

Information Theory and Coding - Prof. Emere Telatar

Jean-Baptiste Cordonnier, Sebastien Speierer, Thomas Batschelet

October 5, 2017

1 Data compression

Given an alphabet \mathcal{U} (e.g. $\mathcal{U} = \{a, \dots, z, A, \dots, Z, \dots\}$), we want to assign binary sequences to elements of \mathcal{U} , i.e.

$$e : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow 0, 1^* = \{\emptyset, 0, 1, 00, 01, \dots\}$$

For \mathcal{X} a set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}^n &\equiv \{(x_0 \dots x_n), x_i \in \mathcal{X}\} \\ \mathcal{X}^* &\equiv \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{X}^n\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1. A code \mathcal{C} is called *singular* if

$$\exists (u, v) \in \mathcal{U}^2, u \neq v \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathcal{C}(u) = \mathcal{C}(v)$$

Non singular code is defined as opposite

Definition 1.2. A code \mathcal{C} is called *uniquely decodable* if

$$\forall u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathcal{U}^* \quad \text{s.t.} \quad u_1, \dots, u_n \neq v_1, \dots, v_n$$

we have

$$\mathcal{C}(u_1)\mathcal{C}(u_n) \neq \mathcal{C}(v_1)\mathcal{C}(v_n)$$

i.e., \mathcal{C}^* is non-singular

Definition 1.3. Suppose $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ and $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ we can define

$$\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

as

$$(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D})(u, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(u)\mathcal{D}(v)$$

Definition 1.4. Given $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$, define

$$\mathcal{C}^* : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

as

$$\mathcal{C}^*(u_1, u_n) = \mathcal{C}(u_1) \dots \mathcal{C}(u_n)$$

1.0.1 Markov chains

For a Markov Chain $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D$, the joint probability distribution of the RVs should be $p(a)p(b|a)p(c|b)p(d|c)$

- The reverse of a MC is a MC

Kraft-sum Definition: The Kraftsum of a code C is $KS(C) = \sum_u 2^{-|C(u)|}$

- if C is prefix free then $KS(C) \leq 1$
- if C is non singular, then $KS(C) \leq 1 + \min_u |C(u)|$
- $KS(C^n) = KS(C)^n$

Theorem: for any U and associated $p(u)$ there exists a prefix free code C s.t.

$$E[|C(U)|] < 1 + \sum_{u \in U} p(u) \log \frac{1}{p(u)}$$

Theorem: if $KS(C) \leq 1$ then there exists a prefix free code C' such that $|C(u)| = |C'(u)|$ for all u

Corollar: if C is uniquely decodable, then there exists C' that is prefix free with the same word lengths

Entropy Definition: the entropy of a random variable U is

$$H(U) = \sum_{u \in U} p(u) \log \frac{1}{p(u)} = E_U \left[\log \frac{1}{p(u)} \right]$$

Theorem: if C is uniquely decodable then $E[|C(U)|] \geq H(U)$

Properties of optimal prefix free codes

1. $p(u) < p(v) \rightarrow |u| \geq |v|$
2. The two longest codewords have the same length
3. The 2 least probable letters are assigned codewords that differ in the last bit

1.0.2 Hoffman algorithm

- Combine the 2 least likely symbols
- Sum their probability and assign it a new fictive symbol
- Repeat

2 Entropy and mutual information

Definition 2.1 (Joint entropy). Suppose U, V are Random Variables with $p(u, v) = P(U = u, V = v)$, the joint entropy is

$$H(UV) = \sum_{u, v} p(u, v) \log \frac{1}{p(u, v)}$$

Theorem 2.1.

$$H(UV) \leq H(U) + H(V)$$

with equality iff U and V are independants.

Proof. We want to show that

$$\sum_{u,v} p(u,v) \log \frac{1}{p(u,v)} \leq \sum_u p(u) \log \frac{1}{p(u)} + \sum_v p(v) \log \frac{1}{p(v)} \iff \sum_{u,v} p(u,v) \log \frac{p(u)p(v)}{p(u,v)} \leq 0$$

We use $\ln z \leq z - 1 \ \forall z$ (with equality iff $z = 1$):

$$\sum_{u,v} p(u,v) \log \frac{p(u)p(v)}{p(u,v)} \leq \sum_{u,v} p(u,v) \left[\frac{p(u)p(v)}{p(u,v)} - 1 \right] = \sum_{u,v} p(u)p(v) - \sum_{u,v} p(u,v) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

□

Same definitions of entropy holds for n symbols.

Definition 2.2 (Joint Entropy). Suppose U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n are RVs and we are given $p(u_1 \dots u_n)$, the joint entropy is

$$H(U_1, \dots, U_n) = \sum_{u_1 \dots u_n} p(u_1 \dots u_n) \log \frac{1}{p(u_1 \dots u_n)}$$

Theorem 2.2.

$$H(U_1, \dots, U_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n H(U_i)$$

with equality iff U s are independants

Corollary 2.2.1. if U_1, \dots, U_n are i.i.d. then $H(U_1 \dots U_n) = nH(U_1)$

Definition 2.3 (Conditional entropy).

$$H(U|V) = \sum_{u,v} p(u,v) \log \frac{1}{p(u|v)}$$

Theorem 2.3.

$$H(UV) = H(U) + H(V|U) = H(V) + H(U|V)$$

Theorem 2.4.

$$H(U) + H(V) \geq H(U, V) = H(V) + H(U|V)$$

Definition 2.4 (Mutual information).

$$\begin{aligned} I(U; V) &= I(V; U) = H(U) - H(U|V) \\ &= H(V) - H(V|U) \\ &= H(U) + H(V) - H(UV) \end{aligned}$$

We can apply the chain rule on the entropy as follow

$$H(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) = H(U_1) + H(U_2|U_1) + \dots + H(U_n|U_1, U_2 \dots U_{n-1})$$

Definition 2.5 (Conditional mutual information).

$$\begin{aligned} I(U; V|W) &= H(U|W) - H(U|VW) \\ &= H(V|W) - H(V|UW) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{u,v,w} \left[\log \frac{p(uv|w)}{p(u|w)p(v|w)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.5.

$$I(V; U_1 \dots U_n) = I(V; U_1) + I(V; U_2|U_1) + \dots + I(V; U_n|U_1 \dots U_{n-1})$$

Notation 1.

$$U^n \triangleq (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$$

Theorem 2.6.

$$I(U; V|W) \geq 0$$

equality iff conditioned on w , u and v are independant, that is iff $U - V - W$ is a Markov chain.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} I(U; V|W) &= \frac{1}{\ln 2} \sum_{u,v,w} p(u, v, w) \ln \frac{p(u|w)p(v|w)}{p(uv|w)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\ln 2} \sum_{u,v,w} p(u, v, w) \left[\frac{p(u|w)p(v|w)}{p(uv|w)} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\ln 2} \sum_{u,v,w} (p(w)p(u|w)p(v|w) - p(uvw)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ln 2} (1 - 1) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□