# Bottom-up Broadband Pilots in Europe (C4EU 5.1.2: Report on Selection of Opportunities and Projects - b)

Name Name, and Name Name

### **Abstract**

This is the abstract

#### **Index Terms**

Bottom-up-Broadband (BuB), wifi, super-wifi, fiber, sensor networks

# CONTENTS

I	Introduction	6
II	Selection Criteria and Pilot Selection	6
Ш	The Open Sensor Network Pilot	7
IV	The Free Europe Wifi Pilot	8
V	The Northern Quarter Network Pilot	9
VI	FFTx	10
VII	Conclusion	10

## LIST OF FIGURES

	LIST OF FIGURES	
1	A hybrid BuB deployment combining different technologies	7
	LIST OF TABLES	
ı	Technologies under consideration	7

#### I. Introduction

## II. SELECTION CRITERIA AND PILOT SELECTION

Out of the twelve pilot proposals that were collected in the first call for pilots [?], we selected a few of them to be considered within the C4EU project. The pilots we are focusing on are the Open Sensor Network pilot (OSN), the Free Europe WiFi pilot (FEW), the Fiber From The X pilot (FFTx), the Northern Quarter Network pilot (NQN) and the Mobile Mesh Node pilot (MMN).

A first selection criteria was the existence of a community that backed the pilot. For the Open Sensor Network pilot, it exists a closely related initiative called Smart Citizen (www.smartcitizen.me) that has rised around 18,000 Euro in crowdfunding, and therefore we believe there is interest from the part of the citizenship for these kind of technologies.

The ProvinciaWiFi solution in Italy has a huge user base that gives credit to the model. For this reason we believe that the extension of the model to other cities and countries may enjoy the same success.

The FFTx pilot provides bottom-up-broadband to only a dozen of families right now. However, as this bandwidth is distributed using the wireless community network, it benefits a considerably larger number of users. The fiber connections are so fast (1 Gbps) that the owners are happy to share it with others.

Another criteria for selection has been the diversity of technologies. Tab. I taken from [?] summarizes the advantages and shortcoming of the different technologies. At this stage of the project, SuperWifi is not yet mature enough to serve the goals of the BuB initiatives, as it is still in a research stage.

Regarding the distribution of the pilots with respect to technologies, there is one pilot for sensor nodes (OSN), two involving WiFi (NQN, FEW), two involving fibre (FFTx, NQN), and one involving a mobile mesh node (MMN). There are already some of the pilots that mix different technologies and the vision is that in the future, as they evolve, the different pilots and technologies can be seamless combined as in Fig. 1.

TABLE I
TECHNOLOGIES UNDER CONSIDERATION

Technology	Characteristics
Fibre Optics	Mature technology, wired, very high throughput, relatively expensive, does not create nor suffer interference, reliable.
WiFi	Mature technology, wireless, high throughput, more economic than fibre, limited by interference and spectrum saturation.
Sensors	New technology, wireless, low throughput (for battery-powered devices), open data.
Super-WiFi	Future technology, wireless, medium throughput, longer propagation distance and better penetration compared to WiFi, coexistence with incumbent networks.

# [width=]hybrid

Fig. 1. A hybrid BuB deployment combining different technologies.

We have also chosen pilots that can cover multiple cities in Europe. With the exception of the pilots involving fiber (NQN, FFTx) which by its very nature are localized, the others can be tested and demonstrated in any of the participating cities.

## III. THE OPEN SENSOR NETWORK PILOT

This pilot is focused on deploying a sensor network which would gather real time data from the environment, such as air quality, noise pollution, etc. This information would be then uploaded to an open data portal to make it publicly available. The ultimate objective of this project is to let developers use this data to build applications that can improve the daily lives of the citizens.

Since this project follows a *BuB* approach each user shall have its own node (or several), which, at the same time would add resources to this network. In case not all nodes aren't connected to the Internet there must be a protocol to interconnect these nodes.

As a power supply there shall be at least two options because each node should have some degree of independency. After all, there is not a predefined environment for these sensors to work on.

For this purpose common wireless protocols such as Wi-Fi, Bluetooth and ZigBee have been compared since this decision will have direct impact on the projectfuture. Although Wi-Fi and Bluetooth have good data rates they are not designed to have a low power consumption which is a key aspect. Also, ZigBee has a low complexity and the best range —around 550m—, apart from admitting several topologies. Its data rate would be its only drawback, but sensors don't transmit data this often hence Zigbee has been chosen to become the working protocol.

Several sensor board options were available. The university already had Crossbow Telos B nodes which run the TinyOS operating system. This option has many advantages such as built-in multithreading and low-level option tuning. Also, it is open source. Another good choice is Arduino, an open source prototyping system which is commonly used nowadays and has a very large community. Since it is designed with simplicity in mind it lacks the complete set of features that TinyOS can provide, and consequently it is more lightweight, which sinergizes with the project purpose of deploying a battery-powered wireless sensor network.

## IV. THE FREE EUROPE WIFI PILOT

The Free Europe WiFi project is based in the original idea that our Italian colleagues are working on. It is called Provincia WiFi, and tries to offer free WiFi internet connection to any Italian citizen. By now it is only available in some regions of that country, so the idea is to extend it to whole Europe. So, our work is to start establishing a similar project in Spain, always having full interoperability with the original project. Thereby, we want to take the next step to extend it to Europe.

In summary, the final idea is: being a European citizen, it is possible to connect to any of the various access point of our network, in order to enjoy of a free internet connection, in every country that participates in the project.

Notice the complexity, not only technical of the project, but also on every European country telecommunication laws. Every region has its own laws in reference of telecommunication organizations, and in the way citizen use the service. From keeping data from the connected users, to meet the rules of the market it's just an example.

Because of this wide range of different possibilities, it is difficult to create a totally generic prototype, so in order to design it there are many factors to consider.

# V. THE NORTHERN QUARTER NETWORK PILOT

This project consists, roughly speaking, on the design, implementation and testing of an optical fiber network in the Northern Quarter (NQ) area of Manchester. This network will provide public free Wi-Fi in that area of the city. The project will be led by the Manchester Digital Development Agency (MDDA), and all the designs and implementations will follow a model they have already developed.

The NQ is home to a wide range of SMEs from many sectors and is a good place for starting businesses to begin their activity and have a trading presence on a centric place of an important city. Providing public WiFi to the NQ will allow businesses to increase their revenues by increasing the number of customers and will give them a way to promote a big range of activities and/or events taking place in the zone. In addition, it is likely that this facts help to support the economy of the NQ area and of the whole city. As mentioned, the NQ area is like a small village in the centre of Manchester where most of the small businesses know each other, and work together to strengthen the economy of the city. This is an important relationship that can be intensified by the implantation of that network, and so the economy will be boosted.

One of the most important aspects of the project, apart from designing and deploying the network, is defining a good pricing model for commercial use. It is a basic point, because it is crucial that the network becomes self-funding and sustainable after the conclusion of the C4EU project. It is, somehow, a critical aspect, and the success of the pilot will strongly depend on the success of the pricing definition.

## VI. FFTX

The Rubi pilot consists of the design, implementation, testing and documentation of optical fiber in Rubi, but by some troubles, it has occurred delays in the implementation of fiber in Rubi, therefore without leaving aside this pilot we will realize a project called FFTx. This project will consist to implement the optical fiber under the Bottom-Up Broadband (BuB) model. The FFTx name is because the study includes the main fiber deployments -FFTH/FFTF/FFTP Fiber From The Home/Farm/Premises-.

To begin the project, we will do a study the BuB model, an investigation of existing fiber types and the advantages that they have over others transmission media. We will do too a comprehensive study on how the optical fiber in Gurb was implemented -Gurb is a municipality where has been successfully implemented the optical fiber under the BuB model-. Finally we will look for the possibility to carry out the implementation of optical fiber under this model in different municipalities (e.g. Rubi).

As you can see, the project has been divided in several tasks, each of them with an aim, but the part that consist of simulating the implementation of the optical fiber in different municipalities it is very important because if we can find the commons to develop and deploy this technology under the BuB model it would be a great contribution to our community.

# VII. CONCLUSION

And this is the conclusion.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This work has been partially funded by the European Commission (grant CIP-ICT PSP-2011-5). The views expressed in this technical report are solely those of the authors and do not represent the views of the European Commission.