

# Strichartz estimates and moment bounds for the Vlasov-Maxwell system

Jonathan Luk

Cambridge University

Cauchy problem in kinetic theory, September 2015

Joint work with Robert Strain III (University of Pennsylvania)

# Vlasov-Maxwell system

The relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t f + \hat{p} \cdot \nabla_x f + (E + \hat{p} \times B) \cdot \nabla_p f &= 0, \\ \partial_t E &= \nabla_x \times B - j, \quad \partial_t B = -\nabla_x \times E, \\ \nabla_x \cdot E &= \rho, \quad \nabla_x \cdot B = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f : I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow R_{\geq 0}, \quad E, B : I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3,$$

the charge and current are given by

$$\rho(t, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, p) dp, \quad j_i(t, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{p}_i f(t, x, p) dp.$$

Here,

$$\hat{p} = \frac{p}{p_0}, \quad p_0 = \sqrt{1 + |p|^2}.$$

# Some previous results

Global regularity in three dimensions is an open problem. Global regularity is only known in the following cases:

- in symmetry reduced problems: Glassey-Schaeffer (spherically symmetric, 2 dimensions,  $2\frac{1}{2}$  dimensions), ...
- in perturbative regime: Glassey-Strauss, Glassey-Schaeffer, Schaeffer, Rein, ...

# Singularity only occurs at high velocities

## Theorem 1 (Glassey-Strauss, 1984)

*Let  $(f_0, E_0, B_0)$  be regular initial data on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying the constraints with compact momentum support. Let  $(f, E, B)$  be the unique classical solution in  $[0, T_*)$ . Let*

$$P(t) := \sup\{|p| : f(s, x, p) \neq 0 \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{R}^3, s \leq t\}.$$

*Assume that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_*} P(t) < \infty.$$

*Then, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the solution extends uniquely and classically beyond  $T_*$  to an interval  $[0, T_* + \epsilon]$ .*

- See also Bouchut-Golse-Pallard, Klainerman-Staffilani.
- Most of the remainder of the talk will be discussion of quantitative refinements of this result.

# Brief summary of proof of the Glassey-Strauss theorem

- It is shown that if the assumptions hold, then the solution remains  $C^1$ .
- Given a backwards lightcone, its tangent vectors together with  $\partial_t + \hat{p} \cdot \nabla_x$  span the tangent space of  $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$ .
- The Maxwell equations imply the following wave equations

$$\square E = \nabla_x \rho + \partial_t j, \quad \square B = -\nabla_x \times j,$$

which upon integration by parts and using the Vlasov equation yields, for  $|K|^2 = |E|^2 + |B|^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |K|(t, x) \lesssim_{\text{Data}} &+ \int_{C_{t,x}} \frac{|K|(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp)(s, y)}{t-s} d\sigma_{s,y} \\ &+ \int_{C_{t,x}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(s, y)}{p_0^2(1 + \hat{p} \cdot \omega)^{\frac{3}{2}}(t-s)^2} dp d\sigma_{s,y}, \end{aligned}$$

where the integration is over the backwards light cone of  $(t, x)$  and for  $(s, y) \in C_{t,x}$ ,  $\omega = \frac{y-x}{t-s}$ .

# Brief summary of proof of the Glassey-Strauss theorem

- This immediately shows that under the assumptions of the theorem,  $E$  and  $B$  are bounded.
- An additional argument, using a representation formula for the derivatives of  $E$  and  $B$  instead, shows that the derivatives of  $E$ ,  $B$  and  $f$  are bounded.

# Some remarks

- The characteristics satisfy

$$\frac{dX}{ds}(s; t, x, p) = \hat{V}(s; t, x, p),$$

$$\frac{dV}{ds}(s; t) = E(s, X(s; t)) + \hat{V}(s; t) \times B(s, X(s; t)),$$

together with the conditions

$$X(t; t, x, p) = x, \quad V(t; t, x, p) = p,$$

where  $\hat{V} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{V}{\sqrt{1+|V|^2}}$ .

- Equivalently, in order to guarantee that the solution can be continued, it suffices to assume

$$\left\| \int_0^{T_*} |K(s, X(s; 0, x, p))| ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_p^\infty} < \infty,$$

where  $X$  are the characteristics, and  $|K|^2 := |E|^2 + |B|^2$ .

# Some remarks

- The condition on the integral of the electromagnetic fields makes sense even if the initial momentum support is unbounded.
- In fact it is not necessary to actually work with solutions with compact initial momentum support, but instead one can assume only sufficiently fast polynomial decay of  $f$  in  $p_0$ . The condition in the above theorem can be replaced by the condition on the integral of  $|K|$  along characteristics.



# Improved continuation criterion

## Theorem 2 (Glassey-Strauss, 1987, 1989)

*Let  $(f_0, E_0, B_0)$  be regular initial data on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying the constraints. Let  $(f, E, B)$  be the unique classical solution to the Vlasov-Maxwell system in  $[0, T_*)$ . Assume that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_*} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \right\|_{L_x^\infty}(t) < \infty.$$

*Then, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the solution extends uniquely and classically beyond  $T_*$  to an interval  $[0, T_* + \epsilon]$ .*

- Note that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp$  is the kinetic energy density.
- By the conservation law of the Vlasov-Maxwell system, we have the a priori estimate  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \in L_x^1$ .

# Proof of Glassey-Strauss theorem

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} |K|(t, x) \lesssim & \text{Data} + \int_{C_{t,x}} \frac{|K|(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp)(s, y)}{t - s} d\sigma_{s,y} \\ & + \int_{C_{t,x}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(s, y)}{p_0^2 (1 + \hat{p} \cdot \omega)^{\frac{3}{2}} (t - s)^2} dp d\sigma_{s,y}. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to control the  $L_x^\infty$  norm of  $|K|$  under the assumptions of the theorem. Observe that  $\frac{1}{(1 + \hat{p} \cdot \omega)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim p_0^3$ , and thus

$$|K|(t, x) \leq C + C \int_0^t \sup_y |K(s, y)| dy.$$

Gronwall's inequality gives the result.

# Pallard's improvement

- The previous proof uses the (local)  $L_{t,x}^\infty - L_{t,x}^\infty$  estimates for wave equations in  $3 + 1$ -dimensions (and its obvious generalization).
- In order to improve over the previous continuation criterion, one observes the following fact for solutions to the linear wave equation: If  $\square u = F$ , then the integral of  $u$  over a timelike curve is more regular than  $u$ .
- For instance, if  $F \in L_t^1 L_x^2$ ,  $u$  is no better than  $u \in L_t^\infty H_x^1$  and therefore  $u$  is in general not bounded. However, it can be shown that

$$\sup_x \int_0^T |u(t, x)|^2 dt \leq C.$$

# Pallard's theorem

## Theorem 3 (Pallard, 2005)

*Let  $(f_0, E_0, B_0)$  be regular initial data on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying the constraints with compact momentum support. Let  $(f, E, B)$  be the unique classical solution to the Vlasov-Maxwell system in  $[0, T_*)$ . Assume that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_*} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^\theta f dp \right\|_{L_x^q}(t) < \infty$$

*holds for some  $\theta > \frac{4}{q}$ ,  $6 \leq q \leq +\infty$ . Then, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the solution extends uniquely and classically beyond  $T_*$  to an interval  $[0, T_* + \epsilon]$ .*

- The case  $\theta = 0$ ,  $q = +\infty$  was later proved by Sospedra-Alfonso-Illner.

# Proof of Pallard's theorem I

We will only consider the  $q = \infty$  case. For

$$K_1 := \int_{C_{t,x}} \frac{|K|(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp)(s, y)}{t - s} d\sigma_{s,y},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T_*} |K_1(s, X(s))| ds \\ & \lesssim \sup_s (1 + \sqrt{|\log(1 - |X'(s)|)|}) \| |K|(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp) \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim \sup_{t \in [0, T_*)} P(t)^{1-\epsilon} \log P(t) \| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^\epsilon f dp \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Pallard's theorem II

For

$$K_2 := \int_{C_{t,x}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(s,y)}{p_0^2(1 + \hat{p} \cdot \omega)^{\frac{3}{2}}(t-s)^2} dp d\sigma_{s,y},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T_*} |K_2(s, X(s))| ds &\lesssim \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t \in [0, T_*)} P(t)^{1-\epsilon} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^\epsilon f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining and using the assumption of the theorem, we get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_*)} P(t) \lesssim 1 + \int_0^{T_*} |K(s, X(s))| ds \lesssim 1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T_*)} P(t)^{1-\epsilon} \log P(t),$$

which gives the desired result.

# Main result

## Theorem 4 (L.-Strain)

*Let  $(f_0, E_0, B_0)$  be regular initial data on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying the constraints. Let  $(f, E, B)$  be the unique classical solution to the Vlasov-Maxwell system in  $[0, T_*)$ . Assume that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_*} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^\theta f dp \right\|_{L_x^q}(t) < \infty$$

*holds for some  $\theta > \frac{2}{q}$ ,  $2 \leq q \leq +\infty$ . Then, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the solution extends uniquely and classically beyond  $T_*$  to an interval  $[0, T_* + \epsilon]$ .*

- Note that for  $q = \infty$ , we recover the  $q = \infty$  case of Pallard's theorem.
- The result for  $q \approx 2$  says that if the kinetic energy density remains in  $L_x^p$  for  $p > 2$ , then the solution can be continued.

# Strichartz estimates

There is another way to see that solutions to linear wave equation have better integrability in  $x$  after integration in  $t$ :

## Theorem 5 (Strichartz estimates in $\mathbb{R}^3$ )

*Let  $u$  be a solution to the linear inhomogeneous wave equation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with zero initial data: Then, the following estimates hold*

$$\|u\|_{L_t^{q_1} L_x^{r_1}} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_t^{q'_2} L_x^{r'_2}},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{3}{r_1} = \frac{1}{q'_2} + \frac{3}{r'_2} - 2, \quad \frac{1}{q_1} \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q'_2} \geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{r'_2},$$

and

$$2 \leq q_1, q_2 \leq \infty, \quad 2 \leq r_1, r_2 < \infty.$$



# The end-point Strichartz estimates

Notice that the end-point case  $(q_1, r_1, q'_2, r'_2) = (2, \infty, 1, 2)$  is false! (This is unlike the estimate for  $\sup_x \int_0^T |u(t, x)|^2 dt$  previously, where integral is taken before the supremum.) It is however illuminating to see what we can prove **if it were true**.

- Once we control  $|K|$  in  $L_t^2 L_x^\infty$ , then we are done (since in particular, after using Cauchy-Schwarz, this controls the integral of  $|K|$  over any characteristics).
- Notice that bounding  $|K|$  in  $L_t^2 L_x^\infty$  is stronger than controlling the integral over all characteristics. Proving a stronger bound means that we can potentially use this stronger bound in the proof (say via a Gronwall argument).

# Estimates for the electromagnetic field I

We will only consider the  $q = 2$  case. We will assume that the data have compact momentum support and try to show that under the assumptions of the theorem, the momentum support remains bounded in  $[0, T_*)$ .

Consider the term

$$K_1 := \int_{C_{t,x}} \frac{|K|(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp)(s, y)}{t - s} d\sigma_{s,y}$$

Notice that this is (up to a constant factor) a convolution with the wave kernel. **If the  $(2, \infty)$ -endpoint Strichartz estimates were true,**

$$\|K_1\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|K \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \lesssim \|K\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}.$$

# Estimates for the electromagnetic field II

In the other term, we do not have the wave kernel

$$\int_{C_{t,x}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(s,y)}{p_0^2 (1 + \hat{p} \cdot \omega)^{\frac{3}{2}} (t-s)^2} dp d\sigma_{s,y},$$

but for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can control this term up to a constant  $C = C(\epsilon)$  by

$$\left( \int_{C_{t,x}} \frac{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{3+\epsilon} f dp)^{1+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}}{(t-s)} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}}.$$

Again, **if the end-point Strichartz estimates were true**, then

$$\|K_2\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{3+\epsilon} f dp)^{1+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{2+\epsilon}} L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|P\|_{L_t^{1+}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{1+} f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{2+}}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $P(t)$  is the supremum of the momentum support at time  $t$ .

# Estimates for the electromagnetic field III

Combining, we get the **if the endpoint Strichartz estimates were true**,

$$\begin{aligned}\|K\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty} &\lesssim 1 + \|K\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\ &\quad + \|P\|_{L_t^{1+}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{1+} f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{2+}}^{\frac{1}{2}-}.\end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption that  $\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{1+} f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}$  is bounded, we get

$$\|K\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty} \lesssim 1 + \|K\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty} + \|P\|_{L_t^{1+}}.$$

A Gronwall-type argument gives.

$$P(t) \lesssim \|K\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|K\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty} \lesssim 1 + \|P\|_{L_t^{1+}}$$

and another Gronwall-type argument concludes the “proof”.

# Moment bounds

- Of course, we have to deal with the issue that the  $(2, \infty)$ -endpoint Strichartz estimate is false. We can replace it with  $(q_1, r_1)$ , where  $q_1 > 2$  and  $r_1 < \infty$  and  $r_1$  can be chosen arbitrarily large.
- On the other hand, controlling the  $L_x^{r_1}$  norm is not sufficient to bound the momentum support. One needs to work with a weaker norm.

## Lemma 6

*For  $N > 0$  we have the estimate*

$$\|p_0^N f\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T]; L_x^1 L_p^1)}^{\frac{1}{N+3}} \lesssim \|p_0^N f_0\|_{L_x^1 L_p^1}^{\frac{1}{N+3}} + \|K\|_{L_t^1([0, T]; L_x^{N+3})}$$

We will use this lemma for some large  $N$ .

# Estimates for the electromagnetic field IV

Strichartz estimates gives (without being precise for the Strichartz exponents):

$$\begin{aligned} \|K\|_{L_t^{2+}([0, T_*]; L_x^{\infty-})} &\lesssim 1 + \| |K| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^{2+})} \\ &\quad + \| (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{3+\epsilon} f dp)^{1+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^{2+})}^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, there are two terms to estimate.

# Estimates for the electromagnetic field V

For the first term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| |K| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^{2+})} \\ & \lesssim \| K \|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^{\infty--})} \| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^{2++})} \\ & \lesssim \| K \|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^{\infty-})}^{1-} \| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^{2++})} \\ & \lesssim \| K \|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^{\infty-})}^{1-} \| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{1+} f dp \|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the  $L^2$  conservation law for  $K$ .

# Estimates for the electromagnetic field VI

First notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^3 f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^2)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^2)}^{\frac{N-5}{N-1}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{\frac{N+1}{2}} f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^2)}^{\frac{4}{N-1}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^2)}^{\frac{N-5}{N-1}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^N f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^1)}^{\frac{2}{N-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The second term in the earlier inequality can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{3+\epsilon} f dp \right)^{1+\epsilon} \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^{2+})}^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{1+\epsilon} f dp \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^2)}^\beta \left\| p_0^N f \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^1 L_p^1)}^{\frac{\alpha}{N+3}} \end{aligned}$$

for any sufficiently large  $N$  after choosing  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\beta > 0$  appropriately.



# Applying moment bounds

Combining, and using the assumptions of the theorem, we get

$$\|K\|_{L_t^{2+}([0, T_*]; L_x^{N+3})} \lesssim 1 + \|K\|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^{N+3})}^{1-} + \|p_0^N f\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^1 L_p^1)}^{\frac{\alpha}{N+3}},$$

which implies

$$\|K\|_{L_t^{2+}([0, T_*]; L_x^{N+3})} \lesssim 1 + \|p_0^N f\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^1 L_p^1)}^{\frac{\alpha}{N+3}}.$$

The moment bounds then imply that for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|p_0^N f\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^1 L_p^1)} \lesssim 1 + \|p_0^N f\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^1 L_p^1)}^\alpha.$$

This implies the boundedness of the moments and the Strichartz norm for  $K$ .

# Conclusion of the proof

By choosing  $N$  sufficiently large, the argument above shows that  $|K|$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 f dp$  are in  $L_x^p$  for some very large  $p$ . This does not immediately imply the boundedness of  $|K|$ , but arguing as in Pallard's theorem, this controls the integral of  $|K|$  over characteristics.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^{T_*} |K(s, X(s; t, x, p))| ds \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T_*]; L_x^\infty L_p^\infty)} \\ & \lesssim \|Kf p_0\|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^4 L_p^1)} + \|f p_0\|_{L_t^1([0, T_*]; L_x^4 L_p^1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof can be concluded using the Glassey-Strauss result.

- Since we control the moments of  $f$ , it is not necessary that the initial data for  $f$  have compact momentum support.
- On the other hand, the proof requires that the initial data satisfy

$$\|f_0 p_0^N\|_{L_x^1 L_p^1} \leq C_N < \infty, \quad \text{for all } N.$$

- See also recent works of Pallard, Kunze.

# Epilogue: The 2- and $2\frac{1}{2}$ -D case

In 2- and  $2\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensions, global regularity is known for regular (large) initial data with compact initial momentum support.

Theorem 7 (Glassey-Schaeffer, 1997, 1998)

*Let  $(f_0, E_0, B_0)$  be regular initial data satisfying the constraints with compact momentum support for the 2D or  $2\frac{1}{2}$ D Vlasov-Maxwell system. Then there exists a unique global-in-time solution.*

## Epilogue: The 2- and $2\frac{1}{2}$ -D case

Using moment estimates instead of bounds for the momentum support, we also obtain the following global regularity in 2D and  $2\frac{1}{2}$ D without the assumption on compact initial momentum support:

### Theorem 8 (L.-Strain)

*Let  $(f_0, E_0, B_0)$  be regular initial data satisfying the constraints for the 2D or  $2\frac{1}{2}$ D Vlasov-Maxwell system and verifying*

$$|f_0(x, p)| \leq Cp_0^{-(16+\epsilon)},$$

*and*

$$|\nabla_{x,p} f_0(x, p)| \leq Cp_0^{-6} \log^{-2}(1 + p_0)$$

*for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a unique global-in-time solution.*

# Outline of proof

- Consider the 2D case. The first step is to bound the electromagnetic field in terms of solutions to homogeneous wave equation. For every small  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$|K| \lesssim \text{Data} + \square^{-1} \left( |K| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f}{p_0} dp \right) \\ + \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{10}} \left( \square^{-1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_0^2 f dp \right) \right)^{\frac{2}{5}} + \epsilon^{\frac{3}{10}} \left( \square^{-1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_0^4 f dp \right) \right)^{\frac{2}{5}}.$$

- This can be achieved based on the Glassey-Schaeffer work and estimating the kernel separately near and away from the light cone.
- Then apply Strichartz estimates and moment bounds in a similar way as in the 3D case.
- Need to use the improved Strichartz estimates for inhomogeneous wave equations by Foschi.

Thank you!