ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Handout 33

Solutions to Homework 13

Information Theory and Coding Dec. 29, 2017

PROBLEM 1. As we should never represent a 0 with a 1, we are restricted to conditional distributions with $p_{V|U}(1|0) = 0$. Consequently, the possible $p_{V|U}$ are of the type

$$p_{V|U}(0|0) = 1$$
 $p_{V|U}(1|0) = 0$, $p_{V|U}(0|1) = \alpha$ $p_{V|U}(1|1) = 1 - \alpha$,

and parametrized by $\alpha \in [0,1]$. For $p_{V|U}$ as above, we have $\Pr(V=1) = \frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)$, and

$$E[d(U,V)] = \sum_{u,v} p_U(u) p_{V|U}(v|u) d(u,v) = \alpha/2,$$

$$I(U;V) = H(V) - H(V|U) = h_2(\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)) - \frac{1}{2}h_2(\alpha) =: f(\alpha).$$

Thus $R(D) = \min\{f(\alpha) : 0 \le \alpha \le \min\{1, 2D\}\}$, with $f(\alpha) = h_2(\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)) - \frac{1}{2}h_2(\alpha)$. It is not difficult to check that f is a decreasing function on the interval [0, 1], and thus consequently

$$R(D) = \begin{cases} h_2(\frac{1}{2} - D) - \frac{1}{2}h_2(2D), & 0 \le D < \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & D \ge \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Note that for $D \ge \frac{1}{2}$ we can represent any u with a constant, namely v = 0, with average distortion 1/2.

Problem 2.

(a) Given D_1 , D_2 and $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ we need to show that $\phi(D) \ge \lambda \phi(D_1) + (1 - \lambda)\phi(D_2)$. Suppose $p_{Z_1^*}$ and $p_{Z_2^*}$ be the distributions on Z that achieve the maximization that define ϕ for D_1 and D_2 , namely, $\phi(D_1) = H(Z_1^*)$ and $\phi(D_2) = H(Z_2^*)$ with $E[g(Z_1^*)] \le D_1$ and $E[g(Z_2^*)] \le D_2$. Consider now the distribution $p_{Z^*} = \lambda p_{Z_1^*} + \lambda p_{Z_2^*}$. For Z^* having this distribution

$$E[g(Z^*)] = \sum_{z} p_{Z_*}(z)g(z) = \lambda \sum_{z} p_{Z_1^*}(z)g(z) + (1-\lambda) \sum_{z} p_{Z_2^*}(z)g(z)$$
$$= \lambda E[g(Z_1^*)] + (1-\lambda)E[g(Z_2^*)] \le \lambda D_1 + (1-\lambda)D_2 = D,$$

and because of the concavity of H, $H(Z^*) \geq \lambda H(Z_1^*) + (1 - \lambda)H(Z_2^*) = \lambda \phi(D_1) + (1 - \lambda)\phi(D_2)$. As $\phi(D)$ is the maximum of H(Z) over all Z with $E[g(Z)] \leq D$, $\phi(D) \geq H(Z^*)$.

(b) In the (in)equalities

$$I(U; V) \stackrel{(b1)}{=} H(U) - H(U|V)$$

$$\stackrel{(b2)}{=} H(U) - H(U \ominus V|V)$$

$$\stackrel{(b3)}{\geq} H(U) - H(U \ominus V)$$

$$\stackrel{(b4)}{\geq} H(U) - \phi(D)$$

(b1) is by definition of mutual information, (b2) because for a given V, U and U-V are in one-to-one correspondence, (b3) because conditioning reduces entropy and (b4) because $Z = U \ominus V$ has $E[g(Z)] \leq D$.

- (c) As $R(D) = \min\{I(U; V) : E[d(U, V)] \le D\}$, and by (b) for any U, V with $E[d(U, V)] \le D$ we have $I(U; V) \ge H(U) \phi(D)$, the conclusion follows.
- (d) Let Z be independent of U and have a distribution that achieves $\phi(D)$. Set $V = U \ominus Z$. Now,

$$p_{Z,V}(z,v) = p_{Z,U}(z,z \oplus v) = p_Z(z)p_U(z \oplus v) = p_Z(z)/|\mathcal{U}|.$$

By summing over z we see that V is uniformly distributed, and also that V is independent of $Z = U \ominus V$. Observe that the only inequalities in (b) were in (b3) and (b4), but in this case they are both equalities: (b3) because of the independence of $Z = U \ominus V$ and V, and (b4) because $H(Z) = \phi(D)$.

PROBLEM 3. Suppose U, V satisfy $E[(U-V)^2] \leq D$, and set Z = U - V. As $E[Z^2] \leq D$, we know $h(Z) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi eD)$. Also,

$$I(U;V) = h(U) - h(U|V) = h(U) - h(Z|U) \ge h(U) - h(Z) \ge h(U) - \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi eD),$$

and consequently $R(D) \geq h(U) - \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi eD)$. We now turn to the upper bound on R(D). Assume without loss of generality that E[U] = 0 so that $\sigma^2 = E[U^2]$. If $D \geq \sigma^2$, we can take V = 0 for which $E[(U - V)^2] = \sigma^2 \leq D$, and I(U; V) = 0, so that R(D) = 0. So, we need to only consider the case $D < \sigma^2$. For such D, let Z be a zero mean Gaussian independent of U with variance $D(1 - D/\sigma^2)$ and set $V = (1 - D/\sigma^2)U + Z$. We will show that for this choice of V we have $E[(V - U)^2] = D$ and $I(U; V) \leq \frac{1}{2}\log(D/\sigma^2)$, which will then establish that $R(D) \leq \frac{1}{2}\log(D/\sigma^2)$. To that end observe that $V - U = -(D/\sigma^2)U + Z$ and thus $E[(V - U)^2] = (D/\sigma^2)^2 E[U^2] + E[Z^2] = D$. Turning our attention now to I(U; V), first compute $E[V^2] = (1 - D/\sigma^2)^2 E[U^2] + E[Z^2] = \sigma^2 - D$, so $h(V) \leq \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi e(\sigma^2 - D))$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} h(V|U) &= h(V - (1 - D/\sigma^2)U \mid U) = h(Z|U) = h(Z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi e \operatorname{Var}(Z)) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi e D(1 - D/\sigma^2)). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$I(U;V) = h(V) - h(V|U) \le \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma^2 - D}{D(1 - D/\sigma^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma^2/D).$$

Problem 4.

- (a) Since the channel is memoryless and feedback-free transmission is assumed, from code construction, it is obvious that $(\operatorname{enc}_1(m_1), \operatorname{enc}_2(m_2), Y^n)$ is an i.i.d. length-n sequence of (X_1, X_2, Y) 's drawn from distribution $p(x_1, x_2, y) = p_1(x_1)p_2(x_2)p(y|x_1, x_2)$. Therefore, for sufficiently large n, the probability of this sequence being ϵ -typical is as high as desired.
- (b) Now, $(\operatorname{enc}_1(\tilde{m}_1), \operatorname{enc}_2(m_2), Y^n)$ is an i.i.d. sequence (of length n) whose components are distributed according to $p_1(x_1)p(y, x_2)$ where $p(y, x_2) = \sum_{x_1'} p_1(x_1')p_2(x_2)p(y|x_1', x_2)$.
- (c) $\Pr\{(\operatorname{enc}_1(\tilde{m}_1), \operatorname{enc}_2(m_2), Y^n) \in T\}$ is the probability of a length n i.i.d. sequence X_1^n whose elements have distribution p_1 being jointly ϵ -typical (with respect to the distribution $p_1(x_1)p(y, x_2|x_1)$ where $p(y, x_2|x_1) = p(x_2)p(y|x_1, x_2)$) with an independent length n sequence of $(X_2, Y)^n$ whose elements have distribution $p(y, x_2)$ (defined in (b)). Thus, as we have seen in the course,

$$\Pr\{(\operatorname{enc}_1(\tilde{m}_1), \operatorname{enc}_2(m_2), Y^n) \in T\} \doteq 2^{-nI(X_1, X_2Y)}.$$

(In the course we have seen this result for two random variables X and Y; it is obvious that we can replace X by X_1 and Y by (X_2Y) to derive the desired result).

(d) From (a) we know that the probability of the correct message m_1 not being on the list of typical m_1 's at decoder 2 is small, say at most $\epsilon/2$.

From (c), the probability of each incorrect \tilde{m}_1 being on that list (at decoder 2) is equal (up to sub-exponential factors) to $2^{-nI(X_1;X_2Y)}$. Since there are $M-1 \leq 2^{nR_1}$ such \tilde{m}_1 's, the probability of having an incorrect message on the list is, by the union bound, at most $2^{n[R_1-I(X_1;X_2Y)]}$ which is exponentially small in n provided that $R_1 < I(X_1;X_2Y)$. Thus, for large enough n, this probability is also smaller than $\epsilon/2$.

Consequently, the average probability of decoding error at decoder 2 is at most ϵ provided that $R_1 < I(X_1, X_2Y)$.

By symmetry, the average probability of decoding error at decoder 1 is smaller than ϵ if $R_2 < I(X_2, X_1, Y)$.

Since the average probability of error (over the generation of codebooks) is small (for rate pairs (R_1, R_2) satisfying $R_1 < I(X_1; Y, X_2)$ and $R_2 < I(X_2; Y, X_1)$), there exist a pair of codebooks of rates (R_1, R_2) in the ensemble for which the average error probability is small, thus such (R_1, R_2) 's are achievable.

(e) Firstly note that since X_1 and X_2 are independent, $I(X_1; YX_2) = I(X_1; Y|X_2)$ (similarly $I(X_2; YX_1) = I(X_2; Y|X_1)$).

Since $Y = X_1 \times X_2$, conditioned on $\{X_2 = 0\}$, Y contains no information about X_1 , whereas conditioned on $\{X_2 = 1\}$, $Y = X_1$. Assuming $\Pr\{X_1 = 1\} = p_1$ and $\Pr\{X_2 = 1\} = p_2$,

$$I(X_1; Y|X_2) = \Pr\{X_2 = 0\}I(X_1; Y|X_2 = 0) + \Pr\{X_2 = 1\}I(X_1; Y|X_2 = 1)$$

= 0 + $p_2h_2(p_1)$

where $h_2(\cdot)$ is the binary entropy function. Similarly it follows that $I(X_2; Y|X_1) = p_1h_2(p_2)$.

Suppose $p_1 = p_2 = p$, then all rates (R_1, R_2) satisfying

$$R_1 < ph_2(p) \qquad R_2 < ph_2(p)$$

are achievable. In particular, $ph_2(p) \ge \frac{1}{2}$ for some $p \ge \frac{1}{2}$ (it evaluates to $\frac{1}{2}$ at $p = \frac{1}{2}$ but it is increasing, so it will go above $\frac{1}{2}$ as p increases). The set of achievable rate pairs corresponding to such p's violate $R_1 + R_2 < 1$.

Problem 5.

- (a) We know that an i.i.d. sequence of length m with each component having distribution q will belong to the typical set $T(p, m, \delta)$ with probability $2^{-m[D(p||q)+O(\delta)]}$. In the setting of the problem m = n(u), $q = p_V$, $p = p_{V|U=u}$. Thus, the probability we are asked for is given by $2^{-n(u)[D(p_{V|U=u}||p_V)+O(\delta)]}$.
- (b) Since the events $\{V(u) \in T(p_{V|U=u}, n(u), \delta)\}_{u \in \mathcal{U}}$ are independent the probability that all of the $|\mathcal{U}|$ events occurs is the product of the occurrence of each of them we computed in (a). Thus the probability of the joint occurrence is $2^{-n[F+O(\delta)]}$ with

$$F = \sum_{u} \frac{n(u)}{n} D(p_{V|U=u} || p_V).$$

(c) When $u^n \in T(n, p_U, \delta)$ we have $p(u)(1 - \delta) \le n(u)/n \le p(u)(1 + \delta)$. Thus,

$$F = \sum_{u} p(u)D(p_{V|U=u}||p_V) + O(\delta).$$

But $D(p_{V|U=u}||p_V) = \sum_v p(v|u) \log \frac{p(v|u)}{p(v)}$ and thus the sum that defines F equals I(U;V), and we conclude that the probability in (b) equals $2^{-n[I(U;V)+O(\delta)]}$.

(d) When $u^n \in T(n, p_U, \delta)$ and $V(u) \in T(n(u), p_{V|U=u}, \delta)$ for each u, then the number of occurrences of the pair (u, v) in the sequence (u^n, V^n) is upper and lower bounded by

$$n(1+\delta)p_U(u)(1+\delta)p_{V|U}(v|u) = n(1+2\delta+\delta^2)p_{UV}(u,v)$$

and

$$n(1 - \delta)p_U(u)(1 - \delta)p_{V|U}(v|u) = n(1 - (2\delta - \delta^2))p_{UV}(u, v).$$

Thus we have (u^n, V^n) belonging to $T(n, P_{UV}, 2\delta + \delta^2)$.

(e) With $1 \geq \delta' \geq 3\delta$ we have $\delta' \geq 2\delta + \delta^2$, and thus $T = T(n, p_{UV}, 2\delta + \delta^2) \subset T' = T(n, p_{UV}, \delta')$. Consequently, for any u^n , $\Pr((u^n, V^n) \in T') \geq \Pr((u^n, V^n) \in T)$. By (d) we know that the event $\{(u^n, V^n) \in T\}$ includes the event " $V(u) \in T(n(u), p_{V|U=u}, \delta)$ for every u". By (c), this last event has $2^{-n[I(U;V)+O(\delta)]}$.