NAIL062 P&P Logic: Worksheet 9 - Prep for resolution in predicate logic

**Teaching goals:** After completing, the student

- can convert formulas into prenex normal form (PNF)
- understands the notion of a Skolem variant, can Skolemize a given theory
- can transform a given open theory into CNF, write it in set representation
- knows Herbrand's theorem, can demonstrate it on an example, describe a Herbrand model

## IN-CLASS PROBLEMS

**Problem 1.** Convert the following formulas into PNF. Then find their Skolem variants.

- (a)  $(\forall y)((\exists x)P(x,y) \to Q(y,z)) \land (\exists y)((\forall x)R(x,y) \lor Q(x,y))$
- (b)  $(\exists x)R(x,y) \leftrightarrow (\forall y)P(x,y)$
- (c)  $\neg((\forall x)(\exists y)P(x,y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\exists y)R(x,y)) \land (\forall x)\neg(\exists y)Q(x,y)$

**Problem 2.** Convert into an equisatisfiable CNF formula, write in set representation.

- (a)  $(\forall y)(\exists x)P(x,y)$
- (b)  $\neg(\forall y)(\exists x)P(x,y)$
- (c)  $\neg(\exists x)((P(x) \to P(c)) \land (P(x) \to P(d)))$
- (d)  $(\exists x)(\forall y)(\exists z)(P(x,z) \land P(z,y) \rightarrow R(x,y))$

**Problem 3.** Let  $T = \{(\exists x)R(x), (\exists y)\neg P(x,y), (\exists y)(\forall z)(\neg R(x) \lor P(y,z))\}$  be a theory in the language  $L = \langle P, R \rangle$  without equality. Find an open theory T' equisatisfiable with T. Convert T' into CNF and write the resulting formula S in set representation.

**Problem 4.** Let  $T = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$  be a theory in the language  $L = \langle R \rangle$  with equality, where:

$$\varphi_1 = (\exists y) R(y, x)$$
  
$$\varphi_2 = (\exists z) (R(z, x) \land R(z, y) \land (\forall w) (R(w, x) \land R(w, y) \rightarrow R(w, z)))$$

- (a) Using Skolemization, construct an openly axiomatized theory T' (possibly in the extended language L') equisatisfiable with T.
- (b) Let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathbb{N}, R^{\mathcal{A}} \rangle$ , where  $(n, m) \in R^{\mathcal{A}}$  iff n divides m. Find an expansion  $\mathcal{A}'$  of the L-structure  $\mathcal{A}$  to the language L' such that  $\mathcal{A}' \models T'$ . (The set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  includes zero, see ISO 80000-2:2019.)

**Problem 5.** Construct a Herbrand model of the given theory, or find an unsatisfiable conjunction of ground instances of its axioms (c, d) are constant symbols in the language).

- (a)  $T = {\neg P(x) \lor Q(f(x), y), \neg Q(x, d), P(c)}$
- (b)  $T = {\neg P(x) \lor Q(f(x), y), Q(x, d), P(c)}$
- (c)  $T = \{P(x, f(x)), \neg P(x, g(x))\}\$
- (d)  $T = \{P(x, f(x)), \neg P(x, g(x)), P(g(x), f(y)) \rightarrow P(x, y)\}$

## EXTRA PRACTICE

**Problem 6.** The theory of fields T in the language  $L = \langle +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$  contains only one axiom  $\varphi$  that is not open:  $x \neq 0 \rightarrow (\exists y)(x \cdot y = 1)$ . We know that  $T \models 0 \cdot y = 0$  and  $T \models (x \neq 0 \land x \cdot y = 1 \land x \cdot z = 1) \rightarrow y = z$ .

- (a) Find the Skolem form  $\varphi_S$  of the formula  $\varphi$  with a new function symbol f.
- (b) Consider the theory T' obtained from T by replacing  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi_S$ . Is  $\varphi$  valid in T'?

(c) Can every model of T be uniquely expanded to a model of T'?

Now consider the formula  $\psi = x \cdot y = 1 \lor (x = 0 \land y = 0)$ .

- (d) Are the axioms of existence and uniqueness for  $\psi(x,y)$  and the variable y valid in T?
- (e) Construct an extension T'' of the theory T by definition of f using the formula  $\psi$ .
- (f) Is T'' equivalent to the theory T'?
- (g) Find an L-formula that is T"-equivalent to the formula:  $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$

## **Problem 7.** We know the following holds:

- If a brick is on (another) brick, then it is not on the ground.
- Every brick is on (another) brick or on the ground.
- No brick is on a brick that is itself on (another) brick.

We want to prove by resolution the following statement: "If a brick is on (another) brick, the lower brick is on the ground.". Construct the corresponding CNF formula S, and try to find its resolution refutation.

## FOR FURTHER THOUGHT

**Problem 8.** The Skolem form does not have to be equivalent to the original formula; verify that the following holds:

- (a)  $\models (\forall x)P(x, f(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\exists y)P(x, y)$
- (b)  $\not\models (\forall x)(\exists y)P(x,y) \to (\forall x)P(x,f(x))$