Running GeoHiSSE

Daniel Caetano and Jeremy M. Beaulieu

Getting started

This tutorial gives some basic information on how to set up and execute parameter estimates for a series of models using GeoHiSSE (Caetano et al., 2018). The GeoHiSSE models can be used to infer ancestral ranges, rates of dispersion and extirpation as well as testing hypothesis about range-dependent diversification processes.

The main difference between GeoSSE and GeoHiSSE is that here we implement models that allow for diversification rate variation both within and between geographical areas. Such models are more adequate to empirical data then homogeneous diversification rates implied by GeoSSE (as well as BiSSE). The GeoHiSSE models belong to the same category of Hidden-Markov models as HiSSE. Thus, the concepts will be familiar to you if you have some experience with HiSSE (and vice-versa).

Best place to install the package with the new functions provided here is from our github repository using the package devtools:

```
library( devtools )
## At the moment you need to point to the development branch on github.
install_github(repo = "thej022214/hisse", ref = "master")
```

Before getting started, be sure to load the hisse and diversitree packages:

```
suppressWarnings(library(hisse))
```

```
## Loading required package: ape
## Loading required package: deSolve
## Loading required package: GenSA
## Loading required package: subplex
## Loading required package: nloptr
suppressWarnings(library(diversitree))
```

Simulating a range-independent process

Here we will simulate a phylogenetic tree using neutral geographical ranges. We will incorporate three different rates of diversification. Thus, the correct process here is: "rates of diversification vary independently of the geographic ranges".

We use a simulation here just because it is an easy way to produce data and because we know the underlying diversification process. Otherwise, if you have an empiricial dataset, all the steps we show here apply. Just make sure to substitute the phylogeny and data with your dataset.

```
## Generate a list with the parameters of the model:
pars <- SimulateGeoHiSSE(hidden.areas = 1, return.GeoHiSSE_pars = TRUE)
pars</pre>
```

```
## $model.pars
## A B
## s01 0 0
## s0 0 0
```

```
## s1
       0 0
## x0
       0 0
## x1
       0 0
       0 0
## d0
## d1
       0 0
##
## $q.01
##
       01A 01B
## 01A NA
## 01B
         O NA
##
## $q.0
##
      OA OB
## OA NA O
## OB O NA
##
## $q.1
      1A 1B
## 1A NA O
## 1B O NA
##
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "list"
                        "GeoHiSSE_pars"
```

The object pars is a list with all the parameter values for this model in the correct order and format, but all values are 0. Thus, we need to populate these parameters with numbers in order to perform the simulation.

```
pars$model.pars[,1] <- c(0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.03, 0.03, 0.05, 0.05)
pars$model.pars[,2] <- c(0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.03, 0.03, 0.05, 0.05)
pars$q.01[1,2] <- pars$q.01[2,1] <- 0.05
pars$q.0[1,2] <- pars$q.0[2,1] <- 0.05
pars$q.1[1,2] <- pars$q.1[2,1] <- 0.05</pre>
```

```
## $model.pars
##
## s01 0.10 0.20
## s0 0.10 0.20
      0.10 0.20
## s1
## x0
      0.03 0.03
      0.03 0.03
## x1
## d0
       0.05 0.05
## d1 0.05 0.05
##
## $q.01
##
        01A 01B
## 01A
         NA 0.05
## 01B 0.05
              NA
##
## $q.0
##
        OA
             0B
## OA
        NA 0.05
## OB 0.05
##
## $q.1
```

```
## 1A 1B
## 1A NA 0.05
## 1B 0.05 NA
##
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "list" "GeoHiSSE pars"
```

Now we can use the parameters with the same function we applied before SimulateGeoHiSSE to generate both the data and the phylogeny.

Here we will set the seed for the simulation, so the outcome of the simulation is always the same. Note that you can change the seed or skip this lines to generate a different, random, dataset.

```
set.seed(1234)
sim.geohisse <- SimulateGeoHiSSE(pars=pars, hidden.areas = 1, x0 = "OA", max.taxa = 200)
## [1] "Simulating the phylogeny..."
## [1] "Simulation finished!"</pre>
```

Setting up the models

In this tutotial we will fit a total of four models. Two models with a range-indendent diversification process and two other models in which the range have an effect on the diversification rate of the lineages (each with either one or two rate classes).

Note that the function to estimate the parameters of the model is commented out below. Just uncomment and run to perform the estimate of the models. Here we will load results from a previous estimate.

Models 1 and 2 below do not include hidden classes. Note that in this case the model will have 3 speciation parameters and 2 extirpation parameters.

Models 3 and 4 below have 2 hidden rates each. In this case the models will have twice the number of parameters: 6 speciation parameters and 4 extirpation parameters.

```
## Model 3. GeoHiSSE model with 1 hidden area, no range-dependent diversification.
## Note below how parameters vary among hidden classes but are the same within each
## hidden class.
speciation <- c(1,1,1,2,2,2)
extirpation <- c(1,1,2,2)
trans.rate <- TransMatMakerGeoHiSSE(hidden.areas=1)
mod3 <- GeoHiSSE(sim.geohisse$phy, data = sim.geohisse$data, f=c(1,1,1),</pre>
```

Load the fit of the models:

```
load( "geohisse_vignette.Rsave" )
```

Now that we have the fit for the 4 models we can check their parameter estimates.

First model assumes a homogeneous diversification rate across the tree independent of the ranges.

mod1

```
##
## Fit
##
                -InI.
                                   ATC:
                                                     AICc
                                                                     n.taxa
##
           -803.026
                              1614.052
                                                1614.257
                                                                    200.000
## n.hidden.classes
               1.000
##
##
## Model parameters:
##
##
          sOA
                      s1A
                                 s01A
                                              x0A
                                                          x1A
                                                                  d0A_01A
## 0.10495443 0.10495443 0.10495443 0.04700056 0.04700056 0.04523465
      d1A_01A
## 0.06328808
```

Second model assumes a range-dependent diversification process without hidden states. This means that diversification shifts occurr across the branches of the tree and are correlated to the ranges.

mod2

```
##
## Fit
##
                -lnL
                                   ATC
                                                    ATCc
                                                                    n.taxa
          -802.1938
##
                             1618.3876
                                               1618.9709
                                                                  200.0000
## n.hidden.classes
##
             1.0000
##
## Model parameters:
##
##
                                 s01A
          sOA
                      s1A
                                              xOA
                                                          x1A
                                                                 d0A_01A
##
  0.11383796 0.10000999 0.08864935 0.04545565 0.06020702 0.05333405
##
      d1A_01A
## 0.05346983
```

Third model incoporates shifts in diversification across the tree but these are independent of the ranges. Note that the parameter estimes vary between hidden classes but are held the same among different ranges within each hidden class. This is an example of our more complex null model in GeoHiSSE. This model can be extended to fit up to 5 hidden classes.

```
mod3
##
## Fit
                                   AIC
##
                -lnL
                                                    AICc
                                                                   n.taxa
          -810.6062
                            1639.2124
                                              1640.1597
                                                                 200.0000
##
## n.hidden.classes
             2.0000
##
##
##
  Model parameters:
##
##
            s0A
                          s1A
                                       s01A
                                                      x0A
## 4.996388e+00 4.996388e+00 4.996388e+00 7.411601e+02 7.411601e+02
                                     dOA_OB
##
        d0A_01A
                      d1A_01A
                                                  d1A_1B
                                                              d01A_01B
  7.983518e-03 9.980557e+01 2.339666e-05 2.339666e-05 2.339666e-05
##
##
            s0B
                          s1B
                                       s01B
                                                      x0B
                                                                   x1B
  1.742381e-01 1.742381e-01 1.742381e-01 1.670225e-01 1.670225e-01
##
##
        d0B 01B
                      d1B 01B
                                     dOB OA
                                                  d1B 1A
                                                              d01B 01A
## 6.429553e-02 9.702651e-02 2.339666e-05 2.339666e-05 2.339666e-05
```

Finally, the third model describes a range-dependent diversification process while also accounting for multiple rate classes. This is the most complex model in this set.

```
mod4
```

```
##
## Fit
##
                -lnL
                                   AIC
                                                    AICc
                                                                    n.taxa
          -798.7843
                             1627.5686
##
                                               1630.1773
                                                                  200.0000
  n.hidden.classes
##
             2.0000
##
##
  Model parameters:
##
##
            s0A
                          s1A
                                       s01A
                                                       x0A
                                                                    x1A
## 1.371612e-01 7.618960e-02 1.668092e-01 7.176987e-02 7.841790e-02
##
        d0A 01A
                      d1A 01A
                                     dOA OB
                                                   d1A_1B
                                                               d01A 01B
## 6.001005e-02 7.188738e-02 7.811913e-03 7.811913e-03 7.811913e-03
##
            s0B
                          s1B
                                       s01B
                                                       x0B
                                                                    x<sub>1</sub>B
  6.434724e-02 1.261423e-01 2.066618e-09 7.637460e-03 4.241552e-02
##
                      d1B_01B
        d0B 01B
                                     dOB OA
                                                   d1B 1A
                                                               d01B 01A
## 4.497654e-02 3.689476e-02 7.811913e-03 7.811913e-03 7.811913e-03
```

Computing Akaike Weights.

Akaike weights are important to evaluate the relative importance of each of the models to explain the variation observed in the data. This quantity takes into account pennalties associated to the number of free parametes.

Models with higher weight show better fit to the data and, as a result, have more weight when performing model averaging (see below).

To compute model weight we can use one of the functions of the package. This will work with both HiSSE and GeoHiSSE objects.

```
GetModelWeight(model1 = mod1, model2 = mod2, model3 = mod3, model4 = mod4)
```

```
## model1 model2 model3 model4
## 8.963818e-01 1.025742e-01 3.083187e-06 1.040898e-03
## As the number of models in the set grows, naming each model in the set can become hard.
## So one can use a list (created by some automated code) as an imput also:
list.geohisse <- list(model1 = mod1, model2 = mod2, model3 = mod3, model4 = mod4)
GetModelWeight(list.geohisse)
## model1 model2 model3 model4
## 8.963818e-01 1.025742e-01 3.083187e-06 1.040898e-03</pre>
```

Model averaging and plotting.

Now we can model average the results. Note that this step will reflect the Akaike model weights that we computed above.

For this we need first to perform a marginal reconstruction for each of the models in the set. This will reconstruct the hidden states at the nodes of the phylogeny. Then we can use this information to compute the model average for the rates.

These can take a while to run. We will load the results of previous analyses. Uncomment the code below to perform the reconstructions.

```
recon.mod1 <- MarginReconGeoSSE(phy = mod1$phy, data = mod1$data, f = mod1$f,
                                 pars = mod1$solution, hidden.areas = mod1$hidden.areas,
                                 root.type = mod1$root.type, root.p = mod1$root.p,
                                 aic = mod1$AIC, n.cores = 4)
recon.mod2 <- MarginReconGeoSSE(phy = mod2$phy, data = mod2$data, f = mod2$f,
                                 pars = mod2$solution, hidden.areas = mod2$hidden.areas,
                                 root.type = mod2$root.type, root.p = mod2$root.p,
                                 aic = mod2$AIC, n.cores = 4)
recon.mod3 <- MarginReconGeoSSE(phy = mod3$phy, data = mod3$data, f = mod3$f,
                                 pars = mod3$solution, hidden.areas = mod3$hidden.areas,
                                 root.type = mod3$root.type, root.p = mod3$root.p,
                                 aic = mod3$AIC, n.cores = 4)
recon.mod4 <- MarginReconGeoSSE(phy = mod4$phy, data = mod4$data, f = mod4$f,
                                 pars = mod4$solution, hidden.areas = mod4$hidden.areas,
                                 root.type = mod4$root.type, root.p = mod4$root.p,
                                 aic = mod4$AIC, n.cores = 4)
## Load previous results:
load( "geohisse_recons_vignette.Rsave" )
```

The results are phylogenetic trees with information on the nodes.

recon.mod1

```
##
## Phylogenetic tree with 200 tips and 199 internal nodes.
##
## Tip labels:
## sp14, sp16, sp21, sp23, sp26, sp30, ...
## Node labels:
## 1, 3, 1, 3, 3, 1, ...
##
## Rooted; includes branch lengths.
```

```
recon.mod2
##
## Phylogenetic tree with 200 tips and 199 internal nodes.
##
## Tip labels:
## sp14, sp16, sp21, sp23, sp26, sp30, ...
## Node labels:
## 1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 1, ...
##
## Rooted; includes branch lengths.
recon.mod3
##
## Phylogenetic tree with 200 tips and 199 internal nodes.
##
## Tip labels:
## sp14, sp16, sp21, sp23, sp26, sp30, ...
## Node labels:
## 2, 6, 6, 4, 6, 4, ...
## Rooted; includes branch lengths.
recon.mod4
##
## Phylogenetic tree with 200 tips and 199 internal nodes.
##
## Tip labels:
## sp14, sp16, sp21, sp23, sp26, sp30, ...
## Node labels:
## 1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 1, ...
##
## Rooted; includes branch lengths.
Now that we have the AIC associated with each model and their reconstruction across the nodes of the tree
we can compute the model average:
recon.models <- list(recon.mod1, recon.mod2, recon.mod3, recon.mod4)</pre>
model.ave.rates <- GetModelAveRates(x = recon.models, type = "tips")</pre>
## Warning in CheckReconBounds(x = list(rates.tips.turnover,
## rates.tips.net.div, : Models in position 3 have parameters outside the
```

The error message appeared here because the function uses the argument bound.par.matrix in order to exclude models with parameter estimates outside the pre-defined bounds. You can use these bounds to make sure that models with poor MLE estimates will not influence the model averages.

bounds defined by 'bound.matrix' argument. These will NOT be included in

taxon state.0 state.1 state.01 turnover net.div speciation

the reconstruction.


The result of the reconstrution is a matrix with the parameter estimates for each of the tips species averaged over all models. Note that for the GeoSSE model there is no "extinction" parameter associated with widespread (01) lineages. Also not that one can change the type of model averaging (between tips, nodes, and both) when callin the GetModelAveRates function.

```
head( model.ave.rates )
```

```
## 1
     sp14
                                 1 0.3133588 0.3133588 0.1031989
                        0
                                 0 0.1527295 0.1058221 0.1058792
## 2
     sp16
     sp21
                                 0 0.1527351 0.1058224 0.1058822
                        0
                                 0 0.1527147 0.1058211 0.1058713
## 4
      sp23
                1
## 5
     sp26
                1
                        0
                                 0 0.1527019 0.1058203 0.1058645
## 6 sp30
                                 1 0.3137521 0.3137521 0.1033111
                0
     extinct.frac extinction
##
       0.0000000 0.00000000
## 1
## 2
       0.4428098 0.04685031
## 3
       0.4428264 0.04685293
       0.4427659 0.04684335
       0.4427281 0.04683735
## 5
       0.0000000 0.00000000
## 6
```

Finally, we can plot the use the resulting data matrix to make a plot of the results.

[1] "Using default colors: white (state 1), black (state 2), and yellow (state 0)."



```
## $rate.tree
## Object of class "contMap" containing:
##
## (1) A phylogenetic tree with 200 tips and 199 internal nodes.
##
## (2) A mapped continuous trait on the range (0.104231, 0.318219).
```

```
##
##
## $state.tree
## Object of class "contMap" containing:
##
## (1) A phylogenetic tree with 200 tips and 199 internal nodes.
##
## (2) A mapped continuous trait on the range (0, 2.002).
```

A brief note about the new fGeoHiSSE functions

As of version 1.8.7, we now provide a new set of functions that execute more complex and potentially faster version of the GeoHiSSE model described by Caetano et al. (2018). One of the main differences here is that the model allows up to 10 hidden categories, and implements a more efficient means of carrying out branch calculations. Specifically, we break up the tree into sets of branches whose branch calculations are independent of one another. We then carry out all descendent branch calculations simultaneously, combine the probabilities based on their shared ancestry, then repeat for the next set of descendent branches. In testing, we've found that as the number of taxa increases, the calculation becomes much more efficient. For instance, with 100,000 tips, a single tree traversal with the canonical GeoSSE model took 10 minutes, whereas in fGeoHiSSE the same traversal took about 30 seconds. In future versions, we will allow for multicore processing of these calculations to further improve speed. Also, note this function will eventually completely replace the version of GeoHiSSE that is currently available.

There are a couple major differences with this version of GeoHiSSE that user should understand. First, while this version allows for cladogenetic events to be turned off (i.e., assume.cladogenetic=FALSE), it does not revert to a three-state MuSSE model as it does in GeoHiSSE. Instead, no lineage speciation and extinction are allowed in the widespread state, only transitions out of it. If a three-state MuSSE model is needed, we direct users to read the vignette on how to generate a three-state model in MuHiSSE. Second, fGeoHiSSE requires the use of TransMatMakerfGeoSSE() for generating the transition rates.

Finally, the other main difference is that, like hisse, we employ a modified optimization procedure. In other words, rather than optimizing birth and death separately, fGeoHisse optimizes orthogonal transformations of these variables: we let τ define net turnover, and we let ϵ define the extinction fraction. However, these transformations are slightly more complicated due to the dynamics associated with the widespread taxa. For a geographic-based model, we define turnover as,

$$\tau_{00i} = s_{00i} + x_{00i}$$

$$\tau_{11i} = s_{11i} + s_{11i}$$

$$\tau_{01i} = s_{00i} + s_{11i} + s_{01i}$$

We define extinction fraction as

$$\epsilon_{00i} = x_{00i}/s_{00i}$$
$$\epsilon_{11i} = x_{11i}/s_{11i}$$

and because there is no lineage extinction for widespread ranges, $\epsilon_{01i} = 0$.

It is straightforward to convert back to original speciation and extinction, s and x, respectively:

$$s_{00i} = \tau_{00i}/(1 + \epsilon_{00i})$$
$$s_{11i} = \tau_{11i}/(1 + \epsilon_{11i})$$

$$s_{01i} = \tau_{01i} - s_{00i} - s_{11i}$$

$$x_{00i} = (\tau_{00i} * \epsilon_{00i})/(1 + \epsilon_{00i})$$
$$x_{11i} = (\tau_{11i} * \epsilon_{11i})/(1 + \epsilon_{11i})$$

Also, note that output from fGeoHiSSE, there are functions available to obtain model averages (i.e., GetModelAveRates()), generate estimates of the uncertainty in the parameter estimates (i.e., SupportRegionGeoSSE()), calculate the marginal probabilities for states at nodes (i.e., MarginReconfGeoSSE()), and plotting the rate variation on the tree (i.e., plot.geohisse.states()). Users are encouraged to read other vignettes and help pages provided for more information. For more conceptual discussions of these functions and ideas, readers are also encouraged to read Caetano et al. (2018).

References

Caetano, D.S., B.C. O'Meara, and J.M. Beaulieu. 2018. Hidden state models improve state-dependent diversification approaches, including biogeographic models. Evolution, https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13602