

How Does the Current Drought affect the Colorado River?

https://github.com/jbc70/Water_Data_Analytics_FinalProject

Jack Carpenter

1 Rationale and Research Questions

The Colorado River Basin is experiencing a 20+ year drought that is repeatedly referred to as a ‘megadrought’ in the news media. The goal of this project is to visualize the differences in discharge of the Colorado River and some of its major tributaries over the last 20 years compared to earlier, wetter, more ‘normal’ time frames. In a snowpack-fueled system like the Colorado, timing is also important because runoff provides much of the water entering the system and earlier runoff can make droughts more severe later in the year.

Due to the size and managed nature of the system, different regions in the basin may also be experiencing the drought differently. Another aspect of this project is to look at the different sites to see how flows are different in different parts of the basin.

Questions:

1. How do discharge and baseflow change through the current drought. Is there noticeable change?
 - 1.a. How do different parts of the basin look during the drought? Are there significant differences by location?


2 Dataset Information

Data was pulled from the United States Geologic Survey's (USGS) National Water Information System (NWIS) using the dataRetrieval package. Significant tributaries sampled alongside the Colorado include the Gunnison, Yampa, San Juan, Dolores, Green, Gila, and Virgin Rivers. Other relatively large tributaries exist, including the Little Colorado, Roaring Fork, and White rivers, but due to data constraints and an interest in keeping the size of the project under control only the largest tributaries with relatively consistent data were sampled. The gage sites selected were selected both for location convenience and the length of time the gage has been active. Most of these gages have been active since the 1950s, with several active as far back as the early 1900s. Since the data comes from the USGS, it has been subjected to rigorous cleaning and quality approval processes so it is considered to be accurate and trustworthy.

Table 1: USGS Gages sampled.

Gage Number	Location
09209400	Green River above Fontenelle Reservoir, WY
09058000	CO River near Kremmling, CO
09234500	Green River below Flaming Gorge Dam
09251000	Yampa River upstream of junction w/ Green
09095500	CO River upstream of Grand Junction, CO
09152500	Gunnison River near Grand Junction, CO
09315000	Green River at Green River, UT
09163500	CO River downstream of Grand Junction, CO
09180000	Dolores River just upstream of junction w/ Colorado
09379500	San Juan River upstream of Lake Powell (intersection w/ the CO)
09380000	CO River at Lee's Ferry, AZ
09421500	CO River below Hoover Dam
09520500	Gila River above Yuma, AZ
09429490	CO River above Imperial Dam

3 Exploratory Analysis



First thing's first: take a look at what data we have to work with.

After initial visualization, the Gila river data has been thrown out because there are so many zeroes. This is potentially due to the fact that this gage site is very near Yuma, Arizona where there is significant agriculture. Diversions in this area could be artificially lowering the level of the river there because it is such a heavily agricultural area.

The next step in exploratory analysis is to wrangle the data into something useful. In this case, that means separating the data by gage. This could have been done by reading each gage in separately, but in this case it was done by filtering the large set already read in.

The next step was to separate the current drought from a previous period. The current drought has been running since the year 2000, so the dividing line was 1999 at the end of the water year (September 30th). Similarly using the water year, the previous period was determined to start in 1964, on October 1st. This year was chosen because Lake Powell started filling in 1963, so a significant change due to the dam would not be captured in the data. Both this prior period and the current drought were plotted by the Day of the Year to take a look at things and just see visually if there were any significant differences. There weren't any huge differences, but the plots are pretty neat.

The last wrangling steps were to create summary datasets to look at annual changes, although not pictured. Discharge in cubic feet per second was converted to acre-feet per year and averaged over a given water year. Using the lf-stat package, baseflow and stormflow were also calculated. Baseflow is a measure of the amount of water in a stream that comes from slower




Figure 1: Discharge plotted by Day of Year (1999-2022)




Figure 2: Discharge plotted by Day of Year (1964-1999)

sources that consistently feeds the stream - like infiltration or runoff that seeps through soil and makes its way into the river bed. Stormflow is surface flow during a precipitation or melting event, and everything but stormflow is baseflow. In the following analysis, baseflow is examined to see if the source of the water in the river is potentially changing.

4 Analysis

4.1 Question: Is there significant change in discharge and baseflow through the current drought compared to the previous 35 years?

Interestingly, discharge does not appear to have changed much between the two periods, but there is some change. Between 1964 and 1999 the average annual discharge was about 3.8 million acre-feet per year compared to about 3.1 million acre-feet per year between 1999 and 2021. The decrease is significant - about 700,000 acre-feet per year is no small change but smaller than anticipated. Figure X displays that while there was no huge shift in discharge at any one site, there was some interannual variability in discharge, but at different times in the Upper and Lower basins. Prior to the drought in the Lower Basin there appears to be more variability - sites at Lee's Ferry, Hoover Dam, and Imperial Dam all appear to have more range in the earlier time frame. The Upper Basin sites (those other than the three listed above) appear to have slightly more discharge variability during the drought, if anything.




Figure 3: Annual Discharge per site during previous years and the current drought

Looking at discharge over time, we can see that there is a decreasing trend in many of the sites examined. In fact, the only sites that don't appear to have a decreasing trend are the Colorado at Kremmling, CO and below Hoover Dam, which actually appears to be increasing. The most significant decrease appears to be above Imperial Dam, which is the last dam before the river enters Mexico. This decrease doesn't align super well with the drought and is more likely due to management decisions made between the U.S. and Mexico to deal with salinity issues in the river entering Mexico.




Figure 4: Annual Discharge over time

Interestingly, we can see that the proportion of flows made up by baseflow is increasing over time. I'm not entirely sure why that is the case, but to me it shows the basin getting drier. A higher proportion baseflow combined with a decrease in discharge means that the baseflow is what is decreasing, either due to reduced snowpack and runoff, drier soils, or a combination of the two.

```
## Warning: Removed 1 rows containing missing values (geom_point).
```




Figure 5: Annual Discharge over time on log scale




Figure 6: Annual Proportion of Baseflow Over Time

5 Summary and Conclusions

The Colorado River Basin is experiencing extreme drought that is starting to show impacts on the river. There is a significant difference in mean discharge between the two time periods, about 700,000 acre-feet across the whole system. This is a crude figure, but importantly shows that there is a significant decrease in water in the system. The lower basin appears to be exhibiting more stability during the drought, potentially due to management shifts in the 1990s with the completion of the Central Arizona Project,