

Protocol for the Examination of Specimens from Pediatric Patients with Hepatoblastoma

Protocol applies to hepatoblastoma. Other malignant primary hepatic tumors are not included.

No AJCC/UICC TNM Staging System
The Children's Oncology Group Staging System is recommended

Based on:

CAP Cancer Protocol version: Hepatoblastoma 3.2.0.2
CAP Protocol Web Posting Date: August 2016
AAPA Macroscopic Examination Template Version 2.0
AAPA Web Posting Date: August 2018

Revision History:

None

Summary of Changes:

This protocol is to the current version of the CAP Cancer Protocol Hepatoblastoma 3.2.0.2.

Procedures Covered in this Protocol:

- Biopsy
- Hepatectomy, Partial or Complete

Authors:

Darryl Kinnear, PA(ASCP)^{CM*}

Department of Pathology & Immunology, Baylor College of Medicine, Department of Pathology,
Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX

Richard L. Daniel, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Department of Pathology, Nicklaus Children's Hospital, Miami, FL

Jennifer Davidson, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Shane Ferraro, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Courtney Hyland, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Monica Kendall, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

John Lehman, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Stephanie Miller, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Providence Health & Services, Portland, OR

Chandra Pettry, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Tina Rader, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

Erica Reed, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Aimee Ripley, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Mike Sovocool, MHS, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Pathology Associates of Syracuse, Syracuse, NY



**AAPA Macroscopic Examination Guidelines:
Utilization of the CAP Cancer Protocols at the Surgical Gross Bench**

Dennis Strenk, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Wisconsin Diagnostic Laboratories, Milwaukee, WI

Connie Thorpe, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Department of Pathology, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO

Jon Wagner, PA(ASCP)^{CM}

Department of Pathology, Sutter Roseville Medical Center, Roseville, CA

*Denotes primary author. All other contributing authors are listed alphabetically.

Previous Lead Contributors:

None

Copyright:

© 2018 American Association of Pathologists' Assistants. All rights reserved.

The American Association of Pathologists' Assistants (the "AAPA") hereby authorizes use of The AAPA Macroscopic Examination Guidelines: Utilization of the CAP Cancer Protocols at the Surgical Gross Bench Second Edition (the "Protocols") solely by pathologists' assistants, pathology residents, and/or pathologists (collectively "Laboratory Personnel") within the laboratories in which they work for the purposes of processing of cancer cases and the education of Laboratory Personnel related to the processing of cancer cases (collectively "Permitted Uses"). The modification or creation of derivative works of the Protocols is prohibited. Any reproduction of the Protocols must be of the complete, unmodified Protocols and solely for the Permitted Uses of the Laboratory Personnel within the laboratories in which they work. Reproduction or distribution of: (a) only a portion of the Protocols; (b) all or a portion of these Protocols outside of the laboratories in which the Laboratory Personnel work; or (c) for commercial use of the Protocols beyond the Permitted Uses, is strictly prohibited.

The purpose of the Protocols is to support Laboratory Personnel engaged in the macroscopic examination of cancer resection specimens. The Protocols are based on specified relevant source documents, drafted by pathologists' assistant experts, and supported by information provided by the College of American Pathologists (CAP) and the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC). These Protocols are intended to serve patients by ensuring that the macroscopic examination of cancer resection specimens is compliant with CAP Cancer Protocols, the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, and provide optimization of the pre-analytic steps necessary to promote appropriate molecular studies.

The AAPA cautions that the use of the Protocols in practice may require the use of additional considerations that are beyond the scope of the Protocols. The AAPA does not offer medical advice or diagnoses, or engage in the practice of medicine. The information provided in the Protocols is not intended or implied to be a substitute for the Laboratory Personnel's own training, professional medical opinion, diagnosis, or treatment advice. All content, including text, graphics, images and information contained in the Protocols are for the above stated purposes only. Laboratory Personnel are encouraged to confirm any information provided in these Protocols with other sources. The inclusion of a product name, organization, or service in an AAPA publication, including without limitation the Protocols, should not be construed as an endorsement of such product, organization, or service, nor is failure to include the name of a product, organization or service to be construed as disapproval.

THE AAPA IS NOT RESPONSIBLE NOR LIABLE FOR ANY ADVICE, COURSE OF TREATMENT, DIAGNOSIS OR ANY OTHER INFORMATION, SERVICES OR PRODUCTS THAT LABORATORY PERSONNEL PROVIDE WHETHER OR NOT IN RELATION TO USING THE PROTOCOLS. THE AAPA DOES NOT WARRANT OR MAKE ANY REPRESENTATION REGARDING USE, OR THE RESULT OF USE, OF THE CONTENT OF THE PROTOCOLS IN TERMS OF ACCURACY, RELIABILITY, OR OTHERWISE. THE CONTENT OF THE PROTOCOLS MAY INCLUDE TECHNICAL INACCURACIES OR TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS, AND THE AAPA MAY MAKE CHANGES OR IMPROVEMENTS AT ANY TIME. YOUR USE OF THESE PROTOCOLS IS AT YOUR OWN RISK. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND WITHOUT WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. THE AAPA DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, INCLUDING ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, TITLE, OR NON-INFRINGEMENT.

TO THE FULL EXTENT ALLOWED BY THE LAW, THE AAPA, ITS MEMBERS, AFFILIATES, LICENSORS, SERVICE PROVIDERS, CONTENT PROVIDERS, EMPLOYEES, AGENTS, OFFICERS, AND DIRECTORS (THE "AAPA PARTIES") WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR ANY INCIDENTAL, DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, ACTUAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR OTHER DAMAGES, INCLUDING LOSS OF REVENUE OR INCOME, PAIN AND SUFFERING, EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, OR SIMILAR DAMAGES IN RELATION TO THE PROTOCOLS, EVEN IF THE AAPA PARTIES HAVE BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. IN NO EVENT WILL THE COLLECTIVE LIABILITY OF THE AAPA PARTIES TO ANYONE IN RELATION TO THE PROTOCOLS (REGARDLESS OF THE FORM OF ACTION, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, TORT, OR OTHERWISE) EXCEED THE MINIMUM AMOUNT ALLOWED BY LAW. SOME JURISDICTIONS DO NOT ALLOW THE LIMITATION OR EXCLUSION OF LIABILITY OR



**AAPA Macroscopic Examination Guidelines:
Utilization of the CAP Cancer Protocols at the Surgical Gross Bench**

WARRANTIES FOR CERTAIN TYPES OF DAMAGES. AS A RESULT, THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS OR EXCLUSIONS MAY NOT FULLY APPLY TO YOU.

Molecular Considerations:

- **Freeze 100 mg (minimum) or up to 1 g of tumor and normal liver.**

First priority should be given to formalin fixed tissue for morphologic evaluation and immunohistochemistry. Numerous recent studies have documented molecular genetic abnormalities in hepatoblastomas. Collection of fresh or frozen hepatoblastoma tumor from macroscopically different regions as well as non-tumoral liver tissue (up to 1 g with a minimum of 100 mg) is of great importance to the further investigation of the clinical relevance of these and other molecular genetic abnormalities in predicting the prognosis and clinical behavior of these tumors.

Cytogenetics:

- **Submit fresh, sterile tumor in sterile tissue transport media for cytogenetic analysis.**

Cytogenetic studies are valuable. Karyotyping of hepatoblastomas has revealed a recurrent pattern of chromosomal abnormalities. The most common karyotypic changes are extra copies of entire chromosomes (trisomies), sometimes in conjunction with other complex structural changes and often in association with double-minute chromosomes. Trisomies of chromosomes 2 and 20 have been most commonly reported. The clinical significance of trisomies is unknown at present, although a recent study using comparative genomic hybridization has suggested that chromosomal gains at chromosomes 8 and 20 may be associated with an adverse prognosis. A unique translocation has been reported in undifferentiated small cell hepatoblastoma, a variant associated with a poor prognosis, although this cytogenetic variant has not been reported in other cases.

Immunohistochemistry:

Immunohistochemistry with glyican-3, beta-catenin, and glutamine synthetase (GS) may help differentiate hepatoblastoma from normal liver or other hepatocellular tumors, or aid in accurate diagnosis of the various hepatoblastoma subtypes.

These tests can be performed on formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue sections. The macroscopic description should provide the fixative used. 10% neutral buffered formalin is the preferred fixative. It is recommended that the duration of fixation be provided as well.

PROCEDURES AND GENERAL ANATOMIC CONSIDERATIONS:

HEPATOBLASTOMA (PEDIATRIC LIVER): Resection

■ Procedure:

Biopsy:

- Core biopsy
- Incisional biopsy

Notes:

Biopsy types include image-guided needle biopsy or open biopsy. While it is easier to obtain tissue for studies with open biopsies, a needle biopsy performed in interventional radiology is adequate as long as 5-10 needle cores are obtained. It is recommended that the radiologist obtain needle cores from different portions of the tumor to maximize sampling of all areas of the tumor. The region from which the biopsy is obtained should be noted if possible. If tumor involves more than one lobe, more than one lesion or area of the tumor should be sampled. These sites should be labeled separately, as different nodules in the same patient may have different histology and biology. Use of intraoperative frozen sections should be discouraged unless the operative procedure will be altered by the result (education of the surgeon may be required).

Biopsy Tumor Triage:

- Every attempt should be made to assess if tissue obtained is viable and can be triaged for other studies.
 - Obtain touch preparations (imprints) for tumor submitted for cytologic examination.
 - Snap freeze tumor and nontumoral tissue.
 - Submit tissue in sterile tissue transport media for cytogenetic analysis.
 - Submit tissue in cold glutaraldehyde for electron microscopy.

Resection:

- Right lobectomy
- Extended right lobectomy
- Medial segmentectomy
- Left lateral segmentectomy
- Total left lobectomy
- Explanted liver
- Other (specify)

■ Specimen Size and Extent of Resection:

Core Biopsy:

- Length and diameter, or aggregate measurement if multiple cores

Incisional Biopsy:

- Measurement in three dimensions

Hepatectomy, Lobectomy, Segmentectomy:

- Weight

- Measurement in three dimensions
- If gallbladder is included, measure in three dimensions
- Include measurement of diaphragm if included

Resectability is the key prognostic feature for all liver malignancies, with few exceptions. Unfortunately, 67% of reported cases are not amenable to primary surgery (Pediatric Oncology Group/Children's Oncology Group sample).

■ **Specimen Integrity and Adequacy:**

- Specify if the liver capsule is intact or disrupted.
 - A disrupted capsule may indicate intraoperative tumor spill.

■ **Tumor Triage:**

- First priority should be given to formalin fixed tissue for morphologic evaluation.
- Second priority for tissue processing is snap-freezing up to 1 gram (minimum 100 mg) of tumor from the grossly different regions for molecular studies.
- Samples of non-tumoral liver should also be collected for snap-freezing as well.
- Submit fresh, viable sterile tumor in sterile tissue transport media for cytogenetic studies.
- Samples from the same foci should be collected for histology, with appropriate identification.

■ **Photographic Map:**

- A photographic map or diagram of the external and cut surface is beneficial to demonstrate the exact site from which each section is taken.
 - Document margins in lobectomy specimens.
 - Document hilar and vena caval margins in explants.
 - Document the site of biopsy or specific regions of tumor sampling, including sections from various nodules.

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP STAGING

Stage 1	Tumors are completely resected, margins grossly and microscopically negative for tumor.
Stage II	Tumors are grossly resected with evidence of microscopic residual tumor. Such tumors are rare, and patients with this stage have not fared differently from those with stage I tumors in previous protocols. Resected tumors with preoperative (intraoperative) rupture are classified as stage II.
Stage III	(Unresectable) tumors are those that are considered by the attending surgeon not to be resectable without undue risk to the patient. These include partially resected tumors with measurable tumor left behind. They do not include grossly resected tumors with microscopic disease at the margins or resected tumors with preoperative/intraoperative rupture. Lymph node involvement is considered stage III disease and may require evaluation with second laparotomy after an initial 4 courses of chemotherapy.
Stage IV	Tumors that present with measurable metastatic disease to the lungs or other organ. * *Nodal involvement of the inferior phrenic lymph nodes or other lymph nodes distal to the hilar, hepatoduodenal ligament, or caval lymph nodes are considered distant metastases.

TUMOR

■ **Tumor Size:**

- Specify tumor size in three dimensions for each tumor.
- Specify weight for resection specimens.
- For resection specimens, sections should be taken from each major/dominant tumor.
- Submit representative sections from smaller tumors.
- Submit at least one section per centimeter of tumor(s).

■ **Tumor Focality (within liver):**

- Unifocal
- Multifocal

■ **Tumor Site(s):**

- Right lobe
- Left lobe
- Right and left lobes
- Other (specify)

■ **Tumor Extent of Involvement, Relationship to Attached Organs / Structures, Macroscopic Features, and Lymphovascular Invasion:**

• **Macroscopic Extent of Tumor at Operation:**

- Specify if tumor is confined to the liver.
- Specify if tumor extends into adjacent organ(s).
- Specify if tumor extends into adjacent soft tissue.
 - Diaphragm
 - Abdominal wall
 - Other (specify)

• **Macroscopic Features:**

- Identify any cysts, foci of bone, areas of necrosis, or hemorrhage. *

*Necrosis and hemorrhage are frequently seen in hepatoblastomas. Tumor within the liver may have an extremely variegated appearance signifying the presence of mesenchymal tissue and epithelial cells. Various types of mesenchymal tissues (e.g., osteoid, cartilaginous, fibrous, muscle) in the mixed type of hepatoblastoma may alter the color and consistency of the gross appearance.

Approximately 20% of the mixed type of hepatoblastomas contain a variety of tissues, including stratified squamous epithelium, melanin pigment, mucinous epithelium, cartilage, bone, and striated muscle. These tumors are designated as teratoid hepatoblastomas.

- **Lymphovascular Invasion:** *

- Specify if there is macroscopic portal vein invasion by tumor.
- Specify if there is macroscopic hepatic vein invasion by tumor.

**Documentation should include macroscopic vascular invasion versus intravascular growth found only microscopically, and whether it is present within tumor nodules or present in vessels of parenchyma outside of tumor nodules.*

■ **Margins:**

Resection Margins:

- State if the surgical resection margins are macroscopically involved or uninvolved by tumor.
- Apply ink to the surgical resection margins.
- Judiciously sample the cut surface in the region closest to the nearest identified tumor nodule; specify the margin.
- In selected cases, adequate random sampling of the cut surface may be sufficient.
- If the neoplasm is found near the surgical resection margin, the distance from the margin should be reported in cm; specify the margin and section perpendicular to the lesion.
- For multiple tumors, the distance from the nearest tumor to the margin should be stated.
- Vascular margins:
 - Submit sections of the following vascular margins if macroscopically identified: *
 - Portal vein
 - Hepatic vein
 - Inferior vena cava

**Dissemination of hepatic malignancies occurs within portal veins and follows the expected ready access of infiltration into hepatic veins, with frequent lung involvement.*

■ **Explanatory Notes:**

The evaluation of margins for total or partial hepatectomy specimens depends on the method and extent of resection. It is recommended that the surgeon be consulted to determine the critical foci within the margins that require microscopic evaluation. The transection margin of a partial hepatectomy may be large, rendering it impractical for complete examination. In this setting, macroscopically positive margins should be microscopically confirmed and documented.

Capsular Surface:

- **If macroscopically positive**, state and provide sections demonstrating the capsular surface where involved (penetrated) by tumor.
- **If macroscopically negative**, record the distance of invasive tumor from the closest capsular surface in cm, and provide sections showing the closest distance.
 - Specify the margin macroscopically involved.

■ **Explanatory Note:**

Capsular rupture of subcapsular masses either before or during surgery can upstage an otherwise resectable malignancy. Consequently, even if tumor does not penetrate the liver capsule macroscopically, if tumor is exposed by a defect in the liver capsule this must be stated and sections showing the defect and exposed tumor must be submitted.

LYMPH NODES

- **Lymph Nodes:** (if applicable)

Hepatic Regional Lymph Nodes Include:

- Hilar
- Hepatoduodenal ligament
- Caval

Histologic examination of a regional lymphadenectomy specimen usually involves examination of 3 or more lymph nodes:

- Count the number of lymph nodes identified.
- Specify the location, if known.
- Measure the lymph nodes in three dimensions.
- Describe the cut surface of the lymph nodes.
- Submit all lymph nodes for microscopic examination.
 - Submit small lymph nodes in toto.
 - Serially section and entirely submit larger macroscopically negative lymph nodes.
 - Representative sections from macroscopically positive nodes are adequate.
- If possible, submit lymph node sections so that the long axis of the lymph node is demonstrated.
- Take steps to ensure that an accurate lymph node count can be rendered.

METASTASIS

■ Metastasis:

Dissemination of hepatic malignancies occurs within portal veins and follows the expected ready access of infiltration into hepatic veins, with frequent lung involvement. Further spread to the brain may occur. Hilar lymph node metastases are relatively infrequent, but capsular rupture of subcapsular masses either before or during surgery can upstage an otherwise resectable malignancy.

Distant Metastasis:

Distant metastasis includes nodal involvement of the inferior phrenic lymph nodes or other lymph nodes distal to the hilum, hepatoduodenal ligament, or caval region.

REFERENCE REVIEW:

1. Finegold MJ. Hepatic tumors in childhood. In: Russo P, Ruchelli ED, Piccoli D, eds. *Pathology of Pediatric Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 2004:300-346.
2. Ortega JA, Douglass EC, Feusner JH, et al. Randomized comparison of cisplatin/vincristine/fluorouracil and cisplatin/continuous infusion doxorubicin for treatment of pediatric hepatoblastoma: a report from the Children's Cancer Group and the Pediatric Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000;18:2665-2675.
3. Faria P, Beckwith JB, Mishra K, et al. Focal versus diffuse anaplasia in Wilms tumor—new definitions with prognostic significance: a report from the National Wilms Tumor Study Group. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 1996;20:909-920.
4. Heifetz SA, French M, Correa M, et al. Hepatoblastoma: the Indiana experience with preoperative chemotherapy for inoperable tumors—clinicopathological considerations. *Pediatr Pathol Lab Med*. 1997;17:857-874.
5. Reynolds M. Pediatric liver tumors. *Semin Surg Oncol*. 1999;16:159-172.
6. Schnater JM, Aronson DC, Plaschkes J, et al. Surgical view of the treatment of patients with hepatoblastoma: results from the first prospective trial of the International Society of Pediatric Oncology Liver Tumor Study Group. *Cancer*. 2002;94:1111-1120.
7. Vos A. Primary liver tumours in children. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 1995;21:101-105.
8. Finegold MJ, Bowen J, Lopez-Terrada D, et al. Protocol for the Examination of Specimens from Pediatric Patients with Hepatoblastoma. *CAP Cancer Protocol* 3.1.1.0. October 2013.
9. Stocker J. *Stocker & Dehner's pediatric pathology*, 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2011.
10. Rudzinski E, Ranganathan S, Hicks J, Kim G. Protocol for the Examination of Specimens from Pediatric Patients with Hepatoblastoma. *CAP Cancer Protocol Hepatoblastoma* 3.2.0.2. August 2016.