Prediction and Training/Test Set Ideas

Justin Post

Predictive Modeling Idea

- Choose form of model
- Fit model to data using some algorithm
 - Usually can be written as a problem where we minimize some loss function
- Evaluate the model using a metric
 - RMSE very common for a numeric response

Predictive Modeling Idea

- Choose form of model
- Fit model to data using some algorithm
 - Usually can be written as a problem where we minimize some loss function
- Evaluate the model using a metric
 - RMSE very common for a numeric response
- Ideally we want our model to predict well for observations it has yet to see!

Training vs Test Sets

- Evaluation of predictions over the observations used to *fit or train the model* is called the **training (set) error**
- Using RMSE as our metric:

Training RMSE =
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{\text{# of obs used to fit model}}} \sum_{\text{obs used to fit model}} (y - \hat{y})^2$$

Training vs Test Sets

- Evaluation of predictions over the observations used to *fit or train the model* is called the **training (set) error**
- Using RMSE as our metric:

Training RMSE =
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{\text{# of obs used to fit model}}} \sum_{\text{obs used to fit model}} (y - \hat{y})^2$$

• If we only consider this, we'll have no idea how the model will fare on data it hasn't seen!

Training vs Test Sets

One method is to split the data into a **training set** and **test set**

- On the training set we can fit (or train) our models
- We can then predict for the test set observations and judge effectiveness with our metric



Consider our data set on motorcycle sale prices

- Response variable of log_selling_price = ln(selling_price)
- Consider three linear regression models:

```
Model 1: log\_selling\_price = intercept + slope*year + Error
```

Model 2: $log_selling_price = intercept + slope*log_km_driven + Error$

Model 3: $\log_{\text{selling_price}} = \text{intercept} + \text{slope*} \log_{\text{km_driven}} + \text{slope*} \text{year} + \text{Error}$

Fitting the Models with sklearn

```
from sklearn import linear_model
 reg1 = linear_model.LinearRegression() #Create a reg object
 reg2 = linear_model.LinearRegression() #Create a reg object
 reg3 = linear_model.LinearRegression() #Create a reg object
 reg1.fit(bike_data['year'].values.reshape(-1,1), bike_data['log_selling_price'])
 reg2.fit(bike_data['log_km_driven'].values.reshape(-1,1), bike_data['log_selling_price'])
 reg3.fit(bike_data[['year', 'log_km_driven']], bike_data['log_selling_price'])
 print(reg1.intercept_, reg1.coef_)
## -201.06317651252067 [0.10516552]
 print(reg2.intercept_, reg2.coef_)
## 14.6355682846293 [-0.39108654]
 print(reg3.intercept_, reg3.coef_)
## -148.79329107788155 \[ 0.0803366 \ -0.22686129\]
```

• Now we have the fitted models. Want to use them to predict the response

Model 1:
$$log_selling_price = -201.06 + 0.105 * year$$

Model 2:
$$\log_{\text{selling_price}} = 14.64 - 0.391 * \log_{\text{km_driven}}$$

Model 3:
$$\log_{\text{selling_price}} = -148.79 + 0.080 * \text{year} - 0.227 * \log_{\text{km_driven}}$$

• Now we have the fitted models. Want to use them to predict the response

9.903488

$$Model 1: log_selling_price = -201.06 + 0.105 * year$$

Model 2:
$$\log_{\text{selling_price}} = 14.64 - 0.391 * \log_{\text{km_driven}}$$

Model 3:
$$\log_{\text{selling_price}} = -148.79 + 0.080 * \text{year} - 0.227 * \log_{\text{km_driven}}$$

• Use the .predict() method

10.845343 10.707789 10.806533 11.082143

10.424681 10.743366 10.505825

3

4

```
pred1 = reg1.predict(bike_data['year'].values.reshape(-1,1))
 pred2 = reg2.predict(bike_data['log_km_driven'].values.reshape(-1,1))
 pred3 = reg3.predict(bike_data[['year', 'log_km_driven']])
 pd.DataFrame(zip(pred1, pred2, pred3, bike_data['log_selling_price']),
              columns = ["Model1", "Model2", "Model3", "Actual"])
##
                      Model2
           Model1
                                 Model3
                                            Actual
## 0
        11.266005 12.344609 12.077366 12.072541
## 1
        11.055674 11.256811 11.285683 10.714418
## 2
        11.160839 10.962225 11.195136 11.918391
```

• Find **training** RMSE

0.548 0.595 0.511

```
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
RMSE1 = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_true = bike_data['log_selling_price'], y_pred = pred1))
RMSE2 = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(bike_data['log_selling_price'], pred2))
RMSE3 = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(bike_data['log_selling_price'], pred3))
print(round(RMSE1, 3), round(RMSE2, 3), round(RMSE3, 3))
```

• Estimate of RMSE is too **optimistic** compared to how the model would perform with new data! Redo with train/test split!

Train/Test Split

- sklearn has a function to make splitting data easy
- Commonly use 80/20 or 70/30 split

Train/Test Split

- sklearn has a function to make splitting data easy
- Commonly use 80/20 or 70/30 split

```
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
#Function will return a list with four things:
#Test/train for predictors (X)
#Test/train for response (y)
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(
   bike_data[["year", "log_km_driven"]],
   bike_data["log_selling_price"],
   test_size=0.20,
   random_state=422)
```

Fit or Train Model

• We then fit the model on the training set

```
reg1 = linear_model.LinearRegression() #Create a reg object
reg2 = linear_model.LinearRegression() #Create a reg object
reg3 = linear_model.LinearRegression() #Create a reg object
reg1.fit(X_train['year'].values.reshape(-1,1), y_train.values)
reg2.fit(X_train['log_km_driven'].values.reshape(-1,1), y_train.values)
reg3.fit(X_train[['year', 'log_km_driven']], y_train.values)
```

Fit or Train Model

• We then fit the model on the training set

```
reg1 = linear_model.LinearRegression() #Create a reg object
reg2 = linear_model.LinearRegression() #Create a reg object
reg3 = linear_model.LinearRegression() #Create a reg object
reg1.fit(X_train['year'].values.reshape(-1,1), y_train.values)
reg2.fit(X_train['log_km_driven'].values.reshape(-1,1), y_train.values)
reg3.fit(X_train[['year', 'log_km_driven']], y_train.values)
```

• Can look at training RMSE if we want

Test Error

- Now we look at predictions on the test set
 - Test data **not** used when training model

• When choosing a model, if the RMSE values were 'close', we'd want to consider the interpretability of the model (and perhaps the assumptions if we wanted to do inference too!)

Recap

- Choose form of model
- Fit model to data using some algorithm
 - Usually can be written as a problem where we minimize some loss function
- Evaluate the model using a metric
 - RMSE very common for a numeric response
- Ideally we want our model to predict well for observations it has yet to see!