

# Information Theory and Coding - Prof. Emere Telatar

Jean-Baptiste Cordonnier, Sebastien Speierer, Thomas Batschelet

October 5, 2017

## 1 Data compression

Given an alphabet  $\mathcal{U}$  (e.g.  $\mathcal{U} = \{a, \dots, z, A, \dots, Z, \dots\}$ ), we want to assign binary sequences to elements of  $\mathcal{U}$ , i.e.

$$e : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow 0, 1^* = \{\emptyset, 0, 1, 00, 01, \dots\}$$

For  $\mathcal{X}$  a set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}^n &\equiv \{(x_0 \dots x_n), x_i \in \mathcal{X}\} \\ \mathcal{X}^* &\equiv \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{X}^n\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.1.** A code  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *singular* if

$$\exists (u, v) \in \mathcal{U}^2, u \neq v \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathcal{C}(u) = \mathcal{C}(v)$$

Non singular code is defined as opposite

**Definition 1.2.** A code  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *uniquely decodable* if

$$\forall u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathcal{U}^* \quad \text{s.t.} \quad u_1, \dots, u_n \neq v_1, \dots, v_n$$

we have

$$\mathcal{C}(u_1)\mathcal{C}(u_n) \neq \mathcal{C}(v_1)\mathcal{C}(v_n)$$

i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}^*$  is non-singular

**Definition 1.3.** Suppose  $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  and  $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  we can define

$$\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

as

$$(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D})(u, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(u)\mathcal{D}(v)$$

**Definition 1.4.** Given  $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ , define

$$\mathcal{C}^* : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

as

$$\mathcal{C}^*(u_1, u_n) = \mathcal{C}(u_1) \dots \mathcal{C}(u_n)$$

### 1.0.1 Markov chains

For a Markov Chain  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D$ , the joint probability distribution of the RVs should be  $p(a)p(b|a)p(c|b)p(d|c)$

- The reverse of a MC is a MC

**Kraft-sum Definition:** The Kraftsum of a code  $C$  is  $KS(C) = \sum_u 2^{-|C(u)|}$

- if  $C$  is prefix free then  $KS(C) \leq 1$
- if  $C$  is non singular, then  $KS(C) \leq 1 + \min_u |C(u)|$
- $KS(C^n) = KS(C)^n$

**Theorem:** for any  $U$  and associated  $p(u)$  there exists a prefix free code  $C$  s.t.

$$E[|C(U)|] < 1 + \sum_{u \in U} p(u) \log \frac{1}{p(u)}$$

**Theorem:** if  $KS(C) \leq 1$  then there exists a prefix free code  $C'$  such that  $|C(u)| = |C'(u)|$  for all  $u$

**Corollar:** if  $C$  is uniquely decodable, then there exists  $C'$  that is prefix free with the same word lengths

**Entropy Definition:** the entropy of a random variable  $U$  is

$$H(U) = \sum_{u \in U} p(u) \log \frac{1}{p(u)} = E_U \left[ \log \frac{1}{p(u)} \right]$$

**Theorem:** if  $C$  is uniquely decodable then  $E[|C(U)|] \geq H(U)$

### Properties of optimal prefix free codes

1.  $p(u) < p(v) \rightarrow |u| \geq |v|$
2. The two longest codewords have the same length
3. The 2 least probable letters are assigned codewords that differ in the last bit

### 1.0.2 Hoffman algorithm

- Combine the 2 least likely symbols
- Sum their probability and assign it a new fictive symbol
- Repeat

**Definition 1.5** (Joint entropy). Suppose  $U, V$  are Random Variables with  $p(u, v) = P(U = u, V = v)$ , the joint entropy is

$$H(UV) = \sum_{u, v} p(u, v) \log \frac{1}{p(u, v)}$$

**Theorem 1.1.**

$$H(UV) \leq H(U) + H(V)$$

with equality iff  $U$  and  $V$  are independants.

*Proof.* We want to show that

$$\sum_{u,v} p(u,v) \log \frac{1}{p(u,v)} \leq \sum_u p(u) \log \frac{1}{p(u)} + \sum_v p(v) \log \frac{1}{p(v)} \iff \sum_{u,v} p(u,v) \log \frac{p(u)p(v)}{p(u,v)} \leq 0$$

We use  $\ln z \leq z - 1 \ \forall z$  (with equality iff  $z = 1$ ):

$$\sum_{u,v} p(u,v) \log \frac{p(u)p(v)}{p(u,v)} \leq \sum_{u,v} p(u,v) \left[ \frac{p(u)p(v)}{p(u,v)} - 1 \right] = \sum_{u,v} p(u)p(v) - \sum_{u,v} p(u,v) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

□

Same definitions of entropy holds for  $n$  symbols.

**Definition 1.6** (Joint Entropy). Suppose  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$  are RVs and we are given  $p(u_1 \dots u_n)$ , the joint entropy is

$$H(U_1, \dots, U_n) = \sum_{u_1 \dots u_n} p(u_1 \dots u_n) \log \frac{1}{p(u_1 \dots u_n)}$$

**Theorem 1.2.**

$$H(U_1, \dots, U_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n H(U_i)$$

with equality iff  $U$ s are independants

**Corollary 1.2.1.** if  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are i.i.d. then  $H(U_1 \dots U_n) = nH(U_1)$

**Definition 1.7** (Conditional entropy).

$$H(U|V) = \sum_{u,v} p(u,v) \log \frac{1}{p(u|v)}$$

**Theorem 1.3.**

$$H(UV) = H(U) + H(V|U) = H(V) + H(U|V)$$

**Theorem 1.4.**

$$H(U) + H(V) \geq H(U, V) = H(V) + H(U|V)$$

**Definition 1.8** (Mutual information).

$$\begin{aligned} I(U; V) &= I(V; U) = H(U) - H(U|V) \\ &= H(V) - H(V|U) \\ &= H(U) + H(V) - H(UV) \end{aligned}$$

We can apply the chain rule on the entropy as follow

$$H(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) = H(U_1) + H(U_2|U_1) + \dots + H(U_n|U_1, U_2 \dots U_{n-1})$$

**Definition 1.9** (Conditional mutual information).

$$\begin{aligned} I(U; V|W) &= H(U|W) - H(U|VW) \\ &= H(V|W) - H(V|UW) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.5.**

$$I(V; U_1 \dots U_n) = I(V; U_1) + I(V; U_2|U_1) + \dots + I(V; U_n|U_1 \dots U_{n-1})$$