

CMSI 370-01
INTERACTION DESIGN
Fall 2014

Assignment 0925 Feedback

Because we have not yet fully explored the scopes of outcomes *1b* and *2b*, those proficiencies have a maximum value (for this assignment) of |. That proficiency, for those outcomes, carry the signal “keep doing what you're doing.”

Joseph Barbosa

jbarbosa1 / jbarbos1@lion.lmu.edu

The actual study looks decently executed and reported, but the analysis falls a tiny bit short. The foundation is great—guidelines, one for each application—and things start nicely, but in the end a more direct illustration of guidelines compliance or non-compliance by showing how those documents stated something *should* look would have driven the point home.

1a — +

1b (max |) — |

2a — This outcome assesses both study execution and documentation; it is the latter where things fall short. That last set of illustrations is not super-huge though still missed, but the really big knock is the significant lack of proofreading that is apparent in the paper. Typos, misspellings, other glitches...it really gives the impression that you wrote this paper through then was done with it. Not a good habit. (/)

2b (max |) — As mentioned, the lack of guidelines illustrations weakens the analysis a bit, but not enough to drag the proficiency down. (|)

4d — Good work with finding/referencing the guidelines...just make sure to cite them in the text too. (+)

4e — One commit, short of the images later (thank you). We need to work on that. (/)

4f — +