

Summer 2024 Research

James Bradley

May 2024

1 Useful Notes on Quantum Mechanics (QM)

1.1 Prerequisites

Here are some references about the Mathematics of QM, as the main postulates will not be comprehensively addressed.

- <https://peverati.github.io/pchem2/Postulates.html>
- <https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL8ER5-vAoiHAWm1UcZsiauUGPIJChgNXC>

1.2 The Schrödinger Equation

The **Hamiltonian** is an idea from classical mechanics, it describes the total energy of the system, which is the sum of the kinetic and potential energy in a system.

$$H = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + V$$

The time-independent **Schrödinger Equation** (S.E.) can be derived by leveraging the relation between momentum, p , and the kinetic energy:

$$\begin{aligned} p = mv &\implies v = \frac{p}{m} \\ \implies \frac{1}{2}mv^2 &= \frac{1}{2}m\left(\frac{p}{m}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{p^2}{m} = \frac{p^2}{2m} \\ \therefore H &= \frac{p^2}{2m} + V \end{aligned}$$

In QM, it is appropriate to use operators on wavefunctions, so we must use the linear momentum operator \hat{p} in place of p .

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_x &\equiv \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \implies \hat{H} &= \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^2 + V(x) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} is defined in relation to the energy of a system.

$$\hat{H}\psi = E\psi$$

$$\therefore -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi + V(x)\psi = E\psi$$

This is the time-independent S.E. in one dimension.

The S.E. is described in higher dimensions with the Laplacian operator ∇^2 .

$$\nabla^2 \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$$

This is a more general form of the S.E. :

$$\boxed{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + V\psi = E\psi}$$

Wavefunctions are solutions to the S.E. (solutions obey $\hat{H}\psi = E\psi$) that satisfy the boundary conditions of a given situation.

1.3 Operators

In QM, we work with a wavefunction ψ associated with some particle. This wavefunction describes the motion of the particle and is a function of spatial coordinates, **i.e.** $\psi(x)$, $\psi(x, y, z)$, $\psi(r, \theta)$.

We must 'operate' on the wavefunction to gain information about physical quantities in a system. Operators correspond to 'observables'.

$$\textbf{i.e.} \quad \nabla^2 \psi(x, y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi(x, y) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \psi(x, y)$$

A wavefunction ψ is an eigenfunction of an operator $\hat{\Omega}$ if and only if:

$$\hat{\Omega}\psi = \omega\psi$$

We call ω the eigenvalue of the eigenfunction. Eigenvalues are the 'expectation values' of operators.

i.e. in $\hat{H}\psi = E\psi$, the total energy of the system, E , is an eigenvalue and therefore expectation value of the Hamiltonian operator, $\langle H \rangle$, is equal to E .

Note: Operators can 'commute'. The commutator is also an operator. Suppose we have two operators $\hat{\Omega}_1$ and $\hat{\Omega}_2$, the commutator of $\hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2$ is:

$$[\hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2] \equiv \hat{\Omega}_1 \hat{\Omega}_2 - \hat{\Omega}_2 \hat{\Omega}_1$$

- Two operators commute if $[\hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2] = 0$. This means that we can measure these observables with infinite precision simultaneously.
- If $[\hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2] \neq 0$, these operators are incompatible and do not commute. We cannot measure these observables with infinite precision simultaneously. There is a significant degree of uncertainty in one of the observables when measuring the other.

Here is an example of how the commutator works using the position and momentum operators:

$$\hat{x}\psi \equiv x\psi, \quad \hat{p}_x\psi = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi$$

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x]\psi = \hat{x}(\hat{p}_x\psi) - \hat{p}_x(\hat{x}\psi) = x\left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi\right) - \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x\psi) = \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x\psi\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x\psi\right) = \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi - \left(\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x + x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi\right)\right) = \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi - \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x - x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi\right) = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x$$

$$\Rightarrow [\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x]\psi = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x = -\frac{i}{i} \frac{\hbar}{i} \psi = i\hbar$$

$$\boxed{[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x]\psi = i\hbar\psi \iff [\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x] = i\hbar}$$

Since $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x] \neq 0$, the position and momentum operators are incompatible. We can't measure the exact position and momentum (and velocity by consequence) of a particle at the same time due to uncertainty. The **uncertainty principle** will be discussed in more detail later on, we have other important topics to cover first.

1.4 Born Interpretation of QM and Bra-Ket Notation

Max Born developed a system for understanding how the wavefunction can be used to create a **probability density function** for the location of a particle.

A wavefunction can contain complex numbers ($\psi \in \mathbb{C}$), meaning it can be written in the form $z = a + bi$. For every complex number z , there is a **complex conjugate** of z , $z^* = a - bi$. The squared magnitude of a complex number (which is a vector in the Complex plane) is equal to z^*z .

$$z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |z|^2 \equiv z^*z$$

- If $\psi \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\psi|^2 = \psi^*\psi$
- If $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\psi|^2 = \psi^*\psi = \psi\psi = \psi^2$

The **Born interpretation** is the idea that the probability of finding a particle in some position interval $[x, x + dx]$ (in the one-dimensional case) is proportional to the integral of the square of the wavefunction.

$$P(x \leq X \leq x + dx) \propto \int_x^{x+dx} \psi^* \psi dx$$

To make $|\psi|^2$ a proper probability density function, we must normalize the wavefunction so that the integral of its square over all space is equal to 1.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^* \psi d\tau = 1$$

To simplify how we represent these expressions, **Paul Dirac** developed **Bra-Ket** notation. This is by no means a complete description of the mathematical depth of this system, but here are some foundational rules to get started:

- Bra: $\langle \psi | = \psi^*$
- Ket: $|\psi\rangle = \psi$
- Bra-Ket: $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^* \psi d\tau = 1$, $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^* \phi d\tau$
- Conjugate: $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle^* = \langle \phi | \psi \rangle$
- Factoring: $\langle c_1 \psi | c_2 \psi \rangle = c_2 \langle c_1 \psi | \psi \rangle = c_2 \langle \psi | c_1 \psi \rangle^* = c_2 c_1^* \langle \psi | \psi \rangle^*$
- $\langle \psi | \hat{\Omega} | \psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^* \hat{\Omega} \psi d\tau = \hat{\Omega} \psi = \langle \Omega \rangle$ (Expectation value of an operator)
- $\langle \psi | \hat{\Omega}_1 + \hat{\Omega}_2 | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{\Omega}_1 | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \hat{\Omega}_2 | \psi \rangle$ (Linear Combination of operators)
- Let $|\psi\rangle = c_1 |\psi_1\rangle + c_2 |\psi_2\rangle$ (Linear Combination of Basis States),

Note: Think of basis states like basis vectors. In 3 dimensions,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c_1 \psi_1 + c_2 \psi_2 | c_1 \psi_1 + c_2 \psi_2 \rangle &= \langle c_1 \psi_1 | c_1 \psi_1 \rangle + \langle c_1 \psi_1 | c_2 \psi_2 \rangle + \langle c_2 \psi_2 | c_1 \psi_1 \rangle + \langle c_2 \psi_2 | c_2 \psi_2 \rangle \\ &\implies c_1 c_1^* \langle \psi_1 | \psi_1 \rangle + c_2 c_1^* \langle \psi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle + c_2 c_2^* \langle \psi_2 | \psi_2 \rangle + c_1 c_2^* \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle \\ &\implies c_1 c_1^* (1) + c_2 c_1^* \langle \psi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle + c_2 c_2^* (1) + c_1 c_2^* \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = c_1 c_1^* + c_2 c_1^* \langle \psi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle + c_2 c_2^* + c_1 c_2^* \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle \\ \therefore \langle c_1 \psi_1 + c_2 \psi_2 | c_1 \psi_1 + c_2 \psi_2 \rangle &= c_1 c_1^* + c_2 c_1^* \langle \psi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle + c_2 c_2^* + c_1 c_2^* \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

A way to determine the similarity between two functions is to take their L^2 inner product in Hilbert Space (\mathcal{H}). Much like vectors, if two functions have no overlap their inner product is equal to zero. The inner product is a generalized form of the dot product that can be applied to functions. Suppose we have $f, g \in \mathbb{C}$ over a region R :

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_R f g^* d\tau.$$

This looks remarkably similar to Bra-Ket notation, in fact, it is equivalent to an operation defined above.

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle g | f \rangle$$

. Suppose I have an unnormalized wavefunction $\psi = f(\tau)$ and I want to normalize it, its normalized version can be written as $\psi = Nf(\tau)$ (where $N \in \mathbb{R}$).

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^* \psi &= (Nf(\tau))^* Nf(\tau) = N^2 f(\tau)^* f(\tau) \\ \implies \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^* \psi d\tau &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N^2 f(\tau)^* f(\tau) d\tau = N^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau)^* f(\tau) d\tau = 1 \\ \implies N^2 &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau)^* f(\tau) d\tau \right)^{-1} \implies N = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau)^* f(\tau) d\tau \right)^{-1/2} = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle^{-1/2} \\ \therefore N &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}}, \psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}} f(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Let's look at an example of this. Imagine a scenario where the motion of a particle is fixed to a ring on a sphere. We can represent the unit circle in the complex plane as $f(\theta) = e^{im\theta}$ where $m = 1$, for this to be a wavefunction, it must be finite and single-valued. That is, on a ring, the condition $f(\theta) = f(\theta + 2\pi)$ should be satisfied and $\forall \theta, f(\theta) \neq \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\pi} &= -1 \\ e^{im(\theta+2\pi)} &= e^{im\theta} e^{im2\pi} = e^{im\theta} e^{i\pi(2m)} = e^{im\theta} (-1)^{2m} = e^{im\theta} \quad (\text{if } m \in \mathbb{Z}, (-1)^{2m} = 1) \\ \implies e^{im(\theta+2\pi)} &= e^{im\theta} \end{aligned}$$

So we can now say that our wavefunction is $\psi(\theta) = Nf(\theta) = Ne^{im\theta}$. Note that the entire space of motion for a ring would be from $\phi = 0$ to 2π .

Let's find N :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | \psi \rangle &= \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\theta} e^{im\theta} d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{(im-im)\theta} d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{(0)\theta} d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta = \theta \Big|_0^{2\pi} = 2\pi \\ \therefore N &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \psi(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{im\theta} \end{aligned}$$