

## Machine Learning and Data Mining

Summer 2015

### Exercise Sheet 8

*Presentation of Solutions to the Exercise Sheet on the 10.06.2015*

#### Exercise 8-1 Human Height

Assume that the height of a human from a finite population is a Gaussian random variable:

$$P_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

For independent  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}$  from such a population  $\mathbf{w} = (\mu, \sigma)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$  holds

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) &= \prod_{i=1}^N P_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i; \mu, \sigma^2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

- Determine the maximum likelihood estimator of  $P_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ .
- Compute the corresponding estimators for the four height datasets in the file `body_sizes.txt` and visualize the respective distributions. How does the estimator reflect the understanding of the underlying data?

#### Exercise 8-2 Linear Regression with Gaussian Noise

Let  $D, d_i = (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,M}, y_i)^T$ , be a dataset of size  $N$  with  $M$  features and an output  $y_i$  which depends linearly on  $\mathbf{X}$ . Due to erroneous measurements the inputs the inputs are noisy, i.e.:

$$y_i = x_i^T \mathbf{w} + \epsilon_i,$$

where  $\epsilon_i$  is the noise of data point  $i$ . Furthermore, assume  $\epsilon$  to be gaussian distributed:

$$P(\epsilon_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\epsilon_i^2}.$$

Given the variables  $\mathbf{X}$  and the model  $\mathbf{w}$ , we can then model the distribution of  $\mathbf{y}$  as follows:

$$P(y_i | x_i, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - x_i^T \mathbf{w})^2}.$$

- a) Determine the parameter  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  which maximizes the probability of the training data  $P(D|\mathbf{w})$ , using the *maximum-likelihood estimator*:  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}^{\text{ML}} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} P(D|\mathbf{w})$ .

You may assume that the  $\mathbf{w}$  are distributed independently of the input data  $\mathbf{X}$ .

- b) A common assumption for the a priori distribution of random variables is:

$$P(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\alpha^2)^{\frac{M}{2}}} e^{\left(-\frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} w_j^2\right)}$$

Compute the parameter  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  which maximizes  $P(\mathbf{w})P(D|\mathbf{w})$ . Does this give an alternative interpretation to the  $\lambda$ -term of the penalized least squares function (PLS)?