NOPT042 Constraint programming: Tutorial 8 - Global constraints, Routing

What was in Lecture 5

Path consistency

- arc consistency: never destroys solutions, sometimes can find a solution (without backtracking) -- iff all domains reduced to 1 element
- **path consistency**: for any path in variables, if assignment of start point and end point satisfy all binary constraints between them, then there is a consistent path in the constraint network
- enough to enforce for paths of length two ("every edge [in the constraint network] extends to any triangle")
- PC is stronger, removes pairs of inconsistent values
- but it is more expensive
- algorithms: PC-1, PC-2 [, PC-3, PC-4, PC-5]
- directional path consistency
- drawbacks of PC: memory consumption, bad strength/efficiency ration, modifies the constraint network (adds redundant constraints, changes connectivity, ruins graph-structure-based heuristics), still not complete
- restricted path consistency (AC, only check PC for pairs which are the only support for one of the values)

In [1]: %load_ext ipicat

Picat version 3.9

Exercise: Magic sequence

A magic sequence of length n is a sequence of integers x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1} between 0 and n-1, such that for all $i\in\{0,\ldots,n-1\}$, the number i occurs exactly x_i -times in the sequence. For instance, 6,2,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0 is a magic sequence since 0 occurs 6 times in it, 1 occurs twice, etc.

(Problem from the book.)

We want to maximize the sum of the numbers in the sequence. Write a constraint model that does that (for a given length n).

The constraint global_cardinality

```
global_cardinality(List, Pairs)
```

Let List be a list of integer-domain variables [X1, . . ., Xd], and Pairs be a list of pairs [K1-V1, . . ., Kn-Vn], where each key Ki is a unique integer, and each Vi is an integer-domain variable. The constraint is true if every element of List is equal to some key, and, for each pair Ki-Vi, exactly Vi elements of List are equal to Ki. This constraint can be defined as follows:

```
global_cardinality(List,Pairs) =>
                 foreach($Key-V in Pairs)
                     sum([B : E in List, B#<=>(E#=Key)]) #= V
                 end.
        ---from the guide
In [2]: !time picat magic-sequence/.solution/magic-sequence.pi 4
       CPU time 0.0 seconds. Backtracks: 2
       [1,2,1,0]
       real
               0m0.020s
       user
               0m0.010s
               0m0.005s
       sys
In [3]: !cat magic-sequence/.solution/magic-sequence.pi
       % Adapted from Constraint Solving and Planning with Picat, Springer
       % by Neng-Fa Zhou, Hakan Kjellerstrand, and Jonathan Fruhman
       import cp.
       main([N]) \Rightarrow
         N := N.to_int,
         magic sequence(N, Sequence),
         println(Sequence).
       magic_sequence(N, Sequence) =>
         Sequence = new_list(N),
         Sequence :: 0..N-1,
         % create list: [0-Sequence[1], 1-Sequence[2], ...]
         Pairs = [\$I-Sequence[I+1] : I in 0..N-1],
         global_cardinality(Sequence, Pairs),
         time2(solve(Sequence)).
In [4]: !time picat magic-sequence/.solution/magic-sequence.pi 64
        !time picat magic-sequence/.solution/magic-sequence2.pi 64
         !time picat magic-sequence/.solution/magic-sequence2.pi 400
```

CPU time 10.633 seconds. Backtracks: 1980

real 0m10.691s user 0m10.661s sys 0m0.030s

CPU time 0.006 seconds. Backtracks: 7

real 0m0.043s user 0m0.029s sys 0m0.009s

CPU time 0.235 seconds. Backtracks: 7

real 0m6.935s user 0m6.599s sys 0m0.333s

In [5]: !cat magic-sequence/.solution/magic-sequence2.pi

```
% Adapted from Constraint Solving and Planning with Picat, Springer
       % by Neng-Fa Zhou, Hakan Kjellerstrand, and Jonathan Fruhman
       import cp.
       main([N]) =>
         N := N.to_int,
         magic_sequence(N, Sequence),
         println(Sequence).
       magic_sequence(N, Sequence) =>
         Sequence = new_list(N),
         Sequence :: 0..N-1,
         % create list: [0-Sequence[1], 1-Sequence[2], ...]
         Pairs = [\$I-Sequence[I+1] : I in 0..N-1],
         global_cardinality(Sequence, Pairs),
         % extra/redudant (implicit) constraints to speed up the model
         N #= sum(Sequence),
         Integers = [I : I in 0..N-1],
         scalar_product(Integers, Sequence, N),
         time2(solve([ff], Sequence)).
In [6]: !time picat magic-sequence/.solution/magic-sequence2.pi 1024
        !time picat magic-sequence/.solution/magic-sequence3.pi 1024
```

0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0]

real 3m33.519s user 3m19.980s sys 0m13.465s

CPU time 0.483 seconds. Backtracks: 7

```
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0]
            0m3.987s
     real
            0m3.641s
     user
     Sys
            0m0.340s
In [7]: !cat magic-sequence/.solution/magic-sequence3.pi
     % Adapted from Constraint Solving and Planning with Picat, Springer
     % by Neng-Fa Zhou, Hakan Kjellerstrand, and Jonathan Fruhman
     import cp.
     main([N]) \Rightarrow
       N := N.to_int,
       magic_sequence(N,Sequence),
       println(Sequence).
     magic_sequence(N, Sequence) =>
       Sequence = new_list(N),
       Sequence :: 0..N-1,
       % extra/redudant (implicit) constraints to speed up the model
       N #= sum(Sequence),
       Integers = [I : I in 0..N-1],
       scalar_product(Integers, Sequence, N),
       % % create list: [0-Sequence[1], 1-Sequence[2], ...]
       Pairs = [$I-Sequence[I+1] : I in 0..N-1],
       global_cardinality(Sequence, Pairs),
       time2(solve([ff], Sequence)).
```

The order of constraints

The order might matter to the solver, the above model is an example. When the cp solver parses a constraint, it tries to reduce the domains. The implicit (redundant) constraint using scalar_product can reduce the model a lot, which is much better to do before parsing global_cardinality. (Note: e.g. MiniZinc doesn't preserve the order of constraints during compilation, the behaviour is a bit unpredictable.)

Heuristic: easy constraints and constraints that are strong [in reducing the search space] should go first (?)

Routing

Routing, aka the Arc Routing Problem, refers to a variety of constraint satisfaction problems related to the well-known Vehicle Routing Problem. Such

problems appear in a variety of applications such as public transport (bus routing), garbage collection (not that one), snow ploughing, and many others.

Exercise: Knight tour

Given an integer N, plan a tour of the knight on an $N \times N$ chessboard such that the knight visits every field exactly once and then returns to the starting field. You can assume that N is even.

(Hint: For a matrix M is a matrix, you can use M.vars() to extract its elements into a list.)

The circuit constraint

The constraint <code>circuit(L)</code> requires that the list L represents a permutation of $1,\ldots,n$ consisting of a single cycle, i.e., the graph with edges $i\to L[i]$ is a cycle. A similar constraint is <code>subcircuit(L)</code> which requires that elements for which $L[i]\neq i$ form a cycle.

```
In [8]: !picat knight-tour/.solution/knight-tour.pi 8
      34,35,40,16,38},{43,17,50,53,52,28,24,46},{51,25,49,61,60,36,62,31},{59,33,57,58,63,
      64,45,39},{42,41,44,54,55,56,48,47}}
      11 12 13 10 15 21 22 14
       3 20 26 18 23 4 30 6
       2 1 29 5 27 32 8 7
      19 9 37 34 35 40 16 38
      43 17 50 53 52 28 24 46
      51 25 49 61 60 36 62 31
      59 33 57 58 63 64 45 39
      42 41 44 54 55 56 48 47
      Tour:
       1 62 5 10 13 24 55 8
       4 11 2 63 6 9 14 23
      61 64 35 12 25 56 7 54
      34 3 26 59 36 15 22 57
       39 60 37 18 27 58 53 16
       30 33 40 43 46 17 50 21
      41 38 31 28 19 48 45 52
      32 29 42 47 44 51 20 49
```

```
Adapted from
 knight_tour.pi
 from Constraint Solving and Planning with Picat, Springer
 by Neng-Fa Zhou, Hakan Kjellerstrand, and Jonathan Fruhman
import cp.
main([N]) \Rightarrow
 N := N.to_int,
 knight(N,X),
 println(x=X),
 println("X:"),
 print_matrix(X),
 extract_tour(X,Tour),
 println("Tour:"),
 print_matrix(Tour).
% Knight's tour for even N*N.
knight(N, X) =>
 X = new_array(N,N),
 X :: 1..N*N,
 XVars = X.vars(),
 % restrict the domains of each square
 foreach (I in 1..N, J in 1..N)
    D = [-1, -2, 1, 2],
    Dom = [(I+A-1)*N + J+B : A in D, B in D,
            abs(A) + abs(B) == 3,
            member(I+A,1..N), member(J+B,1..N)],
    Dom.length > 0,
    X[I,J] :: Dom
 end,
 circuit(XVars),
 solve([ff,split],XVars).
extract_tour(X,Tour) =>
 N = X.length,
 Tour = new_array(N,N),
 K = 1,
 Tour[1,1] := K,
 Next = X[1,1],
 while (K < N*N)
   K := K + 1,
   I = 1+((Next-1) div N),
   J = 1 + ((Next-1) \mod N),
   Tour[I,J] := K,
   Next := X[I,J]
 end.
print_matrix(M) =>
 N = M.length,
 V = (N*N).to_string().length,
 Format = "% " ++ (V+1).to_string() ++ "d",
 foreach(I in 1..N)
    foreach(J in 1..N)
       printf(Format,M[I,J])
```

```
end,
nl
end,
nl.
```

Exercise: Chinese Postman

Given a weighted graph, find the shortest closed path that traverses every edge at least once. (Assume that the graph is undirected, loopless, and the distances are positive integers and satisfy the triangle inequality.) See Wikipedia for a sample solved instance. Unlike the Eulerian Circuit Problem (where each edge has to be traversed exactly once), there is a polynomial-time algorithm for the Chinese Postman. But our goal is to write a constraint model.