Numerical Computing: Background Prepared for the Bank of Portugal Computational Economics Course

John Stachurski

October 2025

Topics

- Low level languages
- Interpreted code
- Array processing
- JIT compilation

History: Setting the stage

Let's briefly discuss the evolution of scientific computing

Let's recall some of the major paradigms and ideas:

- Languages and compilers
- Dynamic and static types
- Background on vectorization / JIT compilers

Fortran / C — static types and AOT compilers

Example. Suppose we want to compute the sequence

$$k_{t+1} = sk_t^\alpha + (1-\delta)k_t$$

from some given k_0

Let's write a function in C that

- 1. implements the loop
- 2. returns the last k_t

```
int main() {
    double k = 0.2;
    double alpha = 0.4;
    double s = 0.3;
    double delta = 0.1;
    int i;
    int n = 1000:
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        k = s * pow(k, alpha) + (1 - delta) * k;
    printf("k = %f \setminus n", k);
```

First we compile the whole program (ahead-of-time compilation):

>> gcc solow.c -o out -lm

Now we execute:

>> ./out
x = 6.240251

Pros

- fast arithmetic
- fast loops

Cons

- slow to write
- lack of portability
- hard to debug
- hard to parallelize
- low interactivity

For comparison, the same operation in Python:

```
\alpha = 0.4
s = 0.3
\delta = 0.1
n = 1_{000}
k = 0.2
for i in range(n-1):
     k = s * k**\alpha + (1 - \delta) * k
print(k)
```

Python is interpreted rather than compiled

- code is executed statement by statement
- data types are queried on the fly
- arithmetic operations require method resolution

Pros

- easy to write
- high portability
- immediate feedback high interactivity
- easy to debug

Cons


slow

So how can we get

good execution speeds and high productivity / interactivity?


MATLAB

"MATLAB is Fortran for the 1990s!"



Python + NumPy

Open source MATLAB-like array operations within Python



import numpy

A =
$$((2.0, -1.0), (5.0, -0.5))$$

b = $(0.5, 1.0)$
A, b = np.array(A), np.array(b)
x = np.inv(A) @ b

- 1. Arrays defined with high-level commands
 - (Python / NumPy API)
- 2. Execution takes place in an efficient low-level environment
 - Efficient machine code (compiled C / Fortran)
- 3. Results are returned to the high-level interface

Advantages of NumPy / MATLAB

- Operations are passed to specialized machine code
- Type-checking is paid per array, not per array element

Disadvantages

- Memory intensive (generates intermediate arrays)
- Limited how to accelerate the Solow code using NumPy?
- Specializes on types but not on shapes

The last point is important for modern hardware...

Julia — rise of the JIT compilers

Can do MATLAB / NumPy style vectorized operations

```
A = [2.0 -1.0 \\ 5.0 -0.5]
b = [0.5 1.0]'
x = inv(A) * b
```

But also has fast loops via an efficient JIT compiler

Example. Suppose, again, that we want to compute

$$k_{t+1} = sk_t^\alpha + (1-\delta)k_t$$

from some given k_0

• Iterative, not easily vectorized

```
function solow(k0, \alpha=0.4, \delta=0.1, n=1 000)
    k = k0
    for i in 1:(n-1)
         k = s * k^{\alpha} + (1 - \delta) * k
    end
    return k
end
solow(0.2) # JIT-compiled at first call
```

Julia accelerates solow at runtime via a JIT compiler

Pros:

- fast execution assuming correct type inference
- dynamically typed...(but compiler wants type stability)
- elegant: close to the maths

Cons:

- Everything compiled might not be optimal
 - · debugging is more challenging
 - slow first runs
- Repeated breaking changes and package instability
- Parallelization not well automated

Python + Numba — same architecture, same speed

```
from numba import jit
@jit(nopython=True)
def solow(k0, \alpha=0.4, \delta=0.1, n=1 000):
    k = k0
    for i in range(n-1):
         k = s * k**\alpha + (1 - \delta) * k
    return k
solow(0.2)
```

Runs at same speed as Julia / C / Fortran

New Paradigms

The technologies discussed above are all more than 10 years old

Recently developed coding environments tend to be oriented towards AI

- PyTorch
- Google JAX
- Mojo, etc.

Sometimes these new environments are better...and sometimes not

We will discuss them later in the course