

## **Protocol for the Examination of Specimens from Patients with Extranodal Germ Cell Tumors**

**Protocol applies to pediatric and adult patients with germ cell tumors located in the mediastinum, sacrococcygeal area, retroperitoneum, neck, and intracranial sites. This protocol does not include ovarian germ cell tumors or testicular germ cell tumors.**

### **No AJCC/UICC TNM Staging System**

The Children's Oncology Group Staging recommendations are included.

#### **Based on:**

CAP Cancer Protocol version: GermCell 3.1.0.2  
CAP Protocol Web Posting Date: August 2016  
AAPA Macroscopic Examination Template Version 2.0  
AAPA Web Posting Date: August 2018

#### **Revision History:**

None

#### **Summary of Changes:**

This protocol is revised to the current version of the CAP Cancer Protocol GermCell 3.1.0.2.

#### **Procedures Covered in this Protocol:**

- Biopsy
- Resection

#### **Authors:**

Darryl J. Kinnear, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM\*</sup>

Department of Pathology & Immunology, Baylor College of Medicine, Department of Pathology,  
Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX

Richard L. Daniel, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Department of Pathology, Nicklaus Children's Hospital, Miami FL

Jennifer A. Davidson, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Shane Ferraro, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Courtney Hyland, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

John Lehman, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Stephanie Miller, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Providence Health & Services, Portland, OR

Chandra Pettry, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Tina Rader, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

Erica Reed, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Mike Sovocool, MHS, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Pathology Associates of Syracuse, Syracuse, NY

Dennis Strenk, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Wisconsin Diagnostic Laboratories, Milwaukee, WI

Connie Thorpe, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>



**AAPA Macroscopic Examination Guidelines:  
Utilization of the CAP Cancer Protocols at the Surgical Gross Bench**

Department of Pathology, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO  
Jon Wagner, PA(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>

Department of Pathology, Sutter Roseville Medical Center, Roseville, CA

\*Denotes primary author. All other contributing authors are listed alphabetically.

**Previous Lead Contributors:**

None

**Copyright:**

**© 2018 American Association of Pathologists' Assistants. All rights reserved.**

The American Association of Pathologists' Assistants (the "AAPA") hereby authorizes use of The AAPA Macroscopic Examination Guidelines: Utilization of the CAP Cancer Protocols at the Surgical Gross Bench Second Edition (the "Protocols") solely by pathologists' assistants, pathology residents, and/or pathologists (collectively "Laboratory Personnel") within the laboratories in which they work for the purposes of processing of cancer cases and the education of Laboratory Personnel related to the processing of cancer cases (collectively "Permitted Uses"). The modification or creation of derivative works of the Protocols is prohibited. Any reproduction of the Protocols must be of the complete, unmodified Protocols and solely for the Permitted Uses of the Laboratory Personnel within the laboratories in which they work. Reproduction or distribution of: (a) only a portion of the Protocols; (b) all or a portion of these Protocols outside of the laboratories in which the Laboratory Personnel work; or (c) for commercial use of the Protocols beyond the Permitted Uses, is strictly prohibited.

The purpose of the Protocols is to support Laboratory Personnel engaged in the macroscopic examination of cancer resection specimens. The Protocols are based on specified relevant source documents, drafted by pathologists' assistant experts, and supported by information provided by the College of American Pathologists (CAP) and the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC). These Protocols are intended to serve patients by ensuring that the macroscopic examination of cancer resection specimens is compliant with CAP Cancer Protocols, the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, and provide optimization of the pre-analytic steps necessary to promote appropriate molecular studies.

The AAPA cautions that the use of the Protocols in practice may require the use of additional considerations that are beyond the scope of the Protocols. The AAPA does not offer medical advice or diagnoses, or engage in the practice of medicine. The information provided in the Protocols is not intended or implied to be a substitute for the Laboratory Personnel's own training, professional medical opinion, diagnosis, or treatment advice. All content, including text, graphics, images and information contained in the Protocols are for the above stated purposes only. Laboratory Personnel are encouraged to confirm any information provided in these Protocols with other sources. The inclusion of a product name, organization, or service in an AAPA publication, including without limitation the Protocols, should not be construed as an endorsement of such product, organization, or service, nor is failure to include the name of a product, organization or service to be construed as disapproval.

THE AAPA IS NOT RESPONSIBLE NOR LIABLE FOR ANY ADVICE, COURSE OF TREATMENT, DIAGNOSIS OR ANY OTHER INFORMATION, SERVICES OR PRODUCTS THAT LABORATORY PERSONNEL PROVIDE WHETHER OR NOT IN RELATION TO USING THE PROTOCOLS. THE AAPA DOES NOT WARRANT OR MAKE ANY REPRESENTATION REGARDING USE, OR THE RESULT OF USE, OF THE CONTENT OF THE PROTOCOLS IN TERMS OF ACCURACY, RELIABILITY, OR OTHERWISE. THE CONTENT OF THE PROTOCOLS MAY INCLUDE TECHNICAL INACCURACIES OR TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS, AND THE AAPA MAY MAKE CHANGES OR IMPROVEMENTS AT ANY TIME. YOUR USE OF THESE PROTOCOLS IS AT YOUR OWN RISK. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND WITHOUT WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. THE AAPA DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, INCLUDING ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, TITLE, OR NON-INFRINGEMENT.

TO THE FULL EXTENT ALLOWED BY THE LAW, THE AAPA, ITS MEMBERS, AFFILIATES, LICENSORS, SERVICE PROVIDERS, CONTENT PROVIDERS, EMPLOYEES, AGENTS, OFFICERS, AND DIRECTORS (THE "AAPA PARTIES") WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR ANY INCIDENTAL, DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, ACTUAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR OTHER DAMAGES, INCLUDING LOSS OF REVENUE OR INCOME, PAIN AND SUFFERING, EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, OR SIMILAR DAMAGES IN RELATION TO THE PROTOCOLS, EVEN IF THE AAPA PARTIES HAVE BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. IN NO EVENT WILL THE COLLECTIVE LIABILITY OF THE AAPA PARTIES TO ANYONE IN RELATION TO THE PROTOCOLS (REGARDLESS OF THE FORM OF ACTION, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, TORT, OR OTHERWISE) EXCEED THE MINIMUM AMOUNT ALLOWED BY LAW. SOME JURISDICTIONS DO NOT ALLOW THE LIMITATION OR EXCLUSION OF LIABILITY OR



**AAPA Macroscopic Examination Guidelines:  
Utilization of the CAP Cancer Protocols at the Surgical Gross Bench**

WARRANTIES FOR CERTAIN TYPES OF DAMAGES. AS A RESULT, THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS OR EXCLUSIONS MAY NOT FULLY APPLY TO YOU.

### **Molecular Considerations:**

Molecular studies are becoming increasingly valuable with respect to extragonadal germ cell tumors (EGCTs).

- When possible, procure at least 100 mg of grossly viable tumor to be snap frozen and submitted for molecular studies.

### **Cytogenetics:**

- Submit a sample of tumor in alpha MEM or other suitable transport media for cytogenetic analysis.

Cytogenetic studies can be of use, as many mediastinal germ cell tumors exhibit increased incidence of trisomy 8 and are seen in patients with Klinefelter syndrome and Down syndrome. The most common karyotypic abnormality for adult germ cell tumors is isochromosome 12p, but this aberration is very rare in children younger than 10 years. The presence of these abnormalities helps identify midline germ cell tumors presenting as poorly differentiated carcinomas with atypical features. Serum testing should also be considered as many tumors demonstrate elevated AFP, hCG, HPL, LDH and PLAP.

Many of the mediastinal germ cell tumors also have shown a clonal relation to some hematopoietic malignancies such as AML (megakaryocytic or monocytic differentiation; i.e., M7, M4, and M5). These diseases also frequently share the i(12p) isochromosome but may also yield translocations more typical of the specific morphologic phenotype; e.g., del (5q), trisomy 8. The germ cell component is typically yolk sac tumor, but immature teratomas and other nonseminomatous germ cell tumors are also described.

### **Immunohistochemistry:**

EGCTs typically show immunoreactivity patterns identical to their gonadal counterparts. In general, embryonal carcinoma, yolk sac tumor, choriocarcinoma, and the epithelial elements of teratoma all show cytokeratin AE1/AE3 reactivity. A dot-like paranuclear reactivity pattern to low-molecular-weight cytokeratin (i.e., CAM 5.2) is seen in up to 80% of mediastinal seminomas.

Newer markers show better specificity for germ cell tumors. Nearly 100% of seminomas and embryonal carcinomas show nuclear reactivity for OCT4. OCT4 is rapidly becoming the marker of choice for documenting germ cell origin (i.e., seminoma or embryonal carcinoma) in the work-up of an undifferentiated neoplasm. Yolk sac tumors and choriocarcinoma show cytoplasmic and membranous reactivity for the oncofetal protein glyican-3, with no significant reactivity in embryonal carcinoma or germinoma. Most recently, SALL4 has been shown to demonstrate strong nuclear staining in germinoma, embryonal carcinoma, and yolk sac tumors. If vascular invasion is suspected, blood vessels can be highlighted with immunoperoxidase staining for factor VIII, CD31, and CD34. Lymphatic markers, D2-40 (podoplanin) or LYVE1 may confirm lymphatic invasion.

*These tests can be performed on formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue sections. The macroscopic description should provide the fixative used. 10% neutral buffered formalin is the preferred fixative. It is recommended that the duration of fixation be provided as well.*

## **PROCEDURES AND GENERAL ANATOMIC CONSIDERATIONS:**

### **■ Procedures Covered by this Protocol:**

- Biopsy
  - Core needle biopsy
  - Incisional biopsy
  - Excisional biopsy
- Resection
  - Marginal resection
  - Wide resection
- Other (specify)

### **■ Specimen Size and Extent of Resection:**

- Provide three dimensions in cm.
- Identify and provide dimensions of attached structures.

### **■ Specimen Integrity and Adequacy:**

- Intact
- Fragmented

Provide an assessment of specimen integrity and adequacy:

- If the specimen is fragmented, state the number of pieces included and/or provide an aggregate measurement.
- State if a tumor capsule is present and if the capsule is intact, ruptured, or fragmented.
  - *Completeness of excision is an important prognostic factor.*
- Photograph the specimen for additional documentation.

*Definitive statements about specimen integrity should be provided, including positive (definitive statement that the specimen is intact) or negative (definitive statements that the specimen is not intact) statements. If not intact, statements should include, with as much clarity as can be provided, the anatomic location of the defects or disruptions, and should also incorporate statements which assess the relationship of any defects or disruptions to the tumor and final surgical margin. If defects or disruptions involve the tumor or margins and serve to hinder assessment of the final surgical margin, this must be stated. Consultation with the surgeon for clarification should be considered, as well as differentially inking the margin in areas affected by defects or disruptions. Completely fragmented tumors, which cannot be reasonably measured, should be accompanied with a maximal tumor dimension on the requisition sheet or through consultation with the surgeon.*

## TUMOR

■ **Tumor Size:**

- Provide measurement of tumor in three dimensions.
- Provide the weight of the tumor.
- Submit at least one section per centimeter of the tumor's greatest dimension.

■ **Explanatory Notes:**

*The number of sections submitted varies with the size and character of the specimen and the nature of the underlying neoplastic process. At least one section per centimeter of the tumor's greatest dimension is recommended if the tumor is a germ cell neoplasm because diverse elements may only be found with extensive sampling and may impact the tumor classification. The identification and quantity of neuroepithelial components is important for assessing immature and malignant elements.*

■ **Tumor Site(s):**

- Intracranial
- Head and neck region (including thyroid)
- Mediastinum (pericardium, heart, thymus and lung)
- Retroperitoneum/abdomen
- Sacrococcygeal
- Other (specify)

*Extragonadal germ cell tumors may represent a variety of tumor types and generally occur along the midline of the body. They can be benign (teratomas) or malignant. The malignant types can be either seminomas or non-seminomas (embryonal carcinoma, immature teratoma, yolk sac tumor, choriocarcinoma, or mixed germ cell tumors). Malignant mediastinal masses carry a poor prognosis with studies demonstrating over 70% mortality within 36 months, despite aggressive treatment.*

■ **Tumor Site Age Divisions:**

- Congenital/Neonatal (birth to 6 months) \*
  - Sacrococcygeal (most common GCT of the neonate)
  - Intracranial (large size may obliterate landmarks and obscure site origin)
  - Mediastinal (pericardium, heart, thymus, and lung)
  - Head and neck region (including thyroid)
  - Retroperitoneal/abdominal

*\*Neonatal teratomas may occur anywhere along the body midline, following the course of the embryonic germ cell ridge. These tumors have a similar morphology at each site.*

- Prepubertal/Child (7 months to 11 years)
  - Sacrococcygeal (presacral and pelvic)
  - Mediastinal (rare in this age group)
  - Retroperitoneal/abdominal (rare in this age group)
  - Intracranial

- Postpubertal/Adult ( $\geq 12$  years) \*
  - Mediastinal (most common in this age group)
  - Sacrococcygeal (considered to have been present since birth)
  - Head and Neck (frequent involvement of the thyroid)
  - Retroperitoneal (usually a metastatic site from an occult primary in the testicle or ovary)
  - Intracranial (uncommon in this age group)

*\*EGCT is one of the few tumor types where age affects prognosis, therefore conscientious and diligent documentation and sampling is imperative. Studies have suggested that age 12 years or older carries a significant adverse prognostic factor, especially for thoracic tumors, and thus may represent the transition point to adult type tumors.*

■ **Tumor Macroscopic Features and Relationship to Attached Organs / Structures:**

- Identify any areas of necrosis, fibrosis, hemorrhage, or cystic structures.
- The coccyx must be identified in resection of sacrococcygeal teratomas.

■ **Pathologic Staging**

As there is not an accepted officially recognized American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC)/Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) TNM staging protocol for EGCTs, the CAP encourages clinicians to use the Children's Oncology Group (COG) 4-tiered staging system. The WHO recommends using modified AJCC TNM staging. Keep the following criteria in mind when macroscopically examining all EGCTs.

**Children's Oncology Group Staging for any Malignant Extragonadal Germ Cell Tumors**

- Stage I: Complete resection at any site; coccygectomy for sacrococcygeal site; negative tumor margins;
- Stage II: Microscopic residual; lymph nodes negative
- Stage III: Lymph nodes involved by metastatic disease. Gross residual or biopsy only, retroperitoneal nodes negative or positive
- Stage IV: Distant metastases, including liver

**Anatomic Classification of Sacrococcygeal Germ Cell Tumors**

- Type I: Posterior extension (externalized) with no presacral involvement
- Type II: Externalized with pelvic extension
- Type III: Externalized with intra-abdominal extension
- Type IV: Entirely presacral (no externalization\*)

\*Externalization is posterior growth. Internalization is anterior or presacral extension.

**Moran and Suster Proposed Clinical Staging for Mediastinal Germ Cell Tumors\***

- Stage I: Well-circumscribed tumor with or without focal adhesions to the pleura or pericardium but without microscopic evidence of invasion into adjacent structures
- Stage II: Tumor confined to the mediastinum with macroscopic and/or microscopic evidence of infiltration into adjacent structures (i.e., pleura, pericardium, and great vessels)
- Stage III: Tumor with metastases
  - Stage IIIA: With metastases to intrathoracic organs (lymph nodes, lung, etc)
  - Stage IIIB: With extrathoracic metastases

\*Applies to adult (postpubertal) extragonadal germ cell tumors.

■ **Margins:**

- Apply ink to the external surface of the excision specimen.
- Assess the margin status; include orientation if provided by the surgeon.
  - State the distance of tumor from the closest margin in cm; specify margin.
  - State if the resection margin is involved by invasive tumor; specify margin.
- Note the adherence of the mass to adjacent structures, including nerve, vascular structures, bone, and other organs. \*
- Describe the gross extent of infiltration with pertinent negatives when applicable.

*\*The presence of these structures and their relationship to the mass may be used to either upstage the tumor or demonstrate lack of spread beyond the primary lesion.*

■ **Explanatory Notes:**

*Incomplete resection of a neonatal mature teratoma has been reported to recur in adulthood as adenocarcinoma. The presence of a non-germ cell malignancy in a sacrococcygeal germ cell tumor (SGCT) is a poor prognostic feature and requires complete surgical excision (this includes the coccyx) and consideration of chemotherapy or radiation therapy. The most common malignancy in a neonatal SGCT is yolk sac tumor, and correlation with serum AFP studies may be useful.*

## **LYMPH NODES**

### **■ Lymph Nodes: (if applicable)**

Depending on the location of the resection, lymph nodes may or may not accompany the specimen.

- Count the number of lymph nodes identified.
- Specify the site of the lymph nodes, if identifiable.
- Measure lymph nodes in three dimensions.
- Describe the cut surface of the identified lymph nodes.
- Submit small lymph nodes in toto.
- Serially section and entirely submit larger lymph nodes.
- If possible, submit lymph node sections so that the long axis of the lymph node is demonstrated.
- Take steps to ensure that an accurate lymph node count can be rendered.

*A rare finding in extragonadal teratomas of very young children is the occurrence of nodal gliomatosis in regional lymph nodes draining the teratoma. It is thought that this phenomenon is similar to peritoneal gliomatosis in ovarian teratomas. This finding seems to have no effect on the patient's clinical course and does not seem to warrant a designation of a "metastasis" upstaging the patient.*

## METASTASIS

### ■ Metastasis:

- If metastasis is present, specify site if known.
- Specify the size of largest metastasis.
- The most common sites of distant metastasis are liver and lungs. Relapses are most common at the site of the primary tumor.
- Metastases of EGCTs are disseminated by both lymphatic and hematogenous spread and by their infiltrative growth pattern. Intraabdominal and intracranial tumors can also spread via ascites and cerebrospinal fluid, respectively.

**REFERENCE REVIEW:**

1. Bethel CA, Mutabagani K, Hammond S, Besner GE, Caniano DA, Cooney DR. Nonteratomatous germ cell tumors in children. *J Pediatr Surg.* 1998;33(7):1122-1126; discussion 1126-1127.
2. Isaacs H Jr. Tumors. In: Gilbert-Barness E, ed. *Potter's Pathology of the Fetus and Infant*, Vol 2. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 1997:1242-1339.
3. Moran CA, Suster S. Primary germ cell tumors of the mediastinum: I. Analysis of 322 cases with special emphasis on teratomatous lesions and a proposal for histopathologic classification and clinical staging. *Cancer.* 1997;80(4):681-690.
4. Deb M, Mohanty S, Ananthamurthy A, Garg I, Das K. Atypical extragonadal germ cell tumors. *J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg.* 2012 Jan-Mar;17(1):9-15.
5. Yalcin B, Demir HA, Tanyel FC, Akcoren Z, Varan A, Akyuz C, Kutluk T, Buyukpamukcu M. Mediastinal germ cell tumors in childhood. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2012 Oct; 29(7):633-42. Epub 2012 Aug 9.
6. Gobel U, Schneider DT, Calaminus G, Haas RJ, Schmidt P, Harms D. Germ-cell tumors in childhood and adolescence. GPOH MAKEI and the MAHO study groups. *Ann Oncol.* 2000;11:263-71.
7. Horton Z, Schlatter M, Schultz S. Pediatric germ cell tumors. *Surg Oncol.* 2007;16:205-13.
8. Heerema-McKenney A, Bowen J, Hill DA, et al. Protocol for the Examination of Specimens from Pediatric and Adult Patients with Extragonadal Germ Cell Tumors. *CAP Cancer Protocol 3.0.0.1.* Nov 2011.
9. Rudinski E, Dishop M, Hicks MJ. Protocol for the Examination of Specimens from Patients with Extragonadal Germ Cell Tumors. *CAP Cancer Protocol Germ Cell 3.1.0.2.* 2016.