Project 2: Multithreaded Programming

Implemented Requirements

- Sudoku Validation for Threads and Processes
 - The program correctly analyzes a sudoku board for options 1, 2, and 3. Option 1 uses 11 threads (9 for checking the 3x3 grids, 1 for all rows, and 1 for all columns) while Option 2 uses 27 threads (9 for the 3x3 grids, 9 for the rows, and 9 for the columns). Option 3 uses 11 processes as an alternative method to Option 1 (9 processes for checking 3x3 grids, 1 for rows, 1 for columns). The program also tracks the time elapsed during the computational period of the program and returns it to the user. Timing begins after the text file is read and input arguments are processed; timing only tracks the part of the program that identifies the option the user chooses, creates the corresponding threads, and joins all threads. Each function automatically exits if the global variable "invalid" is true. This requires the use of 8 total functions:
 - areRowsValid
 - Takes in no data and simply iterates through the sudoku table stored as a global variable. Stores each row in a temporary array and checks for repeat numbers.
 - areRowsValid_process
 - Acts in the same manner as as the areRowsValid function, except for using process based exits instead of thread based.
 - isRowValid
 - Takes in row and column index, column index should always be 0. Checks that one row.
 - areColsValid
 - Takes in no data and simply iterates through the sudoku table stored as a global variable. Stores each column in a temporary array and checks for repeat numbers.
 - areColsValid process
 - Acts in the same manner as as the areColsValid function, except for using process based exits instead of thread based.
 - isColValid
 - Takes in row and column index, row index should always be 0. Checks that one column.
 - is3x3Valid
 - Takes in row and column index when the mod of those indexes by 3 equals 0. Check for duplicate elements.

■ is3x3Valid process

• Acts in the same manner as as the areRowsValid function, except for using process based exits instead of thread based.

Option 1 vs Option 2 vs Option 3 (11 vs 27 Threads vs 11 Processes)

Number of individual runs: 30 per option

Valid Sudoku Solution

	Average Runtime (s)			
Option 1 (11 Threads)	0.00094			
Option 2 (27 Threads)	0.00151			
Option 3 (11 Processes)	0.00175			

Invalid Sudoku Solution

	Average Runtime (s)			
Option 1 (11 Threads)	0.00062			
Option 2 (27 Threads)	0.00144			
Option 3 (11 Processes)	0.00118			

The tables above show the average runtimes for each option in our program, which were calculated across 30 runs per option. We completed tests for both a valid given solution and an invalid solution, to see if there were major differences in the program's completion time. All the values from the runs can be seen in the table below:

Sudoku Board is Valid				Sudoku Board is Invalid				
Run #	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Run#	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	
1	0.00138	0.002015	0.002436	1	0.001208	0.001946	0.001565	
2	0.001603	0.002128	0.001912	2	0.000578	0.0015	0.001383	
3	0.001577	0.001586	0.001716	3	0.000658	0.001521	0.001071	
4	0.00115	0.001773	0.001562	4	0.000636	0.001718	0.001393	
5	0.001488	0.001566	0.001992	5	0.000583	0.002011	0.001079	
6	0.001092	0.001582	0.001579	6	0.000704	0.001749	0.001126	
7	0.000987	0.001662	0.002432	7	0.000653	0.001936	0.000969	

8	0.001383	0.001799	0.002229	8	0.000662	0.001482	0.001215
9	0.001255	0.001315	0.001232	9	0.000579	0.0013	0.001229
10	0.001207	0.001479	0.001106	10	0.000541	0.001271	0.001346
11	0.001915	0.001416	0.001129	11	0.000614	0.002099	0.001261
12	0.001376	0.001618	0.001299	12	0.000608	0.00154	0.001064
13	0.000581	0.001416	0.001388	13	0.000656	0.001447	0.001128
14	0.000712	0.001388	0.001315	14	0.000603	0.001313	0.000954
15	0.000736	0.001246	0.001085	15	0.000579	0.001372	0.001017
16	0.000649	0.001396	0.001535	16	0.000541	0.00142	0.001557
17	0.000774	0.0015	0.001679	17	0.000614	0.001459	0.001221
18	0.000686	0.001728	0.001642	18	0.000608	0.001242	0.000957
19	0.000663	0.001609	0.001635	19	0.000603	0.001151	0.001019
20	0.00066	0.001403	0.001538	20	0.000718	0.001235	0.000972
21	0.000624	0.001522	0.001433	21	0.000674	0.001263	0.001095
22	0.000635	0.001514	0.001198	22	0.000563	0.001263	0.001066
23	0.000692	0.001396	0.001225	23	0.000485	0.001241	0.001126
24	0.00058	0.001242	0.001429	24	0.000567	0.001315	0.000911
25	0.000616	0.001291	0.001112	25	0.000582	0.001208	0.001021
26	0.0006	0.001338	0.001337	26	0.000674	0.001233	0.001458
27	0.000641	0.001264	0.001666	27	0.000563	0.001186	0.001053
28	0.000687	0.001254	0.001206	28	0.000485	0.00118	0.001412
29	0.000685	0.001425	0.002288	29	0.000567	0.001158	0.001645
30	0.000687	0.001331	0.001316	30	0.000582	0.001381	0.001187
Avg Time	0.000944	0.001507	0.001748	Avg Time	0.000623	0.001438	0.001183

These results are also summarized on the last page in the form of graphs. The results from the averages and tables are fairly clear. They show that for processing a valid or invalid sudoku solution, 11 threads is the quickest and most efficient method of checking. The option utilizing 11 threads was shown to be 60.64% faster on average when compared to option 2 (27 threads), and 86.17% faster on average than option 3 (11 processes). Even though 27 threads could solve a more complex problem faster than 11 threads, for the complexity of this problem, the overhead necessary for 27 threads ends up costing more time than it takes for 11 threads.

The results also show that the threads are more efficient for this task than processes, as both option 1 (11 threads) option 2 (27 threads) outperformed option 3 with its processes in most cases, except if the solution was invalid, where option 3 beat option 2.

Therefore, the null hypothesis "there is no statistically significant difference between the two methods" has been rejected for all options, as there has shown to be a statistically significant difference between all methods in a variety of tests.



