

## Part I: Strategic games

### 1 Introduction to strategic games

#### 1.1 Prisoner's dilemma

Game show setting. 2 players. \$10. Each decide "share" or "steal".

- Both share  $\Rightarrow$  both get \$5.
- One share, one steal  $\Rightarrow$  steal player gets \$10, share player gets \$0.
- Both steal  $\Rightarrow$  both get \$0.

Strategic game: a player's benefit depends on their decisions and other players' decisions.

Best way to play prisoner's dilemma?

Play steal: Regardless of the other player's move, it is more beneficial to play steal than to play share.

Opponent plays steal: we get 0 for steal, 0 for share.

Opponent plays share: we get 10 for steal, 5 for share.

This is a "strictly dominant" strategy. (Rare.)

[Aside: This does not maximize "social welfare", total benefit of all players.]

## 1.2 Strategic game settings

Definition: strategic game. A strategic game is defined by specifying a set  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$  of players. For each player  $i \in N$ , there is a set of strategies  $S_i$  to play, and a utility function

$u_i: S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . (Benefit of player  $i$  given the strategies played by all players.)

Example with prisoner's dilemma.

2 players  $N = \{1, 2\}$ . Strategies  $S_1 = S_2 = \{\text{share}, \text{steal}\}$

$u_1, u_2$  utility functions, e.g.  $u_1(\text{steal}, \text{share}) = 10$

Summarize using a table:

		P2	
		share	steal
P1	share	5, 5	0, 10
	steal	10, 0	0.1, 0.1

Each cell records utilities of P1, P2 in order.

↑ P1 plays    ↑ P2 plays    ↑ P1 gets

Assumptions about strategic games.

- ① All players are rational and selfish (maximize own utility).
- ② All players have knowledge of all game parameters.
- ③ All players make one move simultaneously.

Definition: strategy profile. If player  $i$  plays strategy  $s_i \in S_i$ , then the strategy profile is the vector  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ .

Player  $i$  gets  $u_i(s)$  in utility.

### 1.3 Bach or Stravinsky?

Two players want to go to a concert. Player 1 likes Bach, player 2 likes Stravinsky, but they both prefer to be with each other.

		P2	
		Bach	Stravinsky
P1	Bach	2, 1	0, 0
	Stravinsky	0, 0	1, 2

No dominant strategy.

Look for strategy profiles that are more likely to happen.

In both cases, neither player gets higher utility by switching strategy. These are stable states called Nash equilibria.

## 2 Nash equilibrium

Basic concept. Looking for strategy profiles where no player is incentivized to switch their strategy. (Their utility cannot improve by switching.)

(Slightly wonky) Notations. Let  $S = S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ . set of all strategy profiles.

Let  $S_{-i}$  be the set of all strategy profiles excluding  $S_i$ . (Drop  $S_i$  from  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ .)

If  $s \in S$ , then  $s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$  is the profile obtained by dropping  $s_i$ .

If player  $i$  switches their strategy from  $s_i$  to  $s'_i$ , then the new strategy profile is denoted  $(s'_i, s_{-i}) \in S$ .

Definition: Nash equilibrium.

A strategy profile  $s^* \in S$  is a Nash equilibrium if

$$u_i(s^*) \geq u_i(s'_i, s^*_{-i}) \text{ for all } s'_i \in S_i, \text{ for all}$$

players  $i \in N$ .

[for all players, their utility does not increase when only they switch strategies]