

Derivation of ϵ

Ilaria Manco & Jim Bremner

15/01/18

1 Error Function

We derive the analytical form of the error ϵ

$$\epsilon = \frac{T_{ib} - (T_{ij} + T_{ik})}{T_{ib}} \quad (1)$$

for the case of the gravity model with an exponential deterrence function:

$$T_{ij} = k_{ij} m_j f(r_{ij}), \quad (2)$$

where

$$k_{ij}^{-1} = \sum_j m_j f(r_{ij}) \quad (3)$$

and

$$f(r_{ij}) = e^{-\gamma r_{ij}} \quad (4)$$

Substituting (2) into (1) gives

$$\epsilon = 1 - \left(\frac{k_{ij} m_j}{e^{\gamma r_{ij}}} + \frac{k_{ik} m_k}{e^{\gamma r_{ik}}} \right) \frac{e^{\gamma r_{ib}}}{k_{ib} m_b} \quad (5)$$

We obtain a continuous form of k_{ib} by approximating the sum to an integral. We then use a polar coordinates system with location k on the x-axis and perform an integral over the circular sector between the x-axis and $2\pi - \delta\theta$. Assuming $\delta\theta$ is small enough, this can approximate the area of interest sufficiently well.

$$\begin{aligned} k_{ib}^{-1} &= \int_A e^{-\gamma r_{ib}} dm \\ &= \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi-\delta\theta} \int_{r_{min}}^{r_{max}} \rho e^{-\gamma r_{ib}} r dr d\theta \\ &= \rho \frac{2\pi - \delta\theta}{\gamma^2} [e^{-\gamma r_{min}} (\gamma r_{min} + 1) - e^{-\gamma r_{max}} (\gamma r_{max} + 1)] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

[Note: we realised we might not need this form of k , since we can find an expression for ϵ by keeping k and decomposing the sum in the following way:]

$$k_{ib}^{-1} = \sum_{l \neq b} m_l e^{-\gamma r_{il}} + m_b e^{-\gamma r_{ib}} \quad (7a)$$

$$k_{ij}^{-1} = \sum_{l \neq j, k} m_l e^{-\gamma r_{il}} + m_j e^{-\gamma r_{ij}} + m_k e^{-\gamma r_{ik}} \quad (7b)$$

Since $k_{ij} = k_{ik}$, substituting (7a) and (7b) into (5) gives

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{m_j e^{-\gamma r_{ij}} + m_k e^{-\gamma r_{ik}}}{m_b e^{-\gamma r_{ib}}} \frac{k_{ib}}{k_{ij}} \quad (8)$$

Provided that $k_{ib} \simeq k_{ij}$, the expression for ϵ can be reduced to

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{m_j e^{-\gamma r_{ij}} + m_k e^{-\gamma r_{ik}}}{m_b e^{-\gamma r_{ib}}} \quad (9)$$

From (7a) and (7a), we see that the assumption $k_{ib} \simeq k_{ij}$ is valid as long as

$$m_b e^{-\gamma r_{ib}} \ll \sum_{l \neq b} m_i e^{-\gamma r_{il}} \quad (10a)$$

and

$$m_j e^{-\gamma r_{ij}} + m_k e^{-\gamma r_{ik}} \ll \sum_{l \neq j, k} m_i e^{-\gamma r_{il}}. \quad (10b)$$

Provided that $N = \sum_j 1$ is sufficiently large, the expressions above hold and we can then assume (9) is a valid approximation.

Finally, noting that

$$r_{ij} \simeq r_{ik} \simeq \sqrt{r_{ib}^2 + \left(\frac{r_{jk}}{2}\right)^2} \quad (11)$$

(9) can be rewritten as

$$\epsilon(r_{ib}, r_{jk})_e = 1 - e^{-\gamma(r_{ij} - r_{ib})} \quad (12)$$

The same derivation can be followed for the power law form of the deterrence function $f(r_{ij}) = r_{ij}^{-\gamma}$ to obtain

$$\epsilon(r_{ib}, r_{jk})_p = 1 - \frac{m_j r_{ij}^{-\gamma} + m_k r_{ik}^{-\gamma}}{m_b r_{ib}^{-\gamma}} \quad (13)$$