## CS51 Final Project Writeup

Joey Bejjani

May 3, 2023

For my MiniML extension, I implemented an evaluator using the lexically-scoped environment model (number 2 in the extension ideas list in the readme). I did this by first copying the eval\_d function definition and pasting into the eval\_l function definition, since they share a lot of the same semantics rules. I then modified the copied code to manifest lexical scoping. There were three modifications, which are roughly as follows: first, the evaluation of a function returns a closure with the function and the current environment instead of just the function as in eval\_d; second, function application evaluates the body of the function in the environment from its updated closure; third, evaluation of a let rec expression involves binding the variable to Unassigned and later mutating this mapping. The resulting eval\_1 function passed both my generic eval\_tests (which includes expressions whose evaluations dynamic and lexical semantics models should agree on) and my eval\_lexical\_tests (which includes expressions whose evaluations differ depending on whether lexical or dynamic semantics rules are used); however, eval\_d and eval\_l contained a lot of redundant code as a result of the copy and pasting. I factored out the shared code using the modular programming paradigm. I created an ENV\_SCOPE type that would package together the three differences between the semantics rules of the dynamic and lexical environment models. I then defined two modules of this type, EnvDynamic and EnvLexical, which implement the evaluation of function expressions, application expressions, and let rec expressions according to the appropriate semantics rules. I then defined a functor MakeEnvEvaluator satisfying an ENV\_EVALUATOR type and taking an ENV\_SCOPE as an argument. The functor returns a module (an ENV\_EVALUATOR) consisting of the recursive eval function. This function evaluates the argument expression inside the argument environment, calling the f, app, and letrec functions defined in the argument ENV\_SCOPE module in order to evaluate function, application, and let rec expressions, respectively. The MakeEnvEvaluator functor thus returns a module whose eval function evaluates expressions according to the scope manifested in the definition of its argument ENV\_SCOPE module. I then applied MakeEnvEvaluator to EnvDynamic to get the module whose eval function is equivalent to eval\_d, and then again to EnvLexical to get the module whose eval function is eval\_1. The two evaluators obtained using this abstracted approach passed all the same tests that they passed in the redundant version. Importantly, eval\_s and eval\_l agree on the evaluations of the expressions in the eval\_lexical\_tests, verifying that they both manifest lexical scoping.

I also added the string atomic type along with the Concat (string concatenation) binary operator as a second extension. I did this by extending the definition of the expr type to include String of string and extending the binop type to include Concat. I updated

expr.mli to reflect these type definition changes. In free\_vars and subst, I added a match case to handle the new String expression. I extended exp\_to\_concrete\_string, exp\_to\_abstract\_string, and my string\_of\_binop function to handle Concat and String. In my binop\_eval function, I raised EvalErrors when anything other than two strings are being concatenated, and also when algebraic operators are applied to strings. To extend the language to include strings and concatenation, I extended the parser with the following steps. In miniml\_lex.mll, I added ("^", CONCAT) as an entry in the sym\_table hashtable. I added a new regular expression string that matches user input that starts with a "character and ends with a "character. A matched string is then parsed by stripping the quotation marks by using the String.sub function and returning it as a STRING token. I defined this new token using %token <string> STRING in miniml\_parse.mly along with %token CONCAT, which I also specified as left associative using %left CONCAT. Then, in expnoapp, I specified that inputs of the form exp CONCAT exp parse to Binop(Concat, \$1, \$3), where \$1 and \$3 specify the two exp arguments (in the first and third positions). Finally, String tokens parse to String \$1, where \$1 is the actual string returned after stripping the quotation marks inputted by the user as described earlier. I wrote and successfully ran more tests to verify that strings and concatenation work as intended.