

Validating Galfast Star Counts

1. Comparing VVV Data to Galfast and Besancon Models

Our original aim was to compare the bulge data from the ESO Vista Variables in the Via Láctea (VVV) (?) to Galfast output and use Besançon (?) and Trilegal (?) output as a further check on both since ? also used it as a comparison. Therefore, the first step was to gather data in a 1 sq. degree region centered at galactic coordinates $(l,b) = (0.0, -9.5)$. The VVV data is in VISTA JHK_s colors, but in ? they indicate that the JHK system provided by Besançon output is close enough for comparison purposes. To convert SDSS magnitude output from Galfast to JHK, we used the conversions provided in equation 1 and table 2 of ?. Furthermore, to account for A_{K_s} and $E(J-K_s)$ we followed the same procedure as ? using the reddening maps of ? along with the extinction law of ?. In the case of the Trilegal data, there is only the option of obtaining circular pointings in simulations rather than the rectangular options available in Galfast and Besançon. Furthermore, the output of Trilegal lacks position information beyond distance modulus so in order to match the Trilegal output processing to the other models we took a 1 sq. deg. region centered at $(l,b) = (0.0, -9.5)$ like the rest and then randomly assigned the output to one of the 16 smaller areas with equal weight before adding in the extinction to each of the areas.

The results of this comparison are shown in Figure 1. Galfast counts seem to be consistently at half the amount of the VVV survey and the corresponding Besançon counts, but seem to be comparable to Trilegal numbers. Figures 2-4 further break down the Thin Disk, Thick Disk, and Bulge counts of the three models. The relationship shown by Galfast to the other models in Figure 1 is fairly consistent across the thin disk up to about K magnitude 16.5. In Figures 3 & 4 Galfast seems to underestimate the Besançon counts consistently and they seem to follow very similar curves. However, comparisons to Trilegal are inconsistent as this model underestimates Thick disc counts compared to the other two models while falling in between Besançon and Galfast in Bulge Counts. Trilegal bulge counts also feature a sharper leveling off at fainter magnitudes than the other models.

In order to make sure that the conversions to J & K magnitudes were not a source of the discrepancy between Galfast and the higher VVV and Besançon counts we decided to check the conversions. We converted V,R,I magnitudes out of Besançon to SDSS g-i values using the transformations from Table 3 in ? and then applied the conversions used above from ? to get converted K magnitudes. We then compared these to the K magnitudes out of Besançon for the same catalog and the results are shown in Figure 5. Based upon the results of this comparison we decided that our conversions gave reasonable results and that the underestimation in the plot is actually present.

2. Comparing Galfast to Besançon and Trilegal models in Galactic Bulge Region

Our next goal was to learn more about the differences in the models from each other in the 1 square degree bulge region centered at $(l,b) = (0, -9.5)$ by looking at optical wavelengths. We chose to look at V-Band magnitudes since it was an output available directly from Besançon and Trilegal. We needed to perform more conversions to get Galfast output in the same band. We used the ? equations to convert SDSS magnitudes to the V-Band.

Comparing Galfast to Besançon (see Figures 6 & 7) we see that total Galfast counts are comparable to the Besançon in the V-Band with Galfast slightly lower at brighter magnitudes and higher at fainter magnitudes. When we look at the breakdown of the parts of each model the biggest difference is the contribution of the thick and thin discs between the models. In Galfast, the proportion between the two is fairly equal, but in the Besançon model at magnitudes fainter than $V = 17$ the thick disc count rapidly begins to grow much larger than the thin disc. The bulge and halo counts between the two seem to match well except for the large population of bright bulge stars of $V < 16$ in Besançon.

The breakdown of the Trilegal output into model components shows a very similar bulge count to Besançon and similar overall numbers to Galfast. Trilegal has a more similar thin and thick disc distribution to Galfast, but has a significantly smaller thick disc contribution at brighter magnitudes. The biggest difference between Trilegal and the other models is the large contribution from halo stars in this region. Both Galfast and Besançon had less than 5% of total stars marked as halo stars, but Trilegal has over 20%.

3. Comparing Galfast and other models to the SDSS Stripe 82 Data

REFERENCES

- Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, ApJ, 345, 245
- Covey, K.R., Ivezić, Ž, Schlegel, D., et al. 2007, AJ, 134, 2398
- Girardi, L., Groenewegen, M. A. T., Hatziminaoglou, E., & da Costa, L. 2005, A&A, 436, 895
- Gonzalez, O. A., Rejkuba, M., Zoccali, M., et al. 2012, A&A, 543, A13
- Jordi, K., Grebel, E. K., & Ammon, K. 2006, A&A, 460, 339
- Lupton, R. 2005, <https://www.sdss3.org/dr8/algorithms/sdssUBVRITransform.php>
- Robin, A. C., Reyl, C., Derrire, & S., Picaud, S. 2003, A&A, 409, 523
- Saito, R.K., Minniti, D., Dias, B., et al. 2012, A&A, 544, A147

VVV, Besançon, Trilegal, Galfast 1 sq. deg. centered at $(l,b) = (0, -9.5)$ and $11.9 < K < 18.5$




Fig. 1.— Comparing VVV Data to model catalogs from Galfast, Besançon and Trilegal in the bulge region.




Fig. 2.— Breaking the results of Fig. 1 into thin disc components.




Fig. 3.— Breaking the results of Fig. 1 into thick disc components.




Fig. 4.— Breaking the results of Fig. 1 into bulge components.




Fig. 5.— Comparing K magnitudes from Besançon output to K magnitudes calculated from Besançon V,R,I magnitudes.




Fig. 6.— A breakdown of counts from Galfast output in V magnitude for same area on sky as Part 1.




Fig. 7.— A similar plot as the previous figure for the Besançon output.




Fig. 8.— A similar plot as the previous figure for the Trilegal output.