Classification

Part 3

Prof. Bisbee

Vanderbilt University


Slides Updated: 2024-08-10

Agenda

- 1. Recap of regression and classification
- 2. Introducing (some) machine learning algorithms

What is regression?


Conditional means for continuous data




Regression

- Calculating a line that minimizes mistakes for every observation
 - NB: could be a curvey line! For now, just assume straight
- Recall from geometry how to graph a straight line
- Y = a + bX
 - a: the "intercept" (where the line intercepts the y-axis)
 - \circ b: the "slope" (how much Y changes for each increase in X)
- (Data scientists use lpha and eta instead of a and b b/c nerds)
- Regression analysis simply chooses the best line
 - Best"?
 - The line that minimizes the mistakes (the line of best fit)

Visual Intuition



Visual Intuition




Two Camps Revisited

- Regression is great for theory testing
 - Results tell us something meaningful about our theory
- But if all we care about is prediction...?
 - Want to test every possible predictor (and combinations)
 - Don't care about relationships
 - Just care about accuracy
- Algorithms can save us time!
 - Random Forests
 - LASSO

Random Forests

• Identify the best "partition" (split) that divides the data



- In R: ranger
 - ∘ formula = Y ~ .

Random Forests

```
require(tidyverse)
require(scales)
require(tidymodels)
fn <-
read_rds('https://github.com/jbisbee1/DS1000_F2024/raw/main/data/fn_cle</pre>
```

Research Question

What predicts whether you win at Fortnite?

```
form.perf <- 'won ~ hits + assists + accuracy + head_shots +
damage_to_players'

form.games <- 'won ~ eliminations + revives + distance_traveled +
materials_gathered'

form.context <- 'won ~ mental_state + startTime + gameIdSession'

form.full <- 'won ~ hits + assists + accuracy + head_shots +
damage_to_players + eliminations + revives + distance_traveled +
materials_gathered + mental_state + startTime + gameIdSession'</pre>
```

Comparing models

```
m.perf <- lm(as.formula(form.perf),fn)
summary(m.perf)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
  lm(formula = as.formula(form.perf), data = fn)
##
  Residuals:
##
      Min
              10 Median
                              30
                                    Max
  -0.7905 -0.2756 -0.1563 0.3429 1.0078
##
## Coefficients:
##
                     Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
  (Intercept)
                    8.788e-02 3.768e-02 2.332 0.019893
## hits
                    6.962e-04 1.001e-03 0.695 0.487053
## assists
                   3.445e-02 1.020e-02 3.377 0.000764
  accuracy
                  -4.164e-01 1.081e-01 -3.850 0.000126
  head shots
                -4.808e-03 3.149e-03 -1.527 0.127057
  damage to players 4.728e-04 5.713e-05 8.275 4.31e-16
##
  (Intercept)
## hits
```

Comparing models

```
m.games <- lm(as.formula(form.games),fn)
summary(m.games)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
  lm(formula = as.formula(form.games), data = fn)
##
  Residuals:
##
      Min
               10 Median
                              30
                                     Max
  -1.0107 -0.2320 -0.1275 0.2028 0.9583
##
## Coefficients:
##
                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
  (Intercept)
                      4.171e-02 2.210e-02 1.888 0.059397
## eliminations
                     1.151e-02 9.765e-03 1.178 0.238921
## revives
                   6.993e-02 1.809e-02 3.865 0.000119
  distance traveled 1.805e-04 1.755e-05 10.287 < 2e-16
  materials gathered -2.550e-06 3.515e-05 -0.073 0.942186
##
  (Intercept)
## eliminations
                     ***
## revives
```

Comparing models

```
m.context <- lm(as.formula(form.context),fn)
summary(m.context)</pre>
```


```
##
## Call:
  lm(formula = as.formula(form.context), data = fn)
##
  Residuals:
##
      Min
              10 Median
                              30
                                     Max
  -0.4698 -0.3258 -0.2340 0.5857 0.8553
##
  Coefficients:
                     Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
##
  (Intercept)
                    9.027e+01 2.987e+01 3.022 0.00258
  mental statesober 1.368e-01 2.933e-02 4.663 3.56e-06
## startTime
                 -5.672e-08 1.881e-08 -3.015 0.00264
  gameIdSession
                   1.460e-03 1.463e-03 0.998 0.31863
##
  (Intercept)
  mental statesober
  startTime
## gameIdSession
```

Evaluate Model Fit

```
cvRes <- NULL
for(i in 1:100) {
  inds <- sample(1:nrow(fn), size = round(nrow(fn)*.8), replace = F)</pre>
  train <- fn %>% slice(inds)
  test <- fn %>% slice(-inds)
  # Train
  mTmp.perf <- lm(as.formula(form.perf),train)</pre>
  mTmp.games <- lm(as.formula(form.games),train)</pre>
  mTmp.context <- lm(as.formula(form.context),train)</pre>
  # Test
  toEval <- test %>%
    mutate(prob.p = predict(mTmp.perf,newdata = test),
           prob.g = predict(mTmp.games,newdata = test),
           prob.c = predict(mTmp.context,newdata = test),
           truth = factor(won, levels = c('1', '0')))
  auc.p <- roc auc(toEval,truth,prob.p) %>%
    mutate(model = 'performance')
  auc.g <- roc auc(toEval,truth,prob.g) %>%
    mutate(model = 'games')
```

Evaluate Model Fit

```
cvRes %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = .estimate,y = model)) +
  geom_boxplot() + labs(x = 'AUC',y = 'Specification')
```



Random Forests

```
require(ranger) # Fast random forests package
rf.f <- ranger(formula = as.formula(form.full),data = fn)

toEval <- fn %>%
   mutate(prob_won = rf.f$predictions) %>%
   mutate(truth = factor(won,levels = c('1','0')))


roc_auc(toEval,truth,prob_won)
```

Random Forest Comparison

```
cvRes <- NULL
for(i in 1:100) {
  inds <- sample(1:nrow(fn), size = round(nrow(fn)*.8), replace = F)</pre>
  train <- fn %>% slice(inds)
  test <- fn %>% slice(-inds)
  # Train
  mLM.f <- lm(as.formula(form.full),train)</pre>
  mRF.f <- ranger(as.formula(form.full),train)</pre>
  # Test
  # NEED TO RUN PREDICTION ON RF FIRST
  tmpPred <- predict(mRF.f,test)</pre>
  toFval <- test %>%
    mutate(prob.lm = predict(mLM.f,newdata = test),
           prob.rf = tmpPred$predictions,
           truth = factor(won, levels = c('1', '0')))
  auc.lm <- roc auc(toEval,truth,prob.lm) %>%
    mutate(model = 'linear')
  auc.rf <- roc auc(toEval,truth,prob.rf) %>%
```

Random Forest Comparison

```
cvRes %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = .estimate,y = model)) +
  geom_boxplot() + labs(x = 'AUC',y = 'Algorithm')
```



What matters most?


- Random Forests are particularly suitable for investigating variable importance
 - \circ l.e., which X predictors are most helpful?
- A few options, but we rely on permutation tests
 - Idea: run the best model you have, then re-run it after "permuting" one of the variables
 - "Permute" means randomly reshuffle...breaks relationship
 - How much worse is the model when you break a variable?

Variable Importance

• In ranger(), use importance = "permutation"

```
hits
##
                                   assists
                                                     accuracy
##
         0.0127008236
                             0.0041781300
                                                 0.0040000719
##
           head shots
                        damage to players
                                                 eliminations
##
         0.0056862337
                             0.0324847910
                                                 0.0113115467
              revives
##
                        distance traveled materials gathered
##
                                                 0.0251720901
         0.0052432709
                             0.0680064854
                                                gameIdSession
##
         mental state
                                startTime
         0.0032816274
                             0.0005983671
                                                 0.0253125537
##
```

Variable Importance



- "Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator"
- Concept: Make it hard for predictors to matter
 - \circ Practice: λ penalizes how many variables you can include

$$| \circ | \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \sum_j x_{ij} eta_j)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p |eta_j| |$$


- Minimize the errors, but penalize for each additional predictor
- You could kitchen-sink a regression and get super low errors
- LASSO penalizes you from throwing everything into the kitchen sink
- In R, need to install a new package! install.packages('glmnet')

```
require(glmnet)
```

- Function doesn't use formulas
- Give it the raw data instead, divided into Y (outcome) and X (predictors)


Now estimate!

plot(lassFit)




Has its own CV!

```
cv.lassFit <- cv.glmnet(x = as.matrix(X),y = as.matrix(Y))
plot(cv.lassFit)</pre>
```



Variable Importance



Conclusion

- Lots of powerful tools out there!
- Make sure to take more classes on these topics!
- Go to Brightspace and take the **16th** quiz
- Homework:
 - HW 17