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**Chapter Review Form**

Notes:

This is a single blind review process. The identity of authors is known to reviewers, but reviewers are anonymous to authors. Draft chapters are not blinded for review.

**Due date for submission of your review (to** [**olivia.levrini2@unibo.it**](mailto:olivia.levrini2@unibo.it) **: April 19st 2020)**

**Reviewer #1**

**Chapter title:** Network Analysis Of Changes To An Integrated Science Course Curriculum Over Time

**Chapter authors:** Jesper Bruun, Ida Viola Kalmark Andersen, and Linda Udby

The Editors would appreciate your appraisal of this draft chapter, **responding to the questions below to structure your review**.

1. Does the chapter clearly engage with research on science education?

Clearly, and in an interesting way. Little work focuses on the policy to practice boundary.

1. Does the chapter make an original contribution to this research field?

It does. I have not seen other work that gets at these questions.

1. Are the theoretical and methodological frameworks made explicit, as appropriate?

Very much so.

1. Does the chapter take appropriate account of the previous scholarly work on the issues addressed?

I think so. This is an area without extensive work, so it is a bit harder to know if they are missing things. I certainly did not notice any holes.

1. Is the quality of writing at an appropriate academic standard and is it accessible and interesting to an international and diverse readership?

It could use an edit, I found that in the introduction the authors use They or Their to start four sentences in a row, which made it hard to figure out who the authors were talking about.

In the discussion of the findings the authors use the Theme number, and theme name interchangeably. That made it more difficult to understand than was necessary.

1. Please note that the length limit is 5000 words and the paper is expected to be 10 pages in print. If the paper exceeds these limits, please comment on how the length could be shortened, where the use of figures may be reduced, and where it may be possible to eliminate references.

Not relevant to this article.

1. Any other feedback or suggestions: