + 1 \\ i_s + 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ \text{Fix}) f\zeta$$

$$= \text{Enm}((\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 \\ i_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_s + 1 \\ i_s + 1 \end{bmatrix})(\text{Fix}f))\zeta$$

$$= (\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 \\ i_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_s + 1 \\ i_s + 1 \end{bmatrix})(\text{Fix}f)^{1+\zeta} 0$$

If $f = \alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha$, then $\text{Fix } f = \text{Next}$ and

$$f \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 1 + \alpha_1 & \dots & 1 + \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 + i_1 & \dots & 1 + i_s \end{pmatrix} = (\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 \\ i_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_s + 1 \\ i_s + 1 \end{bmatrix}) \text{Next}^{1+\zeta} 0$$

Examples :

$$\varphi(1 + \beta, \alpha)$$

$$= (\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 + \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= ((\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \beta + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})(\text{Fix}(\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi)))^{1+\alpha} 0$$

$$= ((\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \beta + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$$

$$= ([0]^\beta \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(1 + \gamma, 1 + \beta, \alpha) \\
&= (\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 + \beta & 1 + \gamma \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= ((\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \beta + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \gamma + 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix})(\text{Fix}(\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi)))^{1+\alpha} 0 \\
&= ((\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \beta + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \gamma + 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix})\text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0 \\
&= ([0]^\beta \circ [0] \circ ([1][0])^{1+\gamma} \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0 \\
&= ([0]^{1+\beta} (([1][0])^{1+\gamma} \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} 0
\end{aligned}$$

Compare with the previously found formula :

if $\gamma > 0$, $\varphi(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta (([1][0])^\gamma \text{Next}))^{1+\alpha} 0$

and note the "round trip" $1 + \gamma \rightarrow \gamma + 1 \rightarrow 1 + \gamma$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(1 + \delta, 1 + \gamma, 1 + \beta, \alpha) \\
&= (\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 + \beta & 1 + \gamma & 1 + \delta \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= ((\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \beta + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \gamma + 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \delta + 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix})(\text{Fix}(\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi)))^{1+\alpha} 0 \\
&= ((\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \beta + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \gamma + 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \delta + 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix})\text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0 \\
&= ([0]^\beta \circ [0] \circ ([1][0])^{1+\gamma} \circ [0] \circ ([1]^2[0])^{1+\delta} \text{Next})^{1+\alpha} 0 \\
&= ([0]^{1+\beta} (([1][0])^{1+\gamma} ([0]((([1]^2[0])^{1+\delta} \text{Next}))))^{1+\alpha} 0 \\
&= ([0]^{1+\beta} (([1][0])^{1+\gamma} (([1]^2[0])^{1+\delta} \text{Next})))^{1+\alpha} 0
\end{aligned}$$

because $[0]$ is absorbed by the following operator (see <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~hsimmons/ORDINAL-NOTATIONS/FromBelow.pdf> p 33, 6.7)

Compare with the previously mentioned formula :

$\varphi(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta (([1][0])^\gamma (([1]^2[0])^\delta \text{Next})))^{1+\alpha} 0$

The equality

$$(\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 1 + \alpha_1 & \dots & 1 + \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 + i_1 & \dots & 1 + i_s \end{pmatrix} = (\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 \\ i_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_s + 1 \\ i_s + 1 \end{bmatrix}) \text{Next}^{1+\zeta} 0$$

can be reformulated, distinguishing four cases :

- $(\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \varphi(0, \zeta) = \omega^\zeta$
- $(\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 1 + \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \varphi(1 + \alpha, \zeta) = (\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{Next})^{1+\zeta} 0 = ([0]^\alpha \text{Next})^{1+\zeta} 0$
- $(\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 1 + \alpha_1 & 1 + \alpha_2 & \dots & 1 + \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 & 1 + i_2 & \dots & 1 + i_s \end{pmatrix}$
 $= ((\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 + 1 \\ i_2 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_s + 1 \\ i_s + 1 \end{bmatrix}) \text{Next})^{1+\zeta} 0$
 $= ([0]^{\alpha_1} \circ [0] \circ ([1]^{i_2} [0])^{1+\alpha_2} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ ([1]^{i_s} [0])^{1+\alpha_s}) \text{Next}^{1+\zeta} 0$
 $= ([0]^{1+\alpha_1} \circ ([1]^{i_2} [0])^{1+\alpha_2} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ ([1]^{i_s} [0])^{1+\alpha_s}) \text{Next}^{1+\zeta} 0$
 $= ([0]^{1+\alpha_1} \circ ([1]^{i_2} [0])^{1+\alpha_2} \circ \dots \circ ([1]^{i_s} [0])^{1+\alpha_s}) \text{Next}^{1+\zeta} 0$

The first separating $[0]$ is combined with $[0]^{\alpha_1}$ giving $[0]^{1+\alpha_1}$ and the other are absorbed.

- $(\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 1 + \alpha_1 & \dots & 1 + \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 + i_1 & \dots & 1 + i_s \end{pmatrix}$ with $i_1 \neq 0$
 $= ((\nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 \\ i_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_s + 1 \\ i_s + 1 \end{bmatrix}) \text{Next})^{1+\zeta} 0$
 $= ((([1]^{i_1} [0])^{1+\alpha_1} \circ [0] \circ \dots \circ [0] \circ ([1]^{i_s} [0])^{1+\alpha_s}) \text{Next})^{1+\zeta} 0$
 $= ((([1]^{i_1} [0])^{1+\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ ([1]^{i_s} [0])^{1+\alpha_s}) \text{Next})^{1+\zeta} 0$

The separating $[0]$ are absorbed.

We can see that the third case is contained in the fourth one if we remove the restriction $i_1 \neq 0$ because if $i_1 = 0$ we have $([1]^{i_1}[0])^{1+\alpha_1} = [0]^{1+\alpha_1}$ like in the third case.

For more information concerning the correspondence between Simmons notation and Schütte Klammersymbols, see : <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~hsimmons/ORDINAL-NOTATIONS/FromBelow.pdf> pages 28 - 34.

The Simmons notation can also be used to represent the notation going beyond Veblen functions that we saw previously. As we saw previously, the large Veblen ordinal is the least fixed point of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1_\alpha)$ or $\alpha \mapsto (\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \right)$. It is $Fix(\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1_\alpha))0 = Fix(\alpha \mapsto [1]^\alpha[0]Next\ 0)0 = [2][1][0]Next\ 0$. Using collapsing, we can write it $\varphi(1_\Omega) = [1]^\Omega[0]Next\ 0$. Compare with the previously obtained equality $[0]^{\Omega^2} = ([0]^\Omega)^\Omega = ([1][0])^\Omega = [1]([1][0]) = [1]^2[0]$ which can be generalized to $[0]^{\Omega^\alpha} = [1]^\alpha[0]$. We can also write $LVO = \varphi_{\Omega^\Omega}(0) = [0]^{\Omega^\Omega}Next\ 0 = [1]^\Omega[0]Next\ 0 = [2][1][0]Next\ 0$ with $[1]^\Omega = [2][1]$.

The fixed points of this function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1_\alpha)$ are enumerated by the function F , so we have $LVO = F(0)$. More generally, the $(1 + \alpha)$ -th fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(1_\alpha)$ is $F(\alpha) = \varphi_1^+(\alpha) = ([2][1][0]Next)^{1+\alpha}0$.

Then the fixed points of $F = \varphi_1^+$ are enumerated by $G = \varphi_2^+$. The least fixed point of F is $G(0) = \varphi_2^+(0) = Fix(\alpha \mapsto ([2][1][0]Next)^{1+\alpha}0)0 = [0]([2][1][0]Next)0$ (because of the absorpsion of "1+") and its $(1 + \alpha)$ -th fixed point is $G(\alpha) = \varphi_2^+(\alpha) = ([0]([2][1][0]Next))^{1+\alpha}0$.

Then the fixed points of $G = \varphi_2^+$ are enumerated by $H = \varphi_3^+$. The least fixed point of H is $H(0) = \varphi_3^+(0) = Fix(\alpha \mapsto ([0]([2][1][0]Next)^{1+\alpha}0)0 = [0]([0]([2][1][0]Next))0 = [0]^2([2][1][0]Next)0$ and its $(1 + \alpha)$ -th fixed point is $H(\alpha) = \varphi_3^+(\alpha) = ([0]^2([2][1][0]Next))^{1+\alpha}0$.

More generally, we have $\varphi_{1+\alpha}^+(0) = [0]^\alpha([2][1][0]Next)0$ and $\varphi_{1+\alpha}^+(\beta) = ([0]^\alpha([2][1][0]Next))^{1+\beta}0$.

Then we generalize the function φ^+ to any number of variables :

$$\varphi^+(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi_\alpha^+(\beta)$$

$\varphi^+(1, 0, 0)$ is the least fixed point of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varphi^+(\alpha, 0) = \alpha \mapsto [0]^\alpha([2][1][0]Next)0$. It is $Fix(\alpha \mapsto [0]^\alpha([2][1][0]Next)0)0 = [1][0]([2][1][0]Next)0$.

Compare with $\varphi(1, 0, 0) = [1][0]Next0$.

More generally, like we found $\varphi(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta([1][0]^\gamma Next))^{1+\alpha}0$, we have $\varphi^+(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta([1][0]^\gamma([2][1][0]Next)))^{1+\alpha}0$.

Like we generalized the φ function to transfinitely many variables reaching all ordinals less than $LVO = [2][1][0]Next\ 0$, we can generalize the φ^+ function to transfinitely many variables and reach all ordinals less than a new limit which we will call $LVO^+ = [2][1][0]([2][1][0]Next)0$ which is the least fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto [1]^\alpha[0]([2][1][0]Next)0$.

Then we can do the same with $\varphi^{++} = \Phi_2$ and we shall get similar results with $([2][1][0])^2 Next$, and generally with Φ_α , getting formulas with $([2][1][0])^\alpha Next$.

The limit of $Next\ 0, [0] Next\ 0, [1] [0] Next\ 0, [2] [1] [0] Next\ 0, [3] [2] [1] [0] Next\ 0, \dots$

or $Next\ \omega, [0]Next\ \omega, [1][0]Next\ \omega, [2][1][0]Next\ \omega, [3][2][1][0]Next\ \omega, \dots$

is called the Bachmann-Howard ordinal (BHO).

It could be written $[\omega \dots 0]Next\ 0$ or $[\omega \dots 0]Next\ \omega$.

7 Rationalization of the Veblen functions

When we have defined the different notations, we have arbitrarily chosen some conventions, for example the limit of $\omega, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$ have been called ε_0 . We could have called it ε_1 . In this case, ε_α would have been the α -th fixed point of $\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi$ instead of the $(1 + \alpha)$ -th one. Also we chose to define $\varphi(0, \alpha) = \omega^\alpha$. We could have chosen to define $\varphi(0, \alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha$. The "1+" which appear in the correspondence between Simmons and Veblen notations may be due to the fact that the choices that have been made are not the most logical.

We will define a rationalized variant of the Veblen notations which simplifies the correspondence with the Simmons notation :

- $\varepsilon_\alpha = \varphi(1, \alpha) = \varepsilon'_{1+\alpha} = \varphi'(0, 1 + \alpha)$
- $\zeta_\alpha = \varphi(2, \alpha) = \zeta'_{1+\alpha} = \varphi'(1, 1 + \alpha)$
- $\eta_\alpha = \varphi(3, \alpha) = \eta'_{1+\alpha} = \varphi'(2, 1 + \alpha)$
- Generally, $\varphi(1 + \beta, \alpha) = \varphi'(\beta, 1 + \alpha)$
- $\Gamma_0 = \varphi(1, 0, 0) = \varphi'(1, 0, 1)$

- Generally, if $\gamma \neq 0$, $\varphi(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \varphi'(\gamma, \beta, 1 + \alpha)$
- In a similar way, if $\gamma \neq 0$ or $\delta \neq 0$, $\varphi(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \varphi'(\delta, \gamma, \beta, 1 + \alpha)$ and so on.

With this notation, the correspondence with Simmons notation becomes simpler, for example we have :

- $\varepsilon'_\alpha = Next^\alpha 0$ instead of $\varepsilon_\alpha = Next^{1+\alpha} 0$
- $\varphi'(\beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta Next)^\alpha 0$ instead of $\varphi(1 + \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta Next)^{1+\alpha} 0$
- $\varphi'(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta ([1][0]^\gamma Next))^\alpha 0$ instead of $\varphi(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta ([1][0]^\gamma Next))^{1+\alpha} 0$
- $\varphi'(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta ([1][0]^\gamma ([1]^2[0]^\delta Next)))^{1+\alpha} 0$ instead of $\varphi(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^\beta ([1][0]^\gamma ([1]^2[0]^\delta Next)))^{1+\alpha} 0$

It appears that the last variable (α in the previous examples) plays a different role from the other variables, so it could be more logical to write for example $\varphi'_{\delta, \gamma, \beta}(\alpha)$ instead of $\varphi'(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha)$ and to consider that β is at position 0, γ at position 1 and δ at position 2. In this case, we see that the position corresponds to the exponent of $[1]$ in the Simmons representation.

We can also use collapsing to represent the index list, writing for example :

- $\Gamma_0 = \varphi'_{1,0}(1) = \varphi'_\Omega(1)$
- Ackermann ordinal = $\varphi'_{1,0,0}(1) = \varphi'_{\Omega^2}(1)$
- $SVO = \varphi'_{\Omega^\omega}(1)$

This notation even permits writing ordinals that are out of range of Veblen notation like :

- $LVO = \varphi'_{\Omega^\Omega}(1)$
- $\varphi'_{\Omega^{\Omega^\Omega}}(1)$
- ...

8 RHS0 notation

8.1 Basic principles

Like Simmons notation, the RHS0 notation uses lambda calculus formalism.

The basic method consists in :

- Start from 0
- If we don't see any regularity, take the successor (add 1)
- If we see a regularity and we don't have a notation for it, invent it and jump to the limit
- If we see a regularity and we already have a notation for it, use it and jump to the limit.

The difficulty, which requires intelligence, is to see the regularities. It gives the following sequence :

- 0 : no regularity, take the successor
- $suc\ 0$: no regularity, take the successor
- $suc(suc\ 0)$: regularity : suc repeatedly applied to 0. No notation, invent it : $H\ f\ x = \text{limit of } x, f\ x, f\ (f\ x), \dots$
- $HSuc\ 0$: no regularity, take the successor
- $suc(HSuc\ 0)$: no regularity, take the successor
- $suc(suc(HSuc\ 0))$: regularity : suc repeatedly applied to $H\ suc\ 0$, notation exists
- $HSuc(HSuc\ 0)$: regularity : $H\ suc$ repeatedly applied to 0, notation exists
- $H(HSuc)0$: regularity : H repeatedly applied to suc , notation exists
- $HHSuc\ 0$: regularity ($suc\ 0, \dots, H\ suc\ 0, \dots, H\ H\ suc\ 0, \dots, H\ H\ H\ suc\ 0, \dots$), invent notation $R_1HSuc\ 0$ for the limit of this sequence
- $R_1HSuc\ 0$: no regularity, take the successor
- $suc(R_1HSuc\ 0)$
- $suc(suc(R_1HSuc\ 0))$
- $HSuc(R_1HSuc\ 0)$
- $suc(HSuc(R_1HSuc\ 0))$

- $suc(suc(Hsuc(R_1Hsuc\ 0)))$
- $Hsuc(Hsuc(R_1Hsuc\ 0))$
- $H(Hsuc)(R_1Hsuc\ 0)$
- $HHsuc(R_1Hsuc\ 0)$
- $R_1Hsuc(R_1Hsuc\ 0)$
- $H(R_1Hsuc)0$
- $suc(H(R_1Hsuc)0)$
- $suc(suc(H(R_1Hsuc)0))$
- $Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0)$
- $suc(suc(Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0)))$
- $Hsuc(Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0))$
- $H(Hsuc)(H(R_1Hsuc)0)$
- $HHsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0)$
- $R_1Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0)$
- $suc(R_1Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0))$
- $suc(suc(R_1Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0)))$
- $Hsuc(R_1Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0))$
- $suc(Hsuc(R_1Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0)))$
- $suc(suc(Hsuc(R_1Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0))))$
- $Hsuc(Hsuc(R_1Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0)))$
- $H(Hsuc)(R_1Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0))$
- $HHsuc(R_1Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0))$
- $R_1Hsuc(R_1Hsuc(H(R_1Hsuc)0))$
- $H(R_1Hsuc)(H(R_1Hsuc)0)$
- $H(H(R_1Hsuc))0$
- $HH(R_1Hsuc)0$
- $R_1H(R_1Hsuc)0$
- $H(R_1H)suc\ 0$
- ...
- $R_1H(R_1H)suc\ 0$
- $R_1(R_1H)suc\ 0$
- $HR_1Hsuc\ 0$
- ...
- $R_1HR_1Hsuc\ 0$: invent notation $R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0 = \text{limit of } suc\ 0, R_1Hsuc\ 0, R_1HR_1Hsuc\ 0, \dots$
- ...
- $R_3R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0$: invent notation $R_{3\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$ and jump to limit
- $R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$
- ...
- $R_2R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$: invent notation $R_{\omega+1\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$
- ...

To progress faster, we can use the following rule :

If we have found an ordinal α , and later another ordinal β of the form $f(s(sz))$, we may produce an ordinal $\gamma = f([suc \rightarrow s, 0 \rightarrow z]\alpha)$ where $[suc \rightarrow s, 0 \rightarrow z]\alpha$ means the expression obtained by replacing suc by s and 0 by z in α .

For example :

- $\alpha = R_1Hsuc\ 0$
- $\beta = R_1H(R_1Hsuc)0$
- $s = R_1H$
- $z = suc$
- $fx = x\ 0$
- $[suc \rightarrow R_1H, 0 \rightarrow suc]\alpha = R_1H(R_1H)suc$
- $\gamma = f([suc \rightarrow R_1H, 0 \rightarrow suc]\alpha) = R_1H(R_1H)suc\ 0$

With the following rules :

- $0 : \rightarrow 0$
- $suc : x \rightarrow suc\ x$
- $H : f(fx) - > Hfx$
- $R_1 : ff - > R_1f$
- $R_2 : fgfg - > R_2fg$
- $R_3 : fghfgh - > R_3fgh$
- ...
- $Repl : \alpha, f(s(sz)) \rightarrow f([suc \rightarrow s, 0 \rightarrow z]\alpha)$

we can produce the following sequence of ordinals :

- $0 : 0 : 0$
- $1 : suc\ 0 : suc\ 0$
- $2 : suc\ 1 : suc\ (suc\ 0)$
- $3 : H2 : Hsuc\ 0$
- $4 : suc\ 3 : suc\ (Hsuc\ 0)$
- $5 : suc\ 4 : suc\ (suc\ (Hsuc\ 0))$
- $6 : H5 : Hsuc\ (Hsuc\ 0)$
- $7 : H6 : H(Hsuc)0$
- $8 : H7 : HHsuc\ 0$
- $9 : R_18 : R_1Hsuc\ 0$
- $10 : suc\ 9 : suc\ (R_1Hsuc\ 0)$
- $11 : suc\ 10 : suc\ (suc\ (R_1Hsuc\ 0))$
- $12 : Repl\ 9\ 11[suc - > suc, 0 - > R_1Hsuc\ 0] : R_1Hsuc\ (R_1Hsuc\ 0)$
- $13 : Repl\ 9\ 12[suc - > R_1Hsuc, 0 - > 0] : R_1H(R_1Hsuc)0$
- $14 : Repl\ 9\ 13[suc - > R_1H, 0 - > suc] : R_1H(R_1H)suc\ 0$
- $15 : R_114 : R_1(R_1H)suc\ 0$
- $16 : Repl\ 9\ 15[suc - > R_1, 0 - > H] : R_1HR_1Hsuc\ 0$
- $17 : R_216 : R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0$

The rules R_1, R_2, R_3, \dots may be replaced by H or Repl if $f_1 \dots f_n \dots f_1 \dots f_n$ is reformulated in $\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle (\dots \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle I) \dots$ with $\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle g = g\ f_1 \dots f_n$:

- $0 : 0 : 0$
- $1 : suc\ 0 : suc\ 0$
- $2 : suc\ 1 : suc\ (suc\ 0)$
- $3 : H\ 2 : H\ suc\ 0$
- $4 : suc\ 3 : suc\ (H\ suc\ 0)$
- $5 : suc\ 4 : suc\ (suc\ (H\ suc\ 0))$
- $6 : Repl\ 3\ 5[suc - > suc, 0 - > H\ suc\ 0] : H\ suc\ (H\ suc\ 0)$
- $7 : Repl\ 3\ 6[suc - > H\ suc, 0 - > 0] : H\ (H\ suc)\ 0$
- $8 : Repl\ 3\ 7[suc - > H, 0 - > suc] : H\ H\ suc\ 0 = \langle H \rangle (\langle H \rangle I)\ suc\ 0$
- $9 : Repl\ 3\ 8[suc - > \langle H \rangle, 0 - > I] : H\ \langle H \rangle\ I\ suc\ 0$
- $10 : suc\ 9 : suc\ (H\ \langle H \rangle\ I\ suc\ 0)$
- $11 : suc\ 10 : suc\ (suc\ (H\ \langle H \rangle\ I\ suc\ 0))$
- $12 : Repl\ 9\ 10[suc - > suc, 0 - > H\ \langle H \rangle\ I\ suc\ 0] : H\ \langle H \rangle\ I\ suc\ (H\ \langle H \rangle\ I\ suc\ 0)$
- $13 : Repl\ 9\ 12[suc - > H\ \langle H \rangle : I\ suc, 0 - > 0] : H\ \langle H \rangle\ I\ (H\ \langle H \rangle\ I\ suc)\ 0$
- $14 : Repl\ 9\ 13[suc - > H\ \langle H \rangle\ I, 0 - > suc] : H\ \langle H \rangle\ I\ (H\ \langle H \rangle\ I)\ suc\ 0 = \langle H\ \langle H \rangle\ I \rangle (\langle H\ \langle H \rangle\ I \rangle I)\ suc\ 0$
- $15 : Repl\ 3\ 14[suc - > \langle H\ \langle H \rangle\ I \rangle, 0 - > I] : H\ \langle H\ \langle H \rangle\ I \rangle\ I\ suc\ 0 = [H\ \langle * \rangle\ I] ([H\ \langle * \rangle\ I]\ H)\ suc\ 0$
- $16 : Repl\ 9\ 15[suc - > [H\ \langle * \rangle\ I], 0 - > H] : H\ \langle H \rangle\ I\ [H\ \langle * \rangle\ I]\ H\ suc\ 0$
- $= [H\ \langle * \rangle\ I]\ H\ [H\ \langle * \rangle\ I]\ H\ suc\ 0 = \langle [H\ \langle * \rangle\ I], H \rangle (\langle [H\ \langle * \rangle\ I], H \rangle I)\ suc\ 0$
- $17 : Repl\ 3\ 16[suc - > \langle [H\ \langle * \rangle\ I], H \rangle, 0 - > I] : H\ \langle [H\ \langle * \rangle\ I], H \rangle\ I\ suc\ 0$

More formally, the RHS0 notation uses lambda calculus with De Bruijn indexes. $\lambda.x$ is written $[x]$ and variables are written $*$, $**$, $***$, ..., or $\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet\bullet, \dots$ for example $[... * ...] = [... \bullet ...] = \lambda x(...x...)$

CI = C I is defined by CI x f = f x .
CI x = $\langle x \rangle$
 $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ f = f $x_1 \dots x_n$
tuple n f $x_1 \dots x_n$ = f $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$
tuple 0 = $\langle I \rangle$
tuple $(n+1)$ f x = tuple n $[f$ (insert x $*$)]
with insert x a f = a (f x)

r 0 f x = x
 r $(n+1)$ f x = f (r n f x)
 r (lim g) f x = lim [r $*$ f x]

H f x represents the limit of x , f x , f (f x), ...
 H f x = r w f x

$R_1 = [H \langle \bullet \rangle I] = \text{tuple } 1[H \bullet I]$
 $R_2 = [[H \langle \bullet\bullet, \bullet \rangle I]] = \text{tuple } 2[H \bullet I]$
 $R_3 = [[[H \langle \bullet\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet \rangle I]]] = \text{tuple } 3[H \bullet I]$
 $R_n = \text{tuple } n[H \bullet I]$
 $R_{n...1} = R_n \dots R_1$
 $S_{n...1} = [S_{\bullet...1}]_n = \langle R_n, \dots, R_1 \rangle$
 $R_{n...1} = S_{n...1} I$
 $[S_{\bullet...1}]_0 = I$
 $[S_{\bullet...1}](n+1) = \text{insert}(\text{tuple}(n+1)[H \bullet I])([S_{\bullet...1}]_n)$

L f = lim f 0 , f 1 , ...
 L f x = L [f $*$ x]
 H = $[[L$ [r $*$ $***$ $***$]]]
or
 $L0$ = lim f 0 , f 1 , ...
 L n f = tuple n [$L0$ [$**$ (f $*$)]]
 L n = [$\text{tuple } n$ [$L0$ [$**$ ($***$ $*$)]]]
 L = $[[\text{tuple } **$ [$L0$ [$**$ ($***$ $*$)]]]
= $\backslash n \backslash f$ (tuple n $\backslash a$ ($L0$ $\backslash i$ (a (f i))))

To represent the replacement $[suc \rightarrow s, 0 \rightarrow z]$ we can represent ordinals by ordinal functions which, when applied to suc and 0 , give the considered ordinal. For example, $R_1 H suc$ 0 is represented by the ordinal function $s \mapsto z \mapsto R_1 H s z, R_1 H(R_1 H suc)0$ by $s \mapsto z \mapsto R_1 H(R_1 H s)z$. From these ordinals, with the replacement $[suc \rightarrow R_1 H, 0 \rightarrow suc]$ we can produce a new ordinal represented by $s \mapsto z \mapsto ((s \mapsto z \mapsto R_1 H s z)(R_1 H) s z) = s \mapsto z \mapsto R_1 H(R_1 H) s z$ which, when applied to suc and 0 , gives $R_1 H(R_1 H) suc$ 0 .

Operations can be represented with replacements :

- $\alpha + \beta = [0 \rightarrow \alpha]\beta$
- $\alpha \cdot \beta = [suc \rightarrow [\bullet + \alpha]]\beta = [suc \rightarrow > [[0 \rightarrow \bullet\bullet]\alpha]]\beta$
- $\alpha^\beta = [suc \rightarrow [\bullet \cdot \alpha], 0 \rightarrow 1]\beta = [suc \rightarrow [[suc \rightarrow [[0 \rightarrow \bullet\bullet] \bullet\bullet\bullet]]\alpha], 0 \rightarrow suc$ $0]\beta$
- $\omega^\alpha = [suc \rightarrow [suc \rightarrow H suc], 0 \rightarrow suc$ $0]\alpha = [suc \rightarrow H, 0 \rightarrow suc]\alpha$ 0
- $\varepsilon_0^\alpha = [suc \rightarrow R_1 H, 0 \rightarrow suc]\alpha$ 0
- $\varepsilon_a = [suc \rightarrow R_1, 0 \rightarrow H](1 + \alpha) suc$ $0; 1 + \alpha = [0 \rightarrow suc$ $0]\alpha$

8.2 Correspondence with other notations

- $suc\ 0 = 0 + 1 = 1$
- $suc\ (suc\ 0) = 1 + 1 = 2$
- $Hsuc\ 0 = \omega$
- $suc\ (Hsuc\ 0) = \omega + 1$
- $Hsuc\ (H\ suc\ 0) = \omega + \omega = \omega \cdot 2$
- $H(Hsuc)\ 0 = \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2$
- $HHsuc\ 0 = \omega^\omega$
- $R_1Hsuc\ 0 = \text{limit of } suc\ 0, Hsuc\ 0, HHsuc\ 0, HHHsuc\ 0, \dots = \varepsilon_0 = \varphi(1, 0) = \varphi'(0, 1) = \text{Next } \omega$
- $suc(R_1Hsuc\ 0) = \varepsilon_0 + 1$
- $R_1Hsuc(R_1Hsuc\ 0) = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0 \cdot 2$
- $R_1H(R_1Hsuc)0 = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0^2$
- $R_1H(R_1H)suc\ 0 = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}$
- $R_1H(R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0 = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}}$
- $R_1(R_1H)suc\ 0 = \varepsilon_1 = \varphi(1, 1) = \varphi'(0, 2) = \text{Next}(\text{Next } \omega)$ (note again that the correspondence is clearer with the rationalized function φ'

We have previously seen that ε_1 is the limit of $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}, \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}}, \dots$ and is also the limit of $\varepsilon_0 + 1, \omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}, \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}}, \dots$ and we have proved the equivalence of these two fundamental sequences. We have seen that the first fundamental sequence is equivalent to $\omega, \varepsilon_0^\omega, \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^\omega}, \dots$, so we proved the equivalence of the two fundamental sequences by proving that for any n, we have :

$$\begin{array}{c} \omega^\omega \\ \vdots \\ \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_0^\omega \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^\omega} \end{array}$$

We will now see how we can prove it using RHS0 notation.

First we will write the two sides of this equality using RHS0 notation :

We will use the notation $X \dots X$ for X repeated n times.

- $\varepsilon_0 = R_1Hsuc\ 0$
- $\varepsilon_0 + 1 = suc(R_1Hsuc\ 0)$
- $\omega^{\varepsilon_0+1} = [suc \rightarrow H, 0 \rightarrow suc](suc(R_1Hsuc\ 0))0 = H(R_1HHsuc)0 = H(R_1Hsuc)0$
- $\omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}} = H(R_1HH)suc\ 0 = H(R_1H)suc\ 0$
- $\omega^{\omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}}} = H(R_1H)Hsuc\ 0$
- $\omega^{\omega^{\omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}}}} = H(R_1H)HHsuc\ 0$
- \dots
- $\begin{array}{c} \omega^\omega \\ \vdots \\ \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_0}} \end{array} = H(R_1H)H \dots Hsuc\ 0$
- $\omega = Hsuc\ 0$
- $\varepsilon_0^\omega = [suc \rightarrow R_1H, 0 \rightarrow suc]\omega 0 = H(R_1H)suc\ 0$
- $\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^\omega} = H(R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0$
- $\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^\omega}} = H(R_1H)(R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0$
- \dots
- $\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_0^\omega \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^\omega} \end{array} = H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0$

We will now prove the equality $H(R_1H)H \dots Hsuc\ 0 = H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0$ for any n by induction.

For n = 0, the equality is trivial : $H(R_1H)suc\ 0 = H(R_1H)suc\ 0$.

We will now suppose $H(R_1H)H \dots Hsuc\ 0 = H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0$ for a given n and prove it for n + 1 :
 $H(R_1H)H \dots HHsuc\ 0 = H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0$

By elevating ω at the power of each side of this equality, we get :

$$[suc \rightarrow H, 0 \rightarrow suc](H(R_1H)H \dots Hsuc\ 0)0 = [suc \rightarrow H, 0 \rightarrow suc](H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0)0$$

$$(1) H(R_1H)H \dots HHsuc\ 0 = H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)Hsuc\ 0$$

We also have :

$$H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)suc\ 0 = H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)suc(suc\ 0)$$

which corresponds to the RHS0 notation for :

$$\begin{matrix} \vdots \\ \varepsilon_0^\omega \\ \vdots \end{matrix} = 1 + \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ \varepsilon_0^\omega \\ \vdots \end{matrix}$$

by absorpsion of "1+" and "suc".

Now we elevate ε_0 to the power of each side of this equality, which gives :

$$[suc \rightarrow R_1H, 0 \rightarrow suc](H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)suc\ 0)0 = [suc \rightarrow R_1H, 0 \rightarrow suc](H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)suc(suc\ 0))0$$

$$H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0 = H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0$$

Then we elevate ω to the power of each side of this equality :

$$[suc \rightarrow H, 0 \rightarrow suc](H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0)0 = [suc \rightarrow H, 0 \rightarrow suc](H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0)0$$

$$H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)Hsuc\ 0 = H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)(R_1H)Hsuc\ 0$$

which can be simplified to :

$$H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)Hsuc\ 0 = H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0$$

Noting that the left side of this last equality is the same as the right side of (1), we get by transitivity of equality, equating the left side of (1) with the right side of the last equality :

$$H(R_1H)H \dots HHsuc\ 0 = H(R_1H) \dots (R_1H)(R_1H)(R_1H)suc\ 0$$

which corresponds to the equality we wanted to prove for $n+1$.

Then the correspondence continues with :

- $R_1(R_1(R_1H))suc\ 0 = \varepsilon_2 = \varphi(1, 2) = \varphi'(0, 3) = Next(Next(Next\ \omega))$
- $HR_1Hsuc\ 0 = \varepsilon_\omega = \varphi(1, \omega) = \varphi'(0, \omega) = Next^\omega\omega$
- $R_1HR_1Hsuc\ 0 = \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_0}$
- $R_1HR_1HR_1Hsuc\ 0 = \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_{\varepsilon_0}}$
- $R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0 = \zeta_0 = \varphi(2, 0) = \varphi'(1, 1) = [0]Next\ \omega$

The next step is ζ_1 which is the next fixed point of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon_\alpha$, the limit of $\zeta_0 + 1, \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}, \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}}, \dots, \varepsilon_\alpha$ is $[suc \rightarrow R_1, 0 \rightarrow H](1 + \alpha)suc\ 0$, or $[suc \rightarrow R_1, 0 \rightarrow H]\alpha\ suc\ 0$ if $\alpha \geq \omega$ by absorpsion of "1+". This is the result of replacing suc by R_1 and 0 by H in α and applying the result to suc and 0. So by iterating this transformation we get that ζ_1 is the limit of :

- $\zeta_0 + 1 = suc(R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0)$
- $R_1(R_2R_1HR_1H)suc\ 0 = R_1(R_2R_1H)suc\ 0$
- $R_1(R_2R_1H)R_1Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_1(R_2R_1H)R_1HR_1Hsuc\ 0$
- ...

In the previous correnspondence formulas, we can see a correspondence between RHS0 and Simmons notations :

- $R_2 \leftrightarrow [0]$
- $R_1 \leftrightarrow Next$
- $H \leftrightarrow \omega$
- suc 0 at the end of the RHS0 notation

If we apply this correspondence to $\zeta_1 = [0]Next([0]Next\ \omega)$ (see "Simmons notation / Correspondence with Veblen functions") we get $\zeta_1 = R_2R_1(R_2R_1H)suc\ 0$.

This is the limit of :

- $R_1(R_2R_1H)suc\ 0$
- $R_1(R_2R_1H)R_1(R_2R_1H)suc\ 0$
- $R_1(R_2R_1H)R_1(R_2R_1H)R_1(R_2R_1H)suc\ 0$
- ...

Compare with what we found previously :

- $\zeta_0 + 1 = \text{suc}(R_2 R_1 H \text{suc } 0)$
- $R_1(R_2 R_1 H R_1 H) \text{suc } 0 = R_1(R_2 R_1 H) \text{suc } 0$
- $R_1(R_2 R_1 H) R_1 H \text{suc } 0$
- $R_1(R_2 R_1 H) R_1 H R_1 H \text{suc } 0$
- \dots

and with the previously proven equality :

$$H(R_1 H) H \dots H \text{suc } 0 = H(R_1 H) \dots (R_1 H)(R_1 H) \text{suc } 0$$

which could also be written :

$$H(R_1 H) H \dots H \text{suc } 0 = H(R_1 H)(R_1 H) \dots (R_1 H) \text{suc } 0$$

There is a similar equality :

$$R_1(R_2 R_2 H) R_1 H \dots R_1 H \text{suc } 0 = R_1(R_2 R_1 H) R_1(R_2 R_1 H) \dots R_1(R_2 R_1 H) \text{suc } 0$$

which proves the equivalence of the two fundamental sequences.

We saw that ζ_1 is the limit (or least upper bound) of $\zeta_0 + 1, \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}, \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}}, \dots$. But we have $\varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1} = \zeta_0 + \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}$ because ζ_0 is "absorbed" by ε_{ζ_0+1} , so $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}} = \varepsilon_{\zeta_0 + \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}}$, and similarly $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon_{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}}} = \varepsilon_{\zeta_0 + \varepsilon_{\zeta_0 + \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}}}$, and so on.

So ζ_1 is also the limit of $1, \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}, \varepsilon_{\zeta_0 + \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}}, \dots$

We start with 1 because at each step, α is replaced by $\varepsilon_{\zeta_0+\alpha}$, the initial value of the sequence having no importance for its limit.

Now let us write the RHS0 representations of the values of this sequence, using the formula $\varepsilon_\alpha = [\text{suc} \rightarrow R_1, 0 \rightarrow H](1 + \alpha) \text{suc } 0$:

- $1 = \text{suc } 0$
- $\zeta_0 = R_2 R_1 H \text{suc } 0$
- $\zeta_0 + 1 = \text{suc}(R_2 R_1 H \text{suc } 0)$
- $\varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1} = R_1(R_2 R_1 H R_1 H) \text{suc } 0 = R_1(R_2 R_1 H) \text{suc } 0$
- $\zeta_0 + \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1} = R_1(R_2 R_1 H) \text{suc } (R_2 R_1 H \text{suc } 0)$
- $\varepsilon_{\zeta_0 + \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}} = R_1(R_2 R_1 H) R_1(R_2 R_1 H R_1 H) \text{suc } 0 = R_1(R_2 R_1 H) R_1(R_2 R_1 H) \text{suc } 0$
- \dots

We see that the limit of this sequence is $R_2 R_1(R_2 R_1 H) \text{suc } 0$.

So we can go on with our correspondences :

- $R_2 R_1(R_2 R_1 H) \text{suc } 0 = \zeta_1 = \varphi(2, 1) = \varphi'(1, 2) = [0] \text{Next}([0] \text{Next } \omega)$
- $H(R_2 R_1) H \text{suc } 0 = \zeta_\omega$
- $R_2 R_1 H(R_2 R_1) H \text{suc } 0 = \zeta_{\zeta_0}$
- $R_2(R_2 R_1) H \text{suc } 0 = \eta_0 = \varphi(3, 0) = \varphi'(2, 1) = [0]([0] \text{Next}) \omega$
- $H R_2 R_1 H \text{suc } 0 = \varphi(\omega, 0) = \varphi'(\omega, 1)$
- $R_1 H R_2 R_1 H \text{suc } 0 = \varphi(\varepsilon_0, 0) = \varphi(\varphi(1, 0), 0) = \varphi'(\varepsilon_0, 1) = \varphi'(\varphi'(0, 1), 1)$
- $R_2 R_1 H R_2 R_1 H \text{suc } 0 = \varphi(\zeta_0, 0) = \varphi(\varphi(2, 0), 0) = \varphi'(\zeta_0, 1) = \varphi'(\varphi'(1, 1), 1)$
- $R_3 R_2 R_1 H \text{suc } 0 = \Gamma_0 = \varphi(1, 0, 0) = \varphi'(1, 0, 1) = [1][0] \text{Next } \omega$

We may then extend our correspondence rule :

- $R_3 \leftrightarrow [1]$
- $R_2 \leftrightarrow [0]$
- $R_1 \leftrightarrow \text{Next}$
- $H \leftrightarrow \omega$
- $\text{suc } 0$ at the end of the RHS0 notation

It is likely that this correspondence can be generalized in a simple and logical way, and it seems to me that the simpler generalization is :

- $R_{n+2} \leftrightarrow [n]$
- $R_1 \leftrightarrow \text{Next}$
- $H \leftrightarrow \omega$
- $\text{suc } 0$ at the end of the RHS0 notation

I will call it the "Simmons - RHS0 correspondence conjecture".

Then, if the correspondence conjecture is true, the correspondence goes on with :

- $R_3R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0 = \Gamma_0 = \varphi(1, 0, 0) = \varphi'(1, 0, 1) = [1][0]Next\ \omega$
- $R_3(R_3R_2)R_1Hsuc\ 0 = \varphi(1, 0, 0, 0) = \varphi'(1, 0, 0, 1) = [1]([1][0])Next\ 0$ (Note that in the φ and φ' functions, the last variable plays a different role than the others, as mentioned previously, so the most logical representation should probably be $\varphi'_{1,0,0}(1)$ where the first 1 should be considered at position 2 and not 3, in this case its position corresponds to the number of occurrences (or the exponent) of R_3 and $[1]$)
- $HR_3R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0 = SVO = [1]^\omega[0]Next\ \omega$
- $R_4R_3R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0 = LVO = [2][1][0]Next\ \omega$
- $R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0 = BHO$
- ...

Note the importance of using logical notations to make correct conjectures : if, instead of φ' , we use the less logical function φ , we have the correspondence :

- $R_1Hsuc\ 0 = \varepsilon_0 = \varphi(1, 0)$
- $R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0 = \zeta_0 = \varphi(2, 0)$

and we could think that it continues with :

- $R_3R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0 = \eta_0 = \varphi(3, 0)$
- $R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0 = \varphi(\omega, 0)$

Like with the Veblen functions, we can use collapsing with RHS0 notation, writing for example :

$$\Gamma_0 = \varphi_{1,0}(0) = \varphi'_{1,0}(1) = \varphi'_\Omega(0) = \varphi'_\Omega(1) = [0]^\Omega Next\ \omega = [1][0]Next\ \omega = (R_2)^\Omega R_1Hsuc\ 0 = R_3R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0$$

which gives $(R_2)^\Omega = R_3R_2$

to be compared with $[0]^\Omega = [1][0]$.

Γ_0 is also the limit of the following sequence :

- $\zeta_0 = \varphi'_1(1) = [0]Next\ \omega = R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0$
- $\varphi'_{\zeta_0}(1) = \varphi'_{\varphi'_1(1)}(1) = [0]^{[0]Next\ \omega}Next\ \omega = (R_2)^{R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0}R_1Hsuc\ 0 = R_2R_1HR_2R_1Hsuc\ 0$
- $\varphi'_{\varphi'_{\varphi'_1(1)}(1)}(1) = [0]^{[0]^{[0]Next\ \omega}Next\ \omega}Next\ \omega = R_2R_1HR_2R_1HR_2R_1Hsuc\ 0$

This limit is $R_3R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0$.

8.3 Going further with RHS0 notation and collapsing

The Bachmann-Howard ordinal (BHO) is the limit of $R_1Hsuc\ 0, R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0, R_3R_2R_1Hsuc\ 0, \dots$ which we will write $R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$. We can go on ascending ordinals after BHO :

- $BHO = R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$
- $suc(R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0)$
- $R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ (R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0)$
- $R_{\omega\dots 1}H(R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0)$
- $R_{\omega\dots 1}H(R_{\omega\dots 1}H)suc\ 0$
- $R_1(R_{\omega\dots 1}H)suc\ 0$
- $R_{\omega\dots 1}(R_{\omega\dots 1}H)suc\ 0$
- $HR_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_2R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_3R_2R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_{\omega\dots 2}R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$ which we will write $R_{\omega\cdot 2\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_{\omega\dots 3}R_{\omega\dots 2}R_{\omega\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$ which we will write $R_{\omega\cdot 3\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_{\omega^2\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_{\varepsilon_0\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0 = R_{R_1Hsuc\ 0\dots 1}Hsuc\ 0$

Then we can take the least fixed point of the function $\alpha \mapsto R_{\alpha \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0$ which we can also write $[R_{\bullet \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0]$. This fixed point is $H[R_{\bullet \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0]0$ which we may also write $R_1^1 Hsuc\ 0$ if we define $R_1^1 x_1 x_2 x_3 = H[R_{\bullet \dots 1} x_1 x_2 x_3]0$. Then the ascension goes on with :

- $H[R_{\bullet \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0]0 = R_1^1 Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_2 R_1^1 Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_3 R_2 R_1^1 Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_{\omega \dots 2} R_1^1 Hsuc\ 0$
- $H[R_{\bullet \dots 2} R_1^1 Hsuc\ 0]0 = R_2^1 R_1^1 Hsuc\ 0 = R_{2 \dots 1}^1 Hsuc\ 0$ with $R_2^1 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 = H[R_{\bullet \dots 2} x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4]0$
- $R_3^1 R_2^1 R_1^1 Hsuc\ 0 = R_{3 \dots 1}^1 Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_{\omega \dots 1}^1 Hsuc\ 0$
- $H[R_{\bullet \dots 1}^1 Hsuc\ 0]0 = R_1^2 Hsuc\ 0$ with $R_1^2 x_1 x_2 x_3 = H[R_{\bullet \dots 1}^1 x_1 x_2 x_3]0$
- $R_1^3 Hsuc\ 0$
- $R_1^\omega Hsuc\ 0$
- $H[R_1^\bullet Hsuc\ 0]0 = R_1^{1,0} Hsuc\ 0$ with $R_1^{1,0} x_1 x_2 x_3 = H[R_1^\bullet x_1 x_2 x_3]0$
- $R_1^{1,0,0} Hsuc\ 0$

We can number the positions in the list of upper indices of R or introduce collapsing to write

- $R_1^1 = R_1^{1_0} = R_1^{0:1}$
- $R_1^{1,0} = R_1^{1_1} = R_1^{1:1} = R_1^\Omega$
- $R_1^{1,0,0} = R_1^{1_2} = R_1^{2:1} = R_1^{\Omega^2}$
- ...

We also need a notation for uncountable ordinals. We can take $\Omega = \omega_1$ the least uncountable ordinal and use a notation similar to the one we used for countable ordinals, replacing H by H_1 when ω is replaced by $\Omega = \omega_1$, writing for example :

- $\Omega = \omega_1 = H_1 suc\ 0$
- $\Omega^2 = H_1(H_1 suc)0$
- $\Omega^\omega = HH_1 suc\ 0$
- $\Omega^\Omega = H_1 H_1 suc\ 0$
- $\Omega^{\Omega^\Omega} = H_1 H_1 H_1 suc\ 0$
- ...

Then we can go on ascending ordinals by using greater and greater uncountable ordinals as upper indices of R, for example :
 $R_1^{H[R_1^\bullet H_1 suc\ 0]0} Hsuc\ 0$

9 Extending Simmons notation

The limit of the Simmons notation is the limit of :

- $Next\ \omega = \varepsilon_0$
- $[0]Next\ \omega = \zeta_0$
- $[1][0]Next\ \omega = \Gamma_0$
- $[2][1][0]Next\ \omega = LVO$
- $[3][2][1][0]Next\ \omega$
- ...

which is BHO, the Bachmann-Howard ordinal.

Using RHS0 notation, it corresponds to :

- $R_1 Hsuc\ 0 = \varepsilon_0$
- $R_2 R_1 Hsuc\ 0 = \zeta_0$
- $R_3 R_2 R_1 Hsuc\ 0 = \Gamma_0$
- $R_4 R_3 R_2 R_1 Hsuc\ 0 = LVO$

- $R_5 R_4 R_3 R_2 R_1 Hsuc\ 0$
- ...

which can be written $R_{\omega \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0$ in RHS0 notation.

And we just saw that the RHS0 notation goes much further.

So, using the correspondence, we can extend the Simmons notation in a similar way the RHS0 extends beyond BHO.

Using similar notations, we can write $[\omega \dots 0]Next\omega$ for the BHO.

Then we can go on :

- $R_{\omega \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0 = R_{\omega \dots 2} R_1 Hsuc\ 0 = [\omega \dots 0]Next\omega$
- $R_2 R_{\omega \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0 = R_2 (R_{\omega \dots 2} R_1) Hsuc\ 0 = [0]([\omega \dots 0]Next)\omega$
- $R_3 R_2 R_{\omega \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0 = R_3 R_2 (R_{\omega \dots 2} R_1) Hsuc\ 0 = [1][0]([\omega \dots 0]Next)\omega$
- $R_{\omega \cdot 2 \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0 = R_{\omega \dots 2} R_{\omega \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0 = R_{\omega \dots 2} (R_{\omega \dots 2} R_1) Hsuc\ 0 = [\omega \dots 0]([\omega \dots 0]Next)\omega$
- $HR_{\omega \dots 2} R_1 Hsuc\ 0 = [\omega \dots 0]^\omega Next\ \omega$
- $R_1 HR_{\omega \dots 2} R_1 Hsuc\ 0 = [\omega \dots 0]^{\varepsilon_0} Next\ \omega$
- $R_3 R_{\omega \dots 2} R_1 Hsuc\ 0 = Fix(\alpha \mapsto [\omega \dots 0]^\alpha Next\ \omega)\omega = [1][\omega \dots 0]Next\ \omega = [\omega + 1 \dots 0]Next\ \omega$
- $R_{\omega \cdot 2 + 1 \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0$
 $= R_3 R_{\omega \dots 2} R_{\omega \dots 1} Hsuc\ 0$
 $= R_3 R_{\omega \dots 2} (R_{\omega \dots 2} R_1) Hsuc\ 0$
 $= [1][\omega \dots 0]([\omega \dots 0]Next)\omega$
 $= Fix(\alpha \mapsto [\omega \dots 0]^\alpha ([\omega \dots 0]Next)\omega)\omega$
 $= Fix(\alpha \mapsto [\omega \dots 0]^\alpha Next\omega) \text{ (absorbion of } [\omega \dots 0])$
 $= [1][\omega \dots 0]Next\ \omega$
 $= [\omega + 1 \dots 0]Next\ \omega$
- ...

10 Ordinal collapsing functions

Ordinal collapsing functions are functions that use uncountable ordinals to define countable ordinals.

There are different ways to define ordinal collapsing functions. Some constructions we have already seen can be considered as some kind of ordinal collapsing functions, for example :

- $\varphi(\alpha, 0, \beta, \gamma) = \varphi(\gamma_0, \beta_1, \alpha_3) = (\xi \mapsto \omega^\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \beta & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \varphi(\Omega^3 \cdot \alpha + \Omega \cdot \beta + \gamma).$
- $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(\delta) = \varphi_{\Omega^2 \cdot \alpha + \Omega \cdot \beta + \gamma}(\delta)$
- $\varphi(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \varphi_{\gamma, \beta}(\alpha) = \varphi_{\Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta}(\alpha) = \varphi(\Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta, \alpha) = \varphi(1 + \Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^{\Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta} Next)^{1 + \alpha} 0 = ([0]^\beta (([0]^\Omega)^\gamma Next))^{1 + \alpha} 0 = ([0]^\beta (([1][0])^\gamma Next))^{1 + \alpha} 0$ with $[0]^\Omega = [1][0]$
- $\varphi(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \varphi_{\delta, \gamma, \beta}(\alpha) = \varphi_{\Omega^2 \cdot \delta + \Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta}(\alpha) = \varphi(\Omega^2 \cdot \delta + \Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta, \alpha) = \varphi(1 + \Omega^2 \cdot \delta + \Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta, \alpha) = ([0]^{\Omega^2 \cdot \delta + \Omega \cdot \gamma + \beta} Next)^{1 + \alpha} 0 = ([0]^\beta (([0]^\Omega)^\gamma (([0]^\Omega)^\delta Next)))^{1 + \alpha} 0 = ([0]^\beta (([1][0])^\gamma (([1]^2[0])^\delta Next)))^{1 + \alpha} 0$ with $[0]^\Omega = [1][0]$ and $[0]^{\Omega^2} = ([0]^\Omega)^\Omega = ([1][0])^\Omega = [1]([1][0]) = [1]^2[0]$
- $\varphi(1_\Omega) = [1]^\Omega [0]Next\ 0.$
- $LVO = \varphi_{\Omega\Omega}(0) = [0]^{\Omega\Omega} Next\ 0 = [1]^\Omega [0]Next\ 0 = [2][1][0]Next\ 0$ with $[1]^\Omega = [2][1]$
- $\Gamma_0 = \varphi'_{1,0}(1) = \varphi'_\Omega(1)$
- Ackermann ordinal $= \varphi'_{1,0,0}(1) = \varphi'_{\Omega^2}(1)$
- $SVO = \varphi'_{\Omega\omega}(1)$
- $LVO = \varphi'_{\Omega\Omega}(1)$
- $\varphi'_{\Omega\Omega\Omega}(1)$
- $\Gamma_0 = \varphi_{1,0}(0) = \varphi'_{1,0}(1) = \varphi_\Omega(0) = \varphi'_\Omega(1) = [0]^\Omega Next\ \omega = [1][0]Next\ \omega = (R_2)^\Omega R_1 Hsuc\ 0 = R_3 R_2 R_1 Hsuc\ 0$
- $(R_2)^\Omega = R_3 R_2$
- $[0]^\Omega = [1][0].$

The most classical way to define an ordinal collapsing function is to define a set of ordinals $C(a)$ or $C(a,b)$ where a and b are ordinals, which contains all ordinals that can be built using an initial set of ordinals and some operations or functions, and then

define $\psi(a)$ or $\psi(a, b)$ as the smallest ordinal that is not in $C(a)$ or $C(a, b)$, or the least ordinal that is greater than all countable ordinals of $C(a)$ or $C(a, b)$.

Another approach consists in defining an ordinal collapsing function recursively, by defining its value for 0, for the successor of an ordinal, and for different kinds of limit ordinals.

About ordinal collapsing functions, see also the following series of videos on YouTube :

Extremely large numbers :

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLUZ0A4xAf7nkaYHtnqVDbHnrXzVAOxYYC>

Ridiculously huge numbers :

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL3A50BB9C34AB36B3>

Ordinal collapsing functions permit to go beyond the limit of a notation. Let us consider, for example, the very limited notation based on the ordinal 0 and the function suc . With this notation, we can write $0, \text{suc } 0 = 1, \text{suc } (\text{suc } 0) = 2, \dots$ and more generally all ordinals less than ω . So the limit of this notation (the least ordinal that cannot be written with this notation) is ω . To go beyond this limit, we can then define a new notation based on the ordinal 0, the function suc , and the limit of the initial notation, ω , which we will write $\psi(0)$ in our new notation. With this notation we can write $0, \text{suc } 0 = 1, \text{suc } (\text{suc } 0) = 2, \psi(0) = \omega, \text{suc } (\psi(0)) = \omega + 1, \dots$. The limit of this new notation is $\omega + \omega = \omega \cdot 2$, which we will write $\psi(1)$.

Then we define a new notation based on 0, suc , $\psi(0) = \omega$ and $\psi(1) = \omega \cdot 2$, and so on, with, for any natural number n , $\psi(n + 1) = \psi(n) + \omega$, and $\psi(n) = \omega \cdot (1 + n)$.

We can define canonically $\psi(\omega)$ as the limit of $\psi(0), \psi(1), \psi(2), \dots$, and more generally $\psi(\lim h)$ as $\lim(\psi \circ h)$, and generalize the previous formulas to any ordinal α : $\psi(\alpha + 1) = \psi(\alpha) + \omega$ and $\psi(\alpha) = \omega \cdot (1 + \alpha)$.

Then we can define a notation based on the ordinal 0 and the functions suc and ψ . With this notation, we can write $0, \psi(0) = \omega, \psi(\psi(0)) = \psi(\omega) = \omega \cdot (1 + \omega) = \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2, \psi(\omega^2) = \omega^3, \dots$. The limit of this notation is ω^ω .

We can go beyond this limit with collapsing, by introducing an ordinal Ω which may be any ordinal greater than all the ordinals we want to write with our notation (countable ordinals). The simplest choice is $\Omega = \omega_1$, the least uncountable ordinal. We define $\psi(\Omega)$ as the limit of $0, \psi(0), \psi(\psi(0)), \dots = \lim(n \mapsto \psi^n(0))$.

Note that, according to its definition, $\psi(\Omega)$ is the least fixed point of ψ , so we have $\psi(\psi(\Omega)) = \psi(\Omega)$.

Ω can be defined as $\text{Lim}_1 I$, the limit with cofinality ω_1 of the identity function, so we have $\psi(\Omega) = \lim(n \mapsto \psi^n(0)) = \lim(n \mapsto (\psi \circ I)^n(0))$. We can generalize this formula for any function h : $\psi(\text{Lim}_1 h) = \lim(n \mapsto (\psi \circ h)^n(0))$.

With all these definitions, the complete definition of our notation becomes :

- $\psi(0) = \omega$
- $\psi(\text{suc } \alpha) = \psi(\alpha + 1) = \psi(\alpha) + \omega$
- $\psi(\lim g) = \lim(n \mapsto \psi(g(n))) = \lim(\psi \circ g)$ or with fundamental sequence notation : $\psi(\alpha)[n] = \psi(\alpha[n])$
- $\psi(\text{Lim}_1 h) = \lim(n \mapsto (\psi \circ h)^n(0))$

We can define other ordinal collapsing functions corresponding to other values of $\psi(0)$ and/or other formulas for $\psi(\text{suc } \alpha)$.

10.1 Recursive approach

We will use the uncountable ordinal $\Omega = \omega_1 = \text{Lim}_1 I = H_1 \text{suc } 0$ to define countable ordinals.

We can define a family of ordinal collapsing functions ψ parametrized by $\psi(0)$ and f , where $\psi(0)$ is a given ordinal, and f is a function that, given an ordinal, gives an ordinal, by :

- The value of $\psi(0)$, a given ordinal, for example 1
- $\psi(\text{suc } \alpha) = \psi(\alpha + 1) = f(\psi(\alpha))$
- $\psi(\lim g) = \lim(n \mapsto \psi(g(n))) = \lim(\psi \circ g)$ or with fundamental sequence notation : $\psi(\alpha)[n] = \psi(\alpha[n])$
- $\psi(\text{Lim}_1 h) = \lim(n \mapsto (\psi \circ h)^n(\zeta)) = \lim[(\psi \circ h)^\bullet(\zeta)]$ with $\zeta = 0$ or 1 or $\psi_n(0)$ for example.

The choice of $\zeta = 0, 1$ or $\psi(0)$ is not very important since it does not change the value of the limit. Traditionally, $\psi(0)$ is generally chosen, but 0 or 1 seems simpler to me.

Note that as a particular case of the fourth rule, when h is the identity function, we have $\psi(\text{Lim}_1 I) = \psi(\Omega) = \lim[\psi^\bullet 0] = \sup\{0, \psi(0), \psi(\psi(0)), \dots\}$ which is the least fixed point of ψ , so we have $\psi(\psi(\Omega)) = \psi(\Omega)$.

Concerning the values of ψ between $\psi(\Omega)$ and Ω we have a choice between two possibilities :

- Apply the general rules, which seems the simplest to me. In this case, the rule $\psi(\alpha + 1) = f(\psi(\alpha))$ gives for example $\psi(\psi(\Omega) + 1) = f(\psi(\psi(\Omega))) = f(\psi(\Omega))$.
- Consider that $\psi(\alpha) = \psi(\Omega)$ for any α between $\psi(\Omega)$ and Ω , which seems to be more often chosen, perhaps because it keeps the monotony of ψ . In this case, we have for example $\psi(\psi(\Omega) + 1) = \psi(\Omega)$, and the rule " $\psi(\alpha + 1) = f(\psi(\alpha))$ " is not true for any α and must be restricted by adding some condition, for example something like "In $\psi(g(\psi(\alpha)))$ we must have $\alpha < g(\psi(\alpha))$ ". This choice permits to get same values as "classically" defined ordinal collapsing functions.

With RHS0 notation, $\Omega = \omega_1 = H_1 \text{ suc } 0$ and the least fixed point of a function f is $H f 0$.

We also have :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(H_1 x y z_1 \dots z_p) \\
&= \psi(\text{Lim}_1[x^\bullet y z_1 \dots z_p]) \\
&= \lim[(\psi \circ [x^\bullet y z_1 \dots z_p])^\bullet 0] \\
&= \lim[\psi(x^\bullet y z_1 \dots z_p)^\bullet 0] \\
&= H[\psi(x^\bullet y z_1 \dots z_p)]0 \\
&= H[\psi(\text{[suc} \rightarrow x, 0 \rightarrow y] \bullet z_1 \dots z_p)]0
\end{aligned}$$

Examples :

If $h(\alpha) = \alpha$, then $h(\Omega) = \Omega = H_1 \text{ suc } 0, x = \text{suc}, y = 0, n = 0, \psi(\Omega) = \psi(H_1 \text{ suc } 0) = H[\psi(\text{[suc} \rightarrow \text{suc}, 0 \rightarrow 0] \bullet)]0 = H\psi 0 = \sup\{0, \psi(0), \psi(\psi(0)), \dots\}$.

If $h(\alpha) = \Omega + \alpha$, then $h(\Omega) = \Omega + \Omega = \Omega \cdot 2 = H_1 \text{ suc}(H_1 \text{ suc } 0), x = \text{suc}, y = H_1 \text{ suc } 0, n = 0, \psi(h(\Omega)) = \psi(\Omega + \Omega) = \psi(\Omega \cdot 2) = \psi(H_1 \text{ suc}(H_1 \text{ suc } 0)) = H[\psi(\text{[suc} \rightarrow \text{suc}, 0 \rightarrow H_1 \text{ suc } 0] \bullet)]0 = \sup\{0, \psi(\text{[suc} \rightarrow \text{suc}, 0 \rightarrow H_1 \text{ suc } 0] \bullet)\} = \psi(H_1 \text{ suc } 0) = \psi(\Omega), \psi(\text{[suc} \rightarrow \text{suc}, 0 \rightarrow H_1 \text{ suc } 0] \bullet(\psi(\Omega))) = \psi(\Omega + \psi(\Omega)), \dots\}$.

We will now examine what is the limit of the notation based on 0 and ψ .

It is the limit of $0, \psi(0), \psi(\psi(0)), \dots$, which is $\psi(\Omega)$.

To go beyond this limit, we can either add Ω to the basic symbols of our notation, which would then be based on $0, \Omega, \psi$.

Another possibility is to introduce a new function ψ_2 with $\psi_2(0) = \Omega$.

More generally, the family of ordinal collapsing functions ψ can be extended to a family of hierarchies of ordinal collapsing functions ψ_ν .

We will use uncountable ordinals $\Omega = \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3, \dots$ to define countable ordinals. For more simplicity, we will take $\Omega_\kappa = \omega_\kappa = \text{Lim}_\kappa I = \lim_{\omega_\kappa} I = H_\kappa \text{ suc } 0$ in RHS0 notation, where I is the identity function $I = [\bullet]$. We also have $\Omega_0 = \omega_0 = \omega$.

This family of hierarchies of ordinal collapsing functions ψ_ν where ν is an ordinal, is parametrized by the functions z and f , where z and f are functions that, given an ordinal, gives an ordinal, and is defined by :

- $\psi_\nu(0) = z(\nu)$ (for example : $\psi_0(\alpha) = \Omega_\alpha$, or $\psi_0(0) = 1; \psi_{1+\nu}(0) = \Omega_{1+\nu} = \omega_{1+\nu}$
- $\psi_\nu(\text{suc } \alpha) = f(\psi_\nu(\alpha))$
- $\psi_\nu(\lim h) = \lim(\psi_\nu \circ h)$ (with $\lim = \text{Lim}_0$)
- $\psi_\nu(\text{Lim}_{\kappa+1} h) = \text{Lim}_{\kappa+1}(\psi_\nu \circ h)$ if $\kappa < \nu$, or with fundamental sequence notation : $\psi_\nu(\alpha)[\eta] = \psi_\nu(\alpha[\eta])$
- $\psi_\nu(\text{Lim}_{\kappa+1} h) = \lim[\psi_\nu(h((\psi_\kappa \circ h)^\bullet(\zeta)))]$ if $\kappa \geq \nu$, with $\zeta = 0$ or 1 or $\psi_\kappa(0)$ for example.

We can see that for ψ_0 we get the same definition as the previous definition of ψ .

Concerning the last formula, with $\psi_\nu(\text{Lim}_{\kappa+1} h) = \lim[(\psi_\nu \circ h)^\bullet(\zeta)]$ we also get the previous one for ψ_0 but it is not the same formula as for Buchholz function which we will see later.

The choice of $\zeta = 0, 1$ or $\psi_\nu(0)$ is not very important since it does not change the value of the limit. Traditionally, $\psi_\nu(0)$ is generally chosen, but 0 or 1 seems simpler to me.

Note that as a particular case of the fourth rule, when h is the identity function, we have $\psi_\nu(\text{Lim}_{\nu+1} I) = \psi_\nu(\Omega_{\nu+1}) = \lim[\psi_\nu^\bullet 0] = \sup\{0, \psi_\nu(0), \psi_\nu(\psi_\nu(0)), \dots\}$ which is the least fixed point of ψ_ν , so we have $\psi_\nu(\psi_\nu(\Omega_{\nu+1})) = \psi_\nu(\Omega_{\nu+1})$.

Concerning the values of ψ_ν between $\psi_\nu(\Omega_{\nu+1})$ and $\Omega_{\nu+1}$, some authors consider that it is $\psi_\nu(\Omega_{\nu+1})$, which implies that the rule $\psi_\nu(\text{suc } \alpha) = f(\psi_\nu(\alpha))$ is not true for any α and must be restricted by adding some condition like "In $\psi_\nu(g(\alpha))$, we must have $\alpha < g(\psi(\alpha))$ ", but it seems simpler to me to consider that the rule $\psi_\nu(\text{suc } \alpha) = f(\psi_\nu(\alpha))$ is always true, which implies for example that $\psi_\nu(\text{suc } \psi_\nu(\Omega_{\nu+1})) = f(\psi_\nu(\psi_\nu(\Omega_{\nu+1}))) = f(\psi_\nu(\Omega_{\nu+1}))$.

With RHS0 notation, $\Omega_\kappa = \omega_\kappa = H_\kappa \text{ suc } 0$ and the least fixed point of a function f is $H f 0$.

We also have :

$$\psi_\nu(H_{\nu+1} x y z_1 \dots z_p)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \psi_\nu(\text{Lim}_{\nu+1}[x^\bullet y z_1 \dots z_p]) \\
&= \lim[(\psi_\nu \circ [x^\bullet y z_1 \dots z_p])^\bullet 0] \\
&= \lim[(\psi_\nu(x^\bullet y z_1 \dots z_p))^\bullet 0] \\
&= H[\psi_\nu(x^\bullet y z_1 \dots z_p)]0 \\
&= H[\psi_\nu([suc \rightarrow x, 0 \rightarrow y] \bullet z_1 \dots z_p)]0
\end{aligned}$$

I will also define a function $\psi_n^*(\alpha)$ where n is a natural number, that nests calls of $\psi_k(\alpha)$ for successive values of k between 0 and n , for example $\psi_3'(0) = \psi_0(\psi_1(\psi_2(\psi_3(0))))$. More generally, this function is defined by :

- $\psi_0^*(\alpha) = \psi_0(\alpha)$
- $\psi_{k+1}^*(\alpha) = \psi_k^*(\psi_{k+1}(\alpha))$
- $\psi_{\text{Lim}_\kappa h}^*(\alpha) = \text{Lim}_\kappa(\eta \mapsto \psi_{h(\eta)}^*(\alpha))$

Here are some example of such ordinal collapsing functions with the corresponding "traditional" ordinal collapsing function which we will see later and some of their values :

Corresponding "traditional" OCF	None		Buchholz ψ_0		Madore's ψ
		$C(\alpha)$ generated by 1, suc, $\psi(\xi)$ where $\xi < \alpha$	$C_0(\alpha)$ generated by 1, +, $\psi_\mu(\xi)$ where $\mu < \omega$ and $\xi < \alpha$		$C(\alpha)$ generated by 0, 1, ω, Ω , +, \cdot , $\exp.$, $\psi(\xi)$ where $\xi < \alpha$
$\psi(0)$	1	1	1	ω	ε_0
$\psi(\alpha + 1)$	$\psi(\alpha) + 1$	$\psi(\alpha) + \omega$	$\psi(\alpha) \cdot \omega$	$\sup\{\psi(\alpha)^\dot{\psi(\alpha)}\}$	$\sup\{\psi(\alpha)^\dot{\psi(\alpha)}\}$
$\psi(1)$	2	ω	ω	ε_0	ε_1
$\psi(\alpha + \beta)$	$\psi(\alpha) + \beta$	$\psi(\alpha) + \omega \cdot \beta$	$\psi(\alpha) + \omega^\beta$		
$\psi(\alpha)$ cond. if ψ monotone	$1 + \alpha$ if $\alpha < \omega$	$\omega \cdot \alpha$ if $\alpha < \omega^2$	ω^α if $\alpha < \varepsilon_0$	ε'_α if $\alpha < \zeta_0$	ε_α if $\alpha < \zeta_0$
$\psi(\omega)$	ω	ω^2	ω^ω	ε_ω	ε_ω
$\psi(\Omega)$	ω	ω^ω	ε_0	ζ_0	ζ_0
$\psi(\Omega + 1)$	$\omega + 1$	$\omega^\omega + \omega$	$\varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega$ $= \omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}$	ε_{ζ_0+1}	ε_{ζ_0+1}
$\psi(\Omega \cdot 2)$	ω^2	$\omega^{\omega+1}$	ε_1	ζ_1	ζ_1
$\psi(\Omega^2)$	ε_0	ε_0	ζ_0	η_0	η_0
$\psi(\Omega^\Omega)$	Γ_0	Γ_0	Γ_0	Γ_0	Γ_0
$\psi_1(0)$	Ω	Ω	Ω	$\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}$	$\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}$
$\psi_1(\alpha + 1)$	$\psi_1(\alpha) + 1$	$\psi_1(\alpha) + \omega$	$\psi_1(\alpha) \cdot \omega$	$\sup\{\psi_1(\alpha)^\dot{\psi_1(\alpha)}\}$	$\sup\{\psi_1(\alpha)^\dot{\psi_1(\alpha)}\}$
$\psi_1(1)$	$\Omega + 1$	$\Omega + \omega$	$\Omega \cdot \omega$ $= \omega^{\Omega+1}$	$\varepsilon_{\Omega+2}$	$\varepsilon_{\Omega+2}$
$\psi_1(\alpha)$	$\Omega + \alpha$	$\Omega + \omega \cdot \alpha$	$\Omega \cdot \omega^\alpha$ $= \omega^{\Omega+\alpha}$	$\varepsilon_{\Omega+1+\alpha}$	$\varepsilon_{\Omega+1+\alpha}$
$\psi_1(\omega)$	$\Omega + \omega$	$\Omega + \omega^2$	$\Omega \cdot \omega^\omega$ $= \omega^{\Omega+\omega}$	$\varepsilon_{\Omega+\omega}$	$\varepsilon_{\Omega+\omega}$
$\psi_1(\Omega)$	$\Omega \cdot \omega$	$\Omega \cdot \omega$	Ω^2	$\varepsilon_{\Omega \cdot 2}$	$\varepsilon_{\Omega \cdot 2}$

With more details, the function defined by :

- $\psi(0) = 1$
- $\psi(\alpha + 1) = \psi(\alpha) + 1$

has the following properties :

- $\psi(\alpha + \beta) = \psi(\alpha) + \beta$
- $\psi(\alpha) = 1 + \alpha$

$\psi(\Omega)$ is the limit or least upper bound of :

- 0
- $\psi(0) = 1$
- $\psi(\psi(0)) = \psi(1) = 2$
- ...

which is ω .

Then we have :

- $\psi(\Omega + 1) = \omega + 1$
- $\psi(\Omega + \alpha) = \omega + \alpha$
- $\psi(\Omega + \Omega) = \psi(\Omega \cdot 2) = \text{limit of :}$

- 0
- $\psi(\Omega) = \omega$
- $\psi(\Omega + \omega) = \omega + \omega = \omega \cdot 2$
- ...

$$= \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2$$

- $\psi(\Omega \cdot 2 + \Omega) = \psi(\Omega \cdot 3) = \text{limit of :}$

- 0
- $\psi(\Omega \cdot 2) = \omega^2$
- $\psi(\Omega \cdot 2 + \omega^2) = \omega^2 + \omega^2 = \omega^2 \cdot 2$
- ...

$$= \omega^2 \cdot \omega = \omega^3$$

- $\psi(\Omega \cdot \alpha) = \omega^\alpha$
- $\psi(\Omega \cdot \Omega) = \psi(\Omega^2) = \text{limit of :}$

- 1
- $\psi_0(\Omega) = \omega$
- $\psi_0(\Omega \cdot \omega) = \omega^\omega$
- ...

$$= \varepsilon_0 = \varphi(1, 0) = \varphi'(0, 1)$$

- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \alpha) = \varepsilon_0 + \alpha$
- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega) = \text{limit of :}$

- 0
- $\psi(\Omega^2) = \varepsilon_0$
- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \varepsilon_0) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot 2$
- ...

$$= \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega$$

- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega + \alpha) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega + \alpha$
- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega + \Omega) = \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot 2) = \text{limit of :}$

- 0
- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega$
- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega + \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega \cdot 2$
- ...

$$= \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^2$$

- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot \alpha) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^\alpha$
- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot \Omega) = \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega^2) = \psi(\Omega^2 \cdot 2) = \text{limit of :}$

- 0
- $\psi(\Omega^2) = \varepsilon_0$
- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot \varepsilon_0) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^2$
- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot \varepsilon_0^2) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0^2} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot (\omega^{\varepsilon_0})^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^{1+\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}$

- $\psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} = \varepsilon_0^{1+\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}}$
- ...
- $= \varepsilon_1 = \varphi(1, 1) = \varphi'(0, 2)$
- $\psi(\Omega^2 \cdot \alpha) = \varphi'(0, \alpha)$
- $\psi(\Omega^2 \cdot \Omega) = \psi(\Omega^3) = \text{limit of :}$
 - 1
 - $\psi(\Omega^2) = \varepsilon_0 = \varphi(1, 0) = \varphi'(0, 1)$
 - $\psi(\Omega^2 \cdot \varepsilon_0) = \varphi'(0, \varphi'(0, 1))$
 - ...
- $= \varphi'(1, 1) = \zeta_0 = \varphi(2, 0)$
- $\psi(\Omega^{2+\alpha} = \varphi(1 + \alpha, 0) = \varphi'(\alpha, 1)$
- $\psi(\Omega^\Omega) = \text{limit of :}$
 - 1
 - $\psi(\Omega) = \omega$
 - $\psi(\Omega^\omega) = \varphi'(\omega, 1)$
 - $\psi(\Omega^{\varphi'(\omega, 1)}) = \varphi'(\varphi'(\omega, 1), 1)$
 - ...
- $= \Gamma_0$
- ...

The function defined by :

- $\psi(0) = 1$
- $\psi(\alpha + 1) = \psi(\alpha) + \omega$

has the following properties :

- $\psi(\alpha + \beta) = \psi(\alpha) + \omega \cdot \beta$
- $\psi(\alpha) = \omega \cdot \alpha$

$\psi(\Omega)$ is the limit or least upper bound of :

- 0
- $\psi(0) = 1$
- $\psi(\psi(0)) = \psi(1) = \omega$
- $\psi(\omega) = \omega^2$
- ...

which is ω^ω .

Then we have :

- $\psi(\Omega + 1) = \omega^\omega + \omega$
- $\psi(\Omega + \alpha) = \omega^\omega + \omega \cdot \alpha$
- $\psi(\Omega + \Omega) = \text{limit of :}$
 - 0
 - $\psi(\Omega) = \omega^\omega$
 - $\psi(\Omega + \psi(\Omega)) = \psi(\Omega + \omega^\omega) = \omega^\omega + \omega \cdot \omega^\omega = \omega^\omega \cdot 2$
 - ...
- $= \omega^\omega \cdot \omega = \omega^{\omega+1}$
- $\psi(\Omega \cdot 2 + \alpha) = \psi(\Omega \cdot 2) + \omega \cdot \alpha = \omega^{\omega+1} + \omega \cdot \alpha$
- $\psi(\Omega \cdot 2 + \Omega) = \psi(\Omega \cdot 3) = \text{limit of :}$
 - 0
 - $\psi(\Omega \cdot 2) = \omega^{\omega+1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \psi(\Omega \cdot 2 + \omega^{\omega+1}) = \omega^{\omega+1} + \omega \cdot \omega^{\omega+1} = \omega^{\omega+1} \cdot 2 \\
& - \dots \\
& = \omega^{\omega+1} \cdot \omega = \omega^{\omega+2} \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega \cdot (1 + \alpha)) = \omega^{\omega+\alpha} \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega \cdot \Omega) = \psi(\Omega^2) = \text{limit of :} \\
& \quad - 1 \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega) = \omega^\omega \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega \cdot \omega^\omega) = \omega^{\omega+\omega^\omega} = \omega^{\omega^\omega} \\
& \quad - \dots \\
& = \varepsilon_0 \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^2 + \alpha) = \varepsilon_0 + \omega \cdot \alpha \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega) = \text{limit of :} \\
& \quad - 0 \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^2) = \varepsilon_0 \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^2 + \varepsilon_0) = \varepsilon_0 + \omega \cdot \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0 \cdot 2 \\
& \quad - \dots \\
& = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega + \alpha) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega + \omega \cdot \alpha \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega + \Omega) = \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot 2) = \text{limit of :} \\
& \quad - 0 \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega + \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega + \omega \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega \cdot 2 \\
& \quad - \dots \\
& = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^\alpha \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot \alpha) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^\alpha \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot \Omega) = \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega^2) = \psi(\Omega^2 \cdot 2) = \text{limit of :} \\
& \quad - 0 \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^2) = \varepsilon_0 \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot \varepsilon_0) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^2 \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot \varepsilon_0^2) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0^2} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot (\omega^{\varepsilon_0})^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^{1+\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0} \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^2 + \Omega \cdot \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} = \varepsilon_0^{1+\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} \\
& \quad - \dots \\
& = \varepsilon_1 = \varphi(1, 1) = \varphi'(0, 2) \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^2 \cdot \alpha) = \varphi'(0, \alpha) \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^2 \cdot \Omega) = \psi(\Omega^3) = \text{limit of :} \\
& \quad - 1 \\
& \quad - \phi'(0, 1) = \varepsilon_0 \\
& \quad - \phi'(0, \varepsilon_0) = \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_0} \\
& \quad - \dots \\
& = \zeta_0 = \varphi(2, 0) = \varphi'(1, 1) \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^{2+\alpha}) = \varphi'(\alpha, 1) \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^{2+\alpha} \cdot \beta) = \varphi'(\alpha, \beta) \\
& \bullet \psi(\Omega^\Omega) = \text{limit of :} \\
& \quad - 0 \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^0) = \psi(1) = \omega \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^\omega) = \varphi'(\omega, 1) \\
& \quad - \psi(\Omega^{\varphi'(\omega, 1)}) = \varphi'(\varphi'(\omega, 1), 1) \\
& \quad - \dots \\
& = \Gamma_0
\end{aligned}$$

- ...

The function defined by :

- $\psi(0) = 1$
- $\psi(\alpha + 1) = \psi(\alpha) \cdot \omega$

has the following properties :

- $\psi(\alpha + \beta) = \psi(\alpha) \cdot \omega^\beta$
- $\psi(\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$

$\psi(\Omega)$ is the limit or least upper bound of :

- 0
- $\psi(0) = 1$
- $\psi(\psi(0)) = \psi(1) = \omega$
- $\psi(\omega) = \omega^\omega$
- $\psi(\omega^\omega) = \omega^{\omega^\omega}$
- ...

which is ε_0 .

Then we have :

- $\psi(\Omega + 1) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega = \omega^{\varepsilon_0 + \alpha}$
- $\psi(\Omega + \alpha) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^\alpha = \omega^{\varepsilon_0 + \alpha}$
- $\psi(\Omega + \Omega) = \psi(\Omega \cdot 2) = \text{limit of :}$
 - 0
 - $\psi(\Omega) = \varepsilon_0$
 - $\psi(\Omega + \psi(\Omega)) = \psi(\Omega + \varepsilon_0) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0^2$
 - $\psi(\Omega + \varepsilon_0^2) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0^2} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot (\omega^{\varepsilon_0})^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^{1 + \varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}$
 - $\psi(\Omega + \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \omega^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} = \varepsilon_0^{1 + \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}} = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}}$
 - ...
- $\varepsilon_1 = \varphi(1, 1) = \varphi'(0, 2)$
- $\psi(\Omega \cdot \alpha) = \varphi'(0, \alpha)$
- $\psi(\Omega \cdot \Omega) = \psi(\Omega^2) = \text{limit of :}$
 - 0
 - $\psi(\Omega \cdot 0) = \psi(0) = 1$
 - $\psi(\Omega \cdot 1) = \psi(\Omega) = \varepsilon_0 = \varphi'(0, 1)$
 - $\psi(\Omega \cdot \varphi'(0, 1)) = \varphi'(0, \varphi'(0, 1))$
 - ...
- $\varepsilon_1 = \varphi'(1, 1) = \varphi(2, 0) = \zeta_0$
- $\psi(\Omega^\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha, 0)$
- $\psi(\Omega^\Omega) = \text{limit of :}$
 - 0
 - $\psi(\Omega^0) = \psi(1) = \omega$
 - $\psi(\Omega^\omega) = \varphi(\omega, 0)$
 - $\psi(\Omega^{\varphi(\omega, 0)}) = \varphi(\varphi(\omega, 0), 0)$
 - ...
- $\varepsilon_1 = \varphi(1, 0, 0) = \Gamma_0$
- ...

The function defined by :

- $\psi(0) = \omega$
- $\psi(\alpha + 1) = \sup\{\psi(\alpha), \psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)}, \psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)}}, \dots\}$

has the following property :

- $\psi(\alpha) = \varepsilon'_\alpha$

$\psi(\Omega)$ is the limit of :

- 0
- $\psi(0) = \omega$
- $\psi(\psi(0)) = \psi(\omega) = \text{limit of}$
 - $\psi(0) = \omega$
 - $\psi(1) = \sup\{\omega, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}\} = \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon'_1$
 - $\psi(2) = \sup\{\varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon_1^{\varepsilon'_1}, \varepsilon_1^{\varepsilon_1^{\varepsilon'_1}}, \dots\} = \varepsilon'_2$
- $= \varepsilon'_\omega = \varepsilon_\omega$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_\omega) = \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_\omega}$
- ...

which is ζ_0 .

Then we have

- $\psi(\Omega + 1) = \sup\{\psi(\Omega), \psi(\Omega)^{\psi(\Omega)}, \dots\} = \sup\{\zeta_0, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0}, \dots\} = \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}$
- $\psi(\Omega + 2) = \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+2}$
- $\psi(\Omega + \alpha) = \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+\alpha}$
- ...

In summary, we can define completely this ψ function by :

- $\psi(0) = \omega$
- $\psi(\alpha + 1) = \sup\{\psi'(\alpha), \psi'(\alpha)^{\psi'(\alpha)}, \psi'(\alpha)^{\psi'(\alpha)^{\psi'(\alpha)}}, \dots\}$
- $\psi(\lim(f)) = \lim(n \mapsto \psi(f(n)))$
- $\psi(H_1xyz_1 \dots z_n) = H[\psi([suc \rightarrow x, 0 \rightarrow y] \bullet z_1 \dots z_n)]0$

We will see with more details other functions after having seen the corresponding functions defined with the "classical" approach.

10.2 Classical approach

Remember that this approach consists in defining a set of ordinals $C(a)$ or $C(a,b)$ where a and b are ordinals, which contains all ordinals that can be built using an initial set of ordinals and some operations or functions, and then define $\psi(a)$ or $\psi(a,b)$ as the smallest ordinal that is not in $C(a)$ or $C(a,b)$, or the least ordinal that is greater than than all countable ordinals of $C(a)$ or $C(a,b)$.

Some examples of ordinal collapsing functions are described in http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/Ordinal_notation .

These functions are extensions of functions on countable ordinals, whose fixed points can be reached by applying them to an uncountable ordinal.

Here is a correspondence between basic notation systems and their collapsing extensions based on formula : least fixed point of $f = f(\Omega)$:

Basic notation	Formula	Limit	Extension	Correspondence	Crossing
Cantor	$cantor(\alpha, \beta)$ $= \beta + \omega^\alpha$	least $\alpha = cantor(\alpha, 0)$ $= \omega^\alpha = \varepsilon_0$	Taranovsky's C	$C(\alpha, \beta) = \beta + \omega^\alpha$ iff $C(\alpha, \beta) \geq \alpha$	$C(\Omega, 0) = \varepsilon_0$
	ω^α	least $\alpha = \omega^\alpha$ $= \varepsilon_0$	Buchholz ψ_0	$\psi_0(\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$ if $\alpha < \varepsilon_0$	$\psi_0(\Omega) = \varepsilon_0$
Epsilon	ε_α	least $\alpha = \varepsilon_\alpha$ $= \zeta_0$	Madore's ψ	$\psi(\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < \zeta_0$	$\psi(\Omega) = \zeta_0$
Binary Veblen	$\varphi_\alpha(\beta)$ or $\varphi(\alpha, \beta)$	least $\alpha = \varphi(\alpha, 0)$ $= \Gamma_0$	θ	$\theta(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha, \beta)$ below Γ_0	$\theta(\Omega, 0) = \Gamma_0$

10.3 Buchholz ψ_ν functions

Buchholz's psi-functions are a hierarchy of single-argument ordinal functions $\psi_\nu(\alpha)$ introduced by german mathematician Wilfried Buchholz in 1986.[1] These functions are a simplified version of the θ -functions, but nevertheless have the same strength as those.

10.3.1 Definition

Buchholz defined his functions as follows :

- $C_\nu^0(\alpha) = \Omega_\nu$,
- $C_\nu^{n+1}(\alpha) = C_\nu^n(\alpha) \cup \{\gamma | P(\gamma) \subseteq C_\nu^n(\alpha)\} \cup \{\psi_\mu(\xi) | \xi \in \alpha \cap C_\nu^n(\alpha) \wedge \xi \in C_\mu(\xi) \wedge \mu \leq \omega\}$,
- $C_\nu(\alpha) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_\nu^n(\alpha)$,
- $\psi_\nu(\alpha) = \min\{\gamma | \gamma \notin C_\nu(\alpha)\}$,

where

$$\Omega_\nu = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \nu = 0 \\ \aleph_\nu & \text{if } \nu > 0 \end{cases}$$

and $P(\gamma) = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ is the set of additive principal numbers in form ω^ξ ,

$$P = \{\alpha \in On : 0 < \alpha \wedge \forall \xi, \eta < \alpha (\xi + \eta < \alpha)\} = \{\omega^\xi : \xi \in On\},$$

the sum of which gives this ordinal γ :

$$\gamma = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \text{ where } \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_k \text{ and } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in P(\gamma).$$

Note: Greek letters always denotes ordinals. On denotes the class of all ordinals.

The limit of this notation is Takeuti-Feferman-Buchholz ordinal.

10.3.2 Properties

Buchholz showed following properties of those functions:

- $\psi_\nu(0) = \Omega_\nu$,
- $\psi_\nu(\alpha) \in P$,
- $\psi_\nu(\alpha + 1) = \min\{\gamma \in P : \psi_\nu(\alpha) < \gamma\}$ if $\alpha \in C_\nu(\alpha)$,
- $\Omega_\nu \leq \psi_\nu(\alpha) < \Omega_{\nu+1}$,
- $\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow \psi_\nu(\alpha) \leq \psi_\nu(\beta)$,
- $\psi_0(\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$ if $\alpha < \varepsilon_0$,
- $\psi_\nu(\alpha) = \omega^{\Omega_\nu + \alpha}$ if $\alpha < \varepsilon_{\Omega_\nu + 1}$ and $\nu \neq 0$,
- $\theta(\varepsilon_{\Omega_\nu + 1}, 0) = \psi_0(\varepsilon_{\Omega_\nu + 1})$ for $0 < \nu \leq \omega$.

10.3.3 Normal form and fundamental sequences

Normal form :

The normal form for 0 is 0. If α is a nonzero ordinal number $\alpha < \Lambda = \min\{\beta | \psi_\beta(0) = \beta\}$ then the normal form for α is $\alpha = \psi_{\nu_1}(\beta_1) + \psi_{\nu_2}(\beta_2) + \dots + \psi_{\nu_k}(\beta_k)$ where k is a positive integer and $\psi_{\nu_1}(\beta_1) \geq \psi_{\nu_2}(\beta_2) \geq \dots \geq \psi_{\nu_k}(\beta_k)$ and each ν_i, β_i are also written in normal form.

Fundamental sequences :

The fundamental sequence for an ordinal number α with cofinality $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \beta$ is a strictly increasing sequence $(\alpha[\eta])_{\eta < \beta}$ with length β and with limit α , where $\alpha[\eta]$ is the η -th element of this sequence. If α is a successor ordinal then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = 1$ and the fundamental sequence has only one element $\alpha[0] = \alpha - 1$. If α is a limit ordinal then $\text{cof}(\alpha) \in \{\omega\} \cup \{\Omega_{\mu+1} | \mu \geq 0\}$.

For nonzero ordinals $\alpha < \Lambda$, written in normal form, fundamental sequences are defined as follows:

1. If $\alpha = \psi_{\nu_1}(\beta_1) + \psi_{\nu_2}(\beta_2) + \dots + \psi_{\nu_k}(\beta_k)$ where $k \geq 2$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\psi_{\nu_k}(\beta_k))$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_{\nu_1}(\beta_1) + \dots + \psi_{\nu_{k-1}}(\beta_{k-1}) + (\psi_{\nu_k}(\beta_k)[\eta])$,
2. If $\alpha = \psi_0(0) = 1$, then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = 1$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$,
3. If $\alpha = \psi_{\nu+1}(0)$, then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \Omega_{\nu+1}$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \Omega_{\nu+1}[\eta] = \eta$,
4. If $\alpha = \psi_\nu(0)$ and $\text{cof}(\nu) \in \{\omega\} \cup \{\Omega_{\mu+1} | \mu \geq 0\}$, then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\nu)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_{\nu[\eta]}(0) = \Omega_{\nu[\eta]}$,
5. If $\alpha = \psi_\nu(\beta + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\nu(\beta) \cdot \eta$ (and note: $\psi_\nu(0) = \Omega_\nu$),
6. If $\alpha = \psi_\nu(\beta)$ and $\text{cof}(\beta) \in \{\omega\} \cup \{\Omega_{\mu+1} | \mu < \nu\}$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\nu(\beta[\eta])$,
7. If $\alpha = \psi_\nu(\beta)$ and $\text{cof}(\beta) \in \{\Omega_{\mu+1} | \mu \geq \nu\}$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\nu(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$ where $\begin{cases} \gamma[0] = \Omega_\mu \\ \gamma[\eta + 1] = \psi_\mu(\beta[\gamma[\eta]]) \end{cases}$.

8. If $\alpha = \Lambda$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \psi_{\alpha[\eta]}(0) = \Omega_{\alpha[\eta]}$.

These fundamental sequences are equivalent to the following recursive definition of $\psi_\nu(\alpha)$:

1. The first fundamental sequence is not part of the definition of $\psi_\nu(\alpha)$, it is a particular case of the general definition of addition, with $\alpha + \text{Lim}_\nu(h) = \text{Lim}_\nu(\xi \mapsto \alpha + h(\xi))$
2. $\psi_0(0) = 1$
3. $\psi_{\nu+1}(0) = \Omega_{\nu+1}$
4. $\psi_{\text{Lim}_\mu h}(0) = \text{Lim}_\mu(\xi \mapsto \psi_{h(\xi)}(0)) = \text{Lim}_\mu(\xi \mapsto \Omega_{h(\xi)})$
5. $\psi_\nu(\beta + 1) = \psi_\nu(\beta) \cdot \omega$
6. $\psi_\nu(\text{lim } h) = \text{lim}(\xi \mapsto \psi_\nu(h(\xi))) = \text{lim}(\psi_\nu \circ h)$ (with $\text{lim} = \text{Lim}_0$)
7. $\psi_\nu(\text{Lim}_{\mu+1} h) = \text{lim}(\xi \mapsto (\psi_\nu \circ h)^\xi(\psi_\nu(0)))$ if $\mu \geq \nu$
8. $\psi_\nu(\text{Lim}_{\mu+1} h) = \text{lim}(\xi \mapsto \psi_\nu(h((\psi_\mu \circ h)^\xi(\Omega_\mu))))$ if $\mu \geq \nu$
9. This fundamental sequence is not part of the definition of $\psi_\nu(\alpha)$, it can be deduced from the definition of $\Lambda = \min\{\beta \mid \psi_\beta(0) = \beta\}$

10.3.4 Explanation

Buchholz is working in Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory, that means every ordinal α is equal to set $\{\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\}$. Then condition $C_\nu^0(\alpha) = \Omega_\nu$ means that set $C_\nu^0(\alpha)$ includes all ordinals less than Ω_ν in other words $C_\nu^0(\alpha) = \{\beta \mid \beta < \Omega_\nu\}$.

The condition $C_\nu^{n+1}(\alpha) = C_\nu^n(\alpha) \cup \{\gamma \mid P(\gamma) \subseteq C_\nu^n(\alpha)\} \cup \{\psi_\mu(\xi) \mid \xi \in \alpha \cap C_\nu^n(\alpha) \wedge \mu \leq \omega\}$ means that set $C_\nu^{n+1}(\alpha)$ includes:

- all ordinals from previous set $C_\nu^n(\alpha)$,
- all ordinals that can be obtained by summation the additively principal ordinals from previous set $C_\nu^n(\alpha)$,
- all ordinals that can be obtained by applying ordinals less than α from the previous set $C_\nu^n(\alpha)$ as arguments of functions ψ_μ , where $\mu \leq \omega$.

That is why we can rewrite this condition as:

$$C_\nu^{n+1}(\alpha) = \{\beta + \gamma, \psi_\mu(\eta) \mid \beta, \gamma, \eta \in C_\nu^n(\alpha) \wedge \eta < \alpha \wedge \mu \leq \omega\}.$$

Thus union of all sets $C_\nu^n(\alpha)$ with $n < \omega$ i.e. $C_\nu(\alpha) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_\nu^n(\alpha)$ denotes the set of all ordinals which can be generated from ordinals $< \aleph_\nu$ by the functions $+$ (addition) and $\psi_\mu(\xi)$, where $\mu \leq \omega$ and $\xi < \alpha$.

Then $\psi_\nu(\alpha) = \min\{\gamma \mid \gamma \notin C_\nu(\alpha)\}$ is the smallest ordinal that does not belong to this set.

Examples :

Consider the following examples:

$$C_0^0(\alpha) = \{0\} = \{\beta : \beta < 1\},$$

$$C_0(0) = \{0\} \text{ (since no functions } \psi(\eta < 0) \text{ and } 0+0=0).$$

$$\text{Then } \psi_0(0) = 1.$$

$C_0(1)$ includes $\psi_0(0) = 1$ and all possible sums of natural numbers:

$$C_0(1) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{googol}, \dots, \text{TREE}(\text{googol}), \dots\}.$$

Then $\psi_0(1) = \omega$ - first transfinite ordinal, which is greater than all natural numbers by its definition.

$C_0(2)$ includes $\psi_0(0) = 1, \psi_0(1) = \omega$ and all possible sums of them.

$$\text{Then } \psi_0(2) = \omega^2.$$

$$\text{For } C_0(\omega) \text{ we have set } C_0(\omega) = \{0, \psi(0) = 1, \dots, \psi(1) = \omega, \dots, \psi(2) = \omega^2, \dots, \psi(3) = \omega^3, \dots\}.$$

$$\text{Then } \psi_0(\omega) = \omega^\omega.$$

$$\text{For } C_0(\Omega) \text{ we have set } C_0(\Omega) = \{0, \psi(0) = 1, \dots, \psi(1) = \omega, \dots, \psi(\omega) = \omega^\omega, \dots, \psi(\omega^\omega) = \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots\}.$$

$$\text{Then } \psi_0(\Omega) = \varepsilon_0.$$

$$\text{For } C_0(\Omega + 1) \text{ we have set } C_0(\Omega + 1) = \{0, 1, \dots, \psi_0(\Omega) = \varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0, \dots, \psi_1(0) = \Omega, \dots\}.$$

$$\text{Then } \psi_0(\Omega + 1) = \varepsilon_0\omega = \omega^{\varepsilon_0+1}.$$

$$\psi_0(\Omega^2) = \varepsilon_1,$$

$$\psi_0(\Omega^2) = \zeta_0,$$

$$\varphi(\alpha, 1 + \beta) = \psi_0(\Omega^\alpha \beta),$$

$$\psi_0(\Omega^\Omega) = \Gamma_0 = \theta(\Omega, 0), \text{ using Feferman theta-function,}$$

Note that we find the same result as with the previously seen function defined recursively with $\psi(0) = 1$ and $\psi(\alpha + 1) = \psi(\alpha) \cdot \omega$.

$\psi_0(\Omega^{\Omega^\Omega})$ is large Veblen ordinal,

$$\psi_0(\Omega \uparrow \uparrow \omega) = \psi_0(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}) = \theta(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}, 0).$$

Now let's research how ψ_1 works:

$$C_1^0(\alpha) = \{\beta : \beta < \Omega_1\} = \{0, \psi(0) = 1, 2, \dots, \text{googol}, \dots, \psi_0(1) = \omega, \dots, \psi_0(\Omega) = \varepsilon_0, \dots\}$$

..., $\psi_0(\Omega^\Omega) = \Gamma_0, \dots, \psi(\Omega^{\Omega^\Omega + \Omega^2}), \dots$ i.e. includes all countable ordinals.

$C_1(\alpha)$ includes all possible sums of all countable ordinals. Then

$\psi_1(0) = \Omega_1$ first uncountable ordinal which is greater than all countable ordinal by its definition i.e. smallest number with cardinality \aleph_1 .

$C_1(1) = \{0, \dots, \psi_0(0) = \omega, \dots, \psi_1(0) = \Omega, \dots, \Omega + \omega, \dots, \Omega + \Omega, \dots\}$

Then $\psi_1(1) = \Omega\omega = \omega^{\Omega+1}$.

Then $\psi_1(2) = \Omega\omega^2 = \omega^{\Omega+2}$,

$\psi_1(\psi_0(\Omega)) = \Omega\varepsilon_0 = \omega^{\Omega+\varepsilon_0}$,

$\psi_1(\psi_0(\Omega^\Omega)) = \Omega\Gamma_0 = \omega^{\Omega+\Gamma_0}$,

$\psi_1(\psi_1(0)) = \psi_1(\Omega) = \Omega^2 = \omega^{\Omega+\Omega}$,

$\psi_1(\psi_1(\psi_1(0))) = \omega^{\Omega+\omega^{\Omega+\Omega}} = \omega^{\Omega \cdot \Omega} = (\omega^\Omega)^\Omega = \Omega^\Omega$,

$\psi_1^4(0) = \Omega^{\Omega^\Omega}$,

$\psi_1(\Omega_2) = \psi_1^\omega(0) = \Omega \uparrow \uparrow \omega = \varepsilon_{\Omega+1}$.

For case $\psi(\Omega_2)$ the set $C_0(\Omega_2)$ includes functions ψ_0 with all arguments less than Ω_2 i.e. such arguments as $0, \psi_1(0), \psi_1(\psi_1(0)), \psi_1^3(0), \dots, \psi_1^{\Omega_2}(0)$ and then $\psi_0(\Omega_2) = \psi_0(\psi_1(\Omega_2)) = \psi_0(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1})$.

In general case: $\psi_0(\Omega_{\nu+1}) = \psi_0(\psi_\nu(\Omega_{\nu+1})) = \psi_0(\varepsilon_{\Omega_\nu+1}) = \theta(\varepsilon_{\Omega_\nu+1}, 0)$.

We also can write:

$\theta(\Omega_\nu, 0) = \psi_0(\Omega_\nu^{\Omega_\nu})$ (for $1 \leq \nu < \omega$).

10.3.5 Extension

We rewrite Buchholz's definition as follows[2]:

- $C_\nu^0(\alpha) = \{\beta \mid \beta < \Omega_\nu\}$,
- $C_\nu^{n+1}(\alpha) = \{\beta + \gamma, \psi_\mu(\eta) \mid \mu, \beta, \gamma, \eta \in C_\nu^n(\alpha) \wedge \eta < \alpha\}$,
- $C_\nu(\alpha) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_\nu^n(\alpha)$,
- $\psi_\nu(\alpha) = \min\{\gamma \mid \gamma \notin C_\nu(\alpha)\}$,

where

$$\Omega_\nu = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \nu = 0 \\ \text{smallest ordinal with cardinality } \aleph_\nu & \text{if } \nu > 0 \end{cases}$$

and ω is the smallest infinite ordinal.

There is only one little detail difference with original Buchholz definition: ordinal μ is not limited by ω , now ordinal μ belongs to previous set C_n .

For example if $C_0^0(1) = \{0\}$ then $C_0^1(1) = \{0, \psi_0(0) = 1\}$ and $C_0^2(1) = \{0, \dots, \psi_1(0) = \Omega\}$ and $C_0^3(1) = \{0, \dots, \psi_\Omega(0) = \Omega^\Omega\}$ and so on.

Limit of this notation must be omega fixed point $\psi(\Omega_{\Omega_\Omega, \dots}) = \psi(\psi_{\psi_{\dots}(0)}(0))$, where ψ without subscript denotes ψ_0 .

10.3.6 Sources

Buchholz, W. "A New System of Proof-Theoretic Ordinal Functions". Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, vol. 32. Retrieved 2017-05-13.

Maksudov, Denis. The extension of Buchholz's function. Traveling To The Infinity. Retrieved 2017-05-18.

<http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/Buchholz>

Jaeger, G (1984). "P-inaccessible ordinals, collapsing functions, and a recursive notation system". Archiv f. math. Logik und Grundlagenf. pp. 49–62.

Buchholz, W.; Schütte, K. (1983). "Ein Ordinalzahlensystem für die beweistheoretische Abgrenzung der H -Separation und Bar-Induktion". Sitzungsberichte der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Math.-Naturw. Klasse.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Buchholz_psi_functions

10.4 Madore's ψ

This ordinal collapsing function is described in :

- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ordinal_collapsing_function
- <http://quibb.blogspot.fr/2012/03/infinity-impredicative-ordinals.html>

The definition of this function uses the ordinal Ω which is the least uncountable ordinal.

$C(\alpha)$ is the set of all ordinals constructible using only $0, 1, \omega, \Omega$ and addition, multiplication, exponentiation, and the function ψ (which will be defined later) restricted to ordinals smaller than α .

$\psi(\alpha)$ is the smallest ordinal not in $C(\alpha)$.

The smallest ordinal not in $C(0)$ is the limit of $\omega, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$ which is ε_0 , so $\psi(0) = \varepsilon_0$. More generally, $\psi(\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < \zeta_0$, $\psi(\alpha) = \zeta_0$ for $\zeta_0 \leq \alpha \leq \Omega$, and $\psi(\Omega + \alpha) = \varepsilon(\zeta_0 + \alpha)$ for $\alpha \leq \zeta_1$.

Note that $\psi(\Omega) = \zeta_0$ is the least fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon_\alpha$; we already saw such an equality when we introduced collapsing in the Veblen function.

The ψ function can be defined recursively by :

- $\psi(0) = \varepsilon_0$
- $\psi(\alpha + 1) = \sup\{\psi(\alpha), \psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)}, \psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)}}, \dots\}$
- $\psi(\lim f) = \lim(\psi \circ f)$
- $\psi(\text{Lim}_1 f) = \lim(n \mapsto (\psi \circ f)^n(\psi(0)))$

Some examples of fundamental sequences (FS) are :

A FS of ω is $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

A FS of $\psi(0)$ is $\omega, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$

A FS of $\psi(\alpha + 1)$ is $\psi(\alpha), \psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)}, \psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)}}, \dots$

A FS of $\psi(f(\Omega))$ is $\psi(0), \psi(f(\psi(0))), \psi(f(\psi(f(\psi(0))))) , \dots$

For example :

A FS of $\psi(\Omega)$ is $\psi(0), \psi(\psi(0)), \psi(\psi(\psi(0))), \dots$

A FS of $\psi(\Omega \cdot 2)$ is $\psi(0), \psi(\Omega + \psi(0)), \psi(\Omega + \psi(\Omega + \psi(0))), \dots$

A FS of $\psi(\Omega^\Omega \cdot 3)$ is $\psi(0), \psi(\Omega^\Omega \cdot 2 + \Omega^{\psi(0)}), \psi(\Omega^\Omega \cdot 2 + \Omega^{\Omega^\Omega \cdot 2 + \Omega^{\psi(0)}}), \dots$

The limit $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1})$ of $\psi(\Omega), \psi(\Omega^\Omega), \psi(\Omega^{\Omega^\Omega}), \dots$ is the Bachmann-Howard ordinal.

But $\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}$ cannot be expressed in this system, because $[\varepsilon_\bullet]$ does not belong to the functions used to define $C(\alpha)$. We could add it, but that would not bring us very far. A better idea is to define a new function ψ_1 :

Let $\psi_1(\alpha)$ be the smallest ordinal which cannot be expressed from all countable ordinals, Ω and Ω_2 using sums, products, exponentials, and the ψ_1 function itself (to previously constructed ordinals less than α), where Ω_2 is an ordinal which is greater than all the ordinals that will be constructed using ψ_1 , for example we can take $\Omega = \omega_1$ (the least uncountable ordinal) and $\Omega_2 = \omega_2$, the least ordinal whose cardinal is strictly greater than the cardinal of ω_1 .

With this definition, we have $\psi_1(0) = \varepsilon_{\Omega+1}$, $\psi_1(1) = \varepsilon_{\Omega+2}$, and more generally $\psi_1(\alpha) = \varepsilon_{\Omega+1+\alpha}$.

We can define a hierarchy of functions ψ_n , like explained in YouTube video 'Extremely Large Numbers 22' :

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O7EftYZEivo>

(Note that here Ω_n has been replaced by Ω_{n+1} to be consistent with Madore's notations)

- ψ is associated to the set $\{0, 1, \omega, \Omega\}$
- $\psi_0 = \psi$
- ψ_1 is associated to the set $\{0, 1, \omega, \Omega, \Omega_2\}$
- $\psi_1(0) = \varepsilon_{\Omega+1}$
- $\psi_1(\alpha) = \varepsilon_{\Omega+1+\alpha}$
- $\psi_1(\Omega_2) = \zeta_{\Omega+1}$
- $\psi_1(\Omega_2 \cdot \alpha) = \zeta_{\Omega+1+\alpha}$
- $\psi_1(\Omega_2^2) = \eta_{\Omega+1}$
- $\psi_1(\Omega_2^\alpha) = \psi_{\alpha+1}(\Omega + 1)$
- $\psi_1(\Omega_1^{\Omega_1}) = \Gamma_{\Omega+1}$
- $\psi_2(0) = \varepsilon_{\Omega_2+1}$
- $\psi_3(0) = \varepsilon_{\Omega_3+1}$
- \dots

Note that to get a countable ordinal which interests us, we must nest successive calls of ψ_n , for example $\psi(\psi_1(\psi_2(\psi_3(0))))$. We can simplify the notation by replacing the nested call $\psi(\psi_1(\psi_2(\psi_3(0))))$ by just $\psi_3(0)$. This convention also permits to define for example $\psi_\omega(0)$ as the limit or least upper bound of $\psi(0), \psi_1(0), \psi_2(0), \psi_3(0), \dots$. We can also define ψ_α for any ordinal α . The limit of this notation, sometimes called α_0 , is the limit or least upper bound of $\psi(0), \psi_{\psi(0)}(0), \psi_{\psi_{\psi(0)}(0)}(0), \dots$. Using other notation systems, it is the limit of $\psi(\Omega), \psi(\Omega_\Omega), \psi(\Omega_{\Omega_\Omega}), \dots$.

See also :

- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ordinal_collapsing_function
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O7EftYZEivo>
- http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/Buchholz%27s_function
- <https://medium.com/@joshkerr/mind-blown-the-fast-growing-hierarchy-for-laymen-aka-enormous-numbers-d9a865c6443b>

10.5 Haskell implementation of Madore's ψ

```
module Madore where
```

```
ident x = x
```

```
comp f g x = f (g x)
```

```
data Ord
  = Zero
  | Suc Ord
  | Lim Ord (Ord -> Ord)
```

```
one = Suc Zero
```

```
two = Suc one
```

```
instance Show Ord where
```

```
  show Zero = "Zero"
```

```
  show (Suc a) = "(Suc " ++ show a ++ ")"
```

```
  show (Lim n f) = "(Lim " ++ show n ++ " " ++
```

```
    show (f Zero) ++ ", " ++ show (f one) ++ ", " ++ show (f two) ++ ", ..." ++ ")"
```

```
omega = Lim Zero ident
```

```
omega_plus_one = Suc omega
```

```
omega1 = Lim one ident
```

```
omega2 = Lim two ident
```

```
-- plus a b = b+a
```

```
plus Zero b = b
```

```
plus (Suc a) b = Suc (plus a b)
```

```
plus (Lim n f) b = Lim n (\x -> plus (f x) b)
```

```
-- times a b = b.a
```

```
times Zero b = Zero
```

```
times (Suc a) b = plus b (times a b)
```

```
times (Lim n f) b = Lim n (\x -> times (f x) b)
```

```
-- power a b = b^a
```

```
power Zero b = one
```

```
power (Suc a) b = times b (power a b)
```

```
power (Lim n f) b = Lim n (\x -> power (f x) b)
```

```
-- power of a fonction : fpower0 a f = f^a
```

```

fpower Zero f = ident
fpower (Suc a) f = comp f (fpower a f)
fpower (Lim n g) f = \x -> Lim n (\y -> fpower (g y) f x)

epsilon0 = fpower omega (\x -> power x omega) Zero

-- Madore psi
madore Zero = epsilon0
madore (Suc a) = fpower omega (\x -> power x (madore a)) Zero
madore (Lim Zero g) = Lim Zero (comp madore g)
madore (Lim (Suc Zero) g) = Lim Zero (\n -> fpower n (comp madore g) (madore Zero))

```

10.6 Correspondence between Madore's ψ and other notations

To distinguish between the different Veblen functions, let us call φ_F the Veblen function with finitely many variables, and φ_T the Veblen function with transfinitely many variables.

φ_F is a function that, when applied to a list of countable ordinals, gives a countable ordinal. A list of countable ordinals can be seen as a function that, when applied to a natural number, gives a countable ordinal, with the restriction that the result differs from 0 for finitely many integers. If we denote ω the set of natural numbers and Ω the set of countable ordinals, then this can be written : $\varphi_F : (\omega \rightarrow \Omega) \rightarrow \Omega$. If we replace $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ by β^α , we get Ω^{Ω^ω} , and if we apply ψ to it, we get $\psi(\Omega^{\Omega^\omega})$, which is the small Veblen ordinal, the least ordinal that cannot be reached using φ_F .

For φ_T , the position of a variable is represented by a countable ordinal instead of a natural number, also with the restriction that finitely many variables differ from 0, so we have $\varphi_T : (\Omega \rightarrow \Omega) \rightarrow \Omega$. If we replace $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ by β^α , we get Ω^{Ω^Ω} , and if we apply ψ to it, we get $\psi(\Omega^{\Omega^\Omega})$, which is the large Veblen ordinal, the least ordinal that cannot be reached using φ_T .

A correspondence between Madore's ψ and other notations can be established by starting from $\psi(0) = \varepsilon_0$ and using the properties $\psi(\alpha + 1) = \sup\{\psi(\alpha), \psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)}, \psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)^{\psi(\alpha)}}, \dots\}$ and $\psi(f(\Omega)) = \text{least fixed point of } \alpha \mapsto \psi(f(\alpha))$.

This method gives the following correspondence :

- $\psi(0) = \varepsilon_0 = R_1 H \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(1) = \sup\{\psi(0), \psi(0)^{\psi(0)}, \psi(0)^{\psi(0)^{\psi(0)}}, \dots\} = \sup\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}, \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}}, \dots\} = \varepsilon_1 = R_1(R_1 H) \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha = [\text{suc} \rightarrow R_1, 0 \rightarrow H](1 + \alpha) \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\Omega) = \psi(H_1 \text{ suc } 0) = \sup\{0, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_0}, \dots\} = \zeta_0 = H[[\text{suc} \rightarrow R_1, 0 \rightarrow H] \bullet \text{ suc } 0](\text{suc } 0) = \sup\{\text{suc } 0, R_1 H \text{ suc } 0, R_1 H R_1 H \text{ suc } 0, \dots\} R_2 R_1 H \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\Omega + 1) = \psi(\text{suc } (H_1 \text{ suc } 0)) = \sup\{\zeta_0, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0}, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0^{\zeta_0}}, \dots\} = \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}$ (see proof below) $= R_1(R_2 R_1 H) \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\Omega + 2) = \psi(\text{suc } (\text{suc } (H_1 \text{ suc } 0))) = \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+2} = R_1(R_1(R_2 R_1 H)) \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\Omega + \alpha) = \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+\alpha} = [\text{suc} \rightarrow R_1, 0 \rightarrow R_2 R_1 H] \alpha \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\Omega + \Omega) = \psi(\Omega \cdot 2) = \psi(H_1 \text{ suc } (H_1 \text{ suc } 0)) = \zeta_1 = H[[\text{suc} \rightarrow R_1, 0 \rightarrow R_2 R_1 H] \bullet \text{ suc } 0](\text{suc } 0) = R_2 R_1(R_2 R_1 H) \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\Omega \cdot (1 + \alpha)) = \zeta_\alpha$
- $\psi(\Omega \cdot \alpha) = \zeta'_\alpha = [\text{suc} \rightarrow R_2 R_1, 0 \rightarrow H] \alpha \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\Omega \cdot \Omega) = \psi(\Omega^2) = \psi(H_1(H_1 \text{ suc } 0)) = \sup\{0, \zeta_0, \zeta_{\zeta_0}, \dots\} = \eta_0 = \varphi(3, 0) = \varphi'(2, 1) = H[[\text{suc} \rightarrow R_2 R_1, 0 \rightarrow H] \bullet \text{ suc } 0](\text{suc } 0) = R_2(R_2 R_1) H \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\Omega^\alpha) = \varphi(1 + \alpha, 0) = \varphi'(\alpha, 1) = [\text{suc} \rightarrow R_2, 0 \rightarrow R_1] \alpha \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\Omega^\alpha \cdot \beta) = \varphi'(\alpha, \beta)$
- $\psi(\Omega^\Omega) = \psi(H_1 H_1 \text{ suc } 0) = \Gamma_0 = \varphi(1, 0, 0) = \varphi'(1, 0, 1) = H[[\text{suc} \rightarrow R_2, 0 \rightarrow R_1] \bullet \text{ suc } 0](\text{suc } 0) = R_3 R_2 R_1 H \text{ suc } 0$ (Note that this confirms the Simmons - RHS0 correspondence conjecture ; note also that since $\psi(\Omega)$ and before this point we have $\psi(\alpha) = [H_1 \rightarrow R_2, \text{suc} \rightarrow R_1, 0 \rightarrow H] \alpha \text{ suc } 0$, but this does not work anymore from this point)
- $\psi(\Omega^{\Omega+\beta} \cdot \gamma) = \varphi'(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \varphi'_{\alpha, \beta}(\gamma)$
- $\psi(\Omega^{\Omega^\Omega}) = LVO = R_4 R_3 R_2 R_1 H \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}) = BHO = R_{\omega \dots 1} H \text{ suc } 0$ with $\varepsilon_{\Omega+1} = \sup\{\Omega, \Omega^\Omega, \Omega^{\Omega^\Omega}, \dots\}$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1} + 1) = \sup\{BHO, BHO^{BHO}, BHO^{BHO^{BHO}}, \dots\} = R_1(R_{\omega \dots 1} H) \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1} + 2) = R_1(R_1(R_{\omega \dots 1} H)) \text{ suc } 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1} + \alpha) = [\text{suc} \rightarrow R_1, 0 \rightarrow R_{\omega \dots 1} H] \alpha \text{ suc } 0$

- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega + \varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega) = \psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega \cdot 2) = H[R_{\bullet \dots 1}(R_1^1 H) suc \ 0]0 = R_1^1(R_1^1 H) suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega \cdot \alpha) = [suc \rightarrow R_1^1, 0 \rightarrow H]\alpha suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega \cdot \Omega) = H[[suc \rightarrow R_1^1, 0 \rightarrow H] \bullet suc \ 0](suc \ 0) = R_2 R_1^1 H suc \ 0$
- ...
- $\psi(\dots \Omega) = R_2 \dots H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega \cdot \Omega^2) = R_2(R_2 R_1^1) H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega \cdot \Omega^\alpha) = [suc \rightarrow R_2, 0 \rightarrow R_1^1]\alpha H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega \cdot \Omega^\Omega) = H[[suc \rightarrow R_2, 0 \rightarrow R_1^1] \bullet H suc \ 0](suc \ 0) = R_3 R_2 R_1^1 H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega \cdot \varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega) = \psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^{\Omega+1}) = R_{\omega \dots 2} R_1^1 H suc \ 0$
- ...
- $\psi(\dots \varepsilon_{\Omega+1}) = R_{\Omega \dots \dots} H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega \cdot \varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^2) = R_{\omega \dots 3} R_{\omega \dots 2} R_1^1 H suc \ 0 = R_{\omega \cdot 2 \dots 2} R_1^1 H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega \cdot \varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega) = \psi((\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^\Omega)^2) = \psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^{\Omega \cdot 2}) = R_2^1 R_1^1 H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^{\Omega \cdot \alpha}) = R_{\alpha \dots 1}^1 H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^{\Omega^2}) = H[R_{\bullet \dots 1}^1 H suc \ 0]0 = R_1^2 H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^{\Omega^\alpha}) = R_1^\alpha H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^{\Omega^\Omega}) = H[R_1^\bullet H suc \ 0]0 = R_1^{1,0} H suc \ 0 = R_1^\Omega H suc \ 0 = R_{\Omega^\Omega} H suc \ 0 = R_1^{H_1 suc \ 0} H suc \ 0 = R_{H_1 H_1 suc \ 0} H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}^{\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}}) = R_{\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}} H suc \ 0 = R_{R_1 H_1 suc \ 0} H suc \ 0$
- $\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+2}) = R_1^{H[R_1^\bullet H_1 suc \ 0]0} H suc \ 0$
- ...

Proof of $\sup\{\zeta_0, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0}, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0^{\zeta_0}}, \dots\} = \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}$:

We have $\varepsilon_{\alpha+1} = \sup\{\varepsilon_\alpha + 1, \omega^{\varepsilon_\alpha+1}, \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_\alpha+1}}, \dots\}$, which gives for $\zeta_0 : \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1} = \sup\{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0} + 1, \omega^{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0}+1}, \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0}+1}}, \dots\}$ so we have to prove $\sup\{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0} + 1, \omega^{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0}+1}, \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0}+1}}, \dots\} = \sup\{\zeta_0, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0}, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0^{\zeta_0}}, \dots\}$.

We have already seen concerning Veblen functions that the ordinals respectively limits of the fundamental sequence whose n-th

term is $\varepsilon_0^{\omega^{\dots}}$ and the one whose n-th term is $\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\dots}}$ is the same, the least fixed point of the function $\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon_0^\alpha$, which is greater than ω and also than ε_0 .

For a similar reason, we also have $\sup\{\zeta_0, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0}, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0^{\zeta_0}}, \dots\} = \sup\{\zeta_0^\omega, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0^\omega}, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0^{\zeta_0^\omega}}, \dots\}$.

So we have to prove $\sup\{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0} + 1, \omega^{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0}+1}, \omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0}+1}}, \dots\} = \sup\{\zeta_0^\omega, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0^\omega}, \zeta_0^{\zeta_0^{\zeta_0^\omega}}, \dots\}$.

We can prove this by proving $\omega^{\omega^{\dots}} = \zeta_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\dots}}$ in a similar way we proved $\omega^{\omega^{\dots}} = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\dots}}$, where α^{\dots} represents an "exponential tower" with α repeated n times.

For n = 0, we have :

$$\omega^{\omega^{\varepsilon_{\zeta_0}+1}} = \omega^{\omega^{\zeta_0+1}} = \omega^{\omega^{\zeta_0} \cdot \omega} = \omega^{\zeta_0 \cdot \omega} = (\omega^{\zeta_0})^\omega = \zeta_0^\omega.$$

Suppose we have $\omega^{\omega^{\dots}} = \zeta_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\dots}}$.

We must prove the equality for n+1, which can be written $\omega^{\omega^{\dots}} = \zeta_0^{\zeta_0^{\dots}}$.

We have $\omega^{\omega^{\dots}} = \omega^{\zeta_0^{\varepsilon_0^{\dots}}}$ (by our hypothesis) $= \omega^{\zeta_0^{1+\zeta_0^{\dots}}}$ (for the same reason than $1 + \omega = \omega$, see above) $= (\omega^{\zeta_0})^{\zeta_0^{\dots}} = (\omega^{\zeta_0})^{\zeta_0^{\zeta_0^{\dots}}} = \zeta_0^{\zeta_0^{\zeta_0^{\dots}}}$. QED.

In RHSZ notation, this corresponds to the equality $H(R_2 R_1 H) H \dots H suc \ 0 = H(R_2 R_1 H)(R_2 R_1 H) \dots (R_2 R_1 H) suc \ 0 = R_1(R_2 R_1 H) suc \ 0$.

10.7 Comparison between Buchholz ψ_0 and Madore's ψ

These ordinal collapsing function are very similar. In both cases, we define a set containing all ordinals which can be built from some starting ordinals and some operations, and we consider the least ordinal which does not belong to this set. But the starting

ordinals are not the same : 1 for Buchholz ψ_0 , and 0, 1, ω and Ω for Madore's ψ . The operations also differs : only addition for Buchholz, but addition, multiplication and exponentiation for Madore.

Here is a comparison of some of the main features of these two ordinal collapsing functions.

Buchholz ψ_0	$C_0(\alpha)$ generated by 1, +, $\psi_\mu(\xi)$ where $\mu < \omega$ and $\xi < \alpha$	$\psi_0(0) = 1$	$\psi_0(1) = \omega$	$\psi_0(\alpha + 1)$ $= \psi_0(\alpha) \cdot \omega$	$\psi_0(\alpha + \beta)$ $= \psi_0(\alpha) \cdot \omega^\beta$	$\psi_0(\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$ if $\alpha < \varepsilon_0$	$\psi_0(\Omega) = \varepsilon_0$
Madore's ψ	$C(\alpha)$ generated by 0, 1, ω , Ω , +, \cdot , \exp , $\psi(\xi)$ where $\xi < \alpha$	$\psi(0) = \varepsilon_0$	$\psi(1) = \varepsilon_1$	$\psi(\alpha + 1)$ $= \sup\{\psi(\alpha)^{\overset{\psi(\alpha)}{\cdot}}\}$		$\psi(\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha$ if $\alpha < \zeta_0$	$\psi(\Omega) = \zeta_0$

10.8 A recursively defined rationalized variant of Madore's ψ function

We have already seen that the recursive approach of ordinal collapsing functions consists, instead of defining ordinal collapsing functions by taking the least ordinal that cannot be constructed using a given set of ordinals and operations, in defining it recursively according to the value of the variable.

Let us call ψ' a new collapsing function similar to Madore's ψ .

We have already seen this function in the section concerning the recursive approach of ordinal collapsing functions, but we will now see how we can retrieve it from Madore's ψ , with the goal of producing a rationalized variant of it.

First, to be consistent with the rationalized functions previously defined, we would like to have $\psi'(\alpha) = \varepsilon'_\alpha$ instead of $\psi(\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha$.

So $\psi'(0)$ must be equal to ε'_0 . As we have $\varepsilon'_{\alpha+1} = \sup\{\varepsilon'_\alpha, \varepsilon'^{\varepsilon'_\alpha}_\alpha, \varepsilon'^{\varepsilon'_\alpha}_{\varepsilon'_\alpha}, \dots\}$, it is consistent to define $\varepsilon'_0 = \omega$, because $\varepsilon'_1 = \varepsilon_0 = \sup\{\omega, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots\}$.

Then we can define $\psi'(\alpha + 1)$ as the limit or least upper bound of $\psi'(\alpha), \psi'(\alpha)^{\psi'(\alpha)}, \psi'(\alpha)^{\psi'(\alpha)^{\psi'(\alpha)}}, \dots$

Then we can define canonically $\psi'(\lim(f)) = \lim(n \mapsto \psi'(f(n)))$.

Then we must define ψ' for the case when it collapses an uncountable ordinal, in such a way that $\psi'(f(\Omega))$, for f correctly defined, is the least fixed point of $\psi' \circ f$, which can be written for example $\sup\{1, \psi'(f(1)), \psi'(f(\psi'(f(1))))\}$ as we previously saw. In RHS0 notation, if we write $\Omega = H_1 \text{ suc } 0$, this gives : $\psi(H_1 x y z_1 \dots z_n) = H[\psi([\text{suc} \rightarrow x, 0 \rightarrow y] \bullet z_1 \dots z_n)](\text{suc } 0)$.

In summary, we can define the ψ' function by :

- $\psi'(0) = \omega$
- $\psi'(\alpha + 1) = \sup\{\psi'(\alpha), \psi'(\alpha)^{\psi'(\alpha)}, \psi'(\alpha)^{\psi'(\alpha)^{\psi'(\alpha)}}, \dots\}$
- $\psi'(\lim(f)) = \lim(n \mapsto \psi'(f(n)))$
- $\psi'(H_1 x y z_1 \dots z_n) = H[\psi'([\text{suc} \rightarrow x, 0 \rightarrow y] \bullet z_1 \dots z_n)](\text{suc } 0)$

Note that this definition does not give exactly the same function as Madore's ψ , apart from the shift of one unit for finite values due to rationalization : for example, we have $\psi(\zeta_0 + 1) = \zeta_0$, but $\psi'(\zeta_0 + 1) = \sup\{\psi'(\zeta_0), \psi'(\zeta_0)^{\psi'(\zeta_0)}, \psi'(\zeta_0)^{\psi'(\zeta_0)^{\psi'(\zeta_0)}}, \dots\} = \varepsilon'_{\zeta_0+1} = \varepsilon'_{\zeta'_1+1}$.

Something similar can be done with Buchholz function, defining :

- $\psi'(0) = 1$
- $\psi'(\alpha + 1) = \psi'(\alpha) \cdot \omega$
- $\psi'(\lim(f)) = \lim(n \mapsto \psi'(f(n)))$
- $\psi'(H_1 x y z_1 \dots z_n) = H[\psi'([\text{suc} \rightarrow x, 0 \rightarrow y] \bullet z_1 \dots z_n)](\text{suc } 0)$

As we have already seen, other ordinal collapsing functions can be defined similarly by defining the value of $\psi'(0)$ and of $\psi'(\alpha + 1) = f(\psi'(\alpha))$ for some function f .

Here is a Scheme implementation of the ψ' variant of Madore's ψ function :

```

(define mp (lambda (x)
  (if (pair? x)
    (if (eq? (car x) ':) (list (mp (cdr x)))
      (cons (mp (car x)) (mp (cdr x))) )
    x ) ))

(eval (mp '(begin

(define last : lambda (l) :
  if (not : pair? l) l :
  if (not : pair? : cdr l) l :
  last : cdr l)

(define butlast : lambda (l) :
  if (not : pair? l) '() :
  if (not : pair? : cdr l) '() :
  cons (car l) : butlast : cdr l)

(define length : lambda (l) :
  if (not : pair? l) 0 :
  + 1 : length : cdr l)

(define r2a : lambda (l) :
  if (not : pair? l) l :
  if (pair? : car l) (r2 : append (car l) : cdr l) :
  if (eq? (car l) 'suc) (cdr l) :
  if (eq? (car l) 'H) (cons (cadr l) : cons (list (cadr l) (caddr l)) : cddddr l) :
  if (eq? (car l) 'R1) (cons (cadr l) : cons (cadr l) : cddr l) :
  if (eq? (car l) 'R2) (cons (cadr l) : cons (caddr l) : cons (cadr l) : cons (caddr l) : cddddr l)
  l)

(define r : lambda (l) :
  if (not : pair? l) l :
  let ((l1 (map r l))) :
  if (pair? : car l1) (append (car l1) (cdr l1))
  l1)

(define r2 : lambda (l) : r : r2a l)

(define loopr2 : lambda (n l) :
  begin (display n) (display " ") (display l) (newline) :
  if (equal? n 0) '() :
  loopr2 (- n 1) (r2 l))

(loopr2 10 '(R1 H suc 0))

(define simplif : lambda (x) :
  if (not : pair? x) x :
  if (not : pair? : cdr x) (car x)
  x)

(define subst : lambda (s z a) :
  if (equal? '0 a) z :
  if (equal? 'suc a) s :
  if (not : pair? a) a :

```

```

cons (subst s z : car a) (subst s z : cdr a))

(define format : lambda (a) :
  if (not : pair? a) a :
  if (not : pair? : car a) (cons (car a) : map format : cdr a) :
  format : append (car a) (cdr a))

(define memo '())

(define find : lambda (a memo) :
  if (not : pair? memo) '#f :
  if (equal? a : caar memo) (cdar memo) :
  find a : cdr memo)

(define psi : lambda (a) :
  let ((m : find a memo)) :
  if m m :
  let ((b : psi1 a))
    (if (or (not : pair? a) (not : pair? : car a))
      (begin
        (display "psi ") (display : format a) (display " = ") (display : format b) (newline)
;      (read-char)
;      (newline)
      ))
    (set! memo : cons (cons a b) memo)
    b)

(define psi1 : lambda (a) :
  if (not : pair? a) a :
  if (pair? : car a) (psi : myappend (car a) (cdr a)) :
  if (equal? (car a) '0) '(H suc 0) :
  if (equal? 'suc : car a)
    (let ((b : psi : cdr a)) :
      if (and (equal? '(0) : last b)
        (equal? '(suc) : last : butlast b))
        (list 'R1 (simplif : butlast : butlast b) 'suc '0)
        (list 'psi a)) :
  if (and (equal? 'H : car a) (>= (length a) 3))
    (limit (psi : cddr a)
      (psi : cdr a)
      (psi : cons (cadr a) : cons (list (cadr a) (caddr a)) : cdddr a)) :
  if (and (equal? 'R1 : car a) (>= (length a) 2))
    (limit (psi : cddr a)
      (psi : cdr a)
      (psi : cons (cadr a) : cdr a)) :
  if (and (equal? 'R2 : car a) (>= (length a) 3))
    (limit (psi : cdddr a)
      (psi : cdr a)
      (psi : cons (cadr a) : cons (caddr a) : cdr a)) :
  if (and (equal? 'H1 : car a) (>= (length a) 3))
    (let ((b : psi : cdr a)) :
      limit '(suc 0)
        b
        (psi : myappend (subst (cadr a) (caddr a) b) : cdddr a))
  a)

```

```

(define myappend : lambda (a b) :
  if (not : pair? a) (cons a b) :
  append a b)

(define commonstart : lambda (a b) :
  if (not : pair? a) (list '() a b) :
  if (not : pair? b) (list '() a b) :
  if (not : equal? (car a) (car b)) (list '() a b) :
  let ((c : commonstart (cdr a) (cdr b))) :
  let ((com : car c) (dif1 : cadr c) (dif2 : caddr c)) :
  list (cons (car a) com) dif1 dif2)

(define limit : lambda (a b c) :
  if (and (equal? (cdr a) (caddr b))
    (equal? (cdr a) (caddr c))
    (equal? a (cdr b))
    (equal? (car b) (car c))
    (equal? (cadr c) (list (car b) (cadr b))))
    (cons 'H b) :
  if (and (equal? a (myappend (cadr b) (caddr b)))
    (equal? (car b) (car c))
    (equal? (cadr c) (list (car b) (cadr b)))
    (equal? (caddr b) (caddr c)))
    (cons 'H b) :
  let ((d : commonstart b c)) :
  let ((com : car d) (difb : cadr d) (difc : caddr d)) :
  if (and (pair? com)
    (equal? com : butlast : car difc)
    (equal? (car difb) (car : last : car difc))
    (equal? a : myappend (car difb) (cdr difb))
    (equal? (cdr difb) (cdr difc)))
    (cons 'H : cons com difb) :
  if (and (equal? a : cdr b)
    (equal? b : cdr c)
    (equal? (car b) (car c))
    (equal? (car c) (cadr c)))
    (cons 'R1 b) :
  if (and (equal? a : myappend (cadr b) : caddr b)
    (equal? b : cdr c)
    (equal? (car c) (cadr c)))
    (cons 'R1 b) :
  if (and (equal? a difb)
    (equal? difc : cons com difb))
    (cons 'R1 : cons com difb) :
  if (and (equal? a : caddr b)
    (equal? b : caddr c)
    (equal? (car b) (car c))
    (equal? (cadr b) (cadr c))
    (equal? (car c) (caddr c))
    (equal? (cadr c) (caddr c)))
    (cons 'R2 b) :
  list 'limit a b c)

;(display : psi '(H H suc 0))
(display : psi '(H1 suc 0))
;(display : psi '(H1 suc (H1 suc 0)))

```

(newline)

)))

C implementation :

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

typedef long expr;

int ispair (expr x)
{
    return x < 0;
}

int isatom (expr x)
{
    return x >= 0;
}

expr atom (char *s)
{
    expr x;
    x = *(int *)s;
    if (x < 0 || strlen(s) >= sizeof(expr))
    {
        printf ("Bad name for atom: %s\n", s);
        exit(0);
    }
    return x;
}

struct pair
{
    expr fst, snd;
};

#define SIZE 10000000

int npairs = 0;

struct pair mem[SIZE];

expr fst (expr x)
{
    if (!ispair(x))
    {
        printf ("fst of not pair 0x%X\n", x);
        exit(0);
    }
    return mem[-x-1].fst;
}
```

```

expr snd (expr x)
{
    if (!ispair(x))
    {
        printf ("fst of not pair 0x%X\n", x);
        exit(0);
    }
    return mem[-x-1].snd;
}

expr newpair (expr x, expr y)
{
    if (npairs >= SIZE)
    {
        printf("Overflow\n");
        exit(0);
    }
    mem[npairs].fst = x;
    mem[npairs].snd = y;
    npairs++;
    return -npairs;
}

expr findpair (expr x, expr y)
{
    int i;
    for (i=0; i<npairs; i++)
    {
        if (mem[i].fst == x && mem[i].snd == y)
            return -i-1;
    }
    return 0;
}

expr pair (expr x, expr y)
{
    expr z;
    z = findpair (x, y);
    if (z)
        return z;
    else
        return newpair (x, y);
}

expr eq (expr x, expr y)
{
    return x == y;
}

struct charwriter
{
    int (*f) (struct charwriter *, char);
};

int writechar (struct charwriter *cw, char c)

```

```

{
    return (*(cw->f))(cw,c);
}

void writeexpr (struct charwriter *cw, expr x)
{
    char s[sizeof(expr)+1];
    int i;
    if (isatom(x))
    {
        for (i=0; i<sizeof(s); i++)
            s[i] = 0;
        memcpy (s, &x, sizeof(s));
        for (i=0; s[i]; i++)
            writechar(cw,s[i]);
    }
    else
    {
        writechar(cw,'-');
        writeexpr(cw,fst(x));
        writechar(cw,' ');
        writeexpr(cw,snd(x));
    }
}

}

expr zero, suc, H, H1, R1, R2, R3, Psi, lim, w;

#define ap(x,y) pair(x,y)
#define fnc(x) fst(x)
#define arg(x) snd(x)
#define isap(x) ispair(x)
#define isnap(x) isatom(x)

init ()
{
    zero = atom("0");
    suc = atom("suc");
    H = atom("H");
    H1 = atom("H1");
    R1 = atom("R1");
    R2 = atom("R2");
    R3 = atom("R3");
    Psi = atom("psi");
    lim = atom("lim");
    w = ap(ap(H,suc),zero);
}

expr first (expr a)
{
    if (isnap(a))
        return ap(atom("fst"),a);
    if (isap(fnc(a)) && eq(H,fnc(fnc(a))))
        return arg(a);
    if (isap(fnc(a)) && eq(R1,fnc(fnc(a))))
        return arg(a);
}

```



```

    if (isap(fnc(a)) && isap(fnc(fnc(a))) && eq(R2,fnc(fnc(fnc(a)))))
        return arg(a);
    return ap(first(fnc(a)),arg(a));
}

```

```

expr next (expr a)
{
    if (isnap(a))
        return ap(atom("nxt"),a);
    if (isap(fnc(a)) && eq(H,fnc(fnc(a))))
        return ap(fnc(a),ap(arg(fnc(a)),arg(a)));
    if (eq(R1,fnc(a)))
        return ap(ap(R1,arg(a)),arg(a));
    if (isap(fnc(a)) && eq(R2,fnc(fnc(a))))
        return ap(ap(a,arg(fnc(a))),arg(a));
    return ap(next(fnc(a)),arg(a));
}

```

```

expr subst (expr s, expr z, expr a)
{
    if (eq(zero,a))
        return z;
    if (eq(suc,a))
        return s;
    if (isnap(a))
        return a;
    return ap(subst(s,z,fnc(a)),subst(s,z,arg(a)));
}

```

```

expr limit (expr a, expr b, expr c)
{
    if (isap(b) &&
        eq(a,arg(b)) &&
        isap(c) &&
        eq(fnc(b),fnc(c)) &&
        eq(b,arg(c)))
        return ap(ap(H,fnc(b)),a);
    if (isap(b) &&
        eq(a,arg(b)) &&
        isap(c) &&
        eq(a,arg(c)) &&
        isap(fnc(c)) &&
        eq(fnc(b),fnc(fnc(c))) &&
        eq(fnc(b),arg(fnc(c))))
        return ap(ap(R1,fnc(b)),a);
    if (isap(b) &&
        eq(a,arg(b)) &&
        isap(c) &&
        eq(a,arg(c)) &&
        isap(fnc(c)) &&
        isap(fnc(fnc(c))) &&
        eq(fnc(b),fnc(fnc(fnc(c)))) &&
        isap(fnc(b)) &&
        eq(arg(fnc(b)),arg(fnc(c))) &&
        eq(fnc(fnc(b)),arg(fnc(fnc(c)))))
        return ap(ap(ap(R2,fnc(fnc(b))),arg(fnc(b))),a);
}

```

```

    if (isap(a) && isap(b) && isap(c) &&
        eq(arg(a),arg(b)) && eq(arg(b),arg(c)))
        return ap(limit(fnc(a),fnc(b),fnc(c)),arg(a));
    return ap(ap(ap(lim,a),b),c);
}

#define MAXMEMO SIZE

int nmemo = 0;

struct item
{
    expr arg;
    expr val;
};

struct item memo[MAXMEMO];

int count = 0;

int cwf_putchar (struct charwriter *cw, char c)
{
    return putchar(c);
}

expr psi2 (int level, expr a);

expr psi (expr a)
{
    return psi2(0,a);
}

expr psi1 (int level, expr a);

expr psi2 (int level, expr a)
{
    expr b;
    struct charwriter cw;
    int i;
    for (i=0; i<nmemo; i++)
    {
        if (eq(a,memo[i].arg))
            return memo[i].val;
    }
    b = psi1(level,a);
    memo[nmemo].arg = a;
    memo[nmemo].val = b;
    nmemo++;
    cw.f = cwf_putchar;
    count++;

    printf ("\n %6d %3d ", count, level);
    writeexpr (&cw, a);
    printf ("\n          ");
    writeexpr (&cw, b);
    printf ("\n");
}

```

```

    return b;
}

expr psi1 (int level, expr a)
{
    expr b, c, d;
    if (eq(zero,a))
        return w;
    if (isnap(a))
        return ap(Psi,a);
    if (eq(suc,fnc(a)))
    {
        expr c;
        c = psi2(level+1,arg(a));
        if (isap(c) && eq(zero,arg(c)) && isap(fnc(c)) && eq(suc,arg(fnc(c))))
            return ap(ap(R1,fnc(fnc(c))),suc,zero);
    }
    if (isnap(fnc(a)))
        return ap(Psi,a);
    if (eq(H1,fnc(fnc(a))))
    {
        expr b, c, d;
        b = ap(suc,zero);
        c = psi2(level+1,ap(arg(fnc(a)),arg(a)));
        d = psi2(level+1,subst(arg(fnc(a)),arg(a),c));
        return limit(b,c,d);
    }
    /*if (eq(H,fnc(fnc(a))))
        return limit (
            psi(arg(a)),
            psi(ap(arg(fnc(a)),arg(a))),
            psi(ap(arg(fnc(a)),ap(arg(fnc(a)),arg(a))))
        );*/
    //return limit (psi2(level+1,first(a)), psi2(level+1,first(next(a))), psi2(level+1,first(next(next(a)))));
    b = psi2(level+1,first(a));
    c = psi2(level+1,first(next(a)));
    d = psi2(level+1,first(next(next(a))));
    return limit(b,c,d);
    //return ap(Psi,a);
}

dump ()
{
    int i;
    for (i=0; i<npairs; i++)
    {
        printf(" %4d %08X : %08X %08X \n", i, -i-1, mem[i].fst, mem[i].snd);
    }
}

main ()
{
    struct charwriter cw;
    cw.f = cwf_putchar;

```

```

printf(" %d ", sizeof(expr));
expr x;
x = pair (pair (atom("abc"), atom("def")), atom("ghi"));
// x = pair (atom("abc"), atom("def"));
printf("x = %d\n",x);
printf("mem: %X %X\n", mem[0].fst, mem[0].snd);
writeexpr(&cw,x);

printf("\n");

init();

expr a, b, c, d, f;
a = ap(ap(H,suc),zero);
b = next(a);
printf ("b = ");
writeexpr(&cw,b);
printf("\n");

a = ap(ap(ap(R1,H),suc),zero);
b = first(next(next(a)));
printf ("b = ");
writeexpr(&cw,b);
printf("\n");

a = ap(ap(ap(ap(R2,R1),H),suc),zero);
b = first(next(next(a)));
printf ("b = ");
writeexpr(&cw,b);
printf("\n");

x = atom("x");
f = atom("f");
a = x;
b = ap(f,a);
c = ap(f,b);
d = limit(a,b,c);
printf ("d = ");
writeexpr(&cw,d);
printf("\n");
//a = ap(suc,ap(suc,zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(H,H),suc),zero);
//a = ap(ap(ap(R1,H),suc),zero);

a = ap(ap(H1,suc),zero);

//a = ap(ap(ap(R1,H),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(H1,suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(suc,ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(ap(R2,R1),H),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(R1,H),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(H,ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),suc)),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(R1,H),ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),suc)),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));

//a = ap(ap(ap(H,ap(R1,H)),ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),suc)),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));

```

```

//a = ap(ap(ap(H,ap(H,ap(R1,H))),ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),suc)),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(ap(H,H),ap(R1,H)),ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),suc)),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(ap(R1,H),ap(R1,H)),ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),suc)),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(R1,ap(R1,H)),ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),suc)),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),suc)),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(H,ap(ap(H,R1),H)),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(ap(ap(H,R1),H),ap(ap(H,R1),H)),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(R1,ap(ap(H,R1),H)),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(ap(H,R1),ap(ap(H,R1),H)),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(ap(H,ap(H,R1)),H),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(ap(ap(H,H),R1),H),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));
//a = ap(ap(ap(ap(ap(R1,H),R1),H),suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));

//a = ap(ap(H1,suc),ap(ap(H1,suc),zero));

b = psi(a);
//dump();
printf ("\na=%X b=%X\n",a,b);
printf("psi ");
writeexpr(&cw,a);
printf (" = ");
writeexpr(&cw,b);
printf("\n");

printf("npairs = %d\n", npairs);
}

```

10.9 Feferman θ function

Feferman's θ -functions constitute a hierarchy of single-argument functions $\theta_\alpha : \text{On} \mapsto \text{On}$ for $\alpha \in \text{On}$. [4] It is often considered a two-argument function with $\theta_\alpha(\beta)$ written as $\theta\alpha\beta$. It is defined like so:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0(\alpha, \beta) &= \beta \cup \{0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_\omega\} \\
C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) &= \{\gamma + \delta, \theta_\xi(\eta) \mid \gamma, \delta, \xi, \eta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta); \xi < \alpha\} \\
C(\alpha, \beta) &= \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n(\alpha, \beta) \\
\theta_\alpha(\beta) &= \min\{\gamma \mid \gamma \notin C(\alpha, \gamma) \wedge \forall \delta < \beta : \theta_\alpha(\delta) < \gamma\}
\end{aligned}$$

Informally:

An ordinal β is considered α -critical iff it cannot be constructed with the following elements: all ordinals less than β , all ordinals in the set $\{0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_\omega\}$, the operation $+$, applications of θ_ξ for $\xi < \alpha$. θ_α is the enumerating function for all α -critical ordinals.

The Feferman theta function is considered an extension of the two-argument Veblen function — for $\alpha < \Gamma_0$, $\theta_\alpha(\beta) = \varphi_\alpha(\beta)$. For this reason, φ may be used interchangeably with θ for $\alpha < \Gamma_0$. Because of the restriction of $\xi \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)$ imposed in the definition of $C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$, which makes θ_{Γ_0} never used in the calculation of C set when $\alpha < \Omega$, θ function does not grow until $\alpha < \Omega$. This results in $\theta_\Omega(0) = \Gamma_0$ while $\varphi_\Omega(0) = \Omega$. The value of $\theta_\Omega(0) = \Gamma_0$ can be used above Ω because of the definition of C_0 which includes $\Omega = \omega_1$. The supremum of the range of the function is the Takeuti-Feferman-Buchholz ordinal $\theta_{\varepsilon_{\Omega\omega+1}}(0)$. Buchholz discusses a set he calls $\theta(\omega+1)$, which is the set of all ordinals describable with $\{0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_\omega\}$ and finite applications of $+$ and θ .

Below you can see rules to assign fundamental sequences for the Feferman theta-function at least up to Large Veblen ordinal

(they are same as rules for finitary/transfinitary Veblen function from previous post , but I rewrote them for the application for theta-function). Here theta-function is considered as a two-argument function with $\theta_\xi(\gamma)$ written as $\theta(\xi, \gamma)$.

If a limit ordinal α is written in next normal form

$$\alpha = \theta(\xi_1, \gamma_1) + \theta(\xi_2, \gamma_2) + \dots + \theta(\xi_k, \gamma_k),$$

where

$$\theta(\xi_1, \gamma_1) \geq \theta(\xi_2, \gamma_2) \geq \dots \geq \theta(\xi_k, \gamma_k),$$

$$\xi_i = \Omega^{\beta_{i,1}} \cdot \alpha_{i,1} + \Omega^{\beta_{i,2}} \cdot \alpha_{i,2} + \dots + \Omega^{\beta_{i,n_i}} \cdot \alpha_{i,n_i} \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ where}$$

$$\beta_{i,1} > \beta_{i,2} > \dots > \beta_{i,n_i} \geq 0,$$

$$\alpha_{i,j} \geq 1 \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\},$$

n_i is a non-negative integer,

$\theta(\xi_k, \gamma_k)$ is a limit ordinal,

$$\beta_{i,j}, \alpha_{i,j}, \gamma_i < \theta(\xi_i, \gamma_i) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\},$$

k is a positive integer,

$$\text{then } \alpha[n] = \theta(\xi_1, \gamma_1) + \theta(\xi_2, \gamma_2) + \dots + \theta(\xi_k, \gamma_k)[n]$$

If write a limit ordinal as $\theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma)$ where dots \dots denote $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Omega^{\beta_i} \cdot \alpha_i$,

then

1) if $k = 0$ then $\theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma) = \theta(0, \gamma)$ and in this case:

$$1.1) \theta(0, \gamma) = \omega^\gamma,$$

$$1.2) \theta(0, 0) = \omega^0 = 1,$$

$$1.3) \theta(0, \gamma)[n] = \theta(0, \gamma - 1) \cdot n = \omega^{\gamma-1} n \text{ if } \gamma \text{ is a successor ordinal,}$$

$$1.4) \theta(0, \gamma)[n] = \theta(0, \gamma[n]) = \omega^{\gamma[n]} \text{ if } \gamma \text{ is a limit ordinal,}$$

$$1.5) (\theta(0, \gamma_1) + \dots + \theta(0, \gamma_k))[n] = \theta(0, \gamma_1) + \dots + \theta(0, \gamma_k)[n], \text{ where}$$

$$\gamma_1 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_k \geq 1,$$

$$\gamma_m < \theta(0, \gamma_m) \text{ for all } m \in \{1, \dots, k\},$$

2) if $\beta_k = 0$ then $\Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k = \alpha_k$ and in this case:

$$2.1) \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, 0)[0] = 0$$

$$\text{and } \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, 0)[n+1] = \theta(\dots + \alpha_k - 1, \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, 0)[n]) \text{ if } \alpha_k \text{ is a successor ordinal,}$$

$$2.2) \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, \gamma + 1)[0] = \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, \gamma) + 1$$

$$\text{and } \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, \gamma + 1)[n+1] = \theta(\dots + \alpha_k - 1, \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, \gamma + 1)[n]) \text{ if } \alpha_k \text{ is a successor ordinal,}$$

$$2.3) \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, \gamma)[n] = \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, \gamma[n]) \text{ if } \gamma \text{ is a limit ordinal,}$$

$$2.4) \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, 0)[n] = \theta(\dots + \alpha_k[n], 0) \text{ if } \alpha_k \text{ is a limit ordinal,}$$

$$2.5) \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, \gamma + 1)[n] = \theta(\dots + \alpha_k[n], \theta(\dots + \alpha_k, \gamma)) \text{ if } \alpha_k \text{ is a limit ordinal,}$$

3) if $\beta_k > 0$ then:

$$3.1) \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, 0)[0] = 0$$

$$\text{and } \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, 0)[n+1] = \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot (\alpha_k - 1) + \Omega^{\beta_k-1} \cdot (\theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, 0)[n]), 0)$$

if α_k and β_k are successor ordinals,

$$3.2) \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma)[0] = \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma - 1) + 1$$

$$\text{and } \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma)[n+1] = \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot (\alpha_k - 1) + \Omega^{\beta_k-1} \cdot (\theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma)[n]), 0)$$

if α_k and β_k are successor ordinals,

$$3.3) \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma)[n] = \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma[n]) \text{ if } \gamma \text{ is a limit ordinal,}$$

$$3.4) \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, 0)[n] = \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot (\alpha_k[n]), 0) \text{ if } \alpha_k \text{ is a limit ordinal and } \beta_k \text{ is a successor ordinal,}$$

$$3.5) \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma)[n] = \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot (\alpha_k[n]) + \Omega^{\beta_k-1} \cdot (\theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma - 1) + 1), 0)$$

if α_k is a limit ordinal, β_k and γ are successor ordinals,

$$3.6) \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, 0)[n] = \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot (\alpha_k - 1) + \Omega^{\beta_k[n]}, 0) \text{ if } \beta_k \text{ is a limit ordinal and } \alpha_k \text{ is a successor ordinal,}$$

$$3.7) \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma)[n] = \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot (\alpha_k - 1) + \Omega^{\beta_k[n]} \cdot (\theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma - 1) + 1), 0)$$

if β_k is a limit ordinal, α_k and γ are successor ordinals,

$$3.8) \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, 0)[n] = \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot (\alpha_k[n]), 0) \text{ if } \beta_k \text{ and } \alpha_k \text{ are limit ordinals,}$$

$$3.9) \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma)[n] = \theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot (\alpha_k[n]) + \Omega^{\beta_k[n]} \cdot (\theta(\dots + \Omega^{\beta_k} \cdot \alpha_k, \gamma - 1) + 1), 0)$$

if β_k and α_k are limit ordinals and γ is a successor ordinal.

$$\text{Large Veblen ordinal } \theta(\Omega^\Omega, 0)[0] = 0 \text{ and } \theta(\Omega^\Omega, 0)[n+1] = \theta(\Omega^{\theta(\Omega^\Omega, 0)[n]}, 0).$$

Note: $\theta(\xi, 0)$ can be abbreviated as $\theta(\xi)$.

References :

http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/Ordinal_notation

http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/User_blog:Denis_Maksudov/Fundamental_sequences_for_the_theta-function

10.10 Hypcos θ function

θ function is a binary function. It's defined as follows:

- $C_0(\alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma | \gamma < \beta\} \cup \{0\}$.
- $C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma + \delta | \gamma, \delta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)\} \cup \{\theta(\gamma, \delta) | \gamma < \alpha \& \gamma, \delta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)\} \cup \{\Omega_c | c \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)\}$.
- $C(\alpha, \beta) = \cup_{n < \omega} C_n(\alpha, \beta)$
- $\theta(\alpha, \beta) = \min\{c | (c \in C(\alpha, \gamma) \& (\forall \delta < \beta : \gamma > \theta(\alpha, \delta)))\}$
where $\Omega_0 = 0$ and Ω_a represents the a-th uncountable ordinal.

It means that $\theta(\alpha, \beta)$ is the $(1 + \beta)$ -th ordinal such that it cannot be built from ordinals less than it by addition, applying $\theta(\delta, \dots)$ where $\delta < \alpha$ and getting an uncountable cardinal.

It seems that $\theta(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha, \beta)$ below Γ_0 , making θ function an extension of φ function. Even $\theta(\Gamma_0, \beta) = \varphi(\Gamma_0, \beta)$ is true.

Other important values are :

- $\theta(\Omega, \alpha) = \Gamma_\alpha$
- $\theta(\Omega^\omega, 0) = \text{small Veblen ordinal}$
- $\theta(\Omega^\Omega, 0) = \text{large Veblen ordinal}$
- $\theta(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1}, 0) = \text{Bachmann Howard ordinal}$

Reference: <https://stepstowardinfinity.wordpress.com/2015/05/04/ordinal2/>

10.11 Deedlit's extension of hierarchy of ϑ -functions with φ and Ω_α

10.11.1 Definition

- $C_0(\nu, \alpha, \beta) = \beta \cup \Omega_\nu \cup \{0\}$
- $C_{n+1}(\nu, \alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma + \delta, \varphi(\gamma, \delta), \Omega_\gamma, \vartheta_\gamma(\eta) : \gamma, \delta, \eta \in C_n(\nu, \alpha, \beta); \eta < \alpha\}$
- $C(\nu, \alpha, \beta) = \cup_{n < \omega} C_n(\nu, \alpha, \beta)$
- $\vartheta_\nu(\alpha) = \min(\{\beta < \Omega_{\nu+1} : C(\nu, \alpha, \beta) \cap \Omega_{\nu+1} \subseteq \beta \wedge \alpha \in C(\nu, \alpha, \beta)\} \cup \{\Omega_{\nu+1}\})$

10.11.2 Standard form

- If $\alpha = 0$, then the standard form for α is 0.
- If α is not additively principal, then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$, where the α_i are principal ordinals with $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$, and the α_i are expressed in standard form.
- If α is an additively principal ordinal but not a strongly critical ordinal, then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \varphi(\beta, \gamma)$ where $\gamma < \alpha$ where β and γ are expressed in standard form.
- If α is of the form Ω_β , then Ω_β is the standard form for α .
- If α is a strongly critical ordinal but not of the form Ω_β , then α is expressible in the form $\vartheta_\nu(\gamma)$. Then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \vartheta_\nu(\gamma)$ where γ and ν are expressed in standard form.

10.12 Fundamental sequences

For ordinals $\alpha < \vartheta(\Omega_{\Omega_{\dots}})$, written in normal form, fundamental sequences are defined as follows:

- If $\alpha = 0$, then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = 0$ and α has fundamental sequence the empty set.
- If $\alpha = \varphi(0, 0) = 1$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = 1$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$
- If $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$, then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\alpha_n)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + (\alpha_n[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(\beta, \gamma)$ where γ is a limit ordinal then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\gamma)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \varphi(\beta, \gamma[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(0, \gamma + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \varphi(0, \gamma) \cdot \eta$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(\beta + 1, 0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \varphi(\beta, \alpha[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(\beta + 1, \gamma + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = \varphi(\beta + 1, \gamma) + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \varphi(\beta, \alpha[\eta])$

- If $\alpha = \varphi(\beta, 0)$ where β is a limit ordinal then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \varphi(\beta[\eta], 0)$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(\beta, \gamma + 1)$ where β is a limit ordinal then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \varphi(\beta[\eta], \varphi(\beta, \gamma) + 1)$
- If $\alpha = \Omega_{\beta+1}$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \Omega_{\beta+1}$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \eta$
- If $\alpha = \Omega_\beta$ where β is a limit ordinal then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \Omega_{\beta[\eta]}$
- If $\alpha = \vartheta_\nu(\beta + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = \vartheta_\nu(\beta) + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \varphi(\alpha[\eta], 0)$
- If $\alpha = \vartheta_\nu(\beta)$ where $\omega \leq \text{cof}(\beta) \leq \Omega_\nu$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \vartheta_\nu(\beta[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \vartheta_\nu(\beta)$ where $\omega \leq \text{cof}(\beta) = \Omega_{\mu+1} > \Omega_\nu$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \vartheta_\nu(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$ with $\gamma[0] = \Omega_\mu$ and $\gamma[\eta + 1] = \vartheta_\mu(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$

Reference: http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/List_of_systems_of_fundamental_sequences

10.13 Deedlit's extension of hierarchy of ϑ -functions without φ and Ω_α

10.13.1 Definition

- $C_0(\alpha, \beta) = \beta$
- $C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma + \delta, \vartheta_\gamma(\eta) : \gamma, \delta, \eta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta); \eta < \alpha\}$
- $C(\alpha, \beta) = \cup_{n < \omega} C_n(\alpha, \beta)$
- $\vartheta_\nu(\alpha) = \min\{\beta : |\omega\beta| = \Omega_\nu; C(\alpha, \beta) \cap \Omega_{\nu+1} \subseteq \beta; \alpha \in C(\alpha, \beta)\}$

10.13.2 Standard form

- If $\alpha = 0$, then the standard form for α is 0.
- If α is not additively principal, then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$, where the α_i are principal ordinals with $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$, and the α_i are expressed in standard form.
- If α is additively principal, then α is expressible in the form $\vartheta_\nu(\gamma)$. Then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \vartheta_\nu(\gamma)$ where γ and ν are expressed in standard form.

10.13.3 Fundamental sequences

For ordinals $\alpha < \vartheta(\Omega_{\Omega_{\dots}})$, written in normal form, fundamental sequences are defined as follows:

- If $\alpha = 0$, then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = 0$ and α has fundamental sequence the empty set.
- If $\alpha = \vartheta_0(0) = 1$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = 1$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$
- If $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$, then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\alpha_n)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + (\alpha_n[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \vartheta_{\beta+1}(0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \Omega_{\beta+1}$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \eta$
- If $\alpha = \vartheta_\beta(0)$ where β is a limit ordinal then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \vartheta_{\beta[\eta]}(0)$
- If $\alpha = \vartheta_\nu(\beta + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \vartheta_\nu(\beta)\eta$
- If $\alpha = \vartheta_\nu(\beta)$ where $\omega \leq \text{cof}(\beta) \leq \Omega_\nu$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \vartheta_\nu(\beta[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \vartheta_\nu(\beta)$ where $\text{cof}(\beta) = \Omega_{\mu+1} > \Omega_\nu$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \vartheta_\nu(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$ with $\gamma[0] = \Omega_\mu$ and $\gamma[\eta + 1] = \vartheta_\mu(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$

Note that these fundamental sequences are the same as those of Buchholz ψ_ν functions.

These fundamental sequences can be reformulated :

- $(0 = 0)$
- $\vartheta_0(0) = 1$
- (standard definition of addition of a limit ordinal)
- $\vartheta_{\beta+1}(0) = \Omega_{\beta+1}$
- $\vartheta_{\text{Lim}_\mu f}(0) = \text{Lim}_\mu(\xi \mapsto \vartheta_{f(\xi)}(0))$
- $\vartheta_\nu(\beta + 1) = \vartheta_\nu(\beta) \cdot \omega$
- $\vartheta_\nu(\text{Lim}_\mu f) = \text{Lim}_\mu(\vartheta_\nu \circ f)$ if $\mu \leq \nu$
- $\vartheta_\nu(\text{Lim}_{\mu+1} f) = \text{lim}(\xi \mapsto \vartheta_\nu(f((\vartheta_\mu \circ f)^\xi(\Omega_\mu)))$ if $\mu + 1 > \nu$

Reference: http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/List_of_systems_of_fundamental_sequences

10.14 Taranovsky's C

$C(a,b)$ is the least element above b that has degree a .

Definition: A degree for a well-ordered set S is a binary relation on S such that :

- Every element $c \in S$ has degree 0_S (the least element of S). 0_S only has degree 0_S .
- For a limit a , c has degree a iff it has every degree less than a .
- For a successor $a' = a+1$, either of the following holds:
 - An element has degree a' iff it is a limit of elements of degree a .
 - There is a limit element $d \neq a$ such that for every c in S , c has degree a' iff it has degree a and either $c \neq d$ or c is a limit of elements of degree a (or both).

Note: The third condition can be equivalently written as $\forall a (C_{a+1} = \lim(C_a) \vee \exists d \in \lim(S) \cap (a+1) C_{a+1} = \lim(C_a) \cup (C_a \cap (d+1)))$, where S is identified with an ordinal (so $a+1$ consists of ordinals $\leq a$), C_a is the set of elements that have degree a , and \lim is limit points.

In other terms : Let η be an ordinal, and let 0_S and let $Ld(a,b)$ be the statement that a is a limit of ordinals c such that $(c,b) \in D$. Let D be the following binary relation over η :

- $\forall a < \eta : (a, 0) \in D$
- $\forall a < \eta : a \neq 0 \Rightarrow (0, a) \notin D$
- $\forall b \in \lim \cup \eta : (a, b) \in D \Leftrightarrow \forall c < b : (a, c) \in D$
- $\forall b : (a, b) \in D \Leftrightarrow Ld(a, b+1) \forall b : (a, b) \in D \Leftrightarrow Ld(a, b+1)$
- $\forall b : \exists d \in \lim \cup \eta : d < b \Rightarrow \forall c : (c, a+1) \in D \Leftrightarrow (c < d \vee Ld(c, b))$

Then $C(a, b) = \min c : c \in \eta \wedge c > b \wedge (c, a) \in D$.

$C(a, b) = b + \omega^a$ iff $C(a, b) \geq a$.

For ordinals in the standard representation written in the postfix form, the comparison is done in the lexicographical order where ' $C' < 0' < \Omega'$ ': For example, $C(C(0,0),0) < C(\Omega,0)$ because $000CC < 0\omega C$. (This does not hold for non-standard representations of ordinals.)

The fundamental sequences of Taranovsky's notation can be easily defined.

Let $L(\alpha)$ be the amount of C 's in standard representation of α , then $\alpha[n] = \max\{\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \wedge L(\beta) \leq L(\alpha) + n\}$.

Here is a summary of the system by Taranovsky (see <https://cs.nyu.edu/pipermail/fom/2012-March/016349.html>) :

I discovered a conjectured ordinal notation system that I conjecture reaches full second order arithmetic. I implemented the system in a python module/program:

<http://web.mit.edu/dmytro/www/other/OrdinalArithmetic.py>

along with ordinal arithmetic operations (addition, multiplication, exponentiation, etc.) and other functions. The ordinal arithmetic functionality is useful even if you are only interested in ordinals below ϵ_0 .

The notation system is simple enough to be defined in full here.

Definition: An ordinal a is 0-built from below from b iff $a \leq b$

a is $n+1$ -built from below from b iff the standard representation of a does not use ordinals above a except in the scope of an ordinal n -built from below from b .

(Note: "in the scope of" means "as a subterm of".)

The n th (n is a positive integer) ordinal notation system is defined as

follows.

Syntax: Two constants (0, W_n) and a binary function C.

Comparison: For ordinals in the standard representation written in the postfix form, the comparison is done in the lexicographical order where ' C ' < ' 0 ' < ' W_n ': For example, $C(C(0,0),0) < C(W_n, 0)$ because 0 0 0 C C < 0 W_n C.

Standard Form:

0, W_n are standard

"C(a, b)" is standard iff

1. "a" and "b" are standard,
2. b is 0 or W_n or "C(c, d)" with $a \leq c$, and
3. a in n-built from below from b.

I conjecture that the strength of the nth ordinal notation system is between $\Pi^1_{n-1}\text{-CA}$ and $\Pi^1_n\text{-CA}_0$, and thus the sum of the order types of these ordinal notation systems is the proof-theoretical ordinal of second order arithmetic.

The full notation system is obtained by combining these notation systems as follows:

Constants 0 and W_i (for every positive integer i), and a binary function C.

$W_i = C(W_{i+1}, 0)$ and the standard form always uses W_i instead of $C(W_{i+1}, 0)$.

To check for standard form and compare ordinals use $W_i = C(W_{i+1}, 0)$ to convert each W to W_n for a single positive integer n (it does not matter which n) and then use the nth ordinal notation system.

To make C a total function for a and b in the notation system (this is not required for standard forms), let $C(a, b)$ be the least ordinal (in the notation system) of degree $\geq a$ above b, where the degree of W_i is W_{i+1} and the degree of $C(c,d)$ is c if "C(c,d)" is the standard form. A polynomial time computation of $C(a, b)$ (that I believe is correct) is included in the program.

To complete ordinal analysis of second order arithmetic, one would need:

- * A canonical assignment of notations to formulas that provably in second order arithmetic denote an ordinal, and such that for every two ordinals/formulas, comparison is provable in second order arithmetic. The idea is that the notation system captures not only provably recursive ordinals of second order arithmetic but all ordinals that have a provable canonical definition in second order arithmetic. For example, W_1 is best assigned to the least admissible ordinal above ω . A partial assignment is in my paper. (It is because of such assignment that I believe that the system reaches full second order arithmetic.)

- * Proof that the system is well-founded and that it has the right strength, etc. (If you do not fully understand the notation system, or if you think that it is not well-founded, let me know.)

Historical Note: In 2005, I discovered the right general form of C, defined a notation system at the level of α -recursively inaccessible ordinals (FOM postings in August 2005), and had an idea for reaching second order arithmetic. In January 2006 (or possibly late 2005), I defined the notation system with W_2 and in 2009 (June 29, 2009 FOM

posting) implemented it is a computer program. This year I defined the key concept -- n-built from below -- that allowed me to complete the full notation system.

Details about the ordinal notation system and its initial segments are in my paper:

<http://web.mit.edu/dmytro/www/other/OrdinalNotation.htm>

Sincerely,
Dmytro Taranovsky

Here are some examples of representations of some ordinals :

- $0 = 0$
- $1 = 0 + \omega^0 = C(0, 0)$
- $2 = 1 + \omega^0 = C(0, 1) = C(0, C(0, 0))$
- $\omega = 0 + \omega^1 = C(1, 0) = C(C(0, 0), 0)$
- $\omega + 1 = \omega + \omega^0 = C(0, \omega) = C(0, C(1, 0))$
- $\omega \cdot 2 = \omega + \omega^1 = C(1, \omega) = C(1, C(1, 0))$
- $\omega^2 = 0 + \omega^2 = C(2, 0)$
- $\omega^\omega = 0 + \omega^\omega = C(\omega, 0) = C(C(1, 0), 0)$
- $\omega^{\omega^\omega} = 0 + \omega^{\omega^\omega} = C(\omega^\omega, 0) = C(C(C(1, 0), 0), 0)$
- $\varepsilon_0 = \varphi(1, 0) = \varphi'(0, 1) = C(\Omega_1, 0)$
- $\varepsilon_1 = \varphi(1, 1) = \varphi'(0, 2) = C(W, C(W, 0))$ (note that the correspondence with φ' is simpler than with φ)
- $\zeta_0 = \varphi(2, 0) = \varphi'(1, 1) = C(C(\Omega_1, \Omega_1), 0) = C(\Omega_1 \cdot 2, 0)$ with $\Omega_1 \cdot 2 = C(\Omega_1, \Omega_1)$
- $\zeta_1 = \varphi(2, 1) = \varphi'(1, 2) = C(\Omega_1 \cdot 2, C(\Omega_1 \cdot 2, 0))$
- $\eta_0 = \varphi(3, 0) = \varphi'(2, 1) = C(\Omega_1 \cdot 3, 0)$ with $\Omega_1 \cdot 3 = C(\Omega_1, C(\Omega_1, \Omega_1))$
- $\Gamma_0 = \varphi(1, 0, 0) = \varphi'(1, 0, 1) = C(C(\Omega_1 \cdot 2, \Omega_1), 0) = C(\Omega_1^2, 0)$ with $\Omega_1^2 = C(\Omega_1 \cdot 2, \Omega_1)$
- $\Gamma_1 = C(\Omega_1^2, C(\Omega_1^2, 0))$
- $\Gamma_\omega = C(\Omega_1^2 + 1, 0)$
- Small Veblen ordinal $= C(\Omega_1^\omega, 0)$
- Large Veblen ordinal $= C(\Omega_1^{\Omega_1}, 0)$
- Bachmann Howard ordinal $= C(C(\Omega_2, \Omega_1), 0)$

Properties :

- $C(\alpha, \beta) = \beta + \omega^\alpha$ if $C(\alpha, \beta) \geq \alpha$
- $C(0, \alpha) = \alpha + 1 = \text{suc}(\alpha)$
- $C(\alpha + 1, \beta) = C(C(0, \alpha), \beta) = [C(\alpha, \bullet)]^\omega(\beta) = H[C(\alpha, \bullet)]\beta$
- $C(1, \alpha) = C(C(0, 0), \alpha) = \alpha + \omega$
- $C(\lim f)\beta = \lim[C(f(\bullet), \beta)]$

- $C(\text{Lim}_1 f, 0) = [C(f(\bullet), 0)]^\omega(0)$

Examples :

- $1 = \omega^0 = C(0, 0)$
- $\omega = C(C(0, 0), 0) = [C(0, \bullet)]^\omega(0) = H[C(0, \bullet)]0 = \text{suc}^\omega(0) = H\text{suc } 0$
- $\omega \cdot 2 = C(C(0, 0), C(C(0, 0), 0)) = [C(C(0, 0), \bullet)]^\omega(0) = [C(0, \bullet)]^\omega(C(C(0, 0), 0)) = \text{suc}^\omega(\omega) = H\text{suc}(H\text{suc } 0)$
- $\omega^2 = C(C(0, C(0, 0)), 0) = [C(C(0, 0), \bullet)]^\omega(0) = [\bullet + \omega]^\omega(0) = H(H\text{suc})0$
- $\omega^\omega = C(C(C(0, 0), 0), 0) = C([C(0, \bullet)]^\omega(0), 0) = C(\omega, 0)$
- $C(\Omega_1, 0) = C(\text{Lim}_1[\bullet], 0) = [C(\bullet, 0)]^\omega(0) = \sup\{0, C(0, 0) = 1 = \omega^0, C(C(0, 0), 0) = \omega = \omega^1, C(C(C(0, 0), 0), 0) = \omega^\omega, \dots\} = \varepsilon_0$
- $C(\Omega_1 \cdot 2, 0) = C(\text{Lim}_1[\Omega + \bullet], 0) = [C(\Omega_1 + \bullet, 0)]^\omega(0) = \sup\{0, C(\Omega_1, 0) = \varepsilon_0, C(\Omega + \varepsilon_0, 0) = \varepsilon_{\varepsilon_0}, \dots\} = \zeta_0$

References :

<http://web.mit.edu/dmytro/www/other/OrdinalNotation.htm>

<https://stepstowardinfinity.wordpress.com/2015/06/22/ordinal3/>

http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/User_blog:Hyp_cos/Fundamental_Sequences_in_Taranovsky%27s_Notation

11 Ordinal trees

Ordinal can also be represented by trees. An example of such a representation is given in :

<http://www.madore.org/~david/math/ordtrees.pdf> .

In this representation, the order on finite rooted trees is recursively defined as follows : $A < B$ if and only if one of this conditions is true :

- There is some immediate subtree B' of B such that $A \leq B'$.
- Every child A' of A satisfies $A' < B$ and the list of children of A is lexicographically less than the list of children of B for the order $<$ with the leftmost children having the most weight.

Trees can also be represented by parenthesized expressions, for example :

- $0 = ()$
- $1 = (())$
- $2 = (((()))$
- $\omega = ((()))$
- $\omega + 1 = (((())))$
- $\omega \cdot 2 = ((()))$
- $\omega \cdot 3 = (((())))$
- $\omega^2 = ((()))$
- $\omega^2 + \omega = (((())))$
- $\omega^2 \cdot 2 = ((()))$
- $\omega^2 \cdot 3 = (((())))$
- $\omega^3 = (((())))$
- $\omega^\omega = (((())))$
- $\omega^{\omega^\omega} = (((())))$
- $\varepsilon_0 = \varphi_1(0) = (((())))$
- $\varepsilon_1 = \varphi_1(1) = (((())))$
- $\varepsilon_2 = \varphi_1(2) = (((())))$
- $\varepsilon_\omega = \varphi_1(\omega) = (((())))$
- $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon_0} = (((())))$
- $\zeta_0 = \varphi_2(0) = (((())))$

- $\Gamma_0 = \varphi(1, 0, 0) = (((()))())$
- $\varphi(1, 0, 0, 0) = ((())())$
- ...

Another example of tree representation is Takeuti ordinal diagrams, see :
https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf_1/euclid.jmsj/1261153819 .

12 An application of ordinals : defining large numbers using the Fast Growing Hierarchy

The Fast Growing Hierarchy is a family of fast growing functions indexed by ordinals f_α which, when applied to a number, give a much greater one, allowing to produce huge numbers.
 It is traditionally defined as follows :

- $f_0(n) = n + 1$
- $f_{\alpha+1}(n) = f_\alpha^n(n)$
- $f_\alpha(n) = f_{\alpha[n]}(n)$ if α is a limit ordinal, where $\alpha[n]$ denotes the n -th element of the fundamental sequence assigned to α .

The first functions of this hierarchy are :

- $f_0(n) = n + 1 = \text{suc } n$
- $f_1(n) = \text{suc}^n(n) = n \cdot 2$
- $f_2(n) = [\bullet \cdot 2]^n(n) = n \cdot 2^n$

But there is a problem with this definition, because the value of $f_\alpha(n)$ depends of the fundamental sequence chosen for α if it is a limit ordinal. Let us consider for example $f_\omega(2)$. If we take the canonical fundamental sequence $\omega[n] = n$, then we get $f_\omega(2) = f_{\omega[2]}(2) = f_2(2) = 2 \cdot 2^2 = 8$. But $\omega[n] = n+1$ is also a valid fundamental sequence for ω . Taking this fundamental sequence gives $f_\omega(2) = f_{\omega[2]}(2) = f_3(2) = [\bullet \cdot 2^\bullet]^2(2) = 2048$.

In fact, the notation $\alpha[n]$ is not rigorous because there are several possible fundamental sequences for a given ordinal α . Instead of writing $\alpha[n] = F(n)$ it would be more rigorous to write $\alpha = \lim F$. One cannot write $\omega[n] = n$ and $\omega[n] = n + 1$ because this implies $n = n+1$, but there is no problem writing $\omega = \lim(n \mapsto n) = \lim(n \mapsto n + 1)$.

So, if we want to define rigorously the Fast Growing Hierarchy, we need to index the functions not by ordinals but by something which look like ordinals but which are considered as different if the fundamental sequences are different. These mathematical objects originally due to Bachmann are called "tree ordinals" (do not confuse with "ordinal trees" previously seen).

13 Tree ordinals

Definitions of tree ordinals can be found in :

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RmuASZSO2s8&t=9s&index=41&list=PL3A50BB9C34AB36B3>
- <http://www.iam.unibe.ch/ltgpub/2011/fab11.pdf>
- Proof and system-reliability

A tree ordinal a belongs to the tree ordinal class Ω_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) if either :

- $a = 0$
- $a = a' + 1$ for some tree ordinal a' belonging to the tree ordinal class Ω_n
- a is a function from Ω_k to Ω_n for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k < n$. In this case, we will say that a is a limit tree ordinal.

Let us first consider Ω_0 . The third case cannot apply ($k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k < 0$), so the definition of Ω_0 is given by the first two cases, which correspond to the inductive definition of the natural numbers, so Ω_0 may be identified to \mathbb{N} or ω .

Next, Ω_1 also includes all natural numbers, and also functions that, to a natural number, associates an element of Ω_1 , or sequences of elements of Ω_1 . For example, the identity function $a[k] = k$ is an element of Ω_1 called ω_0 . The function $b[k] = k+1$ is also an element of Ω_1 , but these two tree ordinals are considered as different tree ordinals, because the functions or sequences are different, even if the associated ordinal (the ordinal which has the corresponding fundamental sequence) is the same for both, the ordinal ω . So Ω_1 can be seen as the class of countable ordinals associated with the choice of a particular fundamental sequence for limit ordinals.

Then it goes on with Ω_2 which includes Ω_0, Ω_1 , and functions from Ω_1 to Ω_2 , like for example ω_1 defined by $\omega_1(a) = a$ where $a \in \Omega_1$, and so on.

There is a correspondence between tree ordinals and ordinals : if we ignore the choice of a particular fundamental sequence of a tree ordinal, we get an ordinal. To any tree ordinal a , we can associate a corresponding ordinal $\alpha = |a|$ obtained by ignoring the choice of particular fundamental sequences, and defined by :

- $|0| = 0$
- $|a + 1| = |a| + 1$
- $|a| = \sup |a[b]|$ if a is a function from Ω_k to Ω_n .

or equivalently

$$|a| = \sup_{b < a} \{|b| + 1\}$$

We can define arithmetical operations on tree ordinals in a way similar to the previously seen definitions for ordinals, replacing $\lim(f)$ by f because the ordinal tree is identified with its fundamental sequence or function.

Using tree ordinals, we can define rigorously the Fast Growing Hierarchy $f_a(n)$ where $a \in \Omega_1$:

- $f_0(n) = n + 1$
- $f_{a+1}(n) = f_a^n(n)$
- $f_a(n) = f_{a[n]}(n)$ if a is a limit tree ordinal, where $a[n]$ denotes the result of the application of the function a to the integer n .

14 Using tree ordinals to define ordinals

Let us define a hierarchy of functions $F_n(a, b)$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \Omega_{n+1}$ and $b \in \Omega_n$, which is an extension of the Fast Growing Hierarchy : for $n = 0$, it corresponds to the Fast Growing Hierarchy : $F_0(a, b) = f_a(b)$ with $a \in \Omega_1$ and $b \in \Omega_0 = \mathbb{N}$, or :

- $F_0(0, b) = b + 1$
- $F_0(a + 1, b) = [F_0(a, \bullet)]^b(b)$
- $F_0(a, b) = F_0(a[b], b)$ if a is a limit tree ordinal

We generalize this definition for $n > 0$:

- $F_n(0, b) = b + 1$
- $F_n(a + 1, b) = [F_n(a, \bullet)]^b(b)$
- $(F_n(a, b))[c] = F_n(a[c], b)$ if a is a function from Ω_k to Ω_{n+1} with $k < n$
- $(F_n(a, b)) = F_n(a[b], b)$ if a is a function from Ω_n to Ω_{n+1}

where the exponentiation of a function to a tree ordinal power is defined by :

- $f^0(a) = a$
- $f^{b+1} = f(f^b(a))$
- $(f^b(a))[c] = f^{b[c]}(a)$ if b is a limit tree ordinal

This hierarchy of functions $F_n(a, b)$ may be used to define ordinals as follows :

- $F_1(0, b) = b + 1 = \text{suc}(b)$
- $F_1(1, b) = \text{suc}^b(b) = b + b = b \cdot 2$
- $F_1(2, b) = b \cdot 2^b$
- $|F_1(2, \omega_0)| = |\omega_0 \cdot 2^{\omega_0}| = \omega \cdot 2^\omega = \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2$
- $|F_1(2, F_1(2, \omega_0))| = |(\omega_0 \cdot 2^{\omega_0}) \cdot 2^{\omega_0 \cdot 2^{\omega_0}}| = \omega^2 \cdot 2^{\omega^2} = \omega^2 \cdot 2^{\omega \cdot \omega} = \omega^2 \cdot (2^\omega)^\omega = \omega^2 \cdot \omega^\omega = \omega^{2+\omega} = \omega^\omega$
- $|F_1(3, \omega_0)| = |[F_1(2, \bullet)]^{\omega_0}(\omega_0)| = \sup |[F_1(2, \bullet)]^{\omega_0[k]}(\omega_0)| = \sup |[F_1(2, \bullet)]^k(\omega_0)| = \sup (\omega^{\overset{\omega}{\vdots}}) = \varepsilon_0$
- $|F_1(2, F_1(3, \omega_0))| = \varepsilon_0 \cdot 2^{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^2$
- $|[F_1(2, \bullet)]^2(F_1(3, \omega_0))| = \varepsilon_0^2 \cdot 2^{\varepsilon_0^2} = \varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0}$

- $|F_1(3, F_1(3, \omega_0))| = \sup\{\varepsilon_0^{\varepsilon_0} \dots^{\varepsilon_0}\} = \varepsilon_1$
- $|F_1(4, \omega_0)| = \zeta_0 = \varphi(2, 0) = \varphi'(1, 1)$
- $|F_1(3, F_1(4, \omega_0))| = \sup\{\zeta_0^{\zeta_0} \dots^{\zeta_0}\} = \varepsilon_{\zeta_0+1}$
- $|F_1(4, F_1(4, \omega_0))| = \sup\{\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \dots^{\varepsilon}\} = \zeta_1 = \varphi(2, 1) = \varphi'(1, 2)$
- $|F_1(5, \omega_0)| = \eta_0 = \varphi(3, 0) = \varphi'(2, 1)$
- $|F_1(\omega_0, \omega_0)| = \varphi_\omega(0)$
- $|F_1(\omega_1 + 1, \omega_0)| = \Gamma_0$
- $|F_1(F_2(3, \omega_1), \omega_0)| = BHO$
- ...

15 Cardinals

Each ordinal has a cardinality which is a cardinal number (or more briefly a cardinal). The cardinality is a generalization of the notion of number of elements of a set. Two sets have the same cardinality if there exist a bijection (a one-to-one correspondence) between them. The least ordinal whose cardinality is a given cardinal is called the initial ordinal of this cardinal. The cardinality of ω is called \aleph_0 , and it is also the cardinality of $\omega + 1, \omega \cdot 2, \omega^2, \omega^\omega, \epsilon_0, \Gamma_0, \dots$ and more generally of any countable ordinal.

ω_1 is the least uncountable ordinal, and its cardinality is the cardinal \aleph_1 .

More generally, the cardinality of the ordinal ω_α is the cardinal \aleph_α .

Some authors identify ordinals and cardinals, writing $\omega_\alpha = \aleph_\alpha$.

The cardinals \beth_α are defined by :

- $\beth_0 = \aleph_0$
- $\beth_{\alpha+1} = 2^{\beth_\alpha}$
- $\beth_\lambda = \sup\{\beth_\xi \mid \xi < \lambda \text{ if } \lambda \text{ is a limit ordinal}\}$

According to the generalized continuum hypothesis (GCH), $\aleph_{\alpha+1} = 2^{\aleph_\alpha}$. If this hypothesis is accepted, then $\aleph_\alpha = \beth_\alpha$ for any ordinal α .

A cardinal \aleph_α is said to be a limit cardinal (or weak limit cardinal) if α is a limit ordinal.

A cardinal κ is a strong limit cardinal if whenever $\gamma < \kappa$ then $2^\gamma < \kappa$. Thus, the strong limit cardinals are those cardinals closed under the exponential operation. The strong limit cardinals are precisely the cardinals of the form \beth_λ for a limit ordinal λ .

A weakly inaccessible cardinal is a regular limit cardinal, or an uncountable regular limit cardinal according to some authors.

A strongly inaccessible cardinal is a regular strong limit cardinal, or an uncountable regular strong limit cardinal according to some authors.

Every strongly inaccessible cardinal is also weakly inaccessible, as every strong limit cardinal is also a weak limit cardinal. If the generalized continuum hypothesis holds, then a cardinal is strongly inaccessible if and only if it is weakly inaccessible.

It can be proved that if a cardinal κ is weakly inaccessible, then it is the κ -th fixed point of the function $\xi \mapsto \aleph_\xi$.

Proof by Joel David Hamkins :

<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/117806/if-k-is-weakly-inaccessible-then-it-is-the-k-th-aleph-fixed-point>

If κ is weakly inaccessible, then it is a limit cardinal and hence $\kappa = \aleph_\lambda$ for some limit ordinal λ . Since the cofinality of \aleph_λ is the same as the cofinality of λ , it follows by the regularity of κ that $\lambda = \kappa$, and so $\kappa = \aleph_\kappa$, an \aleph -fixed point.

The next \aleph -fixed point after any ordinal β_0 must have cofinality ω , since it is $\sup_n \beta_n$, where $\beta_{n+1} = \aleph_{\beta_n}$. So if a weakly inaccessible κ is the δ -th \aleph -fixed point, it cannot be that δ is a successor ordinal, and so δ is a limit ordinal. Since the \aleph -fixed points are closed, this implies κ has the same cofinality as δ , and so by regularity it follows that $\kappa = \delta$ and thus, κ is the κ -th fixed point.

Degrees of inaccessibility can be defined for weak inaccessibility and for strong inaccessibility, so the following holds replacing "inaccessible" by "weakly inaccessible" or "strongly inaccessible".

A cardinal κ is said to be 1-inaccessible if it is inaccessible and the following equivalent conditions hold :

- κ is a limit of inaccessible cardinals
- There are κ inaccessible cardinals less than κ (or in κ).

- κ is the κ -th inaccessible cardinal, or equivalently κ is a fixed point of the function $\xi \mapsto \xi$ -th inaccessible cardinal

These definitions can be generalized to any degree : κ is $(\alpha + 1)$ -inaccessible if it is α -inaccessible and the following equivalent conditions hold :

- κ is a limit of α -inaccessible cardinals
- There are κ α -inaccessible cardinals less than κ (or in κ)
- κ is the κ -th α -inaccessible cardinal

More generally, κ is α -inaccessible if it is inaccessible and for every $\beta < \alpha$, the following equivalent conditions hold :

- κ is a limit of β -inaccessible cardinals
- There are κ β -inaccessible cardinals less than κ (or in κ)
- κ is the κ -th β -inaccessible cardinal

A cardinal κ is hyperinaccessible (or $(1,0)$ -inaccessible) if it is κ -inaccessible.

Degrees of hyperinaccessibility can be defined like degrees of inaccessibility : κ is α -hyperinaccessible if it is inaccessible and, for every $\beta < \alpha$, the following equivalent conditions hold :

- κ is a limit of β -hyperinaccessible cardinals
- There are κ β -hyperinaccessible cardinals less than κ (or in κ)
- κ is the κ -th β -hyperinaccessible cardinal

κ is hyperhyperinaccessible or hyper^2 -inaccessible if it is κ -hyperinaccessible, and so on.

More generally :

κ is hyper^α -inaccessible if it is hyperinaccessible and for every $\beta < \alpha$, it is κ - hyper^β -inaccessible.

κ is α - hyper^β -inaccessible if it is $\text{hyper}^{-\beta}$ -inaccessible and for every $\gamma < \alpha$, the following equivalent conditions hold :

- κ it is a limit of γ - hyper^β -inaccessible cardinals
- There are κ γ - hyper^β -inaccessible cardinals less than κ (or in κ)
- κ is the κ -th γ - hyper^β -inaccessible cardinal.

Sources :

<http://cantorsattic.info/Inaccessible>

<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/477314/hyper-inaccessible-cardinals>

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.03432.pdf> : Force to change large cardinal strength by Erin Carmody

In <http://forums.xkcd.com/viewtopic.php?p=2585190#p2585190>, Deedlit defines a generalization of these levels of inaccessibility which looks like the Veblen function at a higher level. He writes (α, β) -weakly inaccessible for β - hyper^α -weakly inaccessible. Here are his definitions :

"Define a cardinal to be 0-weakly inaccessible if it is a regular limit cardinal.

(A cardinal α is regular if it is not the union of fewer than α many smaller ordinals; a cardinal is limit if it is $\omega\alpha$ for α limit.)

A cardinal is $\alpha+1$ -weakly inaccessible if it is an α -weakly inaccessible cardinal and a limit of α -weakly inaccessible cardinals.

A cardinal is β -weakly inaccessible for β limit if it is α -weakly inaccessible for all $\alpha < \beta$.

A cardinal α is $(1,0)$ -weakly inaccessible if it is α -weakly inaccessible.

A cardinal α is $(2,0)$ -weakly inaccessible if it is $(1,\alpha)$ -weakly inaccessible.

A cardinal α is $(\alpha,0)$ -weakly inaccessible for α limit if it is $(\beta,0)$ -weakly inaccessible for all $\beta < \alpha$.

A cardinal α is $(1,0,0)$ -weakly inaccessible if it is $(\alpha,0)$ -weakly inaccessible.

A cardinal α is $(2,0,0)$ -weakly inaccessible if it is $(1,\alpha,0)$ -weakly inaccessible.

A cardinal α is $(\alpha,0,0)$ -weakly inaccessible for α limit if it is $(\beta,0,0)$ -weakly inaccessible for all $\beta < \alpha$.

and so on.

Denote $(a@8,b@6,c@4,d@1)$ to mean $(a,0,b,0,c,0,0,d)$, for instance. This notation allows us to go express transfinite places like $(1@_\omega)$

A cardinal is $(1@ω)$ -weakly inaccessible if it is $(1@n)$ -weakly inaccessible for all $n < ω$.
A cardinal is $(2@ω)$ -weakly inaccessible if it is $(1@ω, 1@n)$ -weakly inaccessible for all $n < ω$.
A cardinal $α$ is $(α@ω)$ -weakly inaccessible for $α$ limit if it is $(β@ω)$ -weakly inaccessible for all $β < α$.

and so on.

More generally,

A cardinal $γ$ is $(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., an+1@1)$ -weakly inaccessible if it is $(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., an@1)$ -weakly inaccessible and a limit of $(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., an+1@1)$ -weakly inaccessible cardinals.

A cardinal $γ$ is $(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., an+1@bn+1)$ -weakly inaccessible if it is $(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., an@bn+1, γ@bn)$ -weakly inaccessible.

A cardinal $γ$ is $(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., an+1@bn)$ -weakly inaccessible (with bn limit) if it is $(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., an@bn, 1@c)$ -weakly inaccessible for all $c < bn$.

A cardinal $γ$ is $(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., an@bn)$ -weakly inaccessible (with an limit) if it is $(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., c@bn)$ -weakly inaccessible for $c < an$.

Finally, we define $I(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., an@bn, c@0)$ to be the c 'th $(a1@b1, a2@b2, ..., an@bn)$ -weakly inaccessible cardinal."

There is a correspondence between inaccessible cardinals and Veblen and Simmons hierarchies.

In both case, there is a function f that, given some ordinal $α$, produces a greater ordinal $f(α)$. A way to get large ordinals is to enumerate the fixed points of this function. For Veblen and Simmons hierarchies, this function is $ξ \mapsto ω^ξ$ or $[ω^•]$, and for inaccessible cardinals it is $ξ \mapsto \aleph_ξ$ or $[\aleph_•]$.

The least fixed point of $ξ \mapsto ω^ξ$ is $ε_0 = ε'_1 = φ(1, 0) = φ'(0, 1)$. It is the limit of $ω, ω^ω, ω^{ω^ω}, \dots$. In a similar way, we can define $E_0 = E'_1 = Φ(1, 0) = Φ'(0, 1)$ as the least fixed point of $ξ \mapsto \aleph_ξ$, which is the limit of $\aleph_0, \aleph_{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\aleph_{\aleph_0}}, \dots$

Then, like we have defined $ε_1 = ε'_2 = φ(1, 1) = φ'(0, 2)$ as the second fixed point of $ξ \mapsto ω^ξ$, we can define $E_1 = E'_2$ as the second fixed point of $ξ \mapsto \aleph_ξ$, which is the limit of $E_0 + 1, \aleph_{E_0+1}, \aleph_{\aleph_{E_0+1}}, \dots$

More generally, like we defined $ε_α = ε'_{1+α}$ as the $1 + α$ -th fixed point of $ξ \mapsto ω^ξ$, we can define $E_α = E'_{1+α}$ as the $1 + α$ -th fixed point of $ξ \mapsto \aleph_ξ$.

Then, like we defined $ζ_0 = ζ'_1 = φ(2, 0) = φ'(1, 1)$ as the least fixed point of $ξ \mapsto ε_ξ$, the limit of $ε_0, ε_{ε_0}, \dots$, we can define $Z_0 = Z'_1$ as the least fixed point of $ξ \mapsto E_ξ$, the limit of E_0, E_{E_0}, \dots . This is the least ordinal $κ$ such that $κ = E_κ = κ$ -th fixed point of $ξ \mapsto \aleph_ξ$ (the "1+" being absorbed). This is the least weakly inaccessible ordinal.

We can also use the Simmons notation to produce weakly inaccessible cardinals.

Remember this notation :

$Fix f ζ = f^ω(ζ + 1)$ is the least fixed point of f that is strictly greater than $ζ$.

$[0]h = Fix(α \mapsto h^α 0)$

$[1]hg = Fix(α \mapsto h^α g 0)$

$[2]hgf = Fix(α \mapsto h^α g f 0)$

Like Simmons defined the function $Next = Fix(ξ \mapsto ω^ξ)$ which gives the next $ε$ ordinal after a given ordinal, we can define the function $NEXT = Fix(ξ \mapsto \aleph_ξ)$ which gives the next fixed point of $ξ \mapsto \aleph_ξ$ after a given ordinal or cardinal. For example, $NEXT 0$ is the least fixed point of $ξ \mapsto \aleph_ξ$, $NEXT(NEXT 0) = NEXT^2 0$ is the second one, and more generally $NEXT^α 0$ is the $α$ -th fixed point.

$[0] NEXT 0 = Fix (α \mapsto NEXT^α 0) 0$ is the least $κ$ such that $κ = NEXT^κ 0 = κ$ -th fixed point of $ξ \mapsto \aleph_ξ$, which is the least weakly inaccessible cardinal.

More generally, $([0] NEXT)^α 0 = Z'_α = Φ'(1, α)$ is the $α$ -th weakly inaccessible cardinal.

The least 1-weakly inaccessible cardinal is the least $κ$ such that $κ$ is the $κ$ -th weakly inaccessible cardinal, which can be written $κ = ([0] NEXT)^κ 0$. This $κ$ is $[0]([0] NEXT) 0 = [0]^2 NEXT 0 = Φ(3, 0) = Φ'(2, 1)$.

The $α$ -th 1-weakly inaccessible cardinal is $[0]^2 NEXT)^α 0$.

The least 2-weakly inaccessible cardinal is the least $κ$ such that $κ$ is the $κ$ -th 1-weakly inaccessible cardinal, which can be written $κ = ([0]^2 NEXT)^κ 0$. This $κ$ is $[0]([0]^2 NEXT) 0 = [0]^3 NEXT 0 = Φ(4, 0) = Φ'(3, 1)$.

More generally, the least $α$ -weakly inaccessible cardinal is $[0]^{1+α} NEXT 0 = Φ(2 + α, 0) = Φ'(1 + α, 1)$ and the $β$ -th $α$ -weakly inaccessible cardinal is $([0]^{1+α} NEXT)^β 0 = Φ'(1 + α, β)$.

The least hyper-weakly inaccessible cardinal is the least $κ$ such that $κ$ is $κ$ -inaccessible, which can be written $κ = [0]^κ NEXT 0$. This $κ$ is $[1][0] NEXT 0 = Φ(1, 0, 0)$.

The second one is $([1][0] NEXT)^2 0$, and more generally the $α$ -th one is $([1][0] NEXT)^α 0$.

Then, κ is 1-hyper-weakly inaccessible if κ is the κ -th hyper-weakly inaccessible cardinal, which can be written $\kappa = ([1][0] \text{ NEXT})^\kappa 0$. This is $[0] ([1] [0] \text{ NEXT}) 0$. The second one is $([0]([1][0] \text{ NEXT}))^2 0$, and the α -th one is $([0]([1][0] \text{ NEXT}))^\alpha 0$. Similarly, the least 2-hyper-weakly inaccessible cardinal is $[0]^2([1][0] \text{ NEXT}) 0$ and the α -th one is $([0]^2([1][0] \text{ NEXT}))^\alpha 0$. More generally, the α -th β -hyper-weakly inaccessible cardinal is $([0]^\beta([1][0] \text{ NEXT}))^\alpha 0 = \Phi'(1, \beta, \alpha)$. The least hyper-hyper-weakly inaccessible cardinal, or hyper² weakly inaccessible cardinal is the least κ such that κ is κ -hyper-weakly inaccessible, or $\kappa = [0]^\kappa([1][0] \text{ NEXT}) 0$, which is $[1][0]([1][0] \text{ NEXT}) 0 = ([1][0])^2 \text{ NEXT } 0$. More generally, the least hyper ^{γ} -weakly inaccessible cardinal is $([1][0])^\gamma \text{ NEXT } 0$, and the α -th one is $(([1][0])^\gamma \text{ NEXT})^\alpha 0$. The least 1-hyper ^{γ} -weakly inaccessible cardinal is the least κ such that κ is the κ -th hyper ^{γ} -weakly inaccessible cardinal, or $\kappa = (([1][0])^\gamma \text{ NEXT})^\kappa 0$. This κ is $[0]((([1][0])^\gamma \text{ NEXT}) 0)$. More generally, the least β -hyper ^{γ} -weakly inaccessible cardinal is $[0]^\beta((([1][0])^\gamma \text{ NEXT}) 0)$. Finally, the α -th β -hyper ^{γ} -weakly inaccessible cardinal is $([0]^\beta((([1][0])^\gamma \text{ NEXT}) 0))^\alpha 0 = \Phi'(\gamma, \beta, \alpha)$. We can also define higher inaccessibility degrees corresponding to $\Phi'(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha)$ and so on, with finitely and transfinitely many variables.

In "Force to change large cardinal strength" (<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.03432.pdf>) Erin Carmody defines greatly inaccessible cardinals which have every possible inaccessible degree. Carmody shows that a cardinal is greatly inaccessible if and only if it is Mahlo. In page 3 (page 11 of PDF document) Erin Carmody says "Since greatly inaccessible cardinals are every possible inaccessible degree, as defined in chapter 1, Mahlo cardinals are every possible inaccessible degree defined". Having every possible inaccessible degrees is the equivalent of being greater than any ordinal definable with the Veblen function with transfinitely many variables, or with Schütte Kammersymbols, whose limit is the large Veblen ordinal which can be written "[2] [1] [0] Next 0" with Simmons notation. So the least Mahlo cardinal can be written "[2] [1] [0] NEXT 0".

16 Functions collapsing large cardinals

Collapsing functions can be used to collapse large cardinals to produce large ordinals.

Some examples of such collapsing functions are given in :

<https://sites.google.com/site/travelingtotheinfinity/>

http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/Ordinal_notation

http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/List_of_systems_of_fundamental_sequences

http://cantorsattic.info/Cantor%27s_Attic

16.1 Hypos's functions collapsing weakly inaccessible cardinals

16.1.1 Definition

Ω_α with $\alpha > 0$ is the α -th uncountable cardinal, I_α with $\alpha > 0$ is the α -th weakly inaccessible cardinal and for this notation $I_0 = \Omega_0 = 0$.

In this section the variables ρ, π are reserved for uncountable regular cardinals of the form $\Omega_{\nu+1}$ or $I_{\mu+1}$.

Then,

$$C_0(\alpha, \beta) = \beta \cup \{0\}$$

$$C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma + \delta \mid \gamma, \delta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)\}$$

$$\cup \{\Omega_\gamma \mid \gamma \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)\}$$

$$\cup \{I_\gamma \mid \gamma \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)\}$$

$$\cup \{\psi_\pi(\gamma) \mid \pi, \gamma \in C_n(\alpha, \beta) \wedge \gamma < \alpha\}$$

$$C(\alpha, \beta) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$\psi_\pi(\alpha) = \min\{\beta < \pi \mid C(\alpha, \beta) \cap \pi \subseteq \beta\}$$

16.1.2 Properties

$$\psi_\pi(0) = 1$$

$$\psi_{\Omega_1}(\alpha) = \omega^\alpha \text{ for } \alpha < \varepsilon_0$$

$$\psi_{\Omega_{\nu+1}}(\alpha) = \omega^{\Omega_\nu + \alpha} \text{ for } 1 \leq \alpha < \varepsilon_{\Omega_{\nu+1}} \text{ and } \nu > 0$$

16.1.3 Standard form for ordinals $\alpha < \beta = \min\{\xi | I_\xi = \xi\}$

The standard form for 0 is 0

If α is of the form Ω_β , then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \Omega_\beta$ where $\beta < \alpha$ and β is expressed in standard form

If α is of the form I_β , then the standard form for α is $\alpha = I_\beta$ where $\beta < \alpha$ and β is expressed in standard form

If α is not additively principal and $\alpha > 0$, then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$, where the α_i are principal ordinals with $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$, and the α_i are expressed in standard form

If α is an additively principal ordinal but not of the form Ω_β or I_γ , then α is expressible in the form $\psi_\pi(\delta)$. Then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\delta)$ where π and δ are expressed in standard form

16.1.4 Fundamental sequences

The fundamental sequence for an ordinal number α with cofinality $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \beta$ is a strictly increasing sequence $(\alpha[\eta])_{\eta < \beta}$ with length β and with limit α , where $\alpha[\eta]$ is the η -th element of this sequence.

Let $S = \{\alpha | \text{cof}(\alpha) = 1\}$ and $L = \{\alpha | \text{cof}(\alpha) \geq \omega\}$ where S denotes the set of successor ordinals and L denotes the set of limit ordinals.

For non-zero ordinals written in standard form fundamental sequences defined as follows:

1. If $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ with $n \geq 2$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\alpha_n)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + (\alpha_n[\eta])$
2. If $\alpha = \psi_\pi(0)$ then $\alpha = \text{cof}(\alpha) = 1$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$
3. If $\alpha = \psi_{\Omega_{\nu+1}}(1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\begin{cases} \alpha[\eta] = \Omega_\nu \cdot \eta & \text{if } \nu > 0 \\ \alpha[\eta] = \eta & \text{if } \nu = 0 \end{cases}$
4. If $\alpha = \psi_{\Omega_{\nu+1}}(\beta + 1)$ and $\beta \geq 1$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_{\Omega_{\nu+1}}(\beta) \cdot \eta$
5. If $\alpha = \psi_{I_{\nu+1}}(1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = I_\nu + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \Omega_{\alpha[\eta]}$
6. If $\alpha = \psi_{I_{\nu+1}}(\beta + 1)$ and $\beta \geq 1$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = \psi_{I_{\nu+1}}(\beta) + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \Omega_{\alpha[\eta]}$
7. If $\alpha = \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \pi$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \eta$
8. If $\alpha = \Omega_\nu$ and $\nu \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\nu)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \Omega_{\nu[\eta]}$
9. If $\alpha = I_\nu$ and $\nu \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\nu)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = I_{\nu[\eta]}$
10. If $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta)$ and $\omega \leq \text{cof}(\beta) < \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\eta])$
11. If $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta)$ and $\text{cof}(\beta) = \rho \geq \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$ with $\gamma[0] = 1$ and $\gamma[\eta + 1] = \psi_\rho(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$

Limit of this notation is λ . If $\alpha = \lambda$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = I_{\alpha[\eta]}$.

These fundamental sequences can be reformulated giving the following recursive definitions :

1. Classical definition of addition of limit ordinals
2. $\psi_\pi(0) = 1$
- 3a. $\psi_{\Omega_1}(1) = \omega$
- 3b. $\psi_{\Omega_{\nu+1}}(1) = \Omega_\nu \cdot \omega$ if $\nu > 0$
4. $\psi_{\Omega_{\nu+1}}(\beta + 1) = \psi_{\Omega_{\nu+1}}(\beta) \cdot \omega$
5. $\psi_{I_{\nu+1}}(1) = [\Omega_\bullet]^\omega(I_\nu + 1)$
6. $\psi_{I_{\nu+1}}(\beta + 1) = [\Omega_\bullet]^\omega(\psi_{I_{\nu+1}}(\beta) + 1)$ if $\beta \geq 1$
8. $\Omega_{\text{Lim}_\mu f} = \text{Lim}_\mu[\Omega_f(\bullet)]$
9. $I_{\text{Lim}_\mu f} = \text{Lim}_\mu[I_f(\bullet)]$
10. $\psi_\pi(\text{Lim}_\mu f) = \text{Lim}_\mu(\psi_\pi \circ f)$ if $\omega_\mu < \pi$
11. $\psi_\pi(\text{Lim}_\mu f) = \lim[\psi_\pi(f((\psi_{\omega_\mu} \circ f)^\bullet(1)))]$ if $\omega_\mu \geq \pi$

16.1.5 References

http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/List_of_systems_of_fundamental_sequences

<https://sites.google.com/site/travelingtotheinfinity/hypcos-s-notation-with-weakly-inaccessibles>

16.2 Functions collapsing α -weakly inaccessible cardinals

16.2.1 Definition

An ordinal is α -weakly inaccessible if it's an uncountable regular cardinal and it's a limit of γ -weakly inaccessible cardinals for all $\gamma < \alpha$.

Let $I(\alpha, \beta)$ be the $(1 + \beta)$ th α -weakly inaccessible cardinal if $\beta = 0$ or $\beta = \gamma + 1$, and $I(\alpha, \beta) = \sup\{I(\alpha, \xi) \mid \xi < \beta\}$ if β is a limit ordinal.

As we saw previously, using Simmons notation, we can write $I(\alpha, \beta) = ([0]^{1+\alpha}NEXT)^{1+\beta}0$ with $NEXT = Fix[\aleph_\bullet], [0]h = Fix[h \bullet 0]$ and $Fixf\zeta = f^\omega(\zeta + 1)$.

In this section the variables ρ, π are reserved for uncountable regular cardinals of the form $I(\alpha, 0)$ or $I(\alpha, \beta + 1)$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(\alpha, \beta) &= \beta \cup \{0\} \\ C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) &= \{\gamma + \delta \mid \gamma, \delta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)\} \\ &\cup \{I(\gamma, \delta) \mid \gamma, \delta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)\} \\ &\cup \{\psi_\pi(\gamma) \mid \pi, \gamma \in C_n(\alpha, \beta) \wedge \gamma < \alpha\} \\ C(\alpha, \beta) &= \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n(\alpha, \beta) \\ \psi_\pi(\alpha) &= \min\{\beta < \pi \mid C(\alpha, \beta) \cap \pi \subseteq \beta\} \end{aligned}$$

16.2.2 Properties

$$\begin{aligned} I(0, \alpha) &= \Omega_{1+\alpha} = \aleph_{1+\alpha} \\ I(1, \alpha) &= I_{1+\alpha} \\ \psi_{I(0,0)}(\alpha) &= \omega^\alpha \text{ for } \alpha < \varepsilon_0 \\ \psi_{I(0,\alpha+1)}(\beta) &= \omega^{I(0,\alpha)+1+\beta} \text{ for } \beta < \varepsilon_{I(0,\alpha)+1} \end{aligned}$$

16.2.3 Standard form for ordinals $\alpha < \psi_{I(1,0,0)}(0) = \min\{\xi \mid I(\xi, 0) = \xi\}$

The standard form for 0 is 0

If α is of the form $I(\beta, \gamma)$, then the standard form for α is $\alpha = I(\beta, \gamma)$ where $\beta, \gamma < \alpha$ and β, γ are expressed in standard form

If α is not additively principal and $\alpha > 0$, then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$, where the α_i are principal ordinals with $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$, and the α_i are expressed in standard form

If α is an additively principal ordinal but not of the form $I(\beta, \gamma)$, then α is expressible in the form $\psi_\pi(\delta)$. Then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\delta)$ where π and δ are expressed in standard form

16.2.4 Fundamental sequences

The fundamental sequence for an ordinal number α with cofinality $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \beta$ is a strictly increasing sequence $(\alpha[\eta])_{\eta < \beta}$ with length β and with limit α , where $\alpha[\eta]$ is the η -th element of this sequence.

Let $S = \{\alpha \mid \text{cof}(\alpha) = 1\}$ and $L = \{\alpha \mid \text{cof}(\alpha) \geq \omega\}$ where S denotes the set of successor ordinals and L denotes the set of limit ordinals.

For non-zero ordinals $\alpha < \psi_{I(1,0,0)}(0)$ written in standard form fundamental sequences defined as follows:[2]

1. If $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ with $n \geq 2$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\alpha_n)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + (\alpha_n[\eta])$
2. If $\alpha = \psi_{I(0,0)}(0)$ then $\alpha = \text{cof}(\alpha) = 1$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$
3. If $\alpha = \psi_{I(0,\beta+1)}(0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = I(0, \beta) \cdot \eta$
4. If $\alpha = \psi_{I(0,\beta)}(\gamma + 1)$ and $\beta \in \{0\} \cup S$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_{I(0,\beta)}(\gamma) \cdot \eta$
5. If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta+1,0)}(0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = I(\beta, \alpha[\eta])$
6. If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = I(\beta + 1, \gamma) + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = I(\beta, \alpha[\eta])$
7. If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta+1,\gamma)}(\delta + 1)$ and $\gamma \in \{0\} \cup S$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = \psi_{I(\beta+1,\gamma)}(\delta) + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = I(\beta, \alpha[\eta])$
8. If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta,0)}(0)$ and $\beta \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = I(\beta[\eta], 0)$
9. If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta,\gamma+1)}(0)$ and $\beta \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = I(\beta[\eta], I(\beta, \gamma) + 1)$
10. If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta,\gamma)}(\delta + 1)$ and $\beta \in L$ and $\gamma \in \{0\} \cup S$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = I(\beta[\eta], \psi_{I(\beta,\gamma)}(\delta) + 1)$
11. If $\alpha = \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \pi$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \eta$
12. If $\alpha = I(\beta, \gamma)$ and $\gamma \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\gamma)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = I(\beta, \gamma[\eta])$
13. If $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta)$ and $\omega \leq \text{cof}(\beta) < \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\eta])$
14. If $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta)$ and $\text{cof}(\beta) = \rho \geq \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$ with $\gamma[0] = 1$ and $\gamma[\eta + 1] = \psi_\rho(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$

Limit of this notation $\psi_{I(1,0,0)}(0)$. If $\alpha = \psi_{I(1,0,0)}(0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = I(\alpha[\eta], 0)$

These fundamental sequences can be reformulated giving the following recursive definitions :

1. Classical definition of addition of limit ordinals

2. $\psi_{I(0,0)}(0) = 1$
3. $\psi_{I(0,\beta+1)}(0) = I(0, \beta) \cdot \omega$
4. $\psi_{I(0,\beta)}(\gamma + 1) = \psi_{I(0,\beta)}(\gamma) \cdot \omega$ if β is not a limit ordinal
5. $\psi_{I(\beta+1,0)}(0) = [I(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega(0)$
6. $\psi_{I(\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(0) = [I(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega(I(\beta + 1, \gamma) + 1)$
7. $\psi_{I(\beta+1,\gamma)}(\delta + 1) = [I(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega(\psi_{I(\beta+1,\gamma)}(\delta) + 1)$ if γ is not a limit ordinal
8. $\psi_{I(Lim_\mu f, 0)}(0) = Lim_\mu[I(f(\bullet), 0)]$
9. $\psi_{I(Lim_\mu f, \gamma+1)}(0) = Lim_\mu[I(f(\bullet), I(Lim_\mu f, \gamma) + 1)]$
10. $\psi_{I(Lim_\mu f, \gamma)}(\delta + 1) = Lim_\mu[I(f(\bullet), \psi_{I(Lim_\mu f, \gamma)}(\delta) + 1)]$ if γ is not a limit ordinal
12. $I(\beta, Lim_\mu f) = Lim_\mu[I(\beta, f(\bullet))]$
13. $\psi_\pi(Lim_\mu f) = Lim_\mu(\psi_\pi \circ f)$ if $\omega_\mu < \pi$
14. $\psi_\pi(Lim_\mu f) = lim[\psi_\pi(f((\psi_{\omega_\mu} \circ f)^\bullet(0)))]$ if $\omega_\mu \geq \pi$

16.2.5 References

http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/List_of_systems_of_fundamental_sequences
<https://sites.google.com/site/travelingtotheinfinity/the-collapsing-functions-using-math-alpha-beta-math-weakly-inaccessible-cardinals>

16.3 Jäger's collapsing functions

Jäger's collapsing functions are a hierarchy of single-argument ordinal functions ψ_π introduced by German mathematician Gerhard Jäger in 1984. This is an extension of Buchholz's notation.

16.3.1 Basic Notions

M_0 is the least Mahlo cardinal, small Greek letters denote ordinals less than M_0 . Each ordinal α is identified with the set of its predecessors $\alpha = \{\beta | \beta < \alpha\}$.

L denotes the set of all limit ordinals less than M_0 .

An ordinal α is an additive principal number if $\alpha > 0$ and $\xi + \eta < \alpha$ for all $\xi, \eta < \alpha$. Let P denote the set of all additive principal numbers less than M_0 .

$\alpha =_{NF} \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n : \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in P$

Cofinality $\text{cof}(\alpha)$ of an ordinal α is the least β such that there exists a function $f : \beta \rightarrow \alpha$ with $\sup\{f(\xi) | \xi < \beta\} = \alpha$. An ordinal α is regular, if α is a limit ordinal and $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \alpha$. Let R denote the set of all regular ordinals $\in (\omega, M_0)$.

An ordinal α is (weakly) inaccessible if α is a regular limit cardinal larger than ω .

Enumeration function F of class of ordinals X is the unique increasing function such that $X = \{F(\alpha) | \alpha \in \text{dom}(F)\}$ where domain of F , $\text{dom}(F)$ is an ordinal number. We use $\text{Enum}(X)$ to denote F .

16.3.2 Veblen function

$\varphi_\alpha = \text{Enum}(\{\beta \in P | \forall \gamma < \alpha (\varphi_\gamma(\beta) = \beta)\})$

Normal form

$\alpha =_{NF} \varphi_\beta(\gamma) : \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \varphi_\beta(\gamma) \wedge \beta, \gamma < \alpha$

An ordinal α is a strongly critical if $\varphi(\alpha, 0) = \alpha$. Let S denote the set of all strongly critical ordinals less than M_0 .

Definition of $S(\gamma)$ for arbitrary γ .

$S(\gamma) = \{\gamma\}$ if $\gamma \in S \cup \{0\}$

$S(\gamma) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ if $\gamma =_{NF} \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \notin P$

$S(\gamma) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ if $\gamma =_{NF} \varphi_\alpha(\beta) \notin S$

16.3.3 ρ -Inaccessible Ordinals

An ordinal is ρ -inaccessible if it is a regular cardinal and limit of α -inaccessible ordinals for all $\alpha < \rho$. So the 0-inaccessible ordinals are exactly the regular cardinals $> \omega$, the 1-inaccessible ordinals are the inaccessible ordinals. Functions $I_\rho : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ enumerate the ρ -inaccessible ordinals less than M_0 and their limits.

$I_\alpha = \text{Enum}(\{\beta \in R | \forall \gamma < \alpha (I_\gamma(\beta) = \beta)\})$

Normal form

$\alpha =_{NF} I_\beta(\gamma) : \Leftrightarrow \alpha = I_\beta(\gamma) \wedge \gamma \notin L$

Definition of γ^- for $\gamma \in R$.

$\gamma^- = 0$ if $\gamma =_{NF} I_\alpha(0)$
 $\gamma^- = I_\alpha(\beta)$ if $\gamma =_{NF} I_\alpha(\beta + 1)$
 ”Properties”

Veblen function	ρ -Inaccessible Ordinals
$\varphi_\alpha(\beta) \in P$	$I_\alpha(0), I_\alpha(\beta + 1) \in R$
$\gamma < \alpha \Rightarrow \varphi_\gamma(\varphi_\alpha(\beta)) = \varphi_\alpha(\beta)$	$-\gamma < \alpha \Rightarrow I_\gamma(I_\alpha(\beta)) = I_\alpha(\beta)$
$\beta < \gamma \Rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(\beta) < \varphi_\alpha(\gamma)$	$\beta < \gamma \Rightarrow I_\alpha(\beta) < I_\alpha(\gamma)$
$\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(0) < \varphi_\beta(0)$	$\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow I_\alpha(0) < I_\beta(0)$

16.3.4 The Ordinal Functions ψ_κ

Every ψ_κ is a function from M_0 to κ which ”collapses” the elements of M_0 below κ . By the Greek letters κ and π we shall denote uncountable regular cardinals less than M_0 .

”Inductive Definition” of $C_\kappa(\alpha)$ and $\psi_\kappa(\alpha)$.

$\{\kappa^-\} \cup \kappa^- \subset C_\kappa^n(\alpha)$
 $S(\gamma) \subset C_\kappa^n(\alpha) \Rightarrow \gamma \in C_\kappa^{n+1}(\alpha)$
 $\beta, \gamma \in C_\kappa^n(\alpha) \Rightarrow I_\beta(\gamma) \in C_\kappa^{n+1}(\alpha)$
 $\gamma < \pi < \kappa \wedge \pi \in C_\kappa^n(\alpha) \Rightarrow \gamma \in C_\kappa^{n+1}(\alpha)$
 $\gamma < \alpha \wedge \gamma, \pi \in C_\kappa^n(\alpha) \wedge \gamma \in C_\pi(\gamma) \Rightarrow \psi_\pi(\gamma) \in C_\kappa^{n+1}(\alpha)$
 $C_\kappa(\alpha) = \cup \{C_\kappa^n(\alpha) | n < \omega\}$
 $\psi_\kappa(\alpha) = \min\{\xi | \xi \notin C_\kappa(\alpha)\}$

Normal form

$\alpha =_{NF} \psi_\kappa(\beta) :\Leftrightarrow \alpha = \psi_\kappa(\beta) \wedge \beta \in C_\kappa(\beta)$

16.3.5 Fundamental sequences

The fundamental sequence for an ordinal number α with cofinality $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \beta$ is a strictly increasing sequence $(\alpha[\eta])_{\eta < \beta}$ with length β and with limit α , where $\alpha[\eta]$ is the η -th element of this sequence.

”Inductive Definition” of T .

- $0 \in T$
- $\alpha =_{NF} \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$
- $\alpha =_{NF} \varphi_\beta(\gamma) \wedge \beta, \gamma \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$
- $\alpha =_{NF} I_\beta(\gamma) \wedge \beta, \gamma \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$
- $\alpha =_{NF} \psi_\kappa(\beta) \wedge \kappa, \beta \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$

Below we write $I(\alpha, \beta)$ for $I_\alpha(\beta)$ and $\varphi(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\varphi_\alpha(\beta)$

For non-zero ordinals $\alpha \in T$ we define the fundamental sequences as follows:

- If $\alpha = \varphi(0, \beta + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \varphi(0, \beta) \times \eta$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(\beta + 1, 0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \varphi(\beta, \alpha[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(\beta + 1, \gamma + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = \varphi(\beta + 1, \gamma) + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \varphi(\beta, \alpha[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(\beta, 0)$ and $\beta \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \varphi(\beta[\eta], 0)$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(\beta, \gamma + 1)$ and $\beta \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \varphi(\beta[\eta], \varphi(\beta, \gamma) + 1)$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(\beta, \gamma)$ and $\gamma \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\gamma)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \varphi(\beta, \gamma[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \psi_{I(0,0)}(0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \varphi(\alpha[\eta], 0)$
- If $\alpha = \psi_{I(0,\beta+1)}(0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = I(0, \beta) + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \varphi(\alpha[\eta], 0)$
- If $\alpha = \psi_{I(0,\beta)}(\gamma + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = \psi_{I(0,\beta)}(\gamma) + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = \varphi(\alpha[\eta], 0)$
- If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta+1,0)}(0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = I(\beta, \alpha[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = I(\beta + 1, \gamma) + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = I(\beta, \alpha[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta+1,\gamma)}(\delta + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = \psi_{I(\beta+1,\gamma)}(\delta) + 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = I(\beta, \alpha[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta,0)}(0)$ and $\beta \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = I(\beta[\eta], 0)$
- If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta,\gamma+1)}(0)$ and $\beta \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = I(\beta[\eta], I(\beta, \gamma) + 1)$

- If $\alpha = \psi_{I(\beta, \gamma)}(\delta + 1)$ and $\beta \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = I(\beta[\eta], \psi_{I(\beta, \gamma)}(\delta) + 1)$
- If $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ with $n \geq 2$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\alpha_n)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + (\alpha_n[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \varphi(0, 0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$
- If $\alpha = I(\beta, 0)$ or $\alpha = I(\beta, \gamma + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \eta$
- If $\alpha = I(\beta, \gamma)$ and $\gamma \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\gamma)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = I(\beta, \gamma[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta)$ and $\omega \leq \text{cof}(\beta) < \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\eta])$
- If $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta)$ and $\text{cof}(\beta) = \rho \geq \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$ with $\gamma[0] = 1$ and $\gamma[\eta + 1] = \psi_\rho(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$

Limit of this notation λ . If $\alpha = \lambda$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = I(\alpha[\eta], 0)$

These fundamental sequences can be reformulated to produce recursive definitions :

- $\varphi(0, \beta) = \omega^\beta$
- $\varphi(\beta + 1, 0) = [\varphi(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega 0 = H[\varphi(\beta, \bullet)]0$
- $\varphi(\beta + 1, \gamma + 1) = [\varphi(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega (\varphi(\beta + 1, \gamma) + 1)$
- $\varphi(\text{Lim}_\nu f, 0) = \text{Lim}_\nu [\varphi(f \bullet, 0)]$
- $\varphi(\text{Lim}_\nu f, \gamma + 1) = \text{Lim}_\nu [\varphi(f \bullet, \varphi(\text{Lim}_\nu f, \gamma) + 1)]$
- $\varphi(\beta, \text{Lim}_\nu g) = \text{Lim}_\nu [\varphi(\beta, g \bullet)]$
- $\psi_{I(0, 0)}(0) = [\varphi(\bullet, 0)]^\omega 0 = \Gamma_0$
- $\psi_{I(0, \beta + 1)}(0) = [\varphi(\bullet, 0)]^\omega (I(0, \beta) + 1)$
- $\psi_{I(0, \beta)}(\gamma + 1) = [\varphi(\bullet, 0)]^\omega (\psi_{I(0, \beta)}(\gamma) + 1)$
- $\psi_{I(\beta + 1, 0)}(0) = [I(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega 0$
- $\psi_{I(\beta + 1, \gamma + 1)}(0) = [I(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega (I(\beta + 1, \gamma) + 1)$
- $\psi_{I(\beta + 1, \gamma)}(\delta + 1) = [I(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega (\psi_{I(\beta + 1, \gamma)}(\delta) + 1)$
- $\psi_{I(\text{Lim}_\nu f, 0)}(0) = \text{Lim}_\nu [I(f \bullet, 0)]$
- $\psi_{I(\text{Lim}_\nu f, \gamma + 1)}(0) = \text{Lim}_\nu [I(f \bullet, I(\text{Lim}_\nu f, \gamma) + 1)]$
- $\psi_{I(\text{Lim}_\nu f, \gamma)}(\delta + 1) = \text{Lim}_\nu [I(f \bullet, \psi_{I(\text{Lim}_\nu f, \gamma)}(\delta) + 1)]$
- $\beta + \text{Lim}_\nu g = \text{Lim}_\nu [\beta + g \bullet]$
- $\varphi(0, 0) = 1$
- $I(\beta, 0) = I(\beta, \gamma + 1) = \text{Lim}_{\text{cof}(I(\beta, 0))} [\bullet]$ where $[\bullet]$ is the identity function
- $I(\beta, \text{Lim}_\nu g) = \text{Lim}_\nu [I(\beta, g \bullet)]$
- $\psi_\pi(\text{Lim}_\nu f) = \text{Lim}_\nu [\psi_\pi(f \bullet)]$ if $\omega_\nu < \pi$
- $\psi_\pi(\text{Lim}_\nu f) = \lim [\psi_\pi(f((\psi_{\omega_\nu} \circ f)^\bullet(1)))]$ if $\omega_\nu \geq \pi$

16.3.6 References

1. W.Buchholz. A New System of Proof-Theoretic Ordinal Functions. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic (1986),32
2. M.Jäger. ρ -inaccessible ordinals, collapsing functions and a recursive notation system. Arch. Math. Logik Grundlagenforsch (1984),24
3. http://cantorsattic.info/J%C3%A4ger%27s_collapsing_functions_and_%CF%81-inaccessible_ordinals

16.4 Rathjen's functions collapsing the least weakly Mahlo cardinal

16.4.1 Definition of Jäger's function

$I_\alpha : M \rightarrow M$ which enumerate the α -inaccessible ordinals less than M and their limits

$I_\alpha = \text{Enum}(\{\beta \in R \mid \forall \gamma < \alpha (I_\gamma(\beta) = \beta)\})$

Below we write $I(\alpha, \beta)$ for $I_\alpha(\beta)$

16.4.2 Inductive Definition of functions $\chi_\alpha : M \rightarrow M$ for $\alpha < M^\Gamma$ (Rathjen, 1990)

- 1) $\{0, M\} \cup \beta \subset B^n(\alpha, \beta)$
- 2) $\gamma =_{NF} \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_k \wedge \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \in B^n(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma \in B^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
- 3) $\gamma = \chi_\eta(\xi) \wedge \eta, \xi \in B^n(\alpha, \beta) \wedge \eta < \alpha \wedge \xi < M \Rightarrow \gamma \in B^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
- 4) $\gamma =_{NF} \varphi(\delta, \eta) \wedge \delta, \eta \in B^n(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma \in B^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
- 5) $\gamma < \pi \wedge \pi \in B^n(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma \in B^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
- 6) $B(\alpha, \beta) = \cup_{n < \omega} B^n(\alpha, \beta)$
- 7) $\chi_\alpha = \text{Enum}(cl_M(\{\kappa | \kappa \notin B(\alpha, \kappa) \wedge \alpha \in B(\alpha, \kappa)\}))$

Note: as was said κ and π are reserved for uncountable regular cardinals less than M .

Below we write $\chi(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\chi_\alpha(\beta)$

16.4.3 Properties of χ -functions

- 1) $\chi(\alpha, \beta) < M$
- 2) $\beta > \gamma \geq 0 \Rightarrow \chi(\alpha, \beta) > \chi(\alpha, \gamma)$
- 3) $\alpha > \gamma \geq 0 \Rightarrow \chi(\alpha, \beta) = \chi(\gamma, \chi(\alpha, \beta))$
- 4) $\chi(\alpha, 0), \chi(\alpha, \beta + 1) \in R$
- 5) $\chi(0, \alpha) = \aleph_{1+\alpha}$
- 6) $\chi(\alpha, \beta) = I(\alpha, \beta)$ for all $\alpha < \lambda$ where $\lambda = \sup\{\gamma(n) | n < \omega\}$ with $\gamma(0) = 0$ and $\gamma(n+1) = \chi(\gamma(n), 0)$

Definition: $\alpha =_{NF} \chi(\beta, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \chi(\beta, \gamma) \wedge \gamma < \alpha$

Let Π be the set of uncountable regular cardinals of the form $\chi(\alpha, 0)$ or $\chi(\alpha, \beta + 1)$

$\Pi = \{\chi(\alpha, 0) | \alpha < \varepsilon_{M+1}\} \cup \{\chi(\alpha, \beta + 1) | \alpha < \varepsilon_{M+1} \wedge \beta < M\}$

16.4.4 Inductive Definition of functions $\psi_\pi : M \rightarrow \pi$ for $\pi \in \Pi$

- 1) $C^0(\alpha, \beta) = \{0, M\} \cup \beta$
- 2) $C^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma + \delta, \chi(\gamma, \delta), \omega^{M+\gamma}, \psi_\kappa(\eta) | \gamma, \delta, \eta, \kappa \in C^n(\alpha, \beta) \wedge \eta < \alpha \wedge \kappa \in \Pi\}$
- 3) $C(\alpha, \beta) = \cup_{n < \omega} C^n(\alpha, \beta)$
- 4) $\psi_\pi(\alpha) = \min\{\beta < \pi | C(\alpha, \beta) \cap \pi \subset \beta\}$

16.4.5 Properties of ψ -functions

- 1) $\psi_{\chi(0,0)}(0) = 1$
- 2) $\alpha > \beta \geq 0 \Rightarrow \psi_\pi(\beta) < \psi_\pi(\alpha) < \pi$
- 3) $\psi_\pi(\alpha) \in P$

We write $\psi(\alpha)$ for $\psi_{\chi(0,0)}(\alpha)$

Definition: $\alpha =_{NF} \psi_\pi(\beta) \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta) \wedge \beta \in C(\beta, \psi_\pi(\beta))$

16.4.6 Inductive definition of T

- 1) $0 \in T$
- 2) $\alpha =_{NF} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$
- 3) $\alpha =_{NF} \chi(\beta, \gamma) \wedge \beta, \gamma \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$
- 4) $\alpha =_{NF} \psi_\pi(\beta) \wedge \pi, \beta \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$
- 5) $\alpha =_{NF} M^\beta \gamma \wedge \beta, \gamma \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$

For better understanding of collapsing functions ψ_π we define for each ordinal $\alpha \in T$ its cofinality $\text{cof}(\alpha)$ and sequence $(\alpha[\eta])_{\eta < \text{cof}(\alpha)}$ such that $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha[\eta] | \eta < \text{cof}(\alpha)\}$

16.4.7 Definition of fundamental sequences for non-zero ordinals $\alpha \in T$

- 1) $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\alpha_n) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + (\alpha_n[\eta])$
- 2) $\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = 0$
- 3) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(0,0)}(0) \vee \alpha = \chi(\beta, 0) \vee \alpha = \chi(\beta, \gamma + 1) \vee \alpha = M \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \alpha \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \eta$
- 4) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(0,\beta+1)}(0) \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[n] = \chi(0, \beta) \times n$
- 5) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(0,\beta)}(\gamma + 1) \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[n] = \psi_{\chi(0,\beta)}(\gamma) \times n$
- 6) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta+1,0)}(0) \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[0] = 0 \wedge \alpha[n+1] = \chi(\beta, \alpha[n])$
- 7) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(0) \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[0] = \chi(\beta + 1, \gamma) + 1 \wedge \alpha[n+1] = \chi(\beta, \alpha[n])$
- 8) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta+1,\gamma)}(\delta + 1) \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[0] = \psi_{\chi(\beta+1,\gamma)}(\delta) + 1 \wedge \alpha[n+1] = \chi(\beta, \alpha[n])$
- 9) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta,0)}(0) \wedge M > \text{cof}(\beta) \geq \omega \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \chi(\beta[\eta], 0)$
- 10) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta,\gamma+1)}(0) \wedge M > \text{cof}(\beta) \geq \omega \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \chi(\beta[\eta], \chi(\beta, \gamma) + 1)$
- 11) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta,\gamma)}(\delta + 1) \wedge M > \text{cof}(\beta) \geq \omega \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \chi(\beta[\eta], \psi_{\chi(\beta,\gamma)}(\delta) + 1)$
- 12) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta,0)}(0) \wedge \text{cof}(\beta) = M \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[0] = 1 \wedge \alpha[n+1] = \chi(\beta[\alpha[n]], 0)$
- 13) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta,\gamma+1)}(0) \wedge \text{cof}(\beta) = M \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[0] = \chi(\beta, \gamma) + 1 \wedge \alpha[n+1] = \chi(\beta[\alpha[n]], 0)$
- 14) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta,\gamma)}(\delta + 1) \wedge \text{cof}(\beta) = M \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[0] = \psi_{\chi(\beta,\gamma)}(\delta) + 1 \wedge \alpha[n+1] = \chi(\beta[\alpha[n]], 0)$
- 15) $\alpha = M^\beta \times \gamma \wedge \gamma < M \wedge \text{cof}(\gamma) \geq \omega \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\gamma) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = M^\beta \times (\gamma[\eta])$
- 16) $\alpha = M^{\beta+1} \times (\gamma + 1) \wedge \gamma < M \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = M \wedge \alpha[\eta] = M^{\beta+1} \times \gamma + M^\beta \times \eta$
- 17) $\alpha = M^\beta \times (\gamma + 1) \wedge \gamma < M \wedge \text{cof}(\beta) \geq \omega \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = M^\beta \times \gamma + M^{\beta[\eta]}$
- 18) $\alpha = \chi(\beta, \gamma) \wedge \text{cof}(\gamma) \geq \omega \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\gamma) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \chi(\beta, \gamma[\eta])$
- 19) $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta) \wedge \pi > \text{cof}(\beta) \geq \omega \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\eta])$
- 20) $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta) \wedge \text{cof}(\beta) = \mu \geq \pi \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[n] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\gamma[n]])$ where $\gamma[0] = 1$ and $\gamma[k+1] = \psi_\mu(\beta[\gamma[k]])$

Limit of this notation is Λ

- 21) $\alpha = \Lambda \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[n] = \chi(\beta[n], 0)$ where $\beta[0] = 0$ and $\beta[k+1] = M^{\beta[k]}$

Note the similitude with the fundamental sequences of the functions collapsing α -weakly inaccessible cardinals previously seen.

	Examples	applied rules
1.	$\psi(\Lambda)[3] = \psi(\chi(M^M, 0))$	19, 21
2.	$\psi(\chi(M^M, 0))[3] = \psi(\psi_{\chi(M^M,0)}(\psi_{\chi(M^M,0)}(\psi_{\chi(M^M,0)}(1))))$	3, 20
3.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(M^M,0)}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(M^{\chi(M^M,0)}, 0))$	3, 12, 17, 19
4.	$\psi(\chi(M, 0))[3] = \psi(\psi_{\chi(M,0)}(\psi_{\chi(M,0)}(\psi_{\chi(M,0)}(1))))$	3, 20
5.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(M,0)}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(\chi(\chi(1, 0), 0), 0))$	3, 12, 19
6.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(1,0)}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(0, \chi(0, \chi(0, 0))))$	6, 19
7.	$\psi(\chi(0, \chi(0, 0)))[3] = \psi(\chi(0, \psi(\chi(0, \psi(\chi(0, 1))))))$	3, 18, 20
8.	$\psi(\chi(0, \psi(1) + \psi(1)))[3] = \psi(\chi(0, \psi(1) + 3))$	1, 5, 18, 19
9.	$\psi(\chi(0, 1))[3] = \psi(\psi_{\chi(0,1)}(\psi_{\chi(0,1)}(\psi_{\chi(0,1)}(1))))$	3, 20
10.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(0,1)}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(0, 0) + \chi(0, 0) + \chi(0, 0))$	4, 19
11.	$\psi(\chi(0, 0))[3] = \psi(\psi(\psi(1)))$	3, 20

These fundamental sequences can be reformulated like this :

- 3) $\psi_{\chi(0,0)}(0) = 1$
- 4) $\psi_{\chi(0,\beta+1)}(0) = \chi(0, \beta) \cdot \omega$
- 5) $\psi_{\chi(0,\beta)}(\gamma + 1) = \psi_{\chi(0,\beta)}(\gamma) \cdot \omega$
- 6) $\psi_{\chi(\beta+1,0)}(0) = [\chi(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega(0)$
- 7) $\psi_{\chi(\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(0) = [\chi(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega(\chi(\beta + 1, \gamma) + 1)$
- 8) $\psi_{\chi(\beta+1,\gamma)}(\delta + 1) = [\chi(\beta, \bullet)]^\omega(\psi_{\chi(\beta+1,\gamma)}(\delta) + 1)$

- 9) $\psi_{\chi(Lim_{\mu} f, 0)}(0) = Lim_{\mu}[\chi(f(\bullet), 0)]$ if $\omega_{\mu} \geq \omega$
- 10) $\psi_{\chi(Lim_{\mu} f, \gamma+1)}(0) = Lim_{\mu}[\chi(f(\bullet), \chi(Lim_{\mu} f, \gamma) + 1)]$ if $M > \omega_{\mu} \geq \omega$
- 11) $\psi_{\chi(Lim_{\mu} f, \gamma)}(\delta + 1) = Lim_{\mu}[\chi(f(\bullet), \psi_{\chi(Lim_{\mu} f, \gamma)}(\delta) + 1)]$
- 12) $\psi_{\chi(lim_M f, 0)}(0) = [\chi(f(\bullet), 0)]^{\omega}(1)$
- 13) $\psi_{\chi(lim_M f, \gamma+1)}(0) = [\chi(f(\bullet), 0)]^{\omega}(\chi(Lim_M f, \gamma) + 1)$
- 14) $\psi_{\chi(lim_M f, \gamma)}(\delta + 1) = [\chi(f(\bullet), 0)]^{\omega}(\psi_{\chi(Lim_M f, \gamma)}(\delta) + 1)$
- 18) $\chi(\beta, Lim_{\mu} f) = Lim_{\mu}[\chi(\beta, f(\bullet))]$ if $\omega_{\mu} \geq \omega$
- 19) $\psi_{\pi}(Lim_{\mu} f) = Lim_{\mu}(\psi_{\pi} \circ f)$ if $\pi > \omega_{\mu}$
- 20) $\psi_{\pi}(Lim_{\mu} f) = lim[\psi_{\pi}(f((\psi_{\omega_{\mu}} \circ f)^{\bullet}(1)))]$ if $\omega_{\mu} \geq \pi$

References :

1. Buchholz, W.; Wainer, S.S (1987). "Provably Computable Functions and the Fast Growing Hierarchy". Logic and Combinatorics, edited by S. Simpson, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 65, AMS, 179-198.
2. W.Buchholz (1986). A New System of Proof-Theoretic Ordinal Functions. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, Vol. 32, 195-207
3. M.Jäger (1984). ρ -inaccessible ordinals, collapsing functions and a recursive notation system. Arch. Math. Logik Grundlagenforsch, Vol. 24, 49-62
4. M. Rathjen (1990). Ordinal Notations Based on a Weakly Mahlo Cardinal. Arch. Math. Logic, Vol. 29, 249-263
5. http://cantorsattic.info/index.php?title=User_blog:Denis_Maksudov/Ordinal_functions_collapsing_the_least_weakly_Mahlo_cardinal:_a_system_of_fundamental_sequences&redirect=no

16.5 Maksudov's functions collapsing the least weakly Mahlo cardinal

This notation allows to obtain much simpler system of fundamental sequences.

16.5.1 Basic notions

Small Greek letters denote ordinals. Each ordinal α is identified with the set of its predecessors $\alpha = \{\beta | \beta < \alpha\}$.

ω is the first transfinite ordinal and the set of all natural numbers.

$P = \{\alpha > 0 | \forall \beta, \gamma < \alpha (\beta + \gamma < \alpha)\}$ is the set of additive principal numbers.

Normal form. $\alpha =_{NF} \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \wedge \alpha > \alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in P$

Cofinality of an ordinal α is the least length of increasing sequence such that the limit of this sequence is the ordinal α .

$\text{cof}(\alpha)$ denotes the cofinality of an ordinal α .

$R = \{\alpha > \omega | \text{cof}(\alpha) = \alpha\}$ is the set of uncountable regular cardinals.

M is the least weakly Mahlo cardinal.

Normal form. $\alpha =_{NF} M^{\beta} \gamma \Leftrightarrow \alpha = M^{\beta} \gamma \wedge \gamma < M$

$\varepsilon_{M+1} = \min\{\alpha > M | \alpha = \omega^{\alpha}\}$ is the least epsilon number greater than M .

In this notation $\alpha \in R \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \chi(\beta) \vee \alpha = M$. The variable π is reserved for uncountable regular cardinals less than M .

16.5.2 Definition of the function $\chi : \varepsilon_{M+1} \rightarrow M$

- 1) $B_0(\alpha, \beta) = \beta \cup \{0\}$
 - 2) $\gamma =_{NF} \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_k \wedge \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \in B_n(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma \in B_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
 - 3) $\gamma = \omega^{M+\delta} \wedge \delta \in B_n(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma \in B_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
 - 4) $\gamma = \chi(\eta) \wedge \eta \in B_n(\alpha, \beta) \cap \alpha \Rightarrow \gamma \in B_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
 - 5) $\gamma < \pi \wedge \pi \in B_n(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma \in B_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
 - 6) $B(\alpha, \beta) = \cup_{n < \omega} B_n(\alpha, \beta)$
 - 7) $\chi(\alpha) = \min\{\beta < M | B(\alpha, \beta) \cap M \subset \beta \wedge \beta \in R\}$
- Normal form. $\alpha =_{NF} \chi(\beta) \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \chi(\beta) \wedge \beta \in B(\beta, \chi(\beta))$

16.5.3 Definition of functions $\psi_\pi : M \rightarrow \pi$

- 1) $C_0(\alpha, \beta) = \beta \cup \{0\}$
 - 2) $\gamma =_{NF} \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_k \wedge \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \in C_n(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma \in C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
 - 3) $\gamma = \omega^{M+\delta} \wedge \delta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma \in C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
 - 4) $\gamma =_{NF} \chi(\eta) \wedge \eta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma \in C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
 - 5) $\gamma = \psi_\pi(\eta) \wedge \eta < \alpha \wedge \pi, \eta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma \in C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)$
 - 6) $C(\alpha, \beta) = \cup_{n < \omega} C_n(\alpha, \beta)$
 - 7) $\psi_\pi(\alpha) = \min\{\beta < \pi \mid C(\alpha, \beta) \cap \pi \subset \beta\}$
- Below $\psi(\alpha)$ is an abbreviation for $\psi_{\chi(0)}(\alpha)$
Normal form. $\alpha =_{NF} \psi_\pi(\beta) \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta) \wedge \beta \in C(\beta, \psi_\pi(\beta))$

16.5.4 Definition of the set T of ordinals which can be generated from the ordinals 0 and M using addition, multiplication, exponentiation and the functions χ, ψ_π

- 1) $0 \in T$
- 2) $\alpha =_{NF} \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$
- 3) $\alpha =_{NF} M^\beta \gamma \wedge \beta, \gamma \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$
- 4) $\alpha =_{NF} \psi_\pi(\beta) \wedge \pi, \beta \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$
- 5) $\alpha =_{NF} \chi(\beta) \wedge \beta \in T \Rightarrow \alpha \in T$

16.5.5 A system of fundamental sequences

For each non-zero ordinal $\alpha \in T$ we define its cofinality $\text{cof}(\alpha)$ and assign a fundamental sequence i.e. a strictly increasing sequence $(\alpha[\eta])_{\eta < \text{cof}(\alpha)}$ with length $\text{cof}(\alpha)$ and with limit α

- 1) $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\alpha_n) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \alpha_1 + \dots + (\alpha_n[\eta])$
 - 2) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta+1)}(0) \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \chi(\beta) \times \eta$
 - 3) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta)}(0) \wedge \omega \leq \text{cof}(\beta) < M \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \chi(\beta[\eta])$
 - 4) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta)}(0) \wedge \text{cof}(\beta) = M \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[0] = 1 \wedge \alpha[\eta+1] = \chi(\beta[\alpha[\eta]])$
 - 5) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta)}(\gamma+1) \wedge (\beta=0 \vee \beta=\delta+1 \vee \omega \leq \text{cof}(\beta) < M) \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \psi_{\chi(\beta)}(\gamma) \times \eta$
 - 6) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(\beta)}(\gamma+1) \wedge \text{cof}(\beta) = M \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[0] = \psi_{\chi(\beta)}(\gamma) + 1 \wedge \alpha[\eta+1] = \chi(\beta[\alpha[\eta]])$
 - 7) $\alpha = \psi_{\chi(0)}(0) = 1 \vee \alpha = \chi(\beta) \vee \alpha = M \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \alpha \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \eta$
 - 8) $\alpha = M^\beta \times \gamma \wedge \text{cof}(\gamma) \geq \omega \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\gamma) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = M^\beta \times (\gamma[\eta])$
 - 9) $\alpha = M^{\beta+1} \times (\gamma+1) \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = M \wedge \alpha[\eta] = M^{\beta+1} \times \gamma + M^\beta \times \eta$
 - 10) $\alpha = M^\beta \times (\gamma+1) \wedge \text{cof}(\beta) \geq \omega \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = M^\beta \times \gamma + M^{\beta[\eta]}$
 - 11) $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta) \wedge \pi > \text{cof}(\beta) \geq \omega \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta) \wedge \alpha[\eta] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\eta])$
 - 12) $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta) \wedge \text{cof}(\beta) = \mu \geq \pi \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[n] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\gamma[n]])$ where $\gamma[0] = 1$ and $\gamma[k+1] = \psi_\mu(\beta[\gamma[k]])$
- Let λ denote the limit of this notation
13) $\alpha = \lambda \Rightarrow \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha[n] = \psi(\chi(\beta[n]))$ where $\beta[0] = 0$ and $\beta[k+1] = M^{\beta[k]}$

	Examples	applied rules
1.	$\lambda[3] = \psi(\chi(M^M))$	13
2.	$\psi(\chi(M^M))[3] = \psi(\psi_{\chi(M^M)}(\psi_{\chi(M^M)}(\psi_{\chi(M^M)}(1))))$	7, 12
3.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(M^M)}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(M^{\chi(M^{\chi(M^M)})}))$	4, 7, 10, 11
4.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(M^2)}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(M \times \chi(M \times \chi(M))))$	4, 9, 11
5.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(M+M)}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(M + \chi(M + \chi(M + 1))))$	4, 9, 11
6.	$\psi(\chi(M))[3] = \psi(\psi_{\chi(M)}(\psi_{\chi(M)}(\psi_{\chi(M)}(1))))$	7, 12
7.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(M)}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(\chi(\chi(1))))$	4, 7, 11
8.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(\chi(0))}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(\psi(\chi(\psi(\chi(1))))))$	3, 7, 12
9.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(\psi(1)+\psi(1))}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(\psi(1) + 3))$	1, 3, 5, 11
10.	$\psi(\chi(1))[3] = \psi(\psi_{\chi(1)}(\psi_{\chi(1)}(\psi_{\chi(1)}(1))))$	7, 12
11.	$\psi(\psi_{\chi(1)}(0))[3] = \psi(\chi(0) + \chi(0) + \chi(0))$	2, 11
12.	$\psi(\chi(0))[3] = \psi(\psi(\psi(1)))$	7, 12

These fundamental sequences can be reformulated like this :

- 7) $\psi_{\chi(0)}(0) = 1$
- 2) $\psi_{\chi(\beta+1)}(0) = \chi(\beta) \cdot \omega$

- 3) $\psi_{\chi(Lim_{\mu}f)}(0) = Lim_{\mu}(\chi \circ f)$ if $\omega_{\kappa} < M$
- 4) $\psi_{\chi(lim_M f)}(0) = (\chi \circ f)^{\omega}(1)$
- 6) $\psi_{\chi(lim_M f)}(\gamma + 1) = (\chi \circ f)^{\omega}(\psi_{\chi(Lim_{\mu}f)}(\gamma) + 1)$
- 5) $\psi_{\chi(\beta)}(\gamma + 1) = \psi_{\chi(\beta)}(\gamma) \cdot \omega$
- 11) $\psi_{\pi}(Lim_{\mu}f) = Lim_{\mu}(\psi_{\pi} \circ f)$ if $\pi > \omega_{\mu}$
- 12) $\psi_{\pi}(Lim_{\mu}f) = lim[\psi_{\pi}(f((\psi_{\omega_{\mu}} \circ f)^{\bullet}(1)))]$ if $\omega_{\mu} \geq \pi$

Reference :

http://cantorsattic.info/index.php?title=User_blog:Denis_Maksudov/Ordinal_functions_collapsing_the_least_weakly_Mahlo_cardinal:_a_system_of_fundamental_sequences&redirect=no

16.6 Functions collapsing weakly Mahlo cardinals

16.6.1 Definition

An ordinal is weakly Mahlo if it's an uncountable regular cardinal, and regular cardinals in it (in another word, less than it) are stationary.

Let $M_0 = 0$, $M_{\alpha+1}$ be the next weakly Mahlo cardinal after M_{α} , and $M_{\alpha} = \sup\{M_{\beta} | \beta < \alpha\}$ for limit ordinal α . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0(\alpha, \beta) &= \beta \cup \{0\} \\
C_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) &= \{\gamma + \delta | \gamma, \delta \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)\} \\
&\cup \{M_{\gamma} | \gamma \in C_n(\alpha, \beta)\} \\
&\cup \{\chi_{\pi}(\gamma) | \pi, \gamma \in C_n(\alpha, \beta) \wedge \gamma < \alpha \wedge \pi \text{ is weakly Mahlo}\} \\
&\cup \{\psi_{\pi}(\gamma) | \pi, \gamma \in C_n(\alpha, \beta) \wedge \gamma < \alpha \wedge \pi \text{ is uncountable regular}\} \\
C(\alpha, \beta) &= \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n(\alpha, \beta) \\
\chi_{\pi}(\alpha) &= \min\{\beta < \pi | C(\alpha, \beta) \cap \pi \subseteq \beta \wedge \beta \text{ is uncountable regular}\} \\
\psi_{\pi}(\alpha) &= \min\{\beta < \pi | C(\alpha, \beta) \cap \pi \subseteq \beta\}
\end{aligned}$$

In this section the variables ρ, π are reserved for uncountable regular cardinals of the form $\chi_{\alpha}(\beta)$ or $M_{\gamma+1}$.

16.6.2 Standard form for ordinals $\alpha < \min\{\xi | M_{\xi} = \xi\}$

The standard form for 0 is 0

If α is a weakly Mahlo cardinal, then the standard form for α is $\alpha = M_{\beta}$ where $\beta < \alpha$ and β is expressed in standard form

If α is an uncountable regular cardinal of the form $\chi_{\pi}(\beta)$, then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \chi_{\pi}(\beta)$ where π is a weakly Mahlo cardinal and π, β are expressed in standard form

If α is not additively principal and $\alpha > 0$, then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$, where the α_i are principal ordinals with $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$, and the α_i are expressed in standard form

If α is an additively principal ordinal but not of the form M_{β} or $\chi_{\rho}(\gamma)$, then α is expressible in the form $\psi_{\pi}(\delta)$. Then the standard form for α is $\alpha = \psi_{\pi}(\delta)$ where π is an uncountable regular cardinal and π, δ are expressed in standard form

16.6.3 Fundamental sequences for the functions collapsing weakly Mahlo cardinals

The fundamental sequence for an ordinal number α with cofinality $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \beta$ is a strictly increasing sequence $(\alpha[\eta])_{\eta < \beta}$ with length β and with limit α , where $\alpha[\eta]$ is the η -th element of this sequence.

Let $L = \{\alpha | \text{cof}(\alpha) \geq \omega\}$ denotes the set of all limit ordinals.

For non-zero ordinals $\alpha < \min\{\xi | M_{\xi} = \xi\}$ written in the standard form fundamental sequences are defined as follows:

1. If $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ with $n \geq 2$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\alpha_n)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + (\alpha_n[\eta])$
2. If $\alpha = \psi_{\pi}(0)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha[0] = 0$
3. If $\alpha = \psi_{\chi_{\pi}(\beta)}(\gamma + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \begin{cases} \chi_{\pi}(\gamma) \cdot \eta & \text{if } 0 \leq \gamma < \beta \\ \psi_{\chi_{\pi}(\beta)}(\gamma) \cdot \eta & \text{if } \gamma \geq \beta \end{cases}$
4. If $\alpha = \psi_{M_{\beta}}(\gamma + 1)$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \chi_{M_{\beta}}(\gamma) \cdot \eta$
5. If $\alpha = \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \pi$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \eta$
6. If $\alpha = M_{\beta}$ and $\beta \in L$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = M_{\beta[\eta]}$

7. If $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta)$ and $\omega \leq \text{cof}(\beta) < \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \text{cof}(\beta)$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\eta])$
8. If $\alpha = \psi_\pi(\beta)$ where $\text{cof}(\beta) = \rho \geq \pi$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[\eta] = \psi_\pi(\beta[\gamma[\eta]])$
with $\gamma[0] = 1$ and $\gamma[\eta + 1] = \begin{cases} \psi_\rho(\beta[\gamma[\eta]]) & \text{if } \rho = \chi_{M_{\delta+1}}(\epsilon) \\ \chi_\rho(\beta[\gamma[\eta]]) & \text{if } \rho = M_{\delta+1} \end{cases}$

Limit of this notation is ν . If $\alpha = \nu$ then $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ and $\alpha[0] = 1$ and $\alpha[\eta + 1] = M_{\alpha[\eta]}$

These fundamental sequences can be reformulated giving the following recursive definitions :

1. Standard definition of addition of limit ordinals
2. $\psi_\pi(0) = 1$
- 3a. $\psi_{\chi_\pi(\beta)}(\gamma + 1) = \chi_\pi(\gamma) \cdot \omega$ if $\gamma < \beta$
- 3b. $\psi_{\chi_\pi(\beta)}(\gamma + 1) = \psi_{\chi_\pi(\beta)}(\gamma) \cdot \omega$ if $\gamma \geq \beta$
4. $\psi_{M_\beta}(\gamma + 1) = \psi_{M_\beta}(\gamma) \cdot \omega$
6. $M_{Lim_\mu f} = Lim_\mu(\xi \mapsto M_{f(\xi)})$
7. $\psi_\pi(Lim_\mu f) = Lim_\mu(\psi_\pi \circ f)$ if $\omega_\mu < \pi$
- 8a. $\psi_\pi(Lim_\mu f) = lim(\xi \mapsto \psi_\pi(f((\psi_{\omega_\mu} \circ f)^\xi(1))))$ if $\omega_\mu \geq \pi$ and $\omega_\mu = \chi_{M_{\delta+1}}(\epsilon)$
- 8b. $\psi_\pi(Lim_\mu f) = lim(\xi \mapsto \psi_\pi(f((\chi_{\omega_\mu} \circ f)^\xi(1))))$ if $\omega_\mu \geq \pi$ and $\omega_\mu = M_{\delta+1}$

16.6.4 Another system of fundamental sequences

For the function, collapsing weakly Mahlo cardinals to countable ordinals, the fundamental sequences also can be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= \{0, 1\} \\ C_{n+1} &= \{\alpha + \beta, M_\gamma, \chi_\delta(\epsilon), \psi_\zeta(\eta) \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta, \eta \in C_n \wedge \delta \in W \wedge \zeta \in R\} \\ L(\alpha) &= \min\{n < \omega \mid \alpha \in C_n\} \\ \alpha[n] &= \max\{\beta < \alpha \mid L(\beta) \leq L(\alpha) + n\} \end{aligned}$$

where R denotes set of all uncountable regular cardinals and W denotes set of all weakly Mahlos cardinals.

16.6.5 References

http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/List_of_systems_of_fundamental_sequences
<https://sites.google.com/site/travelingtotheinfinity/the-function-collapsing-weakly-mahlo-cardinals>

17 Summary

Any ordinal can be defined as the least ordinal strictly greater than all ordinals of a set : the empty set for 0, $\{\alpha\}$ for the successor of α , $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$ for an ordinal with fundamental sequence $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$

17.1 Algebraic notation

We define the following operations on ordinals :

- addition : $\alpha + 0 = \alpha$; $\alpha + \text{succ}(\beta) = \text{succ}(\alpha + \beta)$; $\alpha + \text{lim}(f) = \text{lim}(n \mapsto \alpha + f(n))$
- multiplication : $\alpha \cdot 0 = 0$; $\alpha \cdot \text{succ}(\beta) = (\alpha \cdot \beta) + \alpha$; $\alpha \cdot \text{lim}(f) = \text{lim}(n \mapsto \alpha \cdot f(n))$
- exponentiation : $\alpha^0 = 1$; $\alpha^{\text{succ}(\beta)} = \alpha^\beta \cdot \alpha$; $\alpha^{\text{lim}(f)} = \text{lim}(n \mapsto \alpha^{f(n)})$

17.2 Veblen functions

These functions use fixed points enumeration : $\varphi(\dots, \beta, 0, \dots, 0, \gamma)$ represents the $(1 + \gamma)^{th}$ common fixed point of the functions $\xi \mapsto \varphi(\dots, \delta, \xi, 0, \dots, 0)$ for all $\delta < \beta$.

17.3 Simmons notation

$Fixfz = f^w(z + 1)$ = least fixed point of f strictly greater than z .

$Next = Fix(\alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha)$

$[0]h = Fix(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha \omega)$; $[1]hg = Fix(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha g \omega)$; $[2]hgf = Fix(\alpha \mapsto h^\alpha g f \omega)$; etc...

Correspondence with Veblen's ϕ : $\phi(1 + \alpha, \beta) = ([0]^\alpha Next)^{1+\beta} \omega$; $\phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = ([0]^\beta ([1]^\alpha [0]^\alpha Next))^{1+\gamma} \omega$

17.4 RHS0 notation

We start from 0, if we don't see any regularity we take the successor, if we see a regularity, if we have a notation for this regularity, we use it, else we invent it, then we jump to the limit.

$Hfx = \lim x, fx, f(fx), \dots; R_1fgx = \lim gx, fgx, ffgx, \dots; R_2fghx = \lim hx, fghx, fgfghx, \dots$

Correspondence with Simmons notation : $\dots, [3] \rightarrow R5, [2] \rightarrow R4, [1] \rightarrow R3, [0] \rightarrow R2, Next \rightarrow R1, \omega \rightarrow Hsuc 0$

17.5 Ordinal collapsing functions

These functions use uncountable ordinals to define countable ordinals.

We define sets of ordinals that can be built from given ordinals and operations, then we take the least ordinal that is not in this set, or the least ordinal which is greater than all countable ordinals of this set.

These functions are extensions of functions on countable ordinals, whose fixed points can be reached by applying them to an uncountable ordinal.

Examples :

- Madore's ψ : $\psi(\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha$ if $\alpha < \zeta_0$; $\psi(\Omega) = \zeta_0$ which is the least fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon_\alpha$.
- Feferman's θ : $\theta(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha, \beta)$ if $\alpha < \Gamma_0$ and $\beta < \Gamma_0$; $\theta(\Omega, 0) = \Gamma_0$ which is the least fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(\alpha, 0)$.
- Taranovsky's C : $C(\alpha, \beta) = \beta + \omega^\alpha$ if α is countable; $C(\Omega_1, 0) = \varepsilon_0$ which is the least fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto \omega^\alpha$.

18 Comparison table

Name	Symbol	Algebraic	Veblen	Simmons	RHS0	Madore	Taranovsky
Zero	0	0			0		0
One	1	1	$\varphi(0, 0)$		suc 0		$C(0, 0)$
Two	2	2			suc (suc 0)		$C(0, C(0, 0))$
Omega	ω	ω	$\varphi(0, 1)$	ω	H suc 0		$C(1, 0)$
		$\omega + 1$			suc (H suc 0)		$C(0, C(1, 0))$
		$\omega \cdot 2$			H suc (H suc 0)		$C(1, C(1, 0))$
		ω^2	$\varphi(0, 2)$		H (H suc) 0		$C(C(0, C(0, 0)), 0)$
		ω^ω	$\varphi(0, \omega)$		H H suc 0		$C(C(1, 0), 0)$
		ω^{ω^ω}	$\varphi(0, \omega^\omega)$		H H H suc 0		$C(C(C(1, 0), 0), 0)$
Epsilon zero	ε_0	ε_0	$\varphi(1, 0)$	$Next \omega$	$R_1 Hsuc 0$	$\psi(0)$	$C(\Omega_1, 0)$
		ε_1	$\varphi(1, 1)$	$Next^2 \omega$	$R_1(R_1 H) suc 0$	$\psi(1)$	$C(\Omega_1, C(\Omega_1, 0))$
		ε_ω	$\varphi(1, \omega)$	$Next^\omega \omega$	$HR_1 Hsuc 0$	$\psi(\omega)$	$C(C(0, \Omega_1), 0)$
		$\varepsilon_{\varepsilon_0}$	$\varphi(1, \varphi(1, 0))$	$Next^{Next^\omega \omega}$	$R_1 HR_1 Hsuc 0$	$\psi(\psi(0))$	$C(C(C(\Omega_1, 0), \Omega_1), 0)$
Zeta zero	ζ_0	ζ_0	$\varphi(2, 0)$	$[0] Next \omega$	$R_2 R_1 Hsuc 0$	$\psi(\Omega)$	$C(C(\Omega_1, \Omega_1), 0)$
Eta zero	η_0	η_0	$\varphi(3, 0)$	$[0]^2 Next \omega$	$R_2(R_2 R_1) Hsuc 0$		$C(C(\Omega, C(\Omega, \Omega)), 0)$
			$\varphi(\omega, 0)$	$[0]^\omega Next \omega$	$HR_2 R_1 Hsuc 0$		$C(C(C(0, \Omega_1), \Omega_1), 0)$
Feferman -Schütte	Γ_0	Γ_0	$\varphi(1, 0, 0)$ $= \varphi(2 \mapsto 1)$	$[1][0] Next \omega$	$R_3 R_2 R_1 Hsuc 0$ $= R_{3\dots 1} Hsuc 0$	$\psi(\Omega^\Omega)$	$C(C(C(\Omega_1, \Omega_1), \Omega_1), 0)$
Ackermann			$\varphi(1, 0, 0, 0)$ $= \varphi(3 \mapsto 1)$	$[1]^2 [0] Next \omega$	$R_3(R_3 R_2) R_1 Hsuc 0$	$\psi(\Omega^{\Omega^2})$	
Small Veblen ordinal			$\varphi(\omega \mapsto 1)$	$[1]^\omega [0] Next \omega$	$HR_3 R_2 R_1 Hsuc 0$	$\psi(\Omega^{\Omega^\omega})$	$C(\Omega_1^\omega, 0)$ $= C(C(C(C(0, \Omega_1), \Omega_1), \Omega_1), 0)$
Large Veblen ordinal			least ord. not rep.	$[2][1][0] Next \omega$	$R_4 R_3 R_2 R_1 Hsuc 0$ $= R_{4\dots 1} Hsuc 0$	$\psi(\Omega^{\Omega^\Omega})$	$C(\Omega_1^{\Omega_1}, 0)$ $= C(C(C(C(\Omega_1, \Omega_1), \Omega_1), \Omega_1), 0)$
Bachmann- Howard ordinal				least ord. not rep.	$R_{\omega\dots 1} Hsuc 0$	$\psi(\varepsilon_{\Omega+1})$	$C(C(\Omega_2, \Omega_1), 0)$

19 Links

- <http://www.madore.org/%7Edavid/weblog/2011-09-18-nombres-ordinaux-intro.html> : Tutorial introduction to ordinal numbers in French
- <https://sites.google.com/site/pointlesslargenumberstuff/home/1/pglin?tmpl=%2Fsystem%2Fapp%2Ftemplates%2Fprint%2F> : Pointless Gigantic List of Infinite Numbers
- https://sites.google.com/site/largenumbers/home/appendix/a/infinite_numbers : Sbiis Saibian's !!! FORBIDDEN LIST !!! of Infinite Numbers
- <http://quibb.blogspot.fr/p/infinity-series-portal.html> : Professor Quibb's Infinity Series Portal
- http://googology.wikia.com/wiki/Ordinal_notation : Ordinal notation
- <https://sites.google.com/site/travelingtotheinfinity/> : Traveling to the infinity
- <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~hsimmons/TEMP/OrdNotes.pdf> : A short introduction to Ordinal Notations by Harold Simmons
- http://www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/~buchholz/articles/jaegerfestschr_buchholz3.pdf : A survey on ordinal notations around the Bachmann-Howard ordinal by Wilfried Buchholz
- <http://web.mit.edu/dmytro/www/other/OrdinalNotation.htm> : Ordinal Notation by Dmytro Taranovsky
- <http://arxiv.org/html/1203.2270> : Higher Order Set Theory with Reflective Cardinals by Dmytro Taranovsky
- <https://www1.maths.leeds.ac.uk/~rathjen/realm.pdf> : The Realm of Ordinal Analysis by Michael Rathjen
- <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168007287900790> : Ordinal notations based on a hierarchy of inaccessible cardinals by Wolfram Pohlers
- https://cage.ugent.be/~jvdm/Site/Research_files/DissertationJeroenVanderMeerenPrinted.pdf : Connecting the Two Worlds: Well-partial-orders and Ordinal Notation Systems by Jeroen Van der Meeren
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Veblen_function : Veblen function on Wikipedia
- <http://www.ams.org/journals/tran/1908-009-03/S0002-9947-1908-1500814-9/S0002-9947-1908-1500814-9.pdf> : Continuous increasing functions of finite and transfinite ordinals by Oswald Veblen
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ordinal_collapsing_function : Ordinal collapsing function on Wikipedia
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Buchholz_psi_functions : Buchholz psi functions on Wikipedia
- <http://www.madore.org/%7Edavid/math/ordtrees.pdf> : Ordinal trees
- <https://johnCarlosbaez.wordpress.com/2016/06/29/large-countable-ordinals-part-1/> : Large Countable Ordinals by John Baez, Part 1
- <https://johnCarlosbaez.wordpress.com/2016/07/04/large-countable-ordinals-part-2/> : Large Countable Ordinals by John Baez, Part 2
- <https://johnCarlosbaez.wordpress.com/2016/07/07/large-countable-ordinals-part-3/> : Large Countable Ordinals by John Baez, Part 3
- <https://medium.com/@joshkerr/mind-blown-the-fast-growing-hierarchy-for-laymen-aka-enormous-numbers-d9a865c6443b> : Mind blown: the fast growing hierarchy for laymen — aka enormous numbers
- <https://sites.google.com/site/largenumbers/home> : Sbiis Saibian's Large Number Site
- <https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLUZ0A4xAf7nkaYHtnqVDbHnrXzVAOxYYC> : Extremely large numbers (videos)
- <https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL3A50BB9C34AB36B3> : Ridiculously huge numbers (videos)
- <http://forums.xkcd.com/viewtopic.php?f=14&t=7469> : My number is bigger !
- <http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/%7Ejrh13/papers/reflect.html> : Metatheory and Reflection in Theorem Proving: A Survey and Critique by John Harrison
- <http://math.stanford.edu/%7Efeferman/papers/penrose.pdf> : Penrose's Gödelian argument by Solomon Feferman
- <http://www.turingarchive.org/browse.php/B/15> : Systems of logic based on ordinals by Alan Turing
- <https://coq.inria.fr/documentation> : Coq documentation
- <http://wiki.portal.chalmers.se/agda/pmwiki.php?n=Main.Documentation> : Agda documentation