```
Consider the following algorithm. Algorithm 1 function
```

```
Mystery(n)

r \leftarrow 0

for i \leftarrow 1 to n - 1 do

for j \leftarrow i + 1 to n do

for k \leftarrow 1 to j do

r \leftarrow r + 1

end for

end for

end for

return r
```

cin >> n;

int r = 0;

- (a) What is the value returned by the function Mystery? Express your answer as a function of n and give the closed form.
- (b) Using O() notation, give the worst-case running time of the function Mystery.

This is a very interesting problem, as the name says Mystery.

If you write the same problem in a simple programming language, then it looks something like

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int main() {
   int n;
```

```
for (int i = 1; i <= n-1; i++){
    for (int j = i+1; j <= n; j++){
        for (int k = 1; k <= j; k++){
            r++;
        }
    }
    cout << r << endl;
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

So it basically has 3 for loops (in nested fashion)

Let's take an example to feel the problem

Let n = 5

The outermost 'for' loop goes from i = 1 to i = 4

And for each for these 'i', the inner 'for' loops work,

Say for i = 1

j goes from i+1 to n, that is, 2 to 5

and for each value of j, innermost loop goes from k = 1 to k = j and increments the value of 'r' in each iteration.

So for j = 2

k goes from 1 to 2.

Finally, value of r is returned.

So, r gets incremented two times for j = 2, three times for j = 3, ..., five times for j = 5

In similar manner for other values of i

So final value of r = (2 + 3 + 4 + 5) {corresponding to i = 1} + (3 + 4 + 5) {corresponding to i = 2} + (4 + 5) {corresponding to i = 3}+ (5) {corresponding to i = 4}

$$= 1 * 2 + 2 * 3 + 3 * 4 + 4 * 5$$

= 40

## GENERALIZATION {EXPRESSION FOR VALUE of r}:

IF we write in terms of n;

Value of 
$$r = 1 * 2 + 2 * 3 + 3 * 4 + .... + (n-1) * n$$

Or write  $r = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i) * (i+1)$  [That is summation from i = 1 to i = n-1]

## TIME COMPLEXITY:

Since there are 3 for loops, so in worst case,

Time complexity can go as bad as  $O(n^3)$ .

BONUS: [This is just for extra knowledge, not asked in question]

You can return the same value of r by doing an optimization

Say

```
Intialize r = 0
For (int i = 1; i <= n-1; i++){
    r = r + (i)* (i+1)
}
```

Return r

This will also return same value of r, but with complexity just 0 (n), linear time.

I hope it helps ☺