

## NAIL062 P&P LOGIC: WORKSHEET 6 – BASICS OF PREDICATE LOGIC

**Teaching goals:** After completing, the student

- understands the notions of structure and signature, can formally define them and provide examples
- understands the notions of the syntax of predicate logic (language, term, atomic formula, formula, theory, free variable, open formula, sentence, instance, variant), can formally define them and provide examples
- understands semantic notions of predicate logic (value of a term, truth value, validity [under assignment], model, being valid/contradictory in a model/theory, independence [in a theory], consequence of a theory), can formally define, give examples
- understands the notion of a complete theory and its relation to elementary equivalence of structures, can define both and apply them to examples
- knows basic examples of theories (graph theories, orders, algebraic theories)
- can describe models of a given theory

### IN-CLASS PROBLEMS

**Problem 1.** Are the following formulas variants of  $(\forall x)(x < y \vee (\exists z)(z = y \wedge z \neq x))$ ?

- $(\forall z)(z < y \vee (\exists z)(z = y \wedge z \neq z))$
- $(\forall y)(y < y \vee (\exists z)(z = y \wedge z \neq y))$
- $(\forall u)(u < y \vee (\exists z)(z = y \wedge z \neq u))$

**Problem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (\{a, b, c, d\}; \triangleright^A)$  be a structure in the language with a single binary relation symbol  $\triangleright$ , where  $\triangleright^A = \{(a, c), (b, c), (c, c), (c, d)\}$ .

- Which of the following formulas are true in  $\mathcal{A}$ ?
- For each of them find a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  (if one exists) such that  $\mathcal{B} \models \varphi$  iff  $\mathcal{A} \not\models \varphi$ .

- $x \triangleright y$
- $(\exists x)(\forall y)(y \triangleright x)$
- $(\exists x)(\forall y)((y \triangleright x) \rightarrow (x \triangleright x))$
- $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists z)((x \triangleright z) \wedge (z \triangleright y))$
- $(\forall x)(\exists y)((x \triangleright z) \vee (z \triangleright y))$

**Problem 3.** Prove (semantically) or find a counterexample: For every structure  $\mathcal{A}$ , formula  $\varphi$ , and sentence  $\psi$ ,

- $\mathcal{A} \models (\psi \rightarrow (\exists x)\varphi) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models (\exists x)(\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- $\mathcal{A} \models (\psi \rightarrow (\forall x)\varphi) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models (\forall x)(\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- $\mathcal{A} \models ((\exists x)\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models (\forall x)(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$
- $\mathcal{A} \models ((\forall x)\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models (\exists x)(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$

Does it hold for every formula  $\psi$  with a free variable  $x$ ? And for every formula  $\psi$  in which  $x$  is not free?

**Problem 4.** Decide whether  $T$  (in the language  $L = \langle U, f \rangle$  with equality) is complete. If they exist, give two elementarily non-equivalent models, and two non-equivalent complete simple extensions:

- $T = \{U(f(x)), \neg x = y, x = y \vee x = z \vee y = z\}$
- $T = \{U(f(x)), \neg(\forall x)(\forall y)x = y, x = y \vee x = z \vee y = z\}$
- $T = \{U(f(x)), \neg x = f(x), \neg(\forall x)(\forall y)x = y, x = y \vee x = z \vee y = z\}$
- $T = \{U(f(x)), \neg(\forall x)x = f(x), \neg(\forall x)(\forall y)x = y, x = y \vee x = z \vee y = z\}$

## EXTRA PRACTICE

**Problem 5.** Determine the free and bound occurrences of variables in the following formulas. Then convert them to variants in which no variable will have both free and bound occurrence.

- (a)  $(\exists x)(\forall y)P(y, z) \vee (y = 0)$
- (b)  $(\exists x)(P(x) \wedge (\forall x)Q(x)) \vee (x = 0)$
- (c)  $(\exists x)(x > y) \wedge (\exists y)(y > x)$

**Problem 6.** Let  $\varphi$  denote the formula  $(\forall x)((x = z) \vee (\exists y)(f(x) = y) \vee (\forall z)(y = f(z)))$ . Which of the following terms are substitutable into  $\varphi$ ?

- (a) the term  $z$  for the variable  $x$ , the term  $y$  for the variable  $x$ ,
- (b) the term  $z$  for the variable  $y$ , the term  $g(f(y), w)$  for the variable  $y$ ,
- (c) the term  $x$  for the variable  $z$ , the term  $y$  for the variable  $z$ ,

**Problem 7.** Are the following sentences valid / contradictory / independent (in logic)?

- (a)  $(\exists x)(\forall y)(P(x) \vee \neg P(y))$
- (b)  $(\forall x)(P(x) \rightarrow Q(f(x))) \wedge (\forall x)P(x) \wedge (\exists x)\neg Q(x)$
- (c)  $(\forall x)(P(x) \vee Q(x)) \rightarrow ((\forall x)P(x) \vee (\forall x)Q(x))$
- (d)  $(\forall x)(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \rightarrow ((\exists x)P(x) \rightarrow (\exists x)Q(x))$
- (e)  $(\exists x)(\forall y)P(x, y) \rightarrow (\forall y)(\exists x)P(x, y)$

**Problem 8.** Decide whether the following hold for every formula  $\varphi$ . Prove (semantically, from the definitions) or provide a counterexample.

- (a)  $\varphi \models (\forall x)\varphi$
- (b)  $\models \varphi \rightarrow (\forall x)\varphi$
- (c)  $\varphi \models (\exists x)\varphi$
- (d)  $\models \varphi \rightarrow (\exists x)\varphi$

## FOR FURTHER THOUGHT

**Problem 9.** Let  $L = \langle +, -, 0 \rangle$  be the language of group theory (with equality). The theory of groups  $T$  consists of the following axioms:

$$\begin{aligned} x + (y + z) &= (x + y) + z \\ 0 + x &= x = x + 0 \\ x + (-x) &= 0 = (-x) + x \end{aligned}$$

Decide whether the following formulas are true / false / independent in  $T$ . Justify.

- (a)  $x + y = y + x$
- (b)  $x + y = x \rightarrow y = 0$
- (c)  $x + y = 0 \rightarrow y = -x$
- (d)  $-(x + y) = (-y) + (-x)$