## Homework 2

Jeremy Benedek

January 24, 2016

Exercise (2.13). Determine whether the following data could represent a graph. For each data set that can represent a graph, determine all the possible graphs that it could be, describe each graph using pictures and set notation, and explain why you list is complete. If no graph can exist with the given properties, state why not.

- 1.  $V = \{v, w, x, y\}$  with deg(v) = 2, deg(w) = 1, deg(x) = 5, deg(y) = 0
- 2.  $V = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with deg(a) = 1, deg(b) = 4, deg(c) = 2, deg(d) = 2
- 3.  $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  with  $deg(v_1) = 1$ ,  $deg(v_2) = 3$ ,  $deg(v_3) = 2$ ,  $deg(v_4) = 5$

Solution. 1. It can be realized as a graph. There are many graphs that fit this description. Here is the set notation of one of them. G = (V, E)  $E = \{\{v,v\},\{w,x\},\{x,x\},\{x,x\}\}$  Another graph is if  $E = \{\{w,x\},\{x,x\},\{v,x\},\{v,x\}\}\}$  Please see after the typeset portion for hand drawn graphs. I know you want them typeset, but I was running out of time and having difficulties drawing them in LaTeX , so I hand drew them so you can at least see them. Sorry.

- 2. It cannot be realized as a graph. The total degree is odd (Total degree is 9), and thus, following Theorem 2.10, it is not a graph, since the Theorem states that the total degree of any graph is even.
- 3. It cannot be realized as a graph. The total degree is odd (Total degree is 11), and thus, following Theorem 2.10, it is not a graph, since the Theorem states that the total degree of any graph is even.

Exercise (2.14). If you are given a finite set V and a nonnegative integer for each element in the set such that the sum of these integers is even, can V be realized as the vertices of a graph with the associated degrees? If so, prove it. If not, give a counter-example.

Solution. Given Corollary 2.11, the graph will have an even number of vertex that have an odd degree. If every vertex has an even degree, loops can be drawn around each vertex n amount of times, if n = Total degree of the vertex divided

by 2. If the degree of a vertex is odd (so expressed in the form of 2m+1, where m is an integer), you can draw m amounts of loops around the vertex and then connect that vertex to some other vertex with odd degree, because there will always be an even amount of vertices with odd degree (Cor. 2.11). Thus any G can be realized by drawing a graph with a various amount of loop on vertices with even degree and drawing edges that connect vertices with odd degree with other vertices with odd degree.

Exercise (2.20). Draw all graphs with four edges without loops or vertices with degree 0. Argue that your list is complete. Which graphs with four edges are traceable (with and without returning to the start)? Try to be systematic and try to isolate some principles that seem pertinent to traceability.

Solution. I believe my list is complete because I started with graphs of 2 verticies and drew all possibilites I could think of, and then added one vertex and drew everything I could think of. I tried to get them all, but I may have missed some graphs if I didn't think of that way of drawing. My system to approach this is good, but my actually execuation may not be because I can't think of everything. A traceable graph if all vertices are of even degree, graphs that have some odd degree vertices may be traceable. If the degree of multiple vertices is 1, it is not traceable. This isn't an exhaustive set of rules relating to traceability, but a start. The graphs of these are attached after this HW assignment.

**Theorem** (2.22). Let G be a graph that has a walk between vertices v and w. Then G has a walk between vertices v and w that does not use repeated edges.

*Proof.* Every edge contains 2 vertices. An edge can only be repeated if both vertices are used together in another edge. By definition, the verticie in a walk are subsequent, so they are not reused. So, a walk between 2 vertices does not use repeated edges since they are subsequent.

**Theorem** (2.23). Let G be a graph and  $W: v_0, e_1, ..., e_n, v_n$  a walk in G such that the vertices  $v_i$  are all distinct. Then W has no repeated edges.

*Proof.* If an edge is repeated in a walk, the  $e_i$  must occur more than 1 time. By definition, and edge connects 2 vertices. For an edge to occur more than once, vertices must be repeated. So  $v_i$  is no longer distinct. Therefore, a walk has no repeated edges if the vertices are not repeated.

**Theorem** (2.25). Let G be a graph with vertices u, v, and w.

- 1. The vertex v is connected to itself.
- 2. If u is connected to v and v is connected to w, then u is connected to w.
- 3. If v is connected to w, then w is connected to v.

Proof. v is connected to itself if there is a walk from v to v. By defintion 2.6, W:v is a walk. So v is connected to itself.  $\square$ Assume there is a walk between u and v and another walk between v and w. So,  $W:v_1,e_1,...v_j,e_j,v_k,e_k,v_l,e_l$ , where  $v_j$  is vertex v,  $v_k$  is vertex v,  $v_l$  is vertex v. v contains a walk between v and v and a walk between v and v and finally, a walk between v and v, so there is a walk between v and v. Since a walk is a finite set of adjacent vertices and edges, being adjacent does not depend on order, just that they are next to each other in some direction. For an endpoint, the order of the vertices do not matter, so being adjacent is a symmetric property. So, there is a walk between v and v. Therefore, v is connected to v, if v is connected to v.