

University of Kansas Medical Center: Biannual Summary

1. Reporting Period: March 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014
2. RFA Number: TI023800
3. Project Director: Eve Lynn Nelson, Ph.D,

Program at a Glance

What are the current technologies being utilized by the grantee?			
The KUMC Telemedicine project is using PolyCom and Zoom as their telehealth platforms. They also are utilizing two online screening tools developed by San Diego State University: e-Chug and e-Toke.			
Are there any notable changes this reporting period?			
The grantee continues to struggle with getting intakes completed. Their report gives detailed explanations of their struggles and the successful efforts to expand the number of participating colleges in the State. There are several detailed justifications of the existing issues and their interactions with GPOs and JBS staff and their efforts to accomplish changes in the requirements of the grant or to appeal decisions made by SAMHSA.			
What are the grantee's GPRA rates?			
	Target	Actual	Percent
Intakes (Baseline)	120	29	24% *
6-Month Followup	15	1	7% *
If intake or followup is below 80 percent, has the grantee described its plan to increase GPRA rates?			
<p>*Note that the target numbers are their proposed new targets that they say were approved but never changed in the SAIS system.</p> <p>The number of intakes shown above may not reflect what is being shown in SAIS, and they indicate that the numbers are reflected in their Adobe Connect system but not in SAIS. They state that they will need technical assistance to remedy this, but do not indicate they have made the request.</p> <p>They provide explanations for the inability to meet targets, saying they will continue to work with the Central Kansas Foundation to increase intakes, but how this will change the historical pattern is not clear.</p>			

Does the grantee need technical assistance? If yes, does the grantee have a request in SAIS?

Yes and they have throughout the grant period. They seem to selectively interpret guidance and advice they have been given by SAMHSA and JBS.

Are there any areas of concern in the report that require GPO attention?

The entire biannual report should be reviewed in advance of making a decision on a no cost extension.