Phy 981 Assignment 4

Josh Bradt

February 18, 2015

Exercise 9

(a) The two states $|\Phi_i^a\rangle$ and $|\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle$ can be written in terms of the new reference vacuum $|\Phi_0\rangle$ as follows:

$$\boxed{ \begin{vmatrix} \Phi_i^a \rangle = a_a^{\dagger} a_i \, | \Phi_0 \rangle }$$

$$\boxed{ \begin{vmatrix} \Phi_{ij}^{ab} \rangle = a_a^{\dagger} a_b^{\dagger} a_j a_i \, | \Phi_0 \rangle }$$

(b) The one-body expectation value is

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{F}_N | \Phi_0 \rangle = \sum_{pq} \langle p | f | q \rangle \, \langle \Phi_0 | \{ a_p^\dagger a_q \} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \boxed{0}$$

since the expectation value of a normal-ordered string of operators is zero by definition.

Similarly, for the two-body operator,

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{G}_N | \Phi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pars} \langle pq | g | rs \rangle_{AS} \langle \Phi_0 | \{ a_p^{\dagger} a_q^{\dagger} a_s a_r \} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \boxed{0.}$$

(c) For the one-body operator and the one-particle-one-hole excitation,

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{F}_N | \Phi_i^a \rangle &= \sum_{pq} \langle p | f | q \rangle \, \langle \Phi_0 | \{ a_p^\dagger a_q \} a_a^\dagger a_i | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{pq} \langle p | f | q \rangle \, \langle \Phi_0 | \{ a_p^\dagger a_q \} a_a^\dagger a_i | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{pq} \langle p | f | q \rangle \, \delta_{ip} \delta_{aq} = \boxed{\langle i | f | a \rangle} \, . \end{split}$$

For the two-body operator,

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{G}_N \big| \Phi_{ij}^{ab} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq | g | rs \rangle_{\text{AS}} \langle \Phi_0 | \{ a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger a_s a_r \} a_a^\dagger a_i | \Phi_0 \rangle = \boxed{0}$$

since there is no way to make a fully contracted term without contracting two operators that are both inside the normal-ordered string.

(d) For the one-body operator and the two-particle-two-hole excitation,

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{F}_N | \Phi_i^a \rangle = \sum_{pq} \langle p | f | q \rangle \, \langle \Phi_0 | \{ a_p^\dagger a_q \} a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger a_j a_i | \Phi_0 \rangle = \boxed{0}$$

for the same reason as above.

For the two-body operator,

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{G}_N | \Phi_{ij}^{ab} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq | g | rs \rangle_{\text{AS}} \langle \Phi_0 | \{ a_p^{\dagger} a_q^{\dagger} a_s a_r \} a_a^{\dagger} a_b^{\dagger} a_j a_i | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

Here, there are four non-zero contractions:

$$\begin{cases} a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q}^{\dagger} a_{s} a_{r} \} a_{a}^{\dagger} a_{b}^{\dagger} a_{j} a_{i} \\ \{a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q}^{\dagger} a_{s} a_{r} \} a_{a}^{\dagger} a_{b}^{\dagger} a_{j} a_{i} \\ \{a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q}^{\dagger} a_{s} a_{r} \} a_{a}^{\dagger} a_{b}^{\dagger} a_{j} a_{i} \\ \{a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q}^{\dagger} a_{s} a_{r} \} a_{a}^{\dagger} a_{b}^{\dagger} a_{j} a_{i} \\ \{a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q}^{\dagger} a_{s} a_{r} \} a_{a}^{\dagger} a_{b}^{\dagger} a_{j} a_{i} \\ \{a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q}^{\dagger} a_{s} a_{r} \} a_{a}^{\dagger} a_{b}^{\dagger} a_{j} a_{i} \\ \{a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q}^{\dagger} a_{s} a_{r} \} a_{a}^{\dagger} a_{b}^{\dagger} a_{j} a_{i} \\ \delta_{pj} \delta_{qi} \delta_{sa} \delta_{rb} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \langle ij|g|ba \rangle_{AS}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \langle ji|g|ba \rangle_{AS}$$

Due to the antisymmetry, these four matrix elements are equal. Thus,

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{G}_N | \Phi_{ij}^{ab} \rangle = \overline{\langle ij | g | ab \rangle_{AS}}$$

By extension of the fact that a one-body operator produces a result of zero when acting on a two-particle–two-hole state, I would expect that a two-body operator would produce zero when acting on $|\Phi^{abc}_{ijk}\rangle$, a three-particle–three-hole excitation.