Multiple Access Wireless Mesh Networks Research Plan 2012-2016

Jaume Barcelo

Abstract

Wireless mesh networks offer some fascinating research challenges and recent standardization efforts indicate that there is a strong demand for such networks. We identify this opportunity to contribute in an area which is relevant both to the market and the research community, and we outline a research plan for the next years. The goal is to develop and evaluate a semi-random backoff medium access control protocol for wireless mesh networks. This approach has the potential to reach collision-free operation which results in an efficient use of the available channel resources. As a further step, the protocol will be extended to accommodate multi-packet transmission and reception.

 $\textbf{Keywords} : \ \text{mesh network}, \ \text{medium access control}, \ \text{collision-free operation}, \ \text{cross-layer}, \\ \text{MPTR}$

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Key collaborations	3
3	Achieved goals and contributions	3

1 Introduction

We all use wireless access networks in our daily live. Wireless local area networks (WLANs) are a cost-effective high-speed to connect mobile devices to data networks and, in particular, to the Internet. As of today, it seems that the IEEE 802.11 technologies will continue to play a key role in wireless data communications in the upcoming years. IEEE 802.11 access points are deployed in busy locations and more numerous and closer to the user than cellular base stations. Therefore, they can absorb high volumes of traffic with modest investments.

It is fascinating that this highly successful and effective technology relies on random contention protocols. These protocols that have its origins in the Aloha network pioneered by Norman Abramson in Hawaii in the 70s have shown to be able to satisfy the bandwidth hungriness of the new century.

Still, to satisfy the ever-growing user expectations, there are several challenges to be addressed. The following items motivate this research proposal:

- Collision-free operation. As a result of the random nature or the MAC protocols, collisions occur which result in a waste of the radio resources. It has been shown in the past that it is possible to dramatically reduce the number of collisions when the number of transmitting devices is moderate. However, as the number of devices equipped with IEEE 802.11 increases as also increases the amount of data transmitted, it is necessary to design future-proof protocols that can support a multitude of contenders in a collision-free fashion. These protocols must take into consideration packet aggregation to fight the MAC bottleneck, which is described later.
- Implementation and prototyping. In order to appropriately test proposed protocols in a realistic use it is necessary to build prototypes. We are collaborating with the spearheading European project FLAVIA that is making possible to implement some new protocols in commercial off-the-shelf hardware (Broadcom WLAN interfaces). Apparently it is not possible to implement our modifications, yet. But this situation could change at any time.

Another opportunity for prototyping is to move to other technologies in which the operation of the protocols is slower and therefore can be implemented in programmable hardware. In particular, the protocols we are considering for WLANs have their parallel in RFID technologies. This opens the possibility of prototyping and making a contribution in a different technology and different products. A first over-the-napkin computation promises and order of magnitude reduction in the time required for an RFID book-case to read all the RFIDs of all the books which are placed in it.

- Multi-hop operation. In order to provide larger areas and offer increased coverage, it is important that wireless devices can relay packets over multiple wireless hops. There are early solution attempts to the construction of a collision-free operation in multi-hop networks. Nevertheless, it is necessary to refine them to further increase the efficiency of the system and attain a performance that is comparable to that of single-hop networks.
- Alleviate the medium access control (MAC) bottleneck problem. Despite the impressive physical layer (PHY) data rates offered by current standards (up to 600 Mbps in IEEE 802.11n), only a tiny fraction of it is available to the end user unless the MAC bottleneck problem is overcome. The MAC bottleneck refers to the fact that there is a fixed amount of time overhead per packet transmission. As the PHY data rates increase, the packets become shorter and the weight of the fixed time overhead is higher. Simply increasing the PHY data rate results in diminishing returns from the user perspective. The straightforward solution to the MAC bottleneck is aggregation, but when and how to aggregate is still an open question. Increasing aggregation may affect delay and/or jitter depending on the particular scenario and QoS requirements of the most stringent traffic might be violated.
- QoS in high data rate WLANs. If we want to use a single network to perform voluminous bulk data transfer (such as backup services) and voice over the same network, we have to be extra careful in setting the contention parameters of the wireless stations. If applying

priority schemes, fairness and abuse-prevention mechanisms need to be installed. The contention protocols need to be designed in conjunction with queueing schemes that can satisfy the different traffic classes while maintaining the simplicity principles that have paved the success of IEEE 802.11 until today.

• Management of antenna and spectrum resources. Current devices are equipped with multiple antennas and have the possibility to operate in multiple channels simultaneously. However, how to use this new capabilities to deliver satisfactory performance in a wide range of scenarios is still a matter of study. For example, an straightforward greedy approach of using as much bandwidth as possible is not necessarily the best solution in a setting where multiple networks need to share a limited amount of bandwidth. Regarding the availability of multiple antennas, they can be used for diversity, parallel streams between two devices (SU-MIMO), simultaneous streams involving more than two devices (MU-MIMO) and beamforming. There is not a one-size-fits-all solution that is the most beneficial in all scenarios and careful design choices are necessary to attain the maximum benefit from the available spectrum and antenna resources.

2 Key collaborations

All the work described in this report has been done cooperation with other researchers. When ideas come in brainstorming and discussion sessions, it is difficult to attribute the contribution to a single person. It should be clear that all the advancements have been the result of continuous collaboration. Key people that have been working with me in this area include, in alphabetical order:

- Alessandro Checco: Decentralized constraint satisfaction with sensing restrictions.
- Ken Duffy: Decentralized constraint satisfaction and coexistence of different schedule length.
- Boris Bellalta: Aspects of multiple antenna, multi-channel protocols as well as queueing processes.
- Azadeh Faridi: Modeling, verification and communication. Backward compatibility and fairness
- Nuria Garcia: Modeling and mathematical correctness.
- David Malone: Slot drift and other approaches to learning MAC protocols.
- Gabriel Martorell: Evaluation of the protocols in IEEE 802.11n channel realizations and interplay the auto-rate-fallback (ARF) algorithm and closed-loop MCS adaption.
- Joan Melia: RFID reference scenario, simulation, and prototyping.
- Luis Sanabria-Russo: Simulation and prototyping in the WLAN scenario. Hysteresis and fair-share.

3 Achieved goals and contributions

A first solution for the construction of a collision-free schedule in multi-hop networks has been proposed in []. This is a translation or the existing solution in discrete-time access channels to the continuous-time access-channel. In a single-hop network, all nodes can synchronize to the end of transmissions and use slotted MAC protocols. Contrastingly, in multi-hops networks, it cannot longer be assumed that all nodes can hear all transmissions and therefore it is not possible to keep tight synchronization.

Another contribution has been the extension collision-free protocols to WLAN (slotted) scenarios in which the number of contenders is very large []. The contenders adjust their schedule length in a distributed fashion and use packet aggregation in order to maintain fairness.

The firs results are very promising [] and now a throughout evaluation as well as further discussion with the community is required to validate the ideas.

A Discrete Time Markov Chain model to estimate the number of rounds required to solve a decentralized constraint satisfaction problem using a very simple solver has been described in [].

An abandoned line of work, which is nonetheless a contribution, is the creation of a prototype embedded solution that can sense the spectrum and report UHF channel occupancy. This device has a wired interface and several of them can be deployed to gather information of channel occupancy in a large geographic region. The prototype was demonstrated in [].

4 Next steps

Another step forward has been the modeling of link activation problem as decentralized constraint satisfaction problem. A first step is to create two graphs, one with the topology that describes the ability to communicate (and interfere) and one with the willingness to communicate. The graph representation of the topology is an important simplification and it is still an open question whether it is valid in practice. Furthermore, symmetry is assumed and therefore the first graph is an undirected graph. The willingness to transmit is assumed to be a directed graph.

Then the edges of the second graph become the vertices of a third graph, the interference graph, that describes how the communication links interfere with each others. Finding an activation schedule for this graph is similar to a graph coloring problem and can be addressed by a decentralized constraint satisfaction solver [].

References