

Jack BLUMENAU







1. Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation


This survey requests your feedback on two separate aspects of your learning experience, split into three sections. The first section asks for your views on your teacher's class/seminar teaching. The second section (overleaf) asks for your views on the course as a whole *at this point in time*. Finally, the third section asks for some information about you, to aid analysis.


Histogram

Scale

Your responses will be used to identify areas of good practice. They will also be used to help improve the teaching and learning experience for you and your peers. Please complete the survey thoughtfully and constructively, marking one box for each question unless indicated otherwise.


Please rate your class/seminar teacher on the points below using the following scale: (1 – very good, 2 – good, 3 – average, 4 – poor, 5 – very poor, not applicable)





^{1.10)} Please write below aspects of this teacher's class/seminar teaching that you think could be improved and/or aspects that were particularly helpful.

Teaching is very good:



09.03.2015 EvaSys evaluation Page 3

Which category of student are you?									
First Year Undergraduate	0%	n=8							
Second Year Undergraduate	0%								
Third Year Undergraduate	0%								
General Course	0%								
Intercollegiate	0%								
Certificate	0%								
Diploma	0%								
Masters	75%								
Socrates or Erasmus	0%								
Research	25%								
Other	0%								
Which of the reasons given below describe why you are taking the course? (Please select all that apply)									
It is compulsory for my degree programme	25%	n=8							
I am interested in the subject matter	37.5%								
It was recommended to me by other students	0%								
It was recommended to me by a tutor	0%								
Other	0%								

Profile

Subunit: MI - Methodology Institute
Name of the instructor: Jack BLUMENAU

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Special Topics in Quantitative Analysis: Advanced Regression Modelling - Seminar 2

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation

1.1)	Spoken English	Very Good	<u> </u>	Very Poor	n=7	av.=1.1
1.2)	Skill at involving members of the class	Very Good		Very Poor	n=7	av.=1.7
1.3)	Clarity in presenting course material	Very Good		Very Poor	n=7	av.=1.7
1.4)	Made the classes intellectually stimulating for you	Very Good		Very Poor	n=6	av.=1.7
1.5)	Communicated a structure to the topic of each class meeting	Very Good		Very Poor	n=6	av.=1.8
1.6)	Provided helpful feedback on your work/performance	Very Good	 	Very Poor	n=6	av.=2.0
1.7)	Improved your understanding of the course material	Very Good		Very Poor	n=6	av.=1.7
1.8)	Please rate your own contribution to classes/ seminars using the same scale	Very Good		Very Poor	n=7	av.=2.4
1.9)	In general, how satisfied have you been so far with the class teaching on this course by this teacher?	Very Satisfied		Not Very Satisfied	n=6	av.=1.7

2. Course Evaluation

2.1)	How do you rate the reading list(s) provided for this course?	Very Good	 	Very Poor	n=6	av.=2.3
2.3)	How useful have you found the Moodle and/or any other course materials?	Very Good	 	Very Poor	n=6	av.=2.3
2.4)	How satisfied have you been with this course content as a whole to date?	Very Satisfied	 	Not At All Satisfied	n=7	av.=2.3
2.5)	How satisfied have you been with the lectures as a whole to date?	Very Satisfied	 	Not At All Satisfied	n=5	av.=2.2
2.6)	How satisfied have you been with the integration of classes with lectures?	Very Satisfied	 	Not At All Satisfied	n=5	av.=2.0
2.7)	How satisfied have you been with the amount of contact time with teachers for this course?	Very Satisfied	 	Not At All Satisfied	n=6	av.=2.0
2.8)	How satisfied are you that the feedback received on this course will be helpful in preparing you for the final course assessment?	Very Satisfied	1	Not At All Satisfied	n=5	av.=1.8