## CMSI 371-01

## COMPUTER GRAPHICS

Spring 2016

## Assignment 0329a Feedback

This is the last assignment where 3a tops out at | as we head toward the full expected functionality of your library code. Meanwhile, 3d also stays at | until we get sufficient functionality in our shaders.

## Joseph Barbosa

jbarbosa1 / josephb.eshs@gmail.com

Notes while running (high-priority notes are marked with \*\*\*):

- Three shapes noted, though we can't tell from running whether the child/group functionality is working. We'll have to look at the code for that.
- No shape-related test suite seen.

Code review (refer to <a href="http://lmucs.github.io/hacking-guidelines">http://lmucs.github.io/hacking-guidelines</a>/ for code-review abbreviations):

- 1. \*\*\* Tabs are lurking in at least one of your JavaScript files! (4c)
- 2. Nice variety of polygon mesh code seen. (+1b, +3a)
- 3. Child/group code is indeed present, and appears to be working. Just not tested. (+1c, 3a, +3d, 4a, 4b)
- 4. Although babysitter is a cute function name, it makes the code harder to understand because its name does not say what the function does; it violates the rule "Clarity is better than cleverness." (4b, 4c)

1b — +
1c — +
3a (max  ) — /We be coming down hard for lack of a unit test suite.
3d (max  ) —
4a — / Missing tests eventually translate into functional regressions
4b — / They also prevent refactoring or redesigning with confidence. Plus, babysitter.
4c - / Tabs and babysitter I never thought I'd put those two words on the same phrase
4d —
4e — / Commit frequency is too low for the amount of work involved. Messages are OK at least.
4f—  Enough work done by the due date, but not <i>started</i> until the <i>original</i> due date.