

Game Theory Project

Juan Pablo Bello Gonzalez

September 2024

1 introduction

extensive form games to model imperfect information games. They involve sequential decisions when players choose actions at different times (Prof Bryce Extensive Games). To represent these interactions we can use the extensive form gametree which lets us encode the timing information of a sequential game "the key idea is that if players are making decisions at different times, then each point where a player makes a decision is represented as a node in a tree. We'll label the node with the player who is making the decision at that point. Also each level on the tree alternates players. And decisions available to a player when they are making a decision will result in branches stemming from that node. Those branches may lead to other decision nodes for various players in the game or they may lead to a terminal outcome represented by a leaf in the tree where as usual we will have a payoff for each of the players, in the case of poker and Kuhn poker this payoff will be zero sum. "

these levels of the tree correspond to a player making a decision and then player 2 reacting to that decision. This is a computationally intensive method (do poker tree start) even after symmetries removed.

How strategies and equilibria relate to this model? - We think of a strategy in an extensive form game as a complete contingent plan of action that a player would do at any of their decision nodes, given the history which is visible to them. So a strategy for player i takes as input any of the decision nodes for that player and produces an action that the player would play at that position.

Counterfactual regret minimization.

How this can be used to model poker and weakly solve poker

1.0.1 modeling imperfect information

"To model imperfect information, the histories of each player d are partitioned into a collection d of so-called information sets. Each information set d groups together histories that Player i cannot distinguish between when he or she acts (MIT LECTURES)" For instance, in the case of Kuhn poker, An information set of player 1 is JQ, JK which occurs after the draw and before any betting, at this point in time, Player 1 only has knowledge of what he drew, J in this

case. But his information set is bigger since, from his pov, P1 cannot know if P2 has Q or K.

2 Defining the game

According to (REGRET MINIMIZATION..) A formal definition of an extensive game includes the following:

- A finite set of two players $N = P_1, P_2$
- A finite set of ordered action histories H . In the case of Khun poker, there are two distinct action sets, those corresponding to chance draw $A_1 = KJ, KQ, QJ, QK, JQ, JK$ with the ordering encoding the player ie KJ

In the case of Khun poker H = set of ordered subsets of $C \times A \times A$ where $C = (a, b) : a \in Cards, b \in Cards, a \neq b$ Some examples (Q, J, b, b) $Z \subset H$ is the set of terminal histories. for instance (QJ, b, b) , (QJ, p) , etc. $A(h)$ are actions available after a non-terminal history $h \in H$.

- A function $P : H \rightarrow N$ that determines the player whose turn it is to act at the end of a given history.

EXPLANATION: In the Khun poker definition, $P([(c_1, c_2)]) = P1$ encodes that after the draw from chance, it is player 1's turn to act. $P([(c_1, c_2), b]) = P2$ encodes that after the draw from chance and player 1's betting action, it is the turn of player 2.

- A function f_c . which assigns a probability distribution over at every decision point, ie at node corresponding to player i's decision, given the history the probability that they go transition to the available options. This is just the definition of that distribution, we would like to find the optimal such distribution which maximizes utility?

For each player $i \in N$, a partition