A Farewell to Structural Rigidity

Evolving the Starting State of Autoencoders

Christopher Ross   Jonathan Brant   Zak Roessler

November 1, 2015

Introduction

- Image Processing/Classification
  1. Each pixel is a separate input
  2. ANN inputs grows exponentially as resolution increases
  3. Method of dimensionality reduction needed
- Autoencoders learns compressed representation
- Traditionally, autoencoder hidden layer topology has been hand-crafted
- Our approach evolves autoencoder structure that can then be optimized
  1. Hidden layer of autoencoders evolved (using HyperNEAT)
  2. Backpropagation optimizes evolved autoencoder

Autoencoders

- Autoencoders attempt to extract most salient features from visible layer
- Typical layers include:
  1. Input (visible) layer where uncompressed features are received
  2. Hidden layer where compressed features are stored
  3. Output layer where reconstruction error is calculated
- Most often trained using backpropagation with the intent of minimizing reconstruction error



HyperNEAT

- Indirect encoding of HyperNEAT through CPPNs substantially reduces search space
  1. We're no longer looking at each pixel individually
- Substrate configuration hand-designed to capture domain geometry
  1. State-space sandwich substrate ideal for visual mapping
- Ability to scale with little-to-no loss of function ideal for image processing at varying resolutions



Evolution of Autoencoders

- Two-phase process:
  1. HyperNEAT evolves initial autoencoder
  2. Backpropagation fine tunes autoencoder weights
- Results will be analyzed:
  1. Quantitatively - reduction of reconstruction error
  2. Qualitatively - how closely does reconstructed image resemble the original
- MNIST handwritten digit dataset will be used for training/validation

Substrate Configuration

- Three-tiered state-space sandwich substrate
  1. Input sheet - all points in 2-dimensional image (size varies based on image resolution)
  2. Hidden sheet - compressed feature vector
  3. Output sheet - reconstructed image at original resolution



Minimal CPPN

- CPPN inputs are cartesian coordinates between source and target sheet
  - X_1 and Y_1 is point on source sheet
  - X_2 and Y_2 is point on target sheet
- CPPN queries the substrate twice:
  - Once for connection weight and bias weight between input and hidden sheet
  - Once for connection weight and bias weight between hidden and output sheet



Experiment Configuration

Parameter	Value	Description
Training Sample Proportion	80%	Percentage of sample images used for training
Number of Backpropagation Iterations	1	Number of backpropagation iterations performed during training
Learning Rate	0.01	Learning Rate (to control backpropagation convergence speed)
Image Resolution Reduction Factor	2	Reduction factor of source image (i.e. a 28x28 image becomes 14x14 with a reduction factor of 2)
MNIST Digit	0, 1	MNIST handwritten digit dataset image used

Current State

- Standard NEAT initially attempted
  - Slow evolution due to massive search space
  - Qualitatively poor image reconstruction
  - Reconstruction reached 90% accuracy, but only because most pixels were black





Current State - HyperNEAT

- HyperNEAT achieved similar reconstruction accuracy, but qualitatively superior
- Attained over 90% accuracy in a couple of generations





Current State - HyperNEAT

Source	Reconstructed	Set	Index
		Training	30
		Training	60
		Validation	85
		Validation	95