DONALDSON-THOMAS INVARIANTS OF LOCAL ELLIPTIC SURFACES VIA THE TOPOLOGICAL VERTEX

JIM BRYAN AND MARTIJN KOOL

ABSTRACT. We compute the Donaldson-Thomas invariants of a local elliptic surface with section. We introduce a new computational technique which is a mixture of motivic and toric methods. This allows us to write the partition function for the invariants in terms of the topological vertex. Utilizing identities for the topological vertex (some previously known, some new), we derive product formulas for the partition functions in a companion paper with B. Young. In the special case where the elliptic surface is a K3 surface, we get a new proof of the Katz-Klemm-Vafa formula.

1. Introduction

Let $p:S\to B$ be an elliptic surface over a smooth projective curve B. We assume p has a unique section and all singular fibres are rational nodal curves. Let N be the number of singular fibres. An important example is the elliptically fibred K3 surface $p:S\to \mathbb{P}^1$ for which N=24.

We are interested in the Donaldson-Thomas invariants of $X = \text{Tot}(K_S)$, i.e. the total space of the canonical bundle K_S . This is a non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Let β be an effective curve class on S. Consider the Hilbert scheme

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X) = \{ Z \subset X : [Z] = \beta, \ \chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = n \}$$

of proper subschemes $Z \subset X$ with homology class β and holomorphic Euler characteristics n. The DT invariants of X can be defined as

$$\mathrm{DT}_{\beta,n}(X) := e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X), \nu) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k \ e(\nu^{-1}(\{k\})),$$

where $e(\cdot)$ denotes topological Euler characteristic and $\nu: \operatorname{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is Behrend's constructible function [Beh]. We consider an Euler characteristic version of these invariants

$$\widehat{\mathrm{DT}}_{\beta,n}(X) := e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X)).$$

We focus on primitive classes $\beta=B+dF$, where B is the class of the section and F the class of the fibre. Let

$$\widehat{\mathrm{DT}}(X) := \sum_{d > 0} \widehat{\mathrm{DT}}_d(X) q^d := \sum_{d > 0} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathrm{DT}}_{B+dF,n}(X) p^n q^d.$$

Our main result is an explicit expression for this generating function (and its connected analog $\widehat{\mathrm{DT}}^{\mathrm{conn}}(X)$). Before phrasing the result, we introduce some notation: for a 2D

Date: September 17, 2015.

Drop uniqueness so generating function becomes product with contribution from multiple sections?

1

partition $\lambda=(\lambda_0\geq\lambda_1\geq\cdots)$, we write λ' for the corresponding transposed partition and

$$\begin{split} |\lambda| &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k, \\ \|\lambda\| &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2. \end{split}$$

Theorem 1.

$$\widehat{\mathrm{DT}}(X) = \frac{1}{(p^{\frac{1}{2}} - p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{e(B)}} \left(\sum_{\lambda} \frac{\mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\square,\varnothing}(p)}{\mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\varnothing,\varnothing}(p)} q^{|\lambda|} \right)^{e(B)-N} \left(\sum_{\mu} \frac{\mathsf{V}_{\mu,\square,\varnothing}(p)\mathsf{V}_{\mu,\mu',\varnothing}(p) p^{\|\mu\|}}{\mathsf{V}_{\mu,\varnothing,\varnothing}(p)} q^{|\mu|} \right)^{N}$$

$$\widehat{\mathrm{DT}}^{\mathrm{conn}}(X) = \frac{1}{(p^{\frac{1}{2}} - p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{e(B)}} \left(\frac{\sum_{\lambda} \frac{\mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\square,\varnothing}(p)}{\mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\varnothing,\varnothing}(p)} q^{|\lambda|}}{\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|}} \right)^{e(B)-N} \left(\frac{\sum_{\mu} \frac{\mathsf{V}_{\mu,\square,\varnothing}(p)\mathsf{V}_{\mu,\mu',\varnothing}(p) p^{\|\mu\|}}{\mathsf{V}_{\mu,\varnothing,\varnothing}(p)} q^{|\mu|}}{\sum_{\mu} \mathsf{V}_{\mu,\mu',\varnothing}(p) p^{\|\mu\|} q^{|\mu|}} \right)^{N}.$$

where the sums are over all 2D partitions and where $V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(p)$ denotes the topological vertex.

It turns out the sums over partitions in Theorem 1 can be computed. They can be expressed in terms of traces of certain natural operators on Fock space. Some of them are known and some of them are new. The way to compute these traces is of independent interest and forms the subject of a purely combinatorial companion paper with B. Young [BKY]. Combining Theorem 1 with the calculations of [BKY] gives:

Corollary 2 (Bryan-Kool-Young).

$$\widehat{DT}^{\text{conn}}(X) = \frac{1}{(p^{\frac{1}{2}} - p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - pq^k)^2 (1 - p^{-1}q^k)^2 (1 - q^k)^{12N - 4}}.$$

In the case $S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is the elliptically fibred K3 surface, this provides a new derivation of the famous Katz-Klemm-Vafa formula (recently proved in all curve classes in [PT]). This is the first derivation of the KKV formula, which does *not* depend on the Kawai-Yoshioka formula [KY].

The most important result of this paper is perhaps not the formula, but rather the method of calculation. Even though the geometry under consideration is not toric, we combine \mathbb{C}^* -localization, motivic methods, formal methods, and \mathbb{C}^{*3} -localization to end up with expressions, which only depend on the topological vertex, e(B), and N. Here is a rough sketch of our method:

(A) The action of \mathbb{C}^* on the fibres of X lifts to the moduli space 1 Hilb $^{B+dF, \bullet}(X)$. Therefore, we only have to understand $e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta, \bullet}(X))^{\mathbb{C}^*}$. Push-forward along $X \to S \to B$ induces a map

(1)
$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{B+dF,\bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*} \to \operatorname{Sym}^d(B).$$

The Euler characteristics of the fibres of (1) give a constructible function f_d on $\operatorname{Sym}^d(B)$. In Section 3, we show that if f_d satisfies a certain product formula, then general power structure arguments imply $\widehat{\operatorname{DT}}(X)$ satisfies a corresponding product formula.

¹The bullet indicates that we take the union of $Hilb^{B+dF,n}(X)$ over all n.

- (B) The fibres of (1) decompose into components according to the shape of the underlying Cohen-Macaulay curve. In Section 4, we show this leads to a decomposition over 2D partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_0 \ge \lambda_1 \ge \cdots)$.
- (C) A component Σ of a fibre of (1) indexed by λ can be further broken down by taking a certain fpqc cover of the underlying (now fixed) Cohen-Macaulay curve $Z_{\rm CM}$ determined by λ . This cover consists of formal neighbourhoods \widehat{X}_x around the singular points x of the reduced support of $Z_{\rm CM}$ and "tubular neighbourhoods" along the reduced support of $Z_{\rm CM}$ after removing the singularities. Since $Z_{\rm CM}$ is already fixed, restriction to the pieces of this cover gives a set theoretic bijection of Σ with Hilbert schemes on the pieces of the cover. In Section 5, we show these moves lead to the product formula for f_d mentioned in (A).
- (D) On the formal neighbourhoods \widehat{X}_x , we have an action of \mathbb{C}^{*3} . This allows us to express their contributions in terms of the topological vertex. The contributions of the tubular neighbourhoods along the *punctured* section and fibres can also be expressed in terms of the topological vertex (roughly speaking, by utilizing actions of the elliptic curve F or \mathbb{C}^* on itself). This is worked out in Section 6.

Many of the methods of this paper work well with the Behrend function. In particular, steps (A), (B), and (D) do not provide any problems. In Section 7

TBC: section on Behrend function.

Add people and funding.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank...

2. Definitions

Let $p:S\to B$ be an elliptic surface over a smooth projective curve B. We make two assumptions:

- (1) p has a unique section $B \hookrightarrow S$,
- (2) all singular fibres of p are of Kodaira type I_1 , i.e. rational nodal fibres.

We write F_x for the fibre $p^{-1}(\{x\})$, for all closed points $x \in B$. We denote the classes of the fibre and section by $B, F \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Interesting examples are the elliptic surfaces E(n), where $B = \mathbb{P}^1$ and S has 12n nodal fibres. For example, E(2) is the elliptic K3 surface.

Let $\beta \in H_2(S)$ be Poincaré dual to B+dF, where $d \geq 0$. Denote by $X = \operatorname{Tot}(K_S)$ the total space of the canonical bundle over S. Then X is a non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Consider the Hilbert scheme

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X) = \{ Z \subset X : [Z] = \beta, \ \chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = n \}$$

of proper subschemes $Z\subset X$ with fixed homology class and holomorphic Euler characteristics. K. Behrend associates to any $\mathbb C$ -scheme of finite type Y a constructible function $\nu:Y\to\mathbb Z$ [Beh]. Applied to $\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X)$, the Donaldson-Thomas invariants of X can be defined as $\mathbb Z$

$$\mathrm{DT}_{\beta,n}(X) := \int_{\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X)} \nu \ de := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k \ e(\nu^{-1}(\{k\})),$$

Discuss multiple sections E(1)?

 $^{^2}$ If X is a compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold, R.P. Thomas's original definition of the DT invariant is the degree of the virtual cycle of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X)$ [Tho]. Behrend showed this is the same as $e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X),\nu)$ [Beh]. The advantage of the definition by means of virtual cycles is that the construction works relative to a base. This implies deformation invariance of the invariants.

where $e(\cdot)$ denotes topological Euler characteristic. Many of the key properties of DT invariants are already captured by the more classical Euler characteristic version³

$$\widehat{\mathrm{DT}}_{\beta,n}(X) := \int_{\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X)} 1 \ de = e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{\beta,n}(X)).$$

For brevity, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Hilb}^{d,n}(X) := \operatorname{Hilb}^{B+dF,n}(X), \\ & \operatorname{DT}_{\beta,n}(X) := \operatorname{DT}_{B+dF,n}(X), \\ & \widehat{\operatorname{DT}}_{d,n}(X) := \operatorname{DT}_{B+dF,n}(X). \end{aligned}$$

The generating functions of interest are

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{DT}(X) &:= \sum_{d \geq 0} \operatorname{DT}_d(X) q^d := \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{DT}_{d,n}(X) p^n q^d, \\ \widehat{\operatorname{DT}}(X) &:= \sum_{d \geq 0} \widehat{\operatorname{DT}}_d(X) q^d := \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\operatorname{DT}}_{d,n}(X) p^n q^d. \end{split}$$

Note that the corresponding connected series $\mathrm{DT}^{\mathrm{conn}}(X)$, $\widehat{\mathrm{DT}}^{\mathrm{conn}}(X)$ are obtained by dividing by

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{dF,n}(X), \nu) p^n q^d$$
$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{dF,n}(X)) p^n q^d$$

respectively.

Since we are dealing with generating functions and our calculations involve cut-paste methods on the moduli space, it is useful to introduce the following notation. We define

$$[\operatorname{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)] := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} [\operatorname{Hilb}^{d,n}(X)] p^n,$$

which is an element of $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})((p))$, i.e. a Laurent series with coefficients in the Grothendieck group of varieties. We also write $\operatorname{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)$ to denote the union of all $\operatorname{Hilb}^{d,n}(X)$. Therefore $\operatorname{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)$ is a \mathbb{C} -scheme locally of finite type.

3. Push-forward to the symmetric product

The action of \mathbb{C}^* on the fibres of X lifts to the moduli space $\mathrm{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)$. Therefore

$$\int_{\mathrm{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)} 1 \, de = \int_{\mathrm{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*}} 1 \, de.$$

Recall that

$$\int_{\mathrm{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)} 1 \ de = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} p^n \int_{\mathrm{Hilb}^{d,n}(X)} 1 \ de \in \mathbb{Z}(\!(p)\!).$$

We revisit the \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus in detail in the Section 4. Denote by $\operatorname{Sym}^d(B)$ the dth symmetric product of B. Recall that we have projections

$$X \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} S \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} B.$$

³From the point of view of [JS, Bri], there are two natural integration maps on the semi-classical Hall-algebra. One corresponds to weighing by the Behrend function. The other corresponds to weighing by the "trivial" constant constructible function 1. The former gives rise to DT(X) and the latter to $\widehat{DT}(X)$.

A subscheme Z of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ always contains the zero section $B\subset S\subset X$. We can remove it and consider the scheme $\overline{Z\setminus B}$. There exists a morphism

(2)
$$\rho_d : \operatorname{Hilb}^{d, \bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^d(B), \\ Z \mapsto \operatorname{supp}(p_* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z \setminus B}}),$$

where $\operatorname{supp}(\cdot)$ denotes the scheme theoretic support, which gives an effective divisor on B. We obtain

$$\int_{\mathrm{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*}} 1 \, de = \int_{\mathrm{Sym}^d(B)} \rho_{d*}(1) \, de,$$

where $f_d := \rho_{d*}(1)$ is a constructible function on $\operatorname{Sym}^d(B)$. Its value at a closed point $\mathfrak{a} = \sum_i a_i x_i \in \operatorname{Sym}^d(B)$ is

$$f_d(\mathfrak{a}) = \int_{\rho_d^{-1}(\mathfrak{a})} 1 \, de.$$

We are interested in the calculation of

$$\widehat{\mathrm{DT}}(X) = \sum_{d \ge 0} \widehat{\mathrm{DT}}_d(X) q^d = \sum_{d \ge 0} q^d \int_{\mathrm{Sym}^d(B)} f_d \ de.$$

It turns out that the constructible function $f_d: \operatorname{Sym}^d(B) \to \mathbb{Z}((p))$ has two multiplicative properties. The first one is described as follows. Denote by $B^{\operatorname{sm}} \subset B$ the open subset over which the fibres are smooth and by B^{sing} the N points over which the fibres are singular. We can consider the restrictions of f_d to $\operatorname{Sym}^d(B^{\operatorname{sm}}) \subset \operatorname{Sym}^d(B)$ and $\operatorname{Sym}^d(B^{\operatorname{sing}}) \subset \operatorname{Sym}^d(B)$. Denote by M(p) the MacMahon function.

Proposition 3. Let $d_1, d_2 \ge 0$ be such that $d_1 + d_2 = d$. Then

$$f_d(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}) = \frac{(p^{\frac{1}{2}}-p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{e(B)}}{M(p)^{e(X)}} \cdot f_{d_1}(\mathfrak{a}) \cdot f_{d_2}(\mathfrak{b}),$$

for any $\mathfrak{a} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d_1}(B^{\operatorname{sm}})$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d_2}(B^{\operatorname{sing}})$.

We prove this proposition in Section 5.3. The following product formula is an immediate consequence of this result

(3)
$$\frac{\sum_{d\geq 0} q^d \int_{\operatorname{Sym}^d(B)} f_d \, de}{\frac{(p^{\frac{1}{2}} - p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{e(B)}}{M(p)^{e(X)}}} \left(\sum_{d\geq 0} q^d \int_{\operatorname{Sym}^d(B^{\text{sm}})} f_d \, de\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{d\geq 0} q^d \int_{\operatorname{Sym}^d(B^{\text{sing}})} f_d \, de\right).$$

The restricted constructible functions $f_d : \operatorname{Sym}^d(B^{\operatorname{sm}}) \to \mathbb{Z}((p))$ and $f_d : \operatorname{Sym}^d(B^{\operatorname{sing}}) \to \mathbb{Z}((p))$ satisfy further multiplicative properties:

Proposition 4. There exist functions $g: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{Z}((p))$ and $h: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{Z}((p))$ taking values in formal Laurent series $\mathbb{Z}((p))$, such that g(0) = 1, h(0) = 1, and

$$f_d(\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{M(p)^{e(X)}}{(p^{\frac{1}{2}} - p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{e(B)}} \cdot \prod_i g(a_i),$$

$$f_d(\mathfrak{b}) = \frac{M(p)^{e(X)}}{(p^{\frac{1}{2}} - p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{e(B)}} \cdot \prod_i h(b_j),$$

for all $d \ge 0$, $\mathfrak{a} = \sum_i a_i x_i \in \operatorname{Sym}^d(B^{\operatorname{sim}})$, and $\mathfrak{b} = \sum_j b_j y_j \in \operatorname{Sym}^d(B^{\operatorname{sing}})$.

We prove this proposition in Section 5.3. Together with Lemma 16 from the appendix, Proposition 4 and equation (3) imply

(4)
$$\sum_{d\geq 0} q^d \int_{\operatorname{Sym}^d(B)} f_d \, de = \frac{M(p)^N}{(p^{\frac{1}{2}} - p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{e(B)}} \cdot \left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} g(a) q^a\right)^{e(B)-N} \cdot \left(\sum_{b=0}^{\infty} h(b) q^b\right)^N.$$

Our goal is to prove Propositions 3, 4, and find formulae for g(a), h(b). This requires a better understanding of the strata

$$\rho_d^{-1}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^{d, \bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$$

for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d_1}(B^{\operatorname{sm}})$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d_2}(B^{\operatorname{sing}})$ with $d_1 + d_2 = d$. We continue with a more careful study of the \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus.

4. The
$$\mathbb{C}^*$$
-fixed locus

As we already noted, the action of \mathbb{C}^* on the fibres of X lifts to the moduli space $\operatorname{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)$. Therefore, we only need to restrict attention to $\operatorname{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$.

Using the map $\pi: X \to S$, a quasi-coherent sheaf on X can be viewed as a quasicoherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on S together with a morphism $\mathcal{F} \otimes K_S^{-1} \to \mathcal{F}$. A \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant structure on $\mathcal F$ translates into a $\mathbb Z$ -grading

$$\pi_*\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_k,$$

such that $\mathcal{F} \otimes K_S^{-1} \to \mathcal{F}$ is graded, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_k \otimes K_S^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k-1}.$$

The structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X corresponds to

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} K_S^{-k}.$$

Therefore a \mathbb{C}^* -fixed morphism $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O}_X$ corresponds to a graded sheaf \mathcal{F} as above together with maps

It is useful to re-define $\mathcal{G}_k:=\mathcal{F}_{-k}\otimes K_S^k$. Then a \mathbb{C}^* -fixed morphism $\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{O}_X$ is equivalent to the following data:

- coherent sheaves $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ on S,
- morphisms $\{\mathcal{G}_k \to \mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, morphisms $\mathcal{G}_k \to \mathcal{O}_S$ such that the following diagram commutes:

In the case of interest to us $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{O}_X$ is an ideal sheaf $I_Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ cutting out $Z \subset X$. In the above language, this means $\mathcal{G}_k = 0$ for k < 0, the morphisms $\mathcal{G}_k \to \mathcal{O}_S$ are injective (hence $\mathcal{G}_k = I_{Z_k}$ is an ideal sheaf cutting out $Z_k \subset S$), and the morphisms $\mathcal{G}_k \to \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ are injective (hence $I_{Z_k} \subset I_{Z_{k+1}}$, i.e. $Z_k \supset Z_{k+1}$). We conclude:

Proposition 5. A closed point Z of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ corresponds to a finite nesting of closed subschemes of S

$$Z_0 \supset Z_1 \supset \cdots \supset Z_l$$
,

for some $l \geq 0$, such such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l} [Z_k] = B + dF \in H_2(S).$$

In the above proposition, each $Z_k\subset S$ contains a maximal Cohen-Macaulay (in fact, Gorenstein) subcurve D_k such that $Z_k\setminus D_k$ is 0-dimensional. For k=0, D_0 is the scheme-theoretic union of the section B and thickenings of certain distinct fibres F_{x_1},\ldots,F_{x_n} . Denoting the orders of thickenings by $\lambda_0^{(1)},\ldots,\lambda_0^{(n)}>0$, we obtain⁴

$$D_0 = B \cup \lambda_0^{(1)} F_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup \lambda_0^{(n)} F_{x_n}.$$

This statement follows from Corollary 19 of the appendix. Next, for all $i=1,\ldots,n$ and $k\geq 1$, there are $\lambda_k^{(i)}\leq \lambda_{k-1}^{(i)}$ such that

$$D_k = \lambda_k^{(1)} F_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup \lambda_k^{(n)} F_{x_n}.$$

We conclude:

Proposition 6. To each closed point Z of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ correspond distinct closed points $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in B$ for some n and (finite) 2D partitions $\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(n)}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda^{(i)}| = d.$$

The maximal Cohen-Macaulay subcurve of Z is given by the scheme-theoretic union of the zero section B and the schemes with ideal sheaves

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{S}(-\lambda_{k}^{(i)}F_{x_{i}}) \otimes K_{S}^{-k},$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Note that in the notation of this proposition, the morphism ρ_d in (2) maps Z to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda^{(i)}| x_i \in \operatorname{Sym}^d(B),$$

where $|\lambda^{(i)}|$ denotes the size of the 2D partition $\lambda^{(i)}$.

In Section 3, we considered the stratum

$$\rho_d^{-1}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}) \subset \operatorname{Hilb}^{d, \bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*},$$

⁴For any reduced curve C on a surface S with ideal sheaf $I_C \subset \mathcal{O}_S$ and d > 0, we denote by dC the scheme defined by the ideal sheaf $I_C^d \subset \mathcal{O}_S$.

for any $\mathfrak{a} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d_1}(B^{\operatorname{sm}})$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j y_j \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d_2}(B^{\operatorname{sing}})$ satisfying $d_1 + d_2 = d$. Proposition 6 gives a decomposition of $\rho_d^{-1}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})$ into components⁵

(5)
$$\bigsqcup_{\substack{\lambda^{(1)} \vdash a_1 \\ \dots \\ \lambda^{(m)} \vdash a_m \\ \mu^{(m)} \vdash b_m}} \sum_{\mu^{(1)} \vdash b_1 \\ \dots \\ \mu^{(m)} \vdash b_m} \sum_{\mu^{(m)} \vdash b_m}$$

We abbreviate these components by $\Sigma(x;y;\lambda;\mu)$. We see that $\Sigma(x;y;\lambda;\mu)$ can be characterized as the stratum of point $Z\in \operatorname{Hilb}^{d,\bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$, for which the maximal Cohen-Macaulay subcurve $Z_{\mathrm{CM}}\subset Z$ is determined by the data x,y,λ,μ as in Proposition 6. We are interested in the Euler characteristics of these strata. We will see this Euler characteristic does *not* depend on the exact location of the points x_i and y_j , but only on their number m and n and the partitions $\lambda^{(i)}$ and $\mu^{(j)}$.

5. RESTRICTION TO FORMAL NEIGHBOURHOODS

In the previous two sections we reduced our consideration to the strata $\Sigma(x; y; \lambda; \mu)$ of $Z \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{d, \bullet}(X)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ for which the maximal Cohen-Macaulay subcurve $Z_{\operatorname{CM}} \subset Z$ is determined by the data x, y, λ, μ . In this section we further break down this stratum by cutting it up in pieces covered by formal neighbourhoods. For notational simplicity, we first consider the case where the base point is

$$ax + by \in \operatorname{Sym}^d(B),$$

with $x \in B^{\mathrm{sm}}$, $y \in B^{\mathrm{sing}}$, and d = a + b. We show how to compute $e(\Sigma(x, y, \lambda, \mu))$. Once this case is established, it is not hard to generalize to arbitrary $e(\Sigma(x; y; \lambda; \mu))$. This leads to a proof of Propositions 3, 4, and a geometric characterization of the functions g(a), h(b) of Section 3.

- 5.1. **Fpqc cover.** The idea is to use an appropriate cover of X and calculate on pieces of the cover. We first give a complex analytic definition of the cover to aid the intuition and then give the actual "algebro-geometric cover":
 - (1) The reduced support $B \cup F_x \cup F_y$ has three singular points⁶: $x, y \in B$ and $z \in F_y^{\text{sing}}$. We take small open balls around these points.
 - (2) Consider the punctured curve $B^{\circ} := B \setminus \{x, y\}$ and let $X^{\circ} := X \setminus (F_x \cup F_y)$. We take a tubular neighbourhood of $B^{\circ} \subset X^{\circ}$.
 - (3) Consider the punctured curve $F_x^\circ := F_x \setminus \{x\}$ and let $X^\circ := X \setminus B$. We take a tubular neighbourhood of $F_x^\circ \subset X^\circ$.
 - (4) Consider the punctured curve $F_y^\circ := F_y \setminus \{y,z\}$ and let $X^\circ := X \setminus (B \cup F_x \cup \{z\})$. We take a tubular neighbourhood of $F_y^\circ \subset X^\circ$.
 - (5) Finally, we take $W = X \setminus (B \cup F_x \cup F_y)$.

In order to work in algebraic geometry, in (1) we take the formal neighbourhood \widehat{X}_x of $\{x\}$ in X. Denote the local ring at x by (R,\mathfrak{m}) . Then by \widehat{X}_x we mean the (non-noetherian) scheme

Spec
$$\lim R/\mathfrak{m}^n$$

and not the formal scheme

Spf
$$\lim R/\mathfrak{m}^n$$
.

⁵We use the term component somewhat loose: it means a subset which is both open and closed, so can be a union of actual connected components.

⁶Recall that $x, y \in B$ in the base can be viewed as points on S and X via the sections $B \subset S \subset X$.

Similarly in (2) and (3), let \widehat{X}_y be the formal neighbourhood of $\{y\}$ in X and \widehat{X}_z the formal neighbourhood of $\{z\}$ in X. Note that

$$\widehat{X}_x \cong \widehat{X}_y \cong \widehat{X}_z \cong \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3].$$

Even though \widehat{X}_x is non-noetherian, the morphism $\widehat{X}_x \to X$ has a good property: it is fpqc so can be used as part of a cover [Vis, Sect. 2.3.2]. Flatness of this map follows from the fact that formal completion is an exact operations [AM, Prop. 10.12, 10.13].

In (4) we consider $B^\circ:=B\setminus\{x,y\}, X^\circ:=X\setminus(F_x\cup F_y)$ and let $\widehat{X}_{B^\circ}^\circ$ be the formal neighbourhood of F_x° in X° . For (5) and (6) the formal neighbourhoods $\widehat{X}_{F_x^\circ}^\circ$ and $\widehat{X}_{F_y^\circ}^\circ$ are defined analogously. Note that the definition of X° in (2)–(4) varies slightly. Finally, we take $W=X\setminus(B\cup F_x\cup F_y)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{U} = \{\widehat{X}_x \to X, \widehat{X}_y \to X, \widehat{X}_z \to X, \widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ} \to X, \widehat{X}_{F_x^{\circ}}^{\circ} \to X, \widehat{X}_{F_y^{\circ}}^{\circ} \to X, W \subset X\}$$

forms an fpqc cover of X. Consequently the data of a quasi-coherent sheaf on X is equivalent to the data of quasi-coherent sheaves on each of the opens of $\mathfrak U$ and gluing isomorphisms between the restrictions to the overlaps. Technically: quasi-coherent sheaves on X form a stack with respect to the fpqc topology [Vis, Thm. 4.23].

5.2. **Local moduli spaces.** We now introduce moduli spaces of closed subschemes of dimension ≤ 1 on each of the pieces of the cover \mathfrak{U} . Consider

$$\widehat{X}_x \cong \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$$

and assume the coordinates are chosen such that $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ corresponds to the intersection of $\widehat{X}_x \times_X B$ and $x_1 = x_3 = 0$ corresponds to $\widehat{X}_x \times_X F_x$. Define

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,d),n}(\widehat{X}_x) :=$$

$$\{I_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_z} : [Z] = [\widehat{X}_x \times_X B] + d[\widehat{X}_x \times_X F_x] \text{ and } h^0(I_{Z_{CM}}/I_Z) = n\}.$$

Here the equation

$$[Z] = [\widehat{X}_x \times_X B] + d[\widehat{X}_x \times_X F_x]$$

means Z is supported along

$$(\widehat{X}_x \times_X B) \cup (\widehat{X}_x \times_X F_x)$$

with multiplicity 1 along $(\widehat{X}_x \times_X B)$ and multiplicity d along $(\widehat{X}_x \times_X F_x)$. Furthermore, Z_{CM} denotes the maximal Cohen-Macaulay subcurve of Z. The ideal sheaves fit into a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I_Z \longrightarrow I_{Z_{\text{CM}}} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0$$
,

where Q is a 0-dimensional. The Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^{(1,d),n}(\widehat{X}_y)$ is defined likewise replacing the point x by y. For \widehat{X}_z , we define

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{d,n}(\widehat{X}_z) := \big\{ I_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_z} \ : \ [Z] = d[\widehat{X}_z \times_X F_y] \text{ and } h^0(I_{Z_{\operatorname{CM}}}/I_Z) = n \big\}.$$

Each of $\widehat{X}_x, \widehat{X}_y, \widehat{X}_z$ has an action of \mathbb{C}^* compatible with the fibre scaling on X. This action lifts to the moduli space. Moreover, since each of these formal neighbourhoods is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, the bigger torus \mathbb{C}^{*3} acts on it and this action lifts to the moduli space. The existence of these "extra actions" will be used in Section 6.

Next consider $\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ}$, the formal neighbourhood of the punctured zero section $B^{\circ} \subset X^{\circ}$. Define

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{1,n}(\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ}) := \big\{ I_{Z} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ}} \ : \ [Z] = [\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ} \times_{X} B] \text{ and } h^{0}(I_{Z_{\operatorname{CM}}}/I_{Z}) = n \big\}.$$

For $\widehat{X}_{F_x^{\circ}}^{\circ}$, $\widehat{X}_{F_y^{\circ}}^{\circ}$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Hilb}^{d,n}(\widehat{X}_{F_x^\circ}^\circ) := \big\{ I_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_{F_x^\circ}^\circ} \ : \ [Z] = d[\widehat{X}_{F_x^\circ}^\circ \times_X B] \text{ and } h^0(I_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}}/I_Z) = n \big\}, \\ & \mathrm{Hilb}^{d,n}(\widehat{X}_{F_y^\circ}^\circ) := \big\{ I_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_{F_o^\circ}^\circ} \ : \ [Z] = d[\widehat{X}_{F_y^\circ}^\circ \times_X B] \text{ and } h^0(I_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}}/I_Z) = n \big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for W we define

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{0,n}(W) := \{ I_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_W : \dim(Z) = 0 \text{ and } h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = n \}.$$

On $\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ}$, $\widehat{X}_{F_{x}^{\circ}}^{\circ}$, $\widehat{X}_{F_{y}^{\circ}}^{\circ}$, and W we have an action of \mathbb{C}^{*} compatible with the fibre scaling on X. These actions lift to the moduli space. However, unlike for \widehat{X}_{x} , \widehat{X}_{y} , \widehat{X}_{z} , no additional tori act.

As before, we use the notation $\operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,d),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_x)$ for the union of all $\operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,d),n}(\widehat{X}_x)$ (and similarly for all other moduli spaces of this section). Like in Section 4, the components of the \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,d),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_x)$ are indexed by 2D partitions

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^{(1,d),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_x)^{\mathbb{C}^*} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \vdash d} \mathrm{Hilb}^{(1,d),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_x)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*}.$$

Proposition 7. Consider the stratum $\Sigma(x, y, a, b)$, where $a = |\lambda|$ and $b = |\mu|$. Restriction from X to the elements of the cover $\mathfrak U$ induces a morphism

$$\Sigma(x, y, \lambda, \mu) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,a), \bullet}(\widehat{X}_x)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,b), \bullet}(\widehat{X}_y)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \operatorname{Hilb}^{b, \bullet}(\widehat{X}_z)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \operatorname{Hilb}^{b, \bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_y^{\circ}})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \operatorname{Hilb}^{b, \bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_y^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \operatorname{Hilb}^{b, \bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_y^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*}$$

which is a bijection on closed points.

Proof. Since pull-back works in families, restriction defines a morphism between LHS and RHS. For the rest of the proof, we work on closed points only.

Since $\mathfrak U$ is an fpqc cover, fpqc descent implies that any ideal sheaf $I_Z\subset \mathcal O_X$ is entirely determined by its restriction along the morphisms of the elements of $\mathfrak U$. This proves injectivity.

Conversely, given local ideal sheaves in the image of (6), their restrictions to overlaps only depend on the underlying Cohen-Macaulay curve (and not on the embedded points). Since we chose the strata such that the underlying Cohen-Macaulay curve automatically glues, there are no further gluing conditions and fpqc descent implies surjectivity.

Remark 8. Note that the argument of Proposition 7 is purely set-theoretic in nature. We do *not* claim (6) is an isomorphism of schemes.

Remark 9. It is important to relate holomorphic Euler characteristic of domain and target in (6). For any subscheme Z in the domain $\Sigma(x,y,\lambda,\mu)$, denote the corresponding maximal Cohen-Macaulay curve of its elements by $Z_{\rm CM}$ (Proposition 6). Then

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}}) + \chi(I_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}}/I_Z).$$

Recall that Z_{CM} is entirely determined by the data x, y, λ, μ , where $\lambda = (\lambda_0 \ge \lambda_1 \ge \cdots)$ and $\mu = (\mu_0 \ge \mu_1 \ge \cdots)$ are 2D partitions (equation (5)). An easy calculation shows

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{CM}}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_B) - \lambda_0 - \mu_0.$$

We conclude

(7)
$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \frac{e(B)}{2} - \lambda_0 - \mu_0 + \chi(I_{Z_{CM}}/I_Z).$$

Proposition 7 allows us to calculate

$$f_d(ax+by) = e(\rho_d^{-1}(ax+by)) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash a} \sum_{\mu \vdash b} e(\Sigma(x,y,a,b)).$$

By Proposition 7 and (7) this equals

$$f_{d}(ax + by) = p^{\frac{e(B)}{2}} e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{1,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ})^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{0,\bullet}(W)^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) \times$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash a} \sum_{\mu \vdash b} p^{-\lambda_{0} - \mu_{0}} e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,a),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{x})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,b),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{y})_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) \times$$

$$e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{z})_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_{v}^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_{v}^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}).$$

$$(8)$$

Before we proceed, we calculate $e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{0,\bullet}(W)^{\mathbb{C}^*})$ and $e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{1,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ})^{\mathbb{C}^*})$. The first follows from a formula of J. Cheah [Che]

(9)
$$e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{0,\bullet}(W)^{\mathbb{C}^*}) = M(p)^{e(W)}.$$

For the second we use the following proposition:

Proposition 10. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in B$ be any number of closed points. Define

$$B^{\circ} := B \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\},\$$

$$X^{\circ} := X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} F_{x_i}.$$

Let $\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ}$ be the formal neighbourhood of B° in X° . Define $\mathrm{Hilb}^{1,n}(\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ})$ as the Hilbert scheme of subschemes $Z \subset \widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ}$, such that $Z_{\mathrm{CM}} = B^{\circ}$ and $\chi(I_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}}/I_{Z}) = n$. Then

$$e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{1,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ})) = \left(\frac{M(p)}{(1-p)}\right)^{e(B^{\circ})}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in B^{\circ}$ and let $\widehat{X}_x \cong \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\![x_1, x_2, x_3]\!]$ be the formal neighbourhood of x in X° . Denote by

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{1,n}(\widehat{X}_x^{\circ})$$

the Hilbert scheme of subschemes $Z\subset \widehat{X}_x$, such that $Z_{\rm CM}=\{x_2=x_3=0\}$ and $\chi(I_{Z_{\rm CM}}/I_Z)=n$.

We have projections

$$X^{\circ} \longrightarrow S^{\circ} \longrightarrow B^{\circ}$$

Similar to Proposition 7, these map induces a morphism

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{1,d}(\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{d}(B^{\circ})$$

The fibre over a point $\mathfrak{a} = \sum_i a_i x_i$ equals

$$\prod_{i} e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{1,a_i}(\widehat{X}_{x_i})).$$

This follows by using an appropriate fpqc cover of B° similar to Proposition 7. Therefore, Lemma 16 of the appendix implies

$$e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{1,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{B^{\circ}}^{\circ})) = \left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{1,a}(\widehat{X}_{x}))p^{a}\right)^{e(B^{\circ})}.$$

The formal neighbourhood \widehat{X}_x has an action of \mathbb{C}^{*3} and this action lifts to $\mathrm{Hilb}^{1,a}(\widehat{X}_x)$. The fixed locus consists of a finite number of points counted by the topological vertex⁷

$$\mathsf{V}_{\square,\varnothing,\varnothing}(p) = \frac{M(p)}{1-p}.$$

The proof follows.

Using (9) and Proposition 10, equation (8) becomes

$$f_{d}(ax + by) = \frac{M(p)^{e(X)}}{(p^{\frac{1}{2}} - p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{e(B)}} \times$$

$$(1 - p) \sum_{\lambda \vdash a} p^{-\lambda_{0}} e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,a),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{x})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_{x}^{\circ}})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) \times$$

$$\frac{1 - p}{M(p)} \sum_{\mu \vdash b} p^{-\mu_{0}} e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,b),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{y})_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{z})_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_{y}^{\circ}})_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}).$$

5.3. Geometric characterization of g(a) and h(b). The arguments of the preceding two sections are straightforwardly modified to any stratum $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{y};\boldsymbol{\lambda};\boldsymbol{\mu})$. Fix a smooth fibre F_x and a singular fibre F_y . Denote the singular point of F_y by z. Let \widehat{X}_x , \widehat{X}_z be the formal neighbourhoods of x, z in X. Define $\mathrm{Hilb}^{(1,a),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_x)$, $\mathrm{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_z)$ as in Section 5.2. As in Section 5.2, we also consider the "tubular" formal neighbourhoods $\widehat{X}_{F_x}^{\circ}$, $\widehat{X}_{F_x}^{\circ}$ and corresponding Hilbert schemes $\mathrm{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_y}^{\circ})$, $\mathrm{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_y}^{\circ})$. The arguments of this section yield:

Proposition 11. For any a, b > 0 define

$$\begin{split} g(a) &:= (1-p) \sum_{\lambda \vdash a} p^{-\lambda_0} e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{(1,a),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_x)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*}) e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_x^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*}), \\ h(b) &:= \frac{1-p}{M(p)} \sum_{\mu \vdash b} p^{-\mu_0} e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{(1,b),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_y)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*}) e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_z)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*}) e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_y^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*}), \end{split}$$

and let g(0) := 1, h(0) := 1. Then

$$f_d(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}) = \frac{M(p)^{e(X)}}{(p^{\frac{1}{2}} - p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{e(B)}} \cdot \prod_i g(a_i) \cdot \prod_j h(b_j),$$

for any $\mathfrak{a} = \sum_i a_i x_i \in \operatorname{Sym}^d(B^{\operatorname{sm}})$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \sum_j b_j y_j \in \operatorname{Sym}^d(B^{\operatorname{sing}})$.

We immediately deduce:

Corollary 12. Propositions 3 and 4 are true for g(a) and h(b) defined in Proposition 11.

6. REDUCTION TO THE TOPOLOGICAL VERTEX

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 of the introduction by expressing g(a) and h(b) in terms of the topological vertex.

⁷Discussed in general in Section 6.

6.1. **Point contributions.** We denote by

$$\mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(p) = \sum_{\pi} p^{|\pi|},$$

the topological vertex of DT theory⁸ [MNOP1, MNOP2]. Here the sum is over all 3D partitions π with outgoing legs λ , μ , ν and $|\pi|$ denotes renormalized volume.

Proposition 13. Let F_x be a smooth fibre and F_y a singular fibre with singularity z. Then for any $\lambda \vdash a$, $\mu \vdash b$

$$p^{-\lambda_0}e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,a),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_x)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*}) = \mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\square,\varnothing}(p),$$

$$p^{-\mu_0}e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{(1,b),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_y)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*}) = \mathsf{V}_{\mu,\square,\varnothing}(p),$$

$$p^{-\|\mu\|}e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_z)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*}) = \mathsf{V}_{\mu,\mu',\varnothing}(p).$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\widehat{X}_x \cong \widehat{X}_y \cong \widehat{X}_z \cong \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3].$$

Therefore, \mathbb{C}^{*3} acts on each of these schemes and their moduli spaces

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^{(1,a),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_x)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*},\ \mathrm{Hilb}^{(1,b),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_y)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*},\ \mathrm{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_z)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*}.$$

The coordinates can be chosen such that the action of the last factor of \mathbb{C}^{*3} corresponds to $x_3\mapsto t_3x_3$. This component acts trivially since we are already on the \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus. The \mathbb{C}^{*3} -fixed locus consists of isolated reduced points corresponding to monomial ideals with asymptotics $(\lambda,\varnothing,\varnothing)$, $(\mu,\varnothing,\varnothing)$, (μ,μ',\varnothing) respectively. These monomial ideals are exactly what the topological vertex counts.

Finally, note that the generating functions $e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{(1,a),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_x)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*})$, $e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{(1,b),\bullet}(\widehat{X}_y)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*})$, $e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_z)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*})$ all start with 1. On the other hand, from the definition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\square,\varnothing}(p) &= p^{-\lambda_0} + \cdots, \\ \mathsf{V}_{\mu,\square,\varnothing}(p) &= p^{-\mu_0} + \cdots, \\ \mathsf{V}_{\mu,\mu',\varnothing}(p) &= p^{-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k^2} + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where \cdots stands for higher order terms in p. The proposition follows.

6.2. **Fibre contribution.** Let F_x be a smooth fibre and F_y a singular fibre. Recall the formal neighbourhoods $\widehat{X}_{F_x^{\circ}}^{\circ}$, $\widehat{X}_{F_y^{\circ}}^{\circ}$ of Section 5.

Proposition 14. *For any* $\lambda \vdash a$ *and* $\mu \vdash b$ *, we have*

$$\begin{split} e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_x^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*}) &= \frac{1}{\mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\varnothing,\varnothing}(p)}, \\ e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{b,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_y^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\mu}^{\mathbb{C}^*}) &= \frac{1}{\mathsf{V}_{\mu,\varnothing,\varnothing}(p)}. \end{split}$$

Proof. We start with the first equation. Let $F:=F_x\subset S$ be a smooth fibre. Consider the auxiliary surface

$$\tilde{S} = B \times F$$

⁸In general this depends on an equivariant measure $w(\pi)$ depending on equivariant parameters s_1, s_2, s_3 . If $s_1+s_2+s_3=0$, then $w(\pi)=\pm$. Since we are working with an Euler characteristic version of DT invariants, we take $w(\pi)=1$.

⁹The transpose in μ' occurs, because we follow the convention of [ORV].

and let $Y=\mathrm{Tot}(K_{\tilde{S}}).$ Denote by \widehat{X}_F the formal neighbourhood of F in X and by \widehat{Y}_F the formal neighbourhood of

$$F \cong \{x\} \times F \subset \tilde{S} \subset Y$$
,

where $\tilde{S} \subset Y$ denotes the zero section. Certainly \hat{X}_F and \hat{Y}_F are *not* isomorphic.

Next, denote by $\widehat{X}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ}$ the formal neighbourhood of $F \setminus B$ in $X \setminus B$. Moreover, we denote by

$$\widehat{Y}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ}$$

Can provide more argument here?

the formal neighbourhood of $F \setminus (B \times \{x\})$ inside $Y \setminus (B \times \{x\})$. Recall that $x \in F \cap B$ and $B \times \{x\} \subset \tilde{S} \subset Y$. By removing the section B, we have achieved an isomorphism

$$(10) \widehat{X}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ} \cong \widehat{Y}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ}.$$

We are interested in the moduli space $\operatorname{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ})$ and the correspondingly defined moduli space $\operatorname{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{Y}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ})$. Since $\widehat{X}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ}$, $\widehat{Y}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ}$ have (compatible) \mathbb{C}^* -actions coming from scaling the fibres of X,Y, we can consider their \mathbb{C}^* -fixed loci and stratify them according to 2D partitions as in (5). By (10), we have obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}} \cong \mathrm{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{Y}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}.$$

This observation allows us to work in the much simpler geometry of Y. Note that this is only possible because we removed the section B from X and Y.

Let $F \subset \tilde{S} \subset Y$ be as above. Denote by \widehat{Y}_y the formal neighbourhood $y \in Y$, where y is the intersection of $F \cong \{x\} \times F$ and $B \times \{x\}$ inside the zero section $\tilde{S} \subset Y$. Let \widehat{Y}_F , $\widehat{Y}_{F^\circ}^\circ$ be the formal neighbourhoods introduced above. Then we have an fpqc cover

$$\{\widehat{Y}_y \to \widehat{Y}_F, \widehat{Y}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ} \to \widehat{Y}_F\}.$$

On these pieces, we introduce moduli spaces as in Section 5

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{Y}_u), \operatorname{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{Y}_F), \operatorname{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{Y}_{F^{\circ}}).$$

Similar to Proposition 7, restriction gives a bijective morphism on closed points

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^{a, \bullet}(\widehat{Y}_F)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*} \to \mathrm{Hilb}^{a, \bullet}(\widehat{Y}_y)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \mathrm{Hilb}^{a, \bullet}(\widehat{Y}_{F^{\circ}}^{\circ})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*}.$$

Recall that $\tilde{S}=B\times F$. Therefore F does not only act on $F\subset \tilde{S}$, but on any thickening $dF\subset \tilde{S}$. This is because

$$\mathcal{O}_{dF} = \mathcal{O}_{dx} \otimes \mathcal{O}_F$$
,

where $dx \subset B$ denotes the d times thickening of the point $x \in B$. Moreover, F acts on the thickened curve defined by the ideal sheaf

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-\lambda_k F) \otimes K_{\tilde{S}}^{-k}.$$

The action of the elliptic curve F on itself is fixed-point-free, so it lifts to a free action on $\operatorname{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{Y}_F)^{\mathbb{C}^*}_{\lambda}$. Since e(F)=0, we deduce

$$e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{Y}_F)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*}) = 1.$$

Finally, since $\widehat{Y}_y\cong \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\![x_1,x_2,x_3]\!]$, we have an action of \mathbb{C}^{*3} and

$$e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{Y}_y)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^*}) = e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{a,\bullet}(\widehat{Y}_y)_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*3}}) = \mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\varnothing,\varnothing}(p).$$

We conclude

$$1 = e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{a, \bullet}(\widehat{Y}_{F})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) = e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{a, \bullet}(\widehat{Y}_{y})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}) e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{a, \bullet}(\widehat{Y}_{F^{\circ}})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}})$$

$$= \mathsf{V}_{\lambda, \varnothing, \varnothing}(p) \cdot e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{a, \bullet}(\widehat{Y}_{F^{\circ}})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}})$$

$$= \mathsf{V}_{\lambda, \varnothing, \varnothing}(p) \cdot e(\operatorname{Hilb}^{a, \bullet}(\widehat{X}_{F^{\circ}})_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}^{*}}).$$

The equation for $e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{b,ullet}(\widehat{X}_{F_y^\circ}^\circ)_\mu^{\mathbb{C}^*})$ can be deduced similarly. This time, the smooth fibre $F=F_x\subset S\subset X$ is replaced by the emphsmooth locus of the singular fibre, i.e.

$$F' := F_y^{\rm sm} = F_y \setminus \{z\},\,$$

where z denotes the singularity of F_y . Note that

$$F' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{2 \text{ points}\} \cong \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Therefore, we again have a free action of F' on itself and e(F')=0. The rest of the proof follows the same steps. \Box

6.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Combining Proposition 11 with Propositions 13, 14 immediately gives:

Proposition 15. For any a, b > 0

(11)
$$g(a) = (1-p) \sum_{\lambda \vdash a} \frac{\mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\square,\varnothing}(p)}{\mathsf{V}_{\lambda,\varnothing,\varnothing}(p)},$$

$$h(b) = \frac{1-p}{M(p)} \sum_{\mu \vdash b} \frac{\mathsf{V}_{\mu,\square,\varnothing}(p) \mathsf{V}_{\mu,\mu',\varnothing}(p) p^{\|\mu\|}}{\mathsf{V}_{\mu,\varnothing,\varnothing}(p)}.$$

Inserting these equations into (4) provides the formula for $\widehat{DT}(X)$ in Theorem 1. Following the exact same line of reasoning of Sections 3–6, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e(\mathrm{Hilb}^{dF,n}(X)) p^n q^d = \left(\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|}\right)^{e(B)-N} \left(\sum_{\mu} \mathsf{V}_{\mu,\mu',\varnothing}(p) p^{\|\mu\|} q^{|\lambda|}\right)^N.$$

The formula for $\widehat{\mathrm{DT}}^{\mathrm{conn}}(X)$ in Theorem 1 follows after dividing $\widehat{\mathrm{DT}}(X)$ by this expression.

7. Introducing the Behrend function

APPENDIX A. ODDS AND ENDS

A.1. **Weighted Euler characteristics of symmetric products.** In this section we prove the following formula for the weighted Euler characteristic of symmetric products.

Lemma 16. Let S be a scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} and let e(S) be its topological Euler characteristic. Let $g: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{Z}(p)$ be any function with g(0) = 1. Let $f_d: \operatorname{Sym}^d(S) \to \mathbb{Z}(p)$ be the constructible function defined by $f_d(\sum_i a_i x_i) = \prod_i g(a_i)$. Then

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \int_{\operatorname{Sym}^d(S)} f_d de = \left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} g(a) q^a\right)^{e(S)}.$$

Remark 17. In the special case where $g = f_d \equiv 1$, the lemma recovers MacDonald's formula:

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} e(\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(S))q^{d} = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{e(S)}}.$$

The lemma is essentially a consequence of the existence of a power structure on the Grothendieck group of varieties definited by symmetric products and the compatibility of the Euler characteristic homomorphism with that power structure []. For convenience's sake, we provide a direct proof here.

Ref? Bryan-Young?

Proof. The dth symmetric product admits a stratification with strata labelled by partitions of d. Associated to any partition of d is a unique tuple (m_1, m_2, \dots) of non-negative integers with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j m_j = d$. The stratum labelled by (m_1, m_2, \dots) parameterizes collections of points where there are m_j points of multiplicity j. The full stratification is given by:

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(S) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{(m_{1}, m_{2}, \dots) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} j m_{i} = d}} \left\{ \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} S^{m_{j}} \right) - \Delta \right\} / \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{m_{j}}$$

where by convention, S^0 is a point, Δ is the large diagonal, and σ_m is the mth symmetric group. Note that the function f_d is constant on each stratum and has value $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} g(j)^{m_j}$. Note also that the action of $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{m_j}$ on each stratum is free.

For schemes over \mathbb{C} , topological Euler characteristic is additive under stratification and multiplicative under maps which are (topological) fibrations. Thus

$$\int_{\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(S)} f_{d} \ de = \sum_{\substack{(m_{1}, m_{2}, \dots) \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j m_{j} = d}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} g(j)^{m_{j}} \right) \frac{e(S^{\sum_{j} m_{j}} - \Delta)}{m_{1}! \, m_{2}! \, m_{3}! \dots}.$$

For any natural number N, the projection $S^N-\Delta\to S^{N-1}-\Delta$ has fibers of the form $S-\{N-1\text{ points}\}$. The fibers have constant Euler characteristic given by e(S)-(N-1) and consequently, $e(S^N-\Delta)=(e(S)-(N-1))\cdot e(S^{N-1}-\Delta)$. Thus by induction, we find $e(S^N-\Delta)=e(S)\cdot (e(S)-1)\cdots (e(S)-(N-1))$ and so

$$\frac{e(S^{\sum_{j} m_{j}} - \Delta)}{m_{1}! \, m_{2}! \, m_{3}! \cdots} = \begin{pmatrix} e(S) \\ m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

where the right hand side is the generalized multinomial coefficient.

Putting it together and applying the generalized multinomial theorem, we find

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \int_{\text{Sym}^d(S)} f_d de = \sum_{(m_1, m_2, \dots)} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (g(j)q^j)^{m_j} \binom{e(S)}{m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots}$$
$$= \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(j)q^j\right)^{e(S)}$$

which proves the lemma.

A.2. Some geometry of curves on elliptic surfaces. In this subsection we prove the following lemma and corollary, which will tell us what is the reduced support of all curves in the class $\beta = B + dF$.

Lemma 18. For any line bundle ϵ on B, multiplication by the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_S(B)$ induces an isomorphism

$$H^0(S, p^*(\epsilon)(B)) \cong H^0(S, p^*(\epsilon)).$$

Corollary 19. Let $\beta = B + dF \in H_2(S)$. Then the Chow variety of curves in the class β is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Sym}^d(B)$ where a point $\sum_i d_i x_i \in \operatorname{Sym}^d(B)$ corresponds to the curve $B + \sum_i d_i F_{x_i}$.

Proof. The corollary follows immediately from the lemma since the Chow variety is the space of effective divisors and the lemma implies that any effective divisor in the class β is a union of the section B with an effective divisor pulled back from the base.

To prove Lemma 18 we proceed as follows. For any line bundle δ on B, the Leray spectral sequence yields the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to H^0(B, \delta \otimes R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_S) \to H^1(S, p^* \delta) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(B, \delta) \to 0,$$

in particular, α is a surjection.

Then the long exact cohomology sequence associated to

$$0 \to p^* \delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-B) \to p^* \delta \to \mathcal{O}_B \otimes p^* \delta \to 0$$

is

$$\cdots \to H^1(S, p^*\delta) \stackrel{\alpha}{\to} H^1(B, \delta) \to H^2(S, p^*\delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-B)) \to H^2(S, p^*\delta) \to 0,$$

and since α is a surjection, we get an isomorphism of the last two terms. We apply Serre duality to that isomorphism and we use the fact that $K_S = p^*(K_B \otimes L)$ where $L = (R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_S)^{\vee}$ [BPV, Thm. 12.1] to obtain

$$H^0(S, p^*(\delta^{-1} \otimes K_B \otimes L)(B)) \cong H^0(S, p^*(\delta^{-1} \otimes K_B \otimes L)).$$

Letting $\delta = K_B \otimes L \otimes \epsilon^{-1}$, the lemma is proved.

I think arrows Leray go other way around. Anyway... last two terms iso when 2g - 2 < d.

REFERENCES

- [AM] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. MacDonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Westview Press (1969).
- [Beh] K. Behrend, Donaldson-Thomas type invariants via microlocal geometry, Annals of Math. 170 (2009), 1307–1338.
- [BKY] J. Bryan, M. Kool, and B. Young...
- [BPV] W. Barth, C. Peters, and A. van de Ven, Compact complex surfaces, Springer-Verlag (1984).
- [Bri] T. Bridgeland, An introduction to motivic Hall algebras, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), 102–138.
- [Che] J. Cheah, On the cohomology of Hilbert schemes of points, J. Alg. Geom. 5 (1996), 479–511.
- [JS] D. Joyce and Y. Song, A theory of generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants, Mem. of the AMS 217 (2012), 1–216.
- [KY] T. Kawai and K. Yoshioka, String partition functions and infinite products, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 4 (2000), 397–485.
- [MNOP1] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, I, Compos. Math. 142 (2006), 1263–1285.
- [MNOP2] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, II, Compos. Math. 142 (2006), 1286–1304.
- [ORV] A. Okounkov, N. Reshetikhin, and C. Vafa, Quantum Calabi-Yau and classical crystals, in: The unity of mathematics, editors: P. Etingof, V. Retakh, I. M. Singer, Progress in Math. 244, Birkhäuser (2006).
- [PT] R. Pandharipande and R. P. Thomas, Higher genus curves on K3 surfaces and the Katz-Klemm-Vafa formula, preprint.
- [Tho] R. P. Thomas, A Holomorphic Casson Invariant for Calabi–Yau 3-Folds, and Bundles on K3 Fibrations, J. Diff. Geom. 54 (2000) 367–438.
- [Vis] A. Vistoli, Notes on Grothendieck Topologies, Fibred Categories and Descent Theory, in B. Fantechi, L. Göttsche, L. Illusie, S. Kleiman, N. Nitsure, A. Vistoli, Fundamental Algebraic Geometry: Grothendieck's FGA Explained, Math. Surveys and Monographs 123 (2006).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, ROOM 121, 1984 MATHEMATICS ROAD, VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA V6T 1Z2

 ${\tt Mathematical\ Institute,\ Utrecht\ University,\ Room\ 502,\ Budapestlaan\ 6,\ 3584\ CD\ Utrecht,\ The\ Netherlands}$